Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 12, C4025–C4026, 2015 www.hydrol-earth-syst-sci-discuss.net/12/C4025/2015/ © Author(s) 2015. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.





Interactive Comment

Interactive comment on "Does the Budyko curve reflect a maximum power state of hydrological systems? A backward analysis" by M. Westhoff et al.

Anonymous Referee #3

Received and published: 4 October 2015

Westhoff et al. present an analysis based on maximum entropy production principle of the Budyko curve using a steady state mass balance model and the assumption that evaporation is at its maximum when the soil is fully saturated and the soil chemical potential is zero. I find this an interesting study, though I doubt that the content warrants a research paper. To me this looks more like a technical note, even if there is comparison to observations. Below are additional more specific and general comments.

Simplifying assumptions are central to the analysis, such as the one mentioned above and h being a linear function of Gr. Perhaps, the authors could touch on possibilities to evaluate the impact of these assumptions on the results and relax them in future





studies.

The authors should state clearly right at the beginning, which parameters are known (chem. pot. atmosphere and Qin, in my understanding) and which are unknown/they are solving for.

In order to arrive at eqns 13 and 21, the authors need to introduce an additional equation i.e. eqn 11. This seems arbitrary to me; please comment on that. What are the reference power and conductance?

Isn't it a given that if one applies eqn (9) then finds an expression for Epot for a known Qin that the results are consistent and fit the Budyko concept? In this context, what is $Ge(h^*)$? I am somehow missing a functional relationship for Ge(h) or soil chemical potential as a function of h.

HESSD

12, C4025–C4026, 2015

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



Interactive comment on Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 12, 7821, 2015.