Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 3, S565–S566, 2006 www.hydrol-earth-syst-sci-discuss.net/3/S565/2006/
© Author(s) 2006. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons License.



# **HESSD**

3, S565-S566, 2006

Interactive Comment

# Interactive comment on "Evaluation of bias-correction methods for ensemble streamflow volume forecasts" by T. Hashino et al.

# **Anonymous Referee #1**

Received and published: 28 July 2006

### General comments

This paper evaluates the quality of ensemble probability forecasts of river flow volumes, comparing three different model bias correction methods. The paper is very well written, precise, complete in its bibliography and clear in describing the approach and the techniques used. The final summary and discussion is complete and reaches sound conclusions based on the data considered. It is in general a well constructed and useful paper which I recommend for publication after the minor issue raised below has been addressed or replied to.

## Specific comments

The authors often use the words "significant skill" or no skill when comparing the

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

**Discussion Paper** 

EGU

scores. This could be assessed quantitatively, for example using some bootstrap technique. (An example can be found in Zhang and Casey, Weather and Forecasting 15, 1999) In particular fig 8 would benefit from such an analysis.

Interactive comment on Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 3, 561, 2006.

# **HESSD**

3, S565-S566, 2006

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

**EGU**