1 Improving multi-objective reservoir operation optimization with

2 sensitivity-informed problem decomposition

3	Jinggang Chu ¹ , Chi Zhang ¹ , Guangtao Fu ² , Yu Li ¹ , Huicheng Zhou ¹
4	¹ School of Hydraulic Engineering, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian 116024,
5	China
6	² Centre for Water Systems, College of Engineering, Mathematics and Physical
7	Sciences, University of Exeter, North Park Road, Harrison Building, Exeter EX4 4QF,
8	UK
9	
10	
10	
11	*Corresponding author:
12	Dr. Chi Zhang
13	School of Hydraulic Engineering, Dalian University of Technology
14	Dalian 116024, China
15	Tel.: 86-411-8470-8517
16	Fax: 86-411-8470-8517
17	E-mail: czhang@dlut.edu.cn
40	

19 Abstract

This study investigates the effectiveness of a sensitivity-informed method for 20 21 multi-objective operation of reservoir systems, which uses global sensitivity analysis 22 as a screening tool to reduce the computational demands. Sobol's method is used to 23 screen insensitive decision variables and guide the formulation of the optimization problems with a significantly reduced number of decision variables. This 24 sensitivity-informed problem decomposition dramatically reduces the computational 25 demands required for attaining high quality approximations of optimal tradeoff 26 27 relationships between conflicting design objectives. The search results obtained from the reduced complexity multi-objective reservoir operation problems are then used to 28 29 pre-condition the full search of the original optimization problem. In two case studies, 30 the Dahuofang reservoir and the inter-basin multi-reservoir system in Liaoning province, China, sensitivity analysis results show that reservoir performance is 31 strongly controlled by a small proportion of decision variables. Sensitivity-informed 32 33 problem decomposition and pre-conditioning are evaluated in their ability to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of multi-objective evolutionary optimization. Overall, 34 35 this study illustrates the efficiency and effectiveness of the sensitivity-informed method and the use of global sensitivity analysis to inform problem decomposition 36 when solving the complex multi-objective reservoir operation problems. 37

38 Keywords water supply; complexity reduction; multi-objective optimization;
39 preconditioning; sensitivity analysis; reservoir operation

40

41 **1 Introduction**

Reservoirs are often operated considering a number of conflicting objectives (such 42 43 as different water uses) related to environmental, economic and public services. The optimization of Reservoir Operation Systems (ROS) has attracted substantial attention 44 over the past several decades. In China and many other countries, reservoirs are 45 operated according to reservoir operation rule curves which are established at the 46 planning/design stage to provide long-term operation guidelines for reservoir 47 management to meet expected water demands. Reservoir operation rule curves 48 49 usually consist of a series of storage volumes or levels at different periods (Liu et al., 2011a and 2011b). For the optimal ROS problem, the values of storage volumes or 50 51 levels are optimized to achieve one or more objectives. Quite often, there are multiple 52 curves, related to different purposes of reservoir operation. The dimension of a ROS problem depends on the number of the curves and the number of time periods. For a 53 cascaded reservoir system, the dimension can be very large, which increases the 54 55 complexity and problem difficulty and poses a significant challenge for most search tools currently available (Labadie, 2004; Draper and Lund, 2004; Sadegh et al., 2010; 56 57 Zhao et al., 2014).

In the context of multi-objective optimal operation of ROS, there is not one single operating policy that improves simultaneously all the objectives and a set of non-dominating Pareto optimal solutions are normally obtained. The traditional approach to multi-objective optimal reservoir operation is to reformulate the multi-objective problem as a single objective problem through the use of some

scalarization methods, such as the weighted sum method (Tu et al., 2003 and 2008; 63 Shiau, 2011). This method has been developed to repeatedly solve the single objective 64 65 problem using different sets of weights so that a set of Pareto-optimal solutions to the original multi-objective problem could be obtained (Srinivasan and Philipose, 1998; 66 Shiau and Lee, 2005). Another well-known method is the ε -constraint method (Ko et 67 al., 1997; Mousavi and Ramamurthy, 2000; Shirangi et al., 2008): all the objectives 68 but one are converted into constraints and the level of satisfaction of the constraints is 69 70 optimized to obtain a set of Pareto-optimal solutions. However, with the increase in 71 problem complexity (i.e., the number of objectives or decision variables), both approaches become inefficient and ineffective in deriving the Pareto-optimal 72 73 solutions.

74 In the last several decades, bio-inspired algorithms and tools have been developed to directly solve multi-objective optimization problems by simultaneously handling 75 all the objectives (Nicklow et al., 2010). In particular, multi-objective evolutionary 76 77 algorithms (MOEA) have been increasingly applied to the optimal reservoir operation problems, with intent of revealing tradeoff relationships between conflicting 78 objectives. Suen and Eheart (2006) used the non-dominated sorting genetic algorithm 79 (NSGAII) to find the Pareto set of operating rules that provides decision makers with 80 the optimal trade-off between human demands and ecological flow requirements. 81 82 Zhang et al. (2013b) used a multi-objective adaptive differential evolution combined with chaotic neuron networks to provide optimal trade-offs for multi-objective 83 long-term reservoir operation problems, balancing hydropower operation and the 84

requirement of reservoir ecological environment. Chang et al. (2013) used an
adjustable particle swarm optimization – genetic algorithm (PSO-GA) hybrid
algorithm to minimize water shortages and maximize hydro-power production in
management of Tao River water resources.

89 However, significant challenges remain for using MOEAs in large, real-world ROS applications. The high dimensionality of ROS problems makes it very difficult 90 for MOEAs to identify 'optimal or near optimal' solutions with the computing 91 resources that are typically available in practice. Thus the primary aim of this study is 92 93 to investigate the effectiveness of a sensitivity-informed optimization methodology for multi-objective reservoir operation, which uses sensitivity analysis results to 94 reduce the dimension of the optimization problems, and thus improves the search 95 96 efficiency in solving these problems. This framework is based on the previous study by Fu et al. (2012), which developed a problem decomposition framework that can 97 dramatically reduce the computational demands required to obtain high quality 98 solutions for optimal design of water distribution systems. The ROS case studies used 99 to demonstrate this framework consider the optimal design of reservoir water supply 100 101 operation policies. Storage volumes at different time periods on the operation rule curves are used as decision variables. It has been widely recognized that the 102 determination of these decision variables requires a balance among different ROS 103 objectives. Sobol"s sensitivity analysis results are used to form simplified 104 optimization problems considering a small number of sensitive decision variables, 105 which can be solved with a dramatically reduced number of model evaluations to 106

obtain Pareto approximate solutions. These Pareto approximate solutions are then 107 used to pre-condition a full search by serving as starting points for the multi-objective 108 109 evolutionary algorithm. The results from the Dahuofang reservoir and inter-basin multi-reservoir system case studies in Liaoning province, China, whose conflicting 110 objectives are minimization of industry water shortage and minimization of 111 112 agriculture water shortage, illustrate that sensitivity-informed problem decomposition and pre-conditioning provide clear advantages to solve large-scale multi-objective 113 ROS problems effectively. 114

115

116 **2 Problem formulation**

Most reservoirs in China are operated according to rule curves, i.e., reservoir water supply operation rule curves. Because they are based on actual water storage volumes, they are simple to use. Fig. 1 shows typical water supply operation rule curves from Dahuofang reservoir based on 36 10-day periods.

As we know that water demand could be fully satisfied only when there is 121 sufficient water in reservoir. Water supply operation rule curve, which is used to 122 123 operate most reservoirs in China, represents the limited storage volume for water supply in each period of a year. In detail, water demand will be fully satisfied when 124 the reservoir storage volume is higher than water supply operation rule curve, whereas 125 water demand need to be rationed when the reservoir storage volume is lower than 126 127 water supply operation rule curve. In general, a reservoir has more than one water supply target, and there is one to one correspondence between water supply rule curve 128

129 and water supply target. The water supply with lower priority will be limited prior to 130 the water supply with higher priority when the reservoir storage volume is lower. To 131 reflect the phenomenon that different water demands can have different reliability 132 requirements and different levels of priority in practice, the operation rule curve for 133 the water supply with the lower priority is located above the operation rule curve for 134 the water supply with the higher priority.

Fig. 1 shows water supply operation rule curves for agriculture and industry where 135 the maximum storage is smaller in the middle due to the flood control requirements in 136 137 wet seasons. In Fig. 1, the red line with circle represent water supply rule curve for agriculture, the green line with triangle represent water supply rule curve for industry, 138 139 and the water supply rule curve for agriculture with lower priority is located above the 140 water supply rule curve for industry with higher priority. The water storage available between the minimum and maximum storages is divided into three parts: zone 1, zone 141 2 and zone 3 by the water supply rule curves for agriculture and industry. 142

143 Specifically, both the agricultural demand D_1 and the industrial demand D_2 could be fully supplied when the actual water storage is in zone 1, which is above the 144 145 water supply rule curve for agriculture; when the actual water storage is in zone 2, which is above the water supply rule curve for industry and below the water supply 146 147 rule curve for agriculture, the industrial demand D_2 could be fully supplied, and the agricultural demand D_1 has to be rationed; both the agricultural demand D_1 and the 148 149 industrial demand D_2 have to be rationed when the actual water storage is in zone 3, which is below the water supply rule curve for industry. The water supply rule for a 150

specific water user consists of one water supply rule curve and rationing factors that 151 indicate the reliability and priority of the water user. Assuming that the specified 152 153 water rationing factor α_1 is applied to the water supply rule curve for agriculture in Fig. 1, the agricultural demand D_1 could be fully supplied without rationing when 154 the actual water storage is in zone 1, however, when the water storage is in zone 2 or 155 zone 3, the agricultural demand has to be rationed, i.e., $\alpha_1 * D_1$. Similarly, assuming 156 157 that the specified water rationing factor α_2 is applied to the water supply rule curve for industry in Fig. 1, the industrial demand D_2 could be fully supplied without 158 159 rationing when the actual water storage is in zone 1 or zone 2, however, when the water storage is in zone 3, the industrial demand has to be rationed, i.e., $\alpha_2 * D_2$. 160

Because it could be assumed that the historical inflow into the reservoir would be 161 162 repeated in the future, to provide long-term operation guidelines for reservoir management to meet expected water demands in a future planning year, the water 163 demands in the future planning year and long-term historical inflow are used. The 164 165 optimization objectives for water supply operation rule curves are to minimize water shortages during the long-term historical period. The ROS design problem is 166 formulated as a multi-objective optimization problem, i.e., minimizing multiple 167 objectives simultaneously. In this paper, the objectives are to minimize industry and 168 169 agriculture water shortages:

170
$$\min f_i(\mathbf{x}) = SI_i = \frac{100}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \left(\frac{D_{i,j} - W_{i,j}(\mathbf{x})}{D_{i,j}} \right)^2$$
(1)

where x is the vector of decision variables, i.e., the water storages at different periods on a water-supply rule curve; SI_i is the shortage index for water demand i (industrial water demand when i = 1, agricultural water demand when i = 2), which measures the frequency and magnitude of annual shortages occurred during N years, and is used as an indicator to reflect water supply efficiency; N is the total number of years simulated; $D_{i,j}$ is the sum of target demands for water demand i during the *j*th year; $W_{i,j}(x)$ is the sum of delivered water for water demand i during the *j*th year.

179 For the ROS optimization problem, the mass balance equations are:

180
$$S_{t+1} - S_t = I_t - R_t - SU_t - E_t$$
(2)

181
$$R_t = g(x), SU_t = k(x), E_t = e(x)$$
 (3)

182
$$ST_t^{\min} \le S_t \le ST_t^{\max}, ST_t^{\min} \le \mathbf{x} \le ST_t^{\max}$$
 (4)

where S_t is the initial water storage at the beginning of period t; S_{t+1} is the ending water storage at the end of period t; I_t, R_t, SU_t and E_t are inflow, delivery for water use, spill and evapotranspiration loss, respectively; and ST_t^{max} and ST_t^{min} are the maximum and minimum storage, respectively. Additionally, because $W_{i,j}(x)$ in Equation (1) is the sum of delivered water for water demand i during the jth year, the sum value of R during the jth year equals to $W_{1,j}(x) + W_{2,j}(x)$.

189

190 **3 Methodology**

Pre-conditioning is a technique that uses a set of known good solutions as starting 191 points to improve the search process of optimization problems (Nicklow et al., 2010). 192 It is very challenging in determining good initial solutions, and different techniques 193 including knowledge study 194 the domain can be used. This utilizes а

sensitivity-informed problem decomposition to develop simpler search problems that 195 consider only a small number of highly sensitive decisions. The results from these 196 197 simplified search problems can be used to successively pre-condition search for larger, more complex formulations of ROS design problems. The E-NSGAII, a popular 198 199 multi-objective evolutionary algorithm, is chosen as it has been shown effective for many engineering optimization problems (Kollat and Reed, 2006; Tang et al., 2006; 200 Kollat and Reed, 2007). For the two-objectives (ε_{SI_1} and ε_{SI_2}) considered in this 201 paper, their epsilon values in ɛ-NSGAII were chosen based on reasonable and 202 203 practical requirements and were both set to 0.01. According to the study by Fu et al. (2012), the sensitivity-informed methodology, as shown in Fig. 2, has the following 204 205 steps:

206 1. Perform a sensitivity analysis using Sobol's method to calculate the sensitivity
 207 indices of all decision variables regarding the ROS performance measure;

208 2. Define a simplified problem that considers only the most sensitive decision
209 variables by imposing a user specified threshold (or classification) of sensitivity;

3. Solve the simplified problem using ε-NSGAII with a small number of model
simulations;

4. Solve the original problem using ε-NSGAII with the Pareto optimal solutions
from the simplified problem fed into the initial population.

214 **3.1 Sobol''s sensitivity analysis**

215 Sobol''s method was chosen for sensitivity analysis because it can provide a 216 detailed description of how individual variables and their interactions impact model 217 performance (Tang et al., 2007b; Zhang et al., 2013a). A model could be represented218 in the following functional form:

219
$$y = f(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_p)$$
(5)

where *y* is the goodness-of-fit metric of model output, and $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_p)$ is the parameter set. Sobol''s method is a variance based method, in which the total variance of model output, D(y), is decomposed into component variances from individual variables and their interactions:

224
$$D(y) = \sum_{i} D_{i} + \sum_{i < j} D_{ij} + \sum_{i < j < k} D_{ijk} + \dots + D_{12 \dots m}$$
(6)

where D_i is the amount of variance due to the *i*th variable x_i , and D_{ij} is the amount of variance from the interaction between x_i and x_j . The model sensitivity resulting from each variable can be measured using the Sobol''s sensitivity indices of different orders:

229 First-order index:
$$S_i = \frac{D_i}{D}$$
 (7)

230 Second-order index:
$$S_{ij} = \frac{D_{ij}}{D}$$
 (8)

231 Total-order index:
$$S_{Ti} = 1 - \frac{D_{\sim i}}{D}$$
 (9)

where $D_{\sim i}$ is the amount of variance from all the variables except for x_i , the first-order index S_i measures the sensitivity from the main effect of x_i , the second-order index S_{ij} measures the sensitivity resulting from the interactions between x_i and x_j , and the total-order index S_{Ti} represents the main effect of x_i and its interactions with all the other variables.

238 Since MOEA search is stochastic, performance metrics are used in this study to

compare the quality of the approximation sets derived from replicate multi-objective
evolutionary algorithm runs. Three indicators were selected: the generational distance
(Veldhuizen and Lamont, 1998), the additive ε-indicator (Zitzler et al., 2003), and the
hypervolume indicator (Zitzler and Thiele, 1998).

The generational distance measures the average Euclidean distance from solutions in an approximation set to the nearest solution in the reference set, and indicates perfect performance with zero. The additive ε -indicator measures the smallest distance that a solution set need to be translated to completely dominate the reference set. Again, smaller values of this indicator are desirable as this indicates a closer approximation to the reference set.

The hypervolume indicator, also known as the S metric or the Lebesgue measure, 249 250 measures the size of the region of objective space dominated by a set of solutions. The hypervolume not only indicates the closeness of the solutions to the optimal set, but 251 also captures the spread of the solutions over the objective space. The indicator is 252 253 normally calculated as the volume difference between a solution set derived from an optimization algorithm and a reference solution set. In this study, the worst case 254 solution is chosen as reference. For example, the worst solution is (1, 1) for two 255 minimization objectives in the normalized objective space. Thus larger hypervolume 256 indicator values indicate improved solution quality and imply a larger distance from 257 the worst solution. 258

259

260 4 Case study

Two case studies of increasing complexity are used to demonstrate the advantages 261 of the sensitivity-informed methodology: (1) the Dahuofang reservoir, and (2) the 262 263 inter-basin multi-reservoir system in Liaoning province, China. The inter-basin multi-reservoir system test case is a more complex ROS problem with Dahuofang, 264 Guanyinge and Shenwo reservoirs. In the two ROS problems, the reference sets were 265 obtained from all the Pareto optimal solutions across a total of 10 random seed trials, 266 each of which was run for a maximum number of function evaluations (NFE) of 267 500,000. Additionally, the industrial and agricultural water demands in the future 268 269 planning year, i.e., 2030, and the historical inflow from 1956 to 2006 were used to optimize reservoir operation and meet future expected water demands in the two case 270 studies. 271

272 4.1 Dahuofang reservoir

The Dahuofang reservoir is located in the main stream of Hun River, in Liaoning province, Northeast China. The Dahuofang reservoir basin drains an area of 5437km², and within the basin the total length of Hun River is approximately 169km. The main purposes of the Dahuofang reservoir are industrial water supply and agricultural water supply to central cities in Liaoning province. The reservoir characteristics and yearly average inflow are illustrated in Table 1.

The Dahuofang ROS problem is formulated as follows: the objectives are minimization of industrial shortage index and minimization of agricultural shortage index as described in Equation (1); the decision variables include storage volumes on the industrial and agricultural curves. For the industrial curve, a year is divided into

283 24 time periods (with ten days as scheduling horizon from April to September, and a 284 month as scheduling horizon in the remaining months). Thus there are twenty-four 285 decision variables for industrial water supply. The agricultural water supply occurs 286 only in the periods from the second ten-day of April to the first ten-day of September, 287 thus there are fifteen decision variables for agricultural water supply. In total, there 288 are thirty-nine decision variables.

289 4.2 Inter-basin multi-reservoir system

As shown in Fig. 3, Dahuofang, Guanyinge and Shenwo reservoirs compose the
inter-basin multi-reservoir system in Liaoning province, China.

Liaoning province in China covers an area of 1.46×10^5 km² with an extremely 292 uneven distribution of rainfall in space. The average amount of annual precipitation 293 294 decreases from 1100 mm in east to 600 mm in west (WMR-PRC, 2008). However, the population, industries, and agricultural areas mainly concentrate in the western parts. 295 Therefore, it is critical to develop the best water supply rules for the inter-basin 296 297 multi-reservoir system to decrease the risk of water shortages caused by the mismatch of water supplies and water demands in both water deficit regions and water surplus 298 regions. Developing inter-basin multi-reservoir water supply operation rules has been 299 promoted as a long-term strategy for Liaoning province to meet the increasing water 300 demands in water shortage areas. In the inter-basin multi-reservoir system of Liaoning 301 province, the abundant water in Dahuofang, Guanyinge and Shenwo reservoirs is 302 303 diverted downstream to meet the water demands in water shortage areas, especially the region between Daliaohekou and Sanhekou hydrological stations. 304

The main purposes of the inter-basin multi-reservoir system are industrial water supply and agricultural water supply to eight cities (Shenyang, Fushun, Anshan, Liaoyang, Panjin, Yingkou, Benxi and Dalian) of Liaoning province, and environmental water demands need to be satisfied fully. The characteristics of each reservoir in the inter-basin multi-reservoir system are illustrated in Table 2.

The flood season runs from July to September, during which the inflow takes up a large part of the annual inflow. The active storage capacities of Dahuofang and Shenwo reservoirs reduce significantly during flood season for the flood control.

313 The inter-basin multi-reservoir operation system problem is formulated as follows: the objectives are minimization of industrial shortage index and minimization of 314 agricultural shortage index as described in Equation (1). Regarding Shenwo reservoir, 315 316 which has the same water supply operation rule curve features as Dahuofang reservoir, the decision variables include storage volumes on the industrial and agricultural 317 curves and there are thirty-nine decision variables. Regarding Guanyinge reservoir, 318 the decision variables include storage volumes on the industrial curve and water 319 transferring curve due to the requirement of exporting water from Guanyinge 320 321 reservoir to Shenwo reservoir in the inter-basin multi-reservoir system, which is similar to the water supply operation rule curve for industrial water demand, and there 322 are forty-eight decision variables. Therefore, the inter-basin multi-reservoir system 323 has six rule curves and $39 \times 2 + 48 = 126$ decision variables in total. 324

325

326 **5 Results and discussions**

327 **5.1 Dahuofang reservoir**

In the Dahuofang reservoir case study, a set of 2000 Latin Hypercube samples were used per decision variable yielding a total number of $2000 \times (39 + 2) =$ 82000 model simulations used to compute Sobol''s indices. Following the recommendations of Tang et al. (2007a, b) boot-strapping the Sobol'' indices showed that 2000 samples per decision variable were sufficient to attain stable rankings of global sensitivity.

334 The first-order indices representing the individual contributions of each variable to 335 the variance of the objectives are shown in blue in Fig. 4. The total-order indices representing individual and interactive impacts on the variance of the objectives are 336 represented by the total height of bars. Agr4_2 represents decision variable 337 338 responding to water storage volume on the agricultural curve at the second ten days of April and ind3 3 represents decision variable responding to water storage volume on 339 the industrial curve at the last ten days of March, and so on. Considering the shortage 340 341 index for the industrial water demand, the water storages at time periods ind1, ind2, ind3, ind10, ind11, and ind12, i.e., the water storages at time periods 1, 2, 3, 10, 11, 342 343 and 12 of water supply operation rule curves for industrial water demand are the most sensitive variables, accounting for almost 100% of the total variance. However, the 344 interactive effects from variables are not noticeable due to the characteristics of 345 industrial water supply and the influences of rules for industrial water demand. 346 Considering the agricultural shortage index, the water storages at time periods from 347 agr4-2 to agr5-3, i.e., the water storages at the first five time periods of water supply 348

operation rule curves for agricultural water demand are the most sensitive variables. This is explained by the characteristics of agricultural water supply and the influences of water supply operation rule curves for agricultural water demand, implying that the interactive effects from variables are noticeable because the agricultural water supply is limited in the whole year if the agricultural water supply in one time period is limited and the largest agricultural water demand occurs in the second and last ten days of May.

