

Interactive comment on “Analysis of effective resistance calculation methods and their effect on modelling evapotranspiration in two different patches of vegetation in semi-arid SE Spain” by A. Were et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 13 April 2007

This paper deals with the issue of estimating latent heat flux under heterogeneous conditions. This is in deed a very important issue for several disciplines i.e. hydrology, meteorology, agrometerology. The authors tested 3 empirical approaches for defining effective or area-averaged resistances. Several papers have addressed this same issue for the past 20 years (Shuttleworth et al. 97 , Lhomme et al. 94 among other) which have not cited in the references. I therefore suggest that the authors test other approaches , especially those based on the “matching-rule” of the surface fluxes.

On the other hand, I have serious concern about the data used in this study :

A- The authors should address the issue of the footprint of the eddy covariance system using a footprint model. B- The number of soil heat flux plates is not enough to capture the variability of the heat flux encountered in this type of environment C- No detail is given how they scale up the measured resistances to the patch scale

In conclusion , This paper can be accepted (with major revision) if the authors addressed all the above points

Interactive comment on Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 4, 243, 2007.

HESSD

4, S155–S156, 2007

Interactive
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper