Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 12, C3665–C3668, 2015 www.hydrol-earth-syst-sci-discuss.net/12/C3665/2015/

© Author(s) 2015. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



# **HESSD**

12, C3665-C3668, 2015

Interactive Comment

# Interactive comment on "Crop-specific seasonal estimates of irrigation water demand in South Asia" by H. Biemans et al.

**Anonymous Referee #1** 

Received and published: 15 September 2015

The paper describes an analysis of seasonal irrigation water requirements and crop productivity in South Asia. The region is highly populated and irrigation essential to ensure the supply of the growing population with food. The climatic conditions are very diverse with deserts in the west, very humid conditions in the east, the Himalaya Mountains in the North and fertile lowlands along the major rivers. In addition, interannual variability in precipitation is high because of the varying strength of the monsoon. Therefore, cropping patterns in this region are very complex as well with highly intensive land use enabling three or four crop harvests per year and extensive land use including fallow land on the other hand. Assessments of crop water requirements and crop productivity need to account for this diversity and complexity which is challenging. Therefore contributions such as the present manuscript are welcome and fit well

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



to the scope of the journal. The manuscript is well written and interesting. However, several aspects require attention and major improvements are required before I may recommend the manuscript for publication in HESS:

Major comments: 1) While the methodology presented in this article is interesting and innovative, the analysis of the obtained results and the discussion and comparison with other research require improvement. The simulation of seasonal crop water requirements and corresponding impacts on crop yields for South Asia itself is not new. The MIRCA2000 dataset explicitly accounts for multiple cropping practices in South Asia and has been applied in many assessments and modelling studies, e.g. by Siebert and Doell (2010). The FAO provides crop calendars for the region which also account for multiple cropping and which were applied to simulate irrigation water requirement and withdrawal at daily time steps (Hoogeveen et al., 2015; Frenken and Gillet, 2012). An advancement in the current study is certainly that it accounts for spatial patterns in the begin of the monsoon season and the corresponding Kharif cropping season. Water requirements and crop production are then presented per season to highlight the impact of the seasonal variability in climate conditions on water requirements, drought stress and corresponding crop yields. Therefore, to demonstrate the scientific merit of the current study it is essential to compare the results obtained with the improved version of the model and input data with results obtained by not explicitly accounting for multiple cropping practices in the region (versions and setup of LPJmL used in previous research).

2) The model was calibrated against crop yields observed during the period 2003-2008 by using three parameters: maximum LAI, maximum harvest index and a parameter scaling leaf biomass to plot level (section 2.4). Therefore it is not surprising that crop yields simulated by the model matched the observations after calibration (page 7852, lines 13-14; Figure 4). This shows that the calibration was successful but it is not a proof for the accuracy of the model itself. A validation of the model should be based on data not used for the calibration. In addition, calibrating the model for crop yields does

# **HESSD**

12, C3665-C3668, 2015

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



not mean that simulated crop water requirements are accurate as well. In particular the adjustment of the LAI parameter in the calibration for crop yield will affect crop transpiration. Consequently it can happen that a higher accuracy of simulated crop yields is on the expense of less precise results for crop water use. Therefore, more comparisons to national or subnational data for irrigation water requirements or irrigation water supply would be helpful. This could include results from model runs without the improvements made for this study to demonstrate the advancement achieved with the new version. I would expect, that in particular the estimates of the contribution of the different water sources to irrigation improved due to the model improvements presented in this study.

Specific comments: Page 7845, line 28: please use "multiple cropping" consistently throughout the manuscript (in the current version it is sometimes multi-cropping, sometimes multiple cropping)

Page 7849, lines 11-14: "Crop classes in MIRCA2000 were first aggregated to the crop classes available in the LPJmL model, which are fewer (12, irrigated and non-irrigated, plus one class with "other perennial crops", vs. 26 in MIRCA) but include the most important food crops for South Asia (see Fig. 2 for distinguished crops)." => How did the authors treat crops not shown in Fig. 2, for example barley or cotton? Are water uses of these crops included in the totals reported by the authors (e.g. in Table 1) or not? If not, it is necessary to mention this, e.g. when comparing to total water uses simulated or estimated in other studies.

Page 7851, line 17: "and a "summer" season from April to May." => This season is typically called Zaid season.

Page 7853, lines 4-6: "Irrigation efficiency for canal water was estimated at 37.5% in India, Bangladesh, Nepal and 30% 5 in Pakistan (Rohwer et al., 2007); efficiency of groundwater irrigation was estimated at 70% for all countries (following Gupta and Deshpande, 2004)." => This belongs to Material and methods but not to the Results section.

### **HESSD**

12, C3665-C3668, 2015

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Section 3.3: How do the seasonal estimates compare to those recently described in Smilovic et al. (2015)?

Page 7856, lines 8-9: "Incorporating seasonal cropping patterns in more detail leads to improved estimation of the timing of water demand." => This I also would expect but better would be to proof it by comparison to simulations with the previous model version.

Page 7858, lines 7-8: "gross irrigation demand during the Rabi season is  $\sim$  30% lower than during the Kharif season, the traditional cropping season." => Shouldn't it be higher (see line 14 on the same page)?

References: Frenken K., Gillet V. (2012) Irrigation water requirement and water withdrawal by country. FAO, Rome, Italy, 263 pp., http://www.fao.org/nr/water/aquastat/water\_use\_agr/IrrigationWaterUse.pdf

Hoogeveen J., Faurès J. M., Peiser L., Burke J., van de Giesen N. (2015) GlobWat – a global water balance model to assess water use in irrigated agriculture. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 19, 3829-3844

Siebert S., Döll P. (2010) Quantifying blue and green virtual water contents in global crop production as well as potential production losses without irrigation. Journal of Hydrology, 384, 198-217.

Smilovic M., Gleeson T., Siebert S. (2015) The limits of increasing food production with irrigation in India. Food Security, 7, 835-856.

Interactive comment on Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 12, 7843, 2015.

### **HESSD**

12, C3665-C3668, 2015

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