356 **5.1.1 Simplified problems**

357 Building on the sensitivity results shown in Fig. 4, one simplified version of the Dahuofang ROS problem is formulated: only 11-periods are considered for 358 optimization, i.e., time periods ind1, ind2, ind3, ind10, ind11, and ind12 for industrial 359 360 curve and agr4-2, agr4-3, agr5-1, agr5-2, and agr5-3 for agricultural curve based on a total-order Sobol''s index threshold of greater than 10%. The threshold is subjective 361 and its ease-of-satisfaction decreases with increasing numbers of parameters or 362 parameter interactions. In all of the results for the Sobol''s method, parameters 363 classified as the most sensitive contribute, on average, at least 10 percent of the 364 overall model variance (Tang et al., 2007a, b). The full search 39-period problem 365 serves as the performance baseline relative to the reduced complexity problem. 366

367

5.1.2 Pre-conditioned optimization

In this section, the pre-conditioning methodology is demonstrated using the 11-period simplification of the Dahuofang ROS test case from the prior section, while the insensitive decision variables are set randomly first and kept constant during the

371 solution of the simplified problem.

Using the sensitivity-informed methodology, the 11-period case was first solved 372 373 using *ɛ*-NSGAII with a maximum NFE of 2000, and the Pareto optimal solutions combined with the constant insensitive decision variables were then used as starting 374 points to start a complete new search with a maximum NFE of 498,000. The standard 375 search using *ɛ*-NSGAII was set to a maximum NFE of 500,000 so that the two 376 methods have the same NFE used for search. In this case, 10 random seed trials were 377 used given the computing resources available. The search traces in Fig. 5 show for all 378 379 three metrics (generational distance, additive epsilon indicator, and hypervolume) that the complexity-reduced case can reliably approximate their portions of the industrial 380 and agricultural water shortage tradeoff given their dramatically reduced search 381 382 periods. All three metrics show diminishing returns at the end of the reduced search periods. The pre-conditioning results are shown in Fig. 5 in red search traces 383 continuing from the blue reduced complexity search results. 384

Fig. 5 clearly highlight that the sensitivity-informed pre-condition problems dramatically enhance search efficiency in terms of the generational distance, additive epsilon indicator, and hypervolume metrics. Overall, sensitivity-informed problem decomposition and pre-conditioning yield strong efficiency gains and more reliable search (i.e., narrower band widths on search traces) for the Dahuofang ROS test case.

Fig. 6(a) shows Pareto fronts from a NFE of 3000, 5000 and 8000 in the evolution process of one random seed trial. In the case of the pre-conditioned search, the solutions from 3000, 5000 and 8000 evaluations are much better than the 393 corresponding solutions in the case of standard baseline search. The results show that 394 the Pareto approximate front of the pre-conditioned search is much wider than that of 395 the standard search, and clearly dominates that of the standard search in all the 396 regions across the entire objective space.

Fig. 6(b) shows the best and worst Pareto fronts from a NFE of 500,000 and 8000 397 in the evolution process of ten seed trials. In the case of the pre-conditioned search, 398 the best solutions from 500,000 evaluations are better than the corresponding 399 solutions in the case of standard baseline search. Although it is obvious that there are 400 401 not many differences between solutions obtained from pre-conditioned search and solutions from standard baseline search due to the complexity of the problem, the best 402 Pareto fronts from a NFE of 8000 in the case of the pre-condition search are 403 404 approximate the same as the best Pareto fronts from a NFE of 500,000 in the case of the standard baseline search. 405

Fig. 7 shows the computational savings for two thresholds of hypervolume values 406 407 0.80 and 0.85 in the evolution process of each seed trial. In both cases of the thresholds of hypervolume values 0.80 and 0.85, NFE of the pre-conditioned search is 408 less than standard baseline search for each seed. In the case of the threshold of 409 hypervolume value 0.80, the average NFEs of full search and pre-conditioned full 410 search are approximately 94,564 and 25,083 for one seed run respectively, and the 411 computation is saved by 73.48%. Although the NFE of Sobol's analysis is 82,000, the 412 413 average NFEs of pre-conditioned full search is approximately 25,083 + 82,000/10 = 33,283 for each seed run, and the computational saving is 64.80%. 414

Similarly, in the case of the threshold of hypervolume value 0.85, which is extremely difficult to achieve, the average NFEs of full search and pre-conditioned full search are approximately 214,049 and 105,060 for each seed run respectively, and the computation is saved by 50.92%. When the computation demand by Sobol''s analysis is considered, the computational saving is still 47.09%.

420 **5.2 Inter-basin multi-reservoir system**

421 **5.2.1 Sensitivity analysis**

Similar to the Dahuofang case study, a set of 2000 Latin Hypercube samples were used per decision variable yielding a total number of $2000 \times (126 + 2) = 256,000$ model simulations to compute Sobol''s indices in this case study.

425 The first-order and total-order indices for 126 decision variables are shown in Fig. 426 8. Similar to the results obtained from the Dahuofang ROS Problem in Fig. 4, the variance in the two objectives, i.e., industrial and agricultural shortage indices, are 427 largely controlled by the water storages at time periods from agr4-2 to agr5-3 of 428 429 Shenwo reservoir water supply operation rule curves for agricultural water demand, the water storages at time periods from agr4-2 to agr5-3 of Dahuofang reservoir water 430 431 supply operation rule curves for agricultural water demand, the water storages at time periods ind1, ind2, ind3, ind7-1, ind10, ind11, and ind12 of Dahuofang reservoir 432 water supply operation rule curves for industrial water demand based on a total-order 433 Sobol's index threshold of greater than 3%, which is subjective and its 434 ease-of-satisfaction decreases with increasing numbers of parameters or parameter 435 interactions. These 17 time periods are obvious candidates for decomposing the 436

original optimization problem and formulating a pre-conditioning problem. Therefore, the simplified problem is defined from the original design problem with the 109 intensive time periods removed, i.e., the insensitive decision variables are set randomly first and kept constant during the solution of the simplified problem. It should be noted that the increased interactions across sensitive time periods in this test case. These interactions verify that this problem represents a far more challenging search problem.

444 **5.2.2 Pre-conditioned optimization**

445 Using the sensitivity-informed methodology, the simplified problem was first solved using *ɛ*-NSGAII with a maximum NFE of 5000, and the Pareto optimal 446 447 solutions combined with the constant insensitive decision variables were then used as 448 starting points to start a complete new search with a maximum NFE of 495,000. The standard search using ε -NSGAII was set to a maximum NFE of 500,000 so that the 449 two methods have the same NFE used for search. In this case, 10 random seed trials 450 451 are used given the computing resources available. Similar to the results obtained from the Dahuofang ROS problem in Fig. 5, the search traces in Fig. 9 show all three 452 metrics (generational distance, additive epsilon indicator, and hypervolume) that 453 represent performance metrics for the inter-basin multi-reservoir water supply 454 operation system problem. Similarly, the pre-conditioning results are shown in Fig. 9 455 in red search traces continuing from the blue reduced complexity search results. It is 456 457 clear that the sensitivity-informed pre-condition problems enhance search efficiency in terms of the generational distance, additive epsilon indicator, and hypervolume 458

459 metrics. However, with the increase in problem complexity in comparison to the first 460 case study (i.e., the number of decision variables from 39 to 126), the search of ROS 461 optimization problem becomes more difficult, and so the metrics obtained from 462 pre-conditioned search are not improved greatly compared with the standard baseline 463 search and the pre-conditioning results shown in Fig. 9 are as good as the results 464 shown in Fig. 5.

Fig. 10(a) shows Pareto fronts from a NFE of 6000, 8000 and 10,000 in the 465 evolution process of one random seed trial. In the case of the pre-conditioned search, 466 467 the solutions from the three NFE snapshots are much better than those from standard baseline search. Similar to Fig. 6(a), the results show that the Pareto approximate 468 front of the pre-conditioned search is much wider than that of the standard search, and 469 470 clearly dominates that of the standard search in all the regions across the entire objective space. Additionally, in the case of the pre-conditioned search, the solutions 471 from 6000 evaluations are as good as those from 8000 evaluations and 10,000 472 473 evaluations. And they are much better than the solutions from the standard baseline search. It should be noted that the slow progress in the Pareto approximate fronts from 474 6000 to 10,000 evaluations reveals the difficulty of the inter-basin multi-reservoir 475 operation system problem. 476

Fig. 10(b) shows the best and worst Pareto fronts from a NFE of 500,000 in the evolution process of ten seeds trials. Although it is obvious that the best Pareto approximate front of the pre-conditioned is as good as that of the standard search in all the regions across the entire objective space approximately, the Pareto solutions

from 10 trials of the pre-conditioned search have significantly reduced variation, 481 indicating a more reliable performance of the pre-conditioned method. In other words, 482 483 the results show that the Pareto solution from one random seed trial of the pre-conditioned search is as good as the best solution from ten random seed trials of 484 485 the standard search. That is to say, in the case of the pre-conditioned search, one random seed trial with a NFE of 500,000 is sufficient to obtain the best set of Pareto 486 solutions, however, in the case of the standard search, ten seed trials with a total of 487 500,000 * 10 = 5,000,000 NFE are required to obtain the Pareto solutions. Note that 488 489 the NFE of Sobol"s analysis is 256,000, which is about half of the NFE of one random seed trial. Thus, an improvement in search reliability can significantly reduce 490 the computational demand for a complex search problem such as the multi-reservoir 491 492 case study, even when the computation required by sensitivity analysis is included.

493 **5.3 Discussions**

For a very large and computationally intensive ROS problem, the full search problem is likely to be difficult so that it could not be optimized sufficiently in practice. The simplified problems can be used to generate high quality pre-conditioning solutions and thus dramatically improve the computational tractability of complex problems. This, however, requires using suitable optimization algorithms like ε-NSGAII which are capable of overcoming the risks for pre-mature convergence when pre-conditioning search (Fu et al., 2012).

501 The methodology tested in this study aims to reduce the number of decision 502 variables through sensitivity-guided decomposition to form simplified problems. The

optimization results from the two ROS problems show the reduction in decision space 503 can make an impact on the reliability and efficiency of the search algorithm. For the 504 505 Dahuofang ROS problem, recall that the original optimization problem has 39 decision variables, and the simplified problem has 11 decision variables based on 506 507 Sobol"s analysis. In the case of the inter-basin multi-reservoir operation system, the original optimization problem has 126 decision variables, and the simplified problem 508 has a significantly reduced number of decision variables, i.e., 17. Searching in such 509 significantly reduced space formed by sensitive decision variables makes it much 510 511 easier to reach good solutions.

Although Sobol's global sensitivity analysis is computationally expensive, it 512 captures the important sensitive information between a large number of variables for 513 514 ROS models. This is critical for correctly screening insensitive decision variables and guiding the formulation of ROS optimization problems of reduced complexity (i.e., 515 fewer decision variables). For example, in the Dahuofang ROS problem, accounting 516 517 for the sensitive information, i.e., using total-order or first-order indices, result in a simplified problem for threshold of 10% as shown in Fig. 4. Compared with the 518 519 standard search, this sensitivity-informed problem decomposition dramatically reduces the computational demands required for attaining high quality approximations 520 of optimal ROS tradeoffs relationships between conflicting objectives, i.e., the best 521 Pareto fronts from a NFE of 8000 in the case of the pre-condition search are 522 523 approximately the same as the best Pareto front from a NFE of 500,000 in the case of the standard baseline search. 524

It should be noted that the sensitivity-informed problem decomposition framework 525 is completely independent of multi-objective optimization algorithms, that is, any 526 multi-objective algorithms could be embedded in the framework, including 527 AMALGAM (Vrugt and Robinson, 2007). When dealing with three or more 528 objectives, the formulation of the optimization problems with a significantly reduced 529 number of decision variables will dramatically reduce the computational demands 530 required to attain Pareto approximate solutions in a similar way to the two-objective 531 532 optimization case studies considered in this paper.

