

## ***Interactive comment on “Annual canopy interception at artificial lowland tropical forest” by A. B. Azinoor-Azida and L. Minjiao***

**Prof. Mikos (Editor)**

matjaz.mikos@fgg.uni-lj.si

Received and published: 14 July 2015

Dear Authors,

after receiving three in-depth reviews, I must, unfortunately, let you now that the paper in present form should be rejected.

There are too many flaws in the manuscript, as recognised by the reviewers that simply go beyond a major revision and furthermore a possible publication of the revised manuscript in HESS. The reviewers suggest to you to fully restructure the manuscript, omit some repetition in the text and present a clear purpose/aim of the study and the manuscript. The novelty of the manuscript should be clearly visible for a possible publication in HESS.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



It is tough questionable if this can be done without further field work in order to be able to answer to some reviewers' comments (water evaporation from collectors, linear processes (?) since using linear regression models, low values for stem flow, ...). It will be maybe good to prolong the field data series for another year with definite improvements in field techniques (how you perform field experiment).

I would suggest to you to go through the reviewers' general and specific comments and see if you could re-submit the manuscript, which may not be possible with regard to some comments, i.e. that the model validation was done using another model and not as usually only by using (own) measured (field) data.

The HESS policy is that the authors are obliged to answer the referee comments (RC) and relevant short comments (SC) in one or more author comments (AC) in the discussion forum of their paper before submitting a revised manuscript for final publication in HESS, which is only encouraged if the referee comments and initial evaluation indicate that a revised manuscript is likely to meet the quality standards of HESS.

Due to this policy, I would like to receive your author comments, but the submission of the revised version of the manuscript is not encouraged due to referees' comments and their suggestions to reject the paper.

---

Interactive comment on Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 12, 4879, 2015.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper