

topic of research interest. Improved understanding of the relationships between human decision-making (as it pertains to water systems) and the condition of the water system itself may lead to better prediction, and thus management, of water systems.

This joint Hydrology and Earth System Sciences/Earth System Dynamics special issue, "Predictions under change: water, earth, and biota in the Anthropocene," contains a number of sociohydrology-focused studies, which can be taken to represent the current state of this emerging field. Here we take the opportunity to use these studies as a basis for a synthesis of the emerging questions and challenges that the research community faces as it grapples with the nature and practice of sociohydrology. Three major themes emerge for further consideration: (i) the state of our understanding of the coupling between human society and hydrology, (ii) the strengths and new opportunities in the suite of research approaches used within sociohydrology, and (iii) the normative and ethical questions that arise in the context of sociohydrologic research, which are often neglected in research on the hydrology of natural systems.

2 State of understanding of sociohydrology: water – society dynamics

Sociohydrology is conceptualized as the study of how water systems and human society develop in tandem. This conceptualization is conditioned on there being connections, coupling and feedback between elements of the water cycle and elements of the society being studied. In this sense, sociohydrology isolates a suite of specific processes from within a broader social–ecological system (SES) comprising the resources, users, and governance subsystems relevant to a given society (Ostrom, 2009). An SES is a type of complex system, which can be differentiated from other dynamical systems by the presence of multiple interacting components, local connections and nonlinear relationships between the components (Levin, 1998; Solé and Bascompte, 2006). As a consequence of these features, complex systems can display a wide variety of dynamical behaviors, including thresholds, self organization, chaos, multi-stability, and path dependence (i.e. a dependence on history). Complex systems

3321

Complexity is a complex issue

pose major challenges to modeling, inference and analysis in general. Sociohydrology therefore faces the challenge of identifying the pathways of influence between water and social responses within a broader and more complex web of cause-and-effect represented by a society and its dependence on and regulation of the use of natural resources.

Isolating the sociohydrologic components of an SES is non-trivial since water resources affect many of the other resources within the SES. Thus, a sociohydrologic relationship may arise directly – for example a direct relationship between reduced wellbeing and water scarcity (Srinivasan, 2015) – or indirectly, for example a relationship between economic output from a fishery and water quality. Fundamentally, the presence of multiple pathways for coupling between water and society, and for these pathways to occur indirectly and to be influenced by other components of the system, suggests the study of sociohydrology is prototypical of complex systems science. Typical of complex systems, sociohydrologic systems are likely to exhibit nonlinear dynamics and thresholds (Liu et al., 2007) with scale mismatches between the two systems (Cumming et al., 2006). Examples of these effects as revealed by the studies presented in the Special Issue are outlined below. Methodologically, framing sociohydrology as an SES suggests that techniques used in the SES and coupled natural-human systems research fields should advance sociohydrology (see Sect. 3).

In an idealized sense, sociohydrology aims to understand the co-evolution of human and water systems and thus posits that a two-way coupling exists between these systems. Individual case studies, however, exhibit tremendous variability in terms of strength of the relationships between water and society, in the pertinent response timescales, and in one-way vs. two-way coupling. Two-way coupling becomes evident only when an observation window is long enough to reveal slow changes in either system, and when the influence of water on society, or vice-versa, is sufficiently direct that it can be isolated as a driver of change. Because observations windows are often constrained, and because sociohydrologic dynamics are nested in a broader SES and can often be indirect, many specific examples are able to explore only the one-way influ-

3322

How can there be mismatches? Is that a normative term?

Why slow?

HESSD

12, 3319–3348, 2015

Moving sociohydrology forward: a synthesis across studies

T. J. Troy et al.

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



HESSD

12, 3319–3348, 2015

Moving sociohydrology forward: a synthesis across studies

T. J. Troy et al.

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



this is where some hydro comes in, the manipulation of aridity by human agency

water infrastructure (Liu et al., 2014). This basin's extreme aridity limited human settlements, and it is reasonable to hypothesize that this has also occurred in other arid regions of the world.

2.1.2 Two-directional coupling

Several studies explored two-way coupling: in Chennai, India (Srinivasan, 2015); Portland, Oregon in the US (Chang et al., 2014); the Murrumbidgee in eastern Australia (Elshafei et al., 2014; Kandasamy et al., 2014; van Emmerik et al., 2014); the Toolibin catchment in western Australia (Elshafei et al., 2014); and Saskatchewan in Canada (Gober and Wheeler, 2014). In the majority of these studies, the focus was on water scarcity due to human water demands, but some studies focused on the human-water systems coupling in the context of flooding (Di Baldassarre et al., 2013b; O'Connell and O'Donnell, 2014). Many of these examples conform to the notion of a sociohydrologic system that is embedded in a larger SES, resulting in an indirect coupling between water and society (Fig. 1c). Identifying the complete suite of interactions that constitute the pathways of influence between changes in water and changes in a social metric remains a significant challenge in these studies. For example, Chang et al. (2014) explored the feedback between water quality and house prices, and land use policy and water quality. Although there is likely to be a relationship between home prices and land use policy as well, which would allow the feedback loop to be "closed", this relationship is not yet identified, making it difficult to determine the complete set of relationships between land use, house prices and water quality.

Two-way coupling is more evident in studies that outline the history of human-water systems, illustrating how the systems changed together over time. A common inference drawn from these studies is that two-way coupling between the human and water systems has tended to strengthen over time as human water demands grew (analogous to the nonlinear dynamics situation in which a forward process becomes progressively inhibited by a strengthening negative feedback). For example, in the Tarim River, the arid hydroclimatology of the basin initially limited human settlement. People could only settle

3325

what does it say about the field that three studies focus on the M-catchment?

Use stuff from accun 4410

Do we go for statistical relations or mechanistic relations?

along oases and the river; the mean annual precipitation of 50–100 mm yr⁻¹ was insufficient to support human development elsewhere in the basin. During the 19th and 20th centuries, irrigated agriculture and its associated infrastructure allowed human activities to affect the hydrologic regime, with the infrastructure releasing the water resources constraints previously placed on human settlement. Growing population and water demands eventually outpaced the water availability, leading to environmental degradation and a re-prioritization of water resources (Liu et al., 2014), in a situation where water is strongly managed by people and where water limitations strongly limit human activity in the region. Other basins displayed similar transitions. In the Murrumbidgee in Australia during the first half of the 20th century, human water appropriation for irrigation was the dominant dynamic. Only when water stress and environmental degradation reached an unacceptable threshold were legislative and social norms applied to modulate water use, resulting in a tightly coupled sociohydrologic system (Kandasamy et al., 2014). Notably, the effect of changing hydrology on social systems in these studies emerged on decadal to century timescales (Kandasamy et al., 2014), and frequently only manifested in social change in recent years. Typically, these social changes occurred in response to some form of social "sensitivity" to the condition of the water system. This sensitivity takes the form of a normative shift towards increased societal valuation of the environment and the water system, typically in response to the experience of degradation or scarcity.

Ribeiro Neto et al. (2014) laid out a hypothesized sequence of coupled human-water system development. First human water demands exceed the locally available water supply, leading to infrastructure development to stabilize and/or enhance the local supply. Eventually, the water demands grow beyond the infrastructure capacity, leading to new infrastructure that captures the non-local supplies. They point out that this leads to sociohydrologic system transitions. This hypothesized sequence allows for dynamic connectivity, with the system switching between one-way and two-way feedbacks depending on the balance between supply and demand. The rate at which sensitivity

3326

It is often about why things, not about quantity.

we should be careful with defining pre-structured scenarios, as this will provide the input-output problem

which are?

Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper

HESSD
12, 3319–3348, 2015

Moving sociohydrology forward: a synthesis across studies

T. J. Troy et al.

Title Page
Abstract Introduction
Conclusions References
Tables Figures

◀ ▶
◀ ▶
Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version
Interactive Discussion

HESSD
12, 3319–3348, 2015

Moving sociohydrology forward: a synthesis across studies

T. J. Troy et al.

Title Page
Abstract Introduction
Conclusions References
Tables Figures

◀ ▶
◀ ▶
Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version
Interactive Discussion

there are only two way feedbacks → see that it is a matter of bump side to study them

develops, and the extent to which social uses of water respond to this sensitivity, is strongly socially mediated.

2.1.3 Dynamic connectivity

Dynamic connectivity between human and water systems was evident in several of the studies in the special issue. Gober and Wheeler (2014) showed that hydrology is continually modified by human activity, with these modifications increasing as populations grow and water resources become fully allocated. Not until drought revealed the extent of water scarcity crisis was a feedback to decision-making about water activated. Under drought crisis conditions, decision-makers were willing to explore changes to the infrastructure and governance used to manage the water resources. Similarly, Di Baldassarre et al. (2013a) showed that flooding significantly reduced the floodplain population density for some years afterwards; however with the fading memory of the flood, the population did eventually return to a state of growth in the floodplain. In this case, there was an immediate feedback (population decline) whose importance diminished over time. O'Connell and O'Donnell (2014) indirectly examined the effects of this intermittency in floodplains, exploring how flood-rich (when water → society feedbacks are stronger) and flood-poor periods (when these feedbacks are eroded) might affect the kinds of decisions made about flood management. Intermittency in coupling appears to arise when thresholds are crossed: thresholds related to changing community values about the environment (Elshafei et al., 2014), water scarcity (Gober and Wheeler, 2014), infrastructure development (Liu et al., 2014), or acute environmental damage (Di Baldassarre et al., 2013a). This intermittency could be viewed as another manifestation of social sensitivity to the state of the water system – but in this case induced by the experience of extreme events, and often non-stationary, decreasing in strength and importance over time (Di Baldassarre et al., 2013b).

3327

That remains to be seen: we only see the outcomes as negotiators are as complex

as hydrology. We should not become humanity scholars, but we should not assume how society works either.

2.2 What comprises a sociohydrologic system?

The definition of sociohydrology as the study of a two-way coupling between human and water systems is clearly challenged by the observation that sociohydrologic systems are embedded in a broader SES, subject to time and spatial scale separations and to intermittency in the very existence of a two-way coupling. With this background, a case can be made that studies considering exogenous effects of people on hydrologic systems, without a consideration of feedback mechanisms, should form part of the scope of sociohydrologic research – and indeed, important insights about the nature of human-imposed change on water systems can be derived from such studies. Clearly, however, sociohydrology cannot be limited to studies within such a “natural systems” paradigm.

It would be equally problematic, however, to confine sociohydrologic studies to consideration of situations where consistent, strong two-way human–water feedbacks arise. Based on the studies in the special issue, such “tight coupling” is a special case – arising only in systems with very simple water and social infrastructure – such as irrigated subsistence agriculture in a water-limited region – or in situations where some form of water crisis (or other threshold) is reached. Below such a threshold, most sociohydrologic systems appear to be strongly one-way in terms of human influence on hydrology, with little or weak coupling from water to human systems. Thresholds may be stochastically determined – e.g. by drought (Gober and Wheeler, 2014) or by flooding (Di Baldassarre et al., 2013b). Moreover, it is not inevitable that thresholds exist – they are presumably a function of the socio-ecological system that is being considered. For example, the Aral Sea retreat that began under the Soviet Union and has since continued imposes significant costs on the communities and environments near the former shoreline, yet this environmental catastrophe has not been sufficient to alter patterns of water use (Micklin, 2007). The fact that no feedback on the water use mechanisms has occurred reflects the relative political weight given to the environment and local population vs. the maintenance of upstream irrigated agriculture. Social responses to

3328

why? why? I would not use the material we have so far to reach this conclusion

The Aral Sea was sacrificed by the Soviets

not showing, assuming

Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper

HESSD

12, 3319–3348, 2015

Moving sociohydrology forward: a synthesis across studies

T. J. Troy et al.

Title Page	
Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References
Tables	Figures
◀	▶
◀	▶
Back	Close
Full Screen / Esc	
Printer-friendly Version	
Interactive Discussion	



HESSD

12, 3319–3348, 2015

Moving sociohydrology forward: a synthesis across studies

T. J. Troy et al.

Title Page	
Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References
Tables	Figures
◀	▶
◀	▶
Back	Close
Full Screen / Esc	
Printer-friendly Version	
Interactive Discussion	



disproportionally affect poorer, vulnerable populations. Others may focus on preserving ecological flows and fail to recognize that dry season flows for agriculture are the biggest constraint. Many researchers do not openly acknowledge the implications of the choice of model variables and the value judgements implicit in them.

5 4.1.2 Validity and legitimacy of research

Most hydrologic research is designed to incorporate data and assumptions in forms that scientists recognize – stream gage data, groundwater level data from water level sensors, hydro-climatic data from weather stations etc. But often sociohydrologic knowledge is distributed. Scientific studies have no way of incorporating sometimes profound knowledge of the water system that "lay" people have (Lane, 2014). Particularly in data scarce regions, modellers often prefer to use simplistic assumptions that turn out to be incorrect, rather than risk relying on unconventional sources of information.

same goes for humanitarian

To address these concerns, Gober and Wheeler (2014) suggest that sociohydrology can play a role in considering community values and local knowledge in scientific studies by eliciting the views of stakeholders. Lane (2014) recommends calling on "non-certified" experts; local resources users who have tremendous understanding of the system who could validate and contribute to such assumptions arguing that such "co-production" of knowledge between researchers and society could result in more robust hydrologic prediction. Several previous studies have highlighted how such collaborative modelling exercises between stakeholder communities and researchers could be undertaken.

4.2 Researchers as impartial observers

When researchers study the historical dynamics of sociohydrologic systems over long time scales of hundred of years (Pande and Ertsen, 2014; Ertsen et al., 2014; Kandasamy et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2014; Di Baldassarre et al., 2013a), the assumption of an impartial observer is probably a reasonable one. Here, the research cannot influ-

Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper

Moving sociohydrology forward: a synthesis across studies

T. J. Troy et al.

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



ence the social outcomes observed and so the concerns are more pedantic. Several papers have used stylized or toy models to study the dynamics of sociohydrologic systems. In the majority of these modelling studies norms are not explicitly discussed; rather they are implicit in model equations and derived from secondary literature. Only a few studies have attempted to empirically investigate social norms using primary data or textual analysis of historical or linguistic records.

Indeed — They enter the science by assumptions on human agency

4.2.1 Values as model feedbacks

In these studies, social norms express how societies adapt themselves to environmental change. Di Baldassarre (2013a) examine sociohydrologic responses to flood over long periods of time. In their sociohydrological model of flooding, social norms are expressed through the "awareness" variable. The memory of devastation gets imprinted in collective social memory and prevents societies from settling close to the river in the aftermath of a flood. As the memory fades, the norms weaken and societies once again settle closer to the river.

which is problematic, as it was "independent"

Several studies have highlighted how changing values in favor of the environment have resulted in water being reallocated from human uses to restore ecological flows. In fact, hydrologic flows in these systems could not be predicted without understanding how preferences have changed. Kandasamy et al. (2014) analyze the dynamics of the Murrumbidgee over a 100 year time period. They find that social values and norms have shifted in favour of preserving the environment. This has resulted in reductions in anthropogenic water abstractions and more water being reallocated to the environment. Liu et al. (2014) report similar dynamics in the Tarim River Basin in China, where they refer to changing norms as a balancing or restorative force. Elshafei et al. (2014) propose a general model to capture the dynamics in such systems using a "community sensitivity state variable", which captures the perceived level of threat to a community's quality of life. The community sensitivity variable reflects social norms about the environment.

→ This is exactly what needs to be carefully done: try several to see effects, do not assume 1 value

Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper

Moving sociohydrology forward: a synthesis across studies

T. J. Troy et al.

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



- Earth Syst. Sci., 18, 2141–2166, doi:10.5194/hess-18-2141-2014, 2014. 3325, 3327, 3334, 3338
- 5 Ertsen, M. W., Murphy, J. T., Purdue, L. E., and Zhu, T.: A journey of a thousand miles begins with one small step – human agency, hydrological processes and time in socio-hydrology, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 18, 1369–1382, doi:10.5194/hess-18-1369-2014, 2014. 3330, 3335, 3337, 3341
- Falkenmark, M. and Chapman, T.: *Comparative Hydrology: An Ecological Approach to Land and Water Resources*, The UNESCO Press, Paris, 1989. 3331
- 10 French, K. D., Duffy, C. J., and Bhatt, G.: The hydroarchaeological method: a case study at the Maya site of Palenque, *Lat. Am. Antiq.*, 23, 29–50, 2012. 3330
- Gober, P. and Wheeler, H. S.: Socio-hydrology and the science–policy interface: a case study of the Saskatchewan River basin, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 18, 1413–1422, doi:10.5194/hess-18-1413-2014, 2014. 3325, 3327, 3328, 3337
- 15 Gupta, H. V., Perrin, C., Blöschl, G., Montanari, A., Kumar, R., Clark, M., and Andréassian, V.: Large-sample hydrology: a need to balance depth with breadth, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 18, 463–477, doi:10.5194/hess-18-463-2014, 2014. 3332
- Kandasamy, J., Sountharajah, D., Sivabalan, P., Chanan, A., Vigneswaran, S., and Sivapalan, M.: Socio-hydrologic drivers of the pendulum swing between agricultural development and environmental health: a case study from Murrumbidgee River basin, Australia, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 18, 1027–1041, doi:10.5194/hess-18-1027-2014, 2014. 3325, 3326, 3329, 3332, 3337, 3338
- 20 Kelly, R. A., Jakeman, A. J., Barreteau, O., Borsuk, M. E., ElSawah, S., Hamilton, S. H., Henriksen, H. J., Kuikka, S., Maier, H. R., Rizzoli, A. E., van Delden, H., and Voinov, A. A.: Selecting among five common modelling approaches for integrated environmental assessment and management, *Environ. Modell. Softw.*, 47, 159–181, 2013. 3334
- 25 Konar, M., Hussein, Z., Hanasaki, N., Mauzerall, D. L., and Rodriguez-Iturbe, I.: Virtual water trade flows and savings under climate change, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 17, 3219–3234, doi:10.5194/hess-17-3219-2013, 2013. 3324
- Kumar, P.: Typology of hydrologic predictability, *Water Resour. Res.*, 47, W00H05, doi:10.1029/2010WR009769, 2011. 3323, 3348
- 30 Kumm, M., Gerten, D., Heinke, J., Konzmann, M., and Varis, O.: Climate-driven interannual variability of water scarcity in food production potential: a global analysis, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 18, 447–461, doi:10.5194/hess-18-447-2014, 2014. 3334

3343

- Lane, S. N.: Acting, predicting and intervening in a socio-hydrological world, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 18, 927–952, doi:10.5194/hess-18-927-2014, 2014. 3335, 3336, 3337
- Levin, S. A.: Ecosystems and the biosphere as complex adaptive systems, *Ecosystems*, 1, 431–436, 1998. 3321
- 5 Liu, J., Dietz, T., Carpenter, S. R., Alberti, M., Folke, C., Moran, E., Pell, A. N., Deadman, P., Kratz, T., Lubchenco, J., Ostrom, E., Ouyang, Z., Provencher, W., Redman, C. L., Schneider, S. H., and Taylor, W. W.: Complexity of coupled human and natural systems, *Science*, 317, 1513–1516, 2007. 3322
- Liu, Y., Tian, F., Hu, H., and Sivapalan, M.: Socio-hydrologic perspectives of the co-evolution of humans and water in the Tarim River basin, Western China: the Taiji–Tire model, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 18, 1289–1303, doi:10.5194/hess-18-1289-2014, 2014. 3325, 3326, 3327, 3337, 3338
- 10 Micklin, P.: The Aral sea disaster, *Annu. Rev. Earth Pl. Sc.*, 35, 47–72, 2007. 3328
- Muller, M.: Fit for purpose: taking integrated water resource management back to basics, *Irrig. Drain. Syst.*, 24, 161–175, 2010. 3336
- 15 Muñoz-Villiers, L. and McDonnell, J. J.: Land use change effects on runoff generation in a humid tropical montane cloud forest region, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 17, 3543–3560, doi:10.5194/hess-17-3543-2013, 2013. 3324
- O’Connell, P. E. and O’Donnell, G.: Towards modelling flood protection investment as a coupled human and natural system, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 18, 155–171, doi:10.5194/hess-18-155-2014, 2014. 3325, 3327
- 20 Ostrom, E.: A general framework for analyzing sustainability of social–ecological systems, *Science*, 325, 419–422, 2009. 3321
- Pande, S. and Ertsen, M.: Endogenous change: on cooperation and water availability in two ancient societies, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 18, 1745–1760, doi:10.5194/hess-18-1745-2014, 2014. 3337
- 25 Parker, G.: Crisis and catastrophe: the global crisis of the seventeenth century reconsidered, *Am. Hist. Rev.*, 113, 1053–1079, 2008. 3330
- Ribeiro Neto, A., Scott, C. A., Lima, E. A., Montenegro, S. M. G. L., and Cirilo, J. A.: Infrastructure sufficiency in meeting water demand under climate-induced socio-hydrological transition in the urbanizing Capibaribe River basin – Brazil, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 18, 3449–3459, doi:10.5194/hess-18-3449-2014, 2014. 3326
- 30

3344

HESSD

12, 3319–3348, 2015

Moving
sociohydrology
forward: a synthesis
across studies

T. J. Troy et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



HESSD

12, 3319–3348, 2015

Moving
sociohydrology
forward: a synthesis
across studies

T. J. Troy et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