533

534 6 Conclusions

This study investigates the effectiveness of a sensitivity-informed optimization 535 536 method for the ROS multi-objective optimization problems. The method uses a global sensitivity analysis method to screen out insensitive decision variables and thus forms 537 simplified problems with a significantly reduced number of decision variables. The 538 simplified problems dramatically reduce the computational demands required to attain 539 Pareto approximate solutions, which themselves can then be used to pre-condition and 540 541 solve the original (i.e., full) optimization problem. This methodology has been tested on two case studies with different levels of complexity- the Dahuofang reservoir and 542 the inter-basin multi-reservoir system in Liaoning province, China. The results 543 obtained demonstrate the following: 544

545 1. The sensitivity-informed optimization problem decomposition dramatically
 546 increases both the computational efficiency and effectiveness of the optimization

547 process when compared to the conventional, full search approach. This is 548 demonstrated in both case studies for both MOEA efficiency (i.e., the NFE required to 549 attain high quality tradeoffs) and effectiveness (i.e., the quality approximations of 550 optimal ROS tradeoffs relationships between conflicting design objectives).

2. The Sobol''s method can be used to successfully identify important sensitive information between different decision variables in the ROS optimization problem and it is important to account for interactions between variables when formulating simplified problems.

555 Overall, this study illustrates the efficiency and effectiveness of the 556 sensitivity-informed method and the use of global sensitivity analysis to inform 557 problem decomposition. This method can be used for solving the complex 558 multi-objective optimization problems with a large number of decision variables, such 559 as optimal design of water distribution and urban drainage systems, distributed 560 hydrological model calibration, multi-reservoir optimal operation and many other 561 engineering optimization problems.

562

563 Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation Grants (2014M561231), and National Natural Science Foundation of China Grants (51320105010, 51279021, and 51409043).

567

569 **References**

- 570 Chang, J.X., Bai, T., Huang, Q., Yang, D.W. 2013. Optimization of water resources
 571 utilization by PSO-GA. Water Resources Management 27 (10), 3525-3540.
- 572 Draper, A.J., Lund, J.R. 2004. Optimal hedging and carryover storage value. Journal
- of Water Resources Planning and Management 130 (1), 83-87.
- Fu, G.T., Kapelan, Z., Reed, P. 2012. Reducing the complexity of multi-objective
 water distribution system optimization through global sensitivity analysis.
 Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management 138 (3), 196-207.
- 577 Ko, S.K., Oh, M.H., Fontane, D.G. 1997. Multiobjective analysis of 578 service-water-transmission systems. Journal of Water Resources Planning and 579 Management 132 (2), 78-83.
- 580 Kollat, J.B., Reed, P.M. 2006. Comparing state-of-the-art evolutionary multi-objective
- algorithms for long-term groundwater monitoring design. Advances in Water
 Resources 29, 792-807.
- Kollat, J.B., Reed, P.M. 2007. A computational scaling analysis of multiobjective
 evolutionary algorithms in long-term groundwater monitoring applications.
 Advances in Water Resources 30 (3), 408-419.
- Labadie, J.W. 2004. Optimal operation of multireservoir systems: state-of-the-art
 review. Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management 130 (2), 93-111.
- Liu, P., Guo, S.L., Xu, X.W., Chen, J.H. 2011a. Derivation of aggregation-based joint
- operating rule curves for cascade hydropower reservoirs. Water ResourcesManagement 25, 3177-3200.

591	Liu, P., Cai, X.M., Guo, S.L. 2011b. Deriving multiple near-optimal solutions to
592	deterministic reservoir operation problems. Water Resources Research 47,
593	W08506, doi:10.1029/2011WR010998.

- Mousavi, H., Ramamurthy, A.S. 2000. Optimal design of multi-reservoir systems for
 water supply. Advances in Water Resources 23, 613-624.
- 596 MWR-PRC (Ministry of Water Resources of PRC), 2008. China Water Resources
- 597 Bulletin. Beijing: China Water Resources and Hydropower Press, (in Chinese).
- 598 Nicklow, J., Reed, P.M., Savic, D., Dessalegne, T., Harrell, L., Chan-Hilton, A.,
- 599 Karamouz, M., Minsker, B., Ostfeld, A., Singh, A., Zechman, E. 2010. State of 600 the art for genetic algorithms and beyond in water resources planning and 601 management. Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management 136 (4),
- 602 412-432.
- Sadegh, M., Mahjouri, H., Kerachian, R. 2010. Optimal inter-basin water allocation
 using crisp and fuzzy shapely games. Water Resources Management 24,
 2291-2310.
- Shiau, J.-T. 2011. Analytical optimal hedging with explicit incorporation of reservoir
 release and carryover storage targets. Water Resources Research 47, W01515,
 doi:10.1029/2010WR009166.
- Shiau, J.-T., Lee, H.-C. 2005. Derivation of optimal hedging rules for a water-supply
 reservoir through compromise programming. Water Resources Management 19
- 611 (2), 111-132.
- 612 Shirangi, E., Kerachian, R., Bajestan, M.S. 2008. A simplified model for reservoir

614

operation considering the water quality issues: Application of the Young conflict resolution theory. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment 146, 77-89.

- Srinivasan, K., Philipose, M.C. 1998. Effect of hedging on over-year reservoir
 performance. Water Resources Management 12, 95-120.
- Suen, J.P., Eheart, J.W. 2006. Reservoir management to balance ecosystem and human
 needs: Incorporating the paradigm of the ecological flow regime. Water
 Resources Research 42, W03417, doi:10.1029/2005WR004314.
- Tang, Y., Reed, P. M., van Werkhoven, K., Wagener, T. 2007a. Advancing the
 identification and evaluation of distributed rainfall-runoff models using global
 sensitivity analysis. Water Resources Research 43, W06415,
 doi:10.1029/2006WR005813.
- Tang, Y., Reed, P. M., Wagener, T. 2006. How effective and efficient are
 multiobjective evolutionary algorithms at hydrologic model calibration?
 Hydrology and Earth System Sciences 10, 289-307.
- Tang, Y., Reed, P. M., Wagener, T., van Werkhoven, K. 2007b. Comparing sensitivity
 analysis methods to advance lumped watershed model identification and
 evaluation. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences 11, 793-817.
- Tu, M.-Y., Hsu, N.-S., Tsai, F.T.-C., Yeh, W.W.-G. 2008. Optimization of hedging
 rules for reservoir operations. Journal of Water Resources Planning and
 Management 134 (1), 3-13.
- Tu, M.-Y., Hsu, N.-S., Yeh, W.W.-G. 2003. Optimization of reservoir management and
 operation with hedging rules. Journal of Water Resources Planning and

- 635 Management 129 (2), 86-97.
- 636 Veldhuizen, D.A.V., Lamont, G.B. 1998. Evolutionary Computation and Convergence
- to a Pareto Front. In: John, R., Koza, editors. Late Breaking Papers at the Genetic
 Programming Conference; p. 221-228.
- Vrugt, J.A., Robinson, B.A. 2007. Improved evolutionary optimization from
 genetically adaptive multimethod search. Proceedings of the National Academy
 of Sciences of the United States of America 104, 708-711.
- Zhang, C., Chu, J.G., Fu, G.T. 2013a. Sobol''s sensitivity analysis for a distributed
 hydrological model of Yichun River Basin, China. Journal of Hydrology, 480,
 58-68.
- Zhang, H.F., Zhou, J.Z., Fang, N., Zhang, R., Zhang, Y.C. 2013b. An efficient
 multi-objective adaptive differential evolution with chaotic neuron network and
 its application on long-term hydropower operation with considering ecological
 environment problem. Electrical Power and Energy Systems 45 (1), 60-70.
- Zhao, T.T.G., Zhao, J.S., Yang, D.W. 2014. Improved dynamic programming for
 hydropower reservoir operation. Journal of Water Resources Planning and
 Management 140 (3), 365-374.
- Zitzler, E., Thiele, L. 1998. Multiobjective optimization using evolutionary
 algorithms-a comparative case study. In: Eiben, A., Back, T., Schoenauer, M.,
 Schwefel, H.-P., editors. Parallel problem solving from nature (PPSN V). Lecture
 notes in computer science. Berlin, Amsterdam, the Netherlands: Springer-Verlag;
 p. 292-301.

657	Zitzler, E., Thiele, L., Laumanns, M., Fonseca, C.M., Fonseca, V.G. 2003.
658	Performance assessment of multiobjective optimizers: An analysis and review.
659	IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation 7 (2), 117-132.
660	
661	

Table 1 Reservoir characteristics and yearly average inflow (10^8 m^3)

Reservoir	Minimum	Utilizable	Flood control	Yearly average
name	capacity	capacity	capacity	inflow
Dahuofang	1.34	14.30	10.00	15.70

Decement	Active stor	Role in water supply	
Keservoir	Flood season	Non-flood season	project
Dahuofang	10.00	14.30	Supplying water
Guanyinge	14.20	14.20	Supplying water and exporting water to Shenwo
Shenwo	2.14	5.43	Supplying water and importing water from Guanyinge

665Table 2 Characteristics of each reservoir in the inter-basin multi-reservoir system

668 List of Figure Captions

- 669 **Fig. 1** Reservoir operational rule curves
- 670 **Fig. 2** Flowchart of the sensitivity-informed methodology
- 671 **Fig. 3** Layout of the inter-basin multi-reservoir system
- 672 **Fig. 4** First-order and total-order indices for the Dahuofang ROS problem regarding
- (a) industrial shortage index and (b) agricultural shortage index. The x-axis labels
- 674 represent decision variables (water storage volumes on the industrial and agricultural
- 675 curves)
- Fig. 5 Performance metrics for the Dahuofang ROS problem (a) Generational
 Distance; (b) Additive Epsilon Indicator; (c) Hypervolume
- 678 Fig. 6 Pareto fronts derived from pre-conditioned and standard full searches for the
- 679 Dahuofang ROS problem. (a) Sample Pareto fronts with different numbers of function
- evaluations for one random seed trial. (b) The best and worst Pareto fronts of ten seedtrials.
- **Fig. 7** Computational savings for two hypervolume values (a) hypervolume =
- 683 0.80; (b) *hypervolume* = 0.85
- **Fig. 8** First-order and total-order indices for the inter-basin multi-reservoir operation
- 685 problem regarding industrial shortage index and agricultural shortage index. The
- 686 x-axis labels represent decision variables (water storage volumes on the industrial,
- agricultural and water transferring curves)
- **Fig. 9** Performance metrics for the inter-basin multi-reservoir water supply operation
- 689 problem (a) Generation Distance; (b) Additive Epsilon Indicator; (c) Hypervolume

Fig. 10 Pareto fronts derived from pre-conditioned and standard full searches for the
inter-basin multi-reservoir operation problem. (a) Sample Pareto fronts with different
numbers of function evaluations for one random seed trial. (b) The best and worst
Pareto fronts of ten seed trials.