Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 2, S360–S361, 2005 www.copernicus.org/EGU/hess/hessd/2/S360/ European Geosciences Union © 2005 Author(s). This work is licensed under a Creative Commons License.



## **HESSD**

2, S360-S361, 2005

Interactive Comment

## *Interactive comment on* "Landslide susceptibility mapping of Cekmece area (Istanbul, Turkey) by conditional probability" *by* T. Y. Duman et al.

J. Seibert (Editor)

jan.seibert@natgeo.su.se

Received and published: 8 July 2005

I am afraid that I have still concerns about the statistical analysis and adding the probabilities.

The cited papers use the conditional probability approach in a slightly, but fundamental, different approach. Clerici et al. (2002), for instance, used Unique Condition Unit (UCU). Each UCU consists of the unique combination of landslide factors and the conditional probabilities are calculated based on the occurence of landslides within each UCU.

While the UCU are mutually exclusive, the individual factors are not. A location might have a moderate permeability, an altitude of 50-75 m and a slope of 15-20 degree. Therefore the last term in p(X or Y) = p(X)+p(Y)-p(X and Y) is not zero and, thus, adding the conditional probabilities for the individual factors is not valid. I would strongly

Full Screen / Esc

**Print Version** 

Interactive Discussion

**Discussion Paper** 

recommend to correct this (e.g., use the approach of Clerici et al.).

Clerici, A., Perego, S., Tellini, C. and Vescovi, P.: A procedure for landslide susceptibility zonation by the conditional analysis method, Geomorphology, 48, 349-364, 2002.

Interactive comment on Hydrology and Earth System Sciences Discussions, 2, 155, 2005.



2, S360-S361, 2005

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

**Print Version** 

Interactive Discussion

**Discussion Paper**