

This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Hydrology and Earth System Sciences (HESS). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in HESS if available.

Climate response to Amazon forest replacement by heterogeneous crop cover

A. M. Badger¹ and P. A. Dirmeyer^{1,2}

¹George Mason University, Fairfax, Virginia, USA ²Center for Ocean-Land-Atmosphere Studies, Fairfax, Virginia, USA

Received: 13 December 2014 - Accepted: 9 January 2015 - Published: 22 January 2015

Correspondence to: A. M. Badger (abadger@gmu.edu)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper

879

Abstract

Previous modeling studies with atmospheric general circulation models and basic land surface schemes to balance energy and water budgets have shown that by removing the natural vegetation over the Amazon, the region's climate becomes warmer and drier. In this study we use a fully coupled Earth System Model and replace tropical

- forests by a distribution of six common tropical crops with variable planting dates, physiological parameters and irrigation. There is still general agreement with previous studies as areal averages show a warmer (+1.4 K) and drier (-0.35 mm day⁻¹) climate. Using an interactive crop model with a realistic crop distribution shows that regions
- of vegetation change experience different responses dependent upon the initial tree coverage and whether the replacement vegetation is irrigated, with seasonal changes synchronized to the cropping season. Areas with initial tree coverage greater than 80 % show an increase in coupling with atmosphere after deforestation, suggesting land use change could heighten sensitivity to climate anomalies, while irrigation acts to dampen
- ⁵ coupling with atmosphere.

1 Introduction

1.1 Background information

The future of tropical forests is at risk in a warmer, more populous 21st-century world (Bonan, 2008a). Forests cover approximately 42 million km² in tropical, temperate and boreal regions, which is approximately 30 % of the Earth's land surface. Land-use change (LUC) occurs on local scales, with real world social and economic benefits, but can potentially cause ecological degradation across local, regional, and global scales (Foley et al., 2005). A large portion of the Earth's surface has already been modified for urban and industrial development, agriculture, and pastureland (Snyder, 2010). World-

²⁵ wide changes to forests, woodlands, grasslands and wetlands are being driven by the

need to provide food, fiber, water, and shelter (Foley et al., 2005). LUC has the potential to have a significant impact on land–atmosphere interactions and modify local climate conditions (e.g., Sun and Wang, 2011).

Loss of natural forests worldwide in the tropics during the 1990s was as high as 152 000 km² yr⁻¹, and Amazonian forests were cleared at a rate of approximately 25 000 km² yr⁻¹ (Bonan, 2008a). By 1991, 426 000 km² of the Amazon forest had already been removed; approximately 10.5 % of the original forest area (Costa and Foley, 2000). Nepstad et al. (2008) notes that trends in Amazon economies, forests and climate could lead to the replacement or severe degradation of more than half of the

- closed-canopy forests of the Amazon Basin by the year 2030, even without including the impacts of fire or global warming. Snyder et al. (2004) acknowledges that widescale vegetation removal is unrealistic for most biomes with the tropical forests being the lone exception.
- It is clear that LUC in the Amazon region is a danger that can have drastic conse-¹⁵ quences because of the role forests have in mediating the climate. Forests influence the climate through exchanges of energy, water, carbon dioxide, and other chemical species with the atmosphere (Bonan, 2008a). LUC has played a role in changing the global carbon cycle and, possibly, the global climate (Foley et al., 2005). It affects climate conditions through both biogeochemical and biogeophysical processes (Davin ²⁰ and de Noblet-Ducoudré, 2010).

One of the most important roles that forests have in the climate system is their function in the carbon cycle. Forests sequester large amounts of carbon, storing approximately 45% of all terrestrial carbon and contributing approximately 50% of terrestrial net primary production (Bonan, 2008a). Bonan (2008a) also notes that carbon

²⁵ uptake by forests contributed to a residual 2.6 PgCyr⁻¹ terrestrial carbon sink during the 1990s, offsetting approximately 33 % of anthropogenic carbon emissions from fossil fuels and LUC; with deforestation releasing 1.6 PgCyr⁻¹ during the 1990s. The trees of the Amazon contain 90–140 billion t of carbon, equivalent to approximately 9–14 decades of current global human-induced carbon emissions (Nepstad et al., 2008).

881

1.2 Design of previous modeling studies

Early deforestation studies used coarse resolution climate models that did not resolve the local features of deforestation, but may have given a reasonable representation of regional scale changes. More recent experiments tend to have increased resolution

- and duration, a feature to be expected as computational resources has increased. The increased resolution and the associated ability to resolve small-scale features is desired to represent better the local dynamics involved with deforestation. With increased length of integration, the capability to reach a new equilibrium climate is greatly enhanced, as well as obtaining greater confidence in the significance of results. Shorter
- simulations are likely missing some global features associated with Amazon deforestation that have not had a chance to develop in the model integration, particularly when ocean dynamics are not modeled.

A noticeable inconsistency among the simulations is the replacement vegetation used. The difference in using grassland, savanna, shrubs or bare soil as a substitute

for tropical forests is not known, although some inherent differences may arise. Only one simulation, Costa et al. (2007), used a crop as replacement vegetation. Agricultural land cover should be the most realistic replacement vegetation from a socioeconomic standpoint, and may have different impacts than the aforementioned unmanaged replacement vegetations.

1.3 Results from previous modeling studies

Previous studies have reported a change in annual surface temperature from -1 to +3 °C. Several studies note that the change in temperature is statistically significant (Dickinson and Henderson-Sellers, 1988; Henderson-Sellers et al., 1993; McGuffie et al., 1995; Nobre et al., 1991; Shukla et al., 1990; Snyder et al., 2004). Dickinson and

Henderson-Sellers (1988) go on to add that while surface air temperature increases by 1–3°C, the soil-surface temperature increased by 2–5°C. A common feature of previous studies is a decrease in precipitation, although they are of varying intensity. Decreases in annual precipitation are typically found to be significant (Costa and Foley, 2000; Hasler et al., 2009; Henderson-Sellers et al., 1993; McGuffie et al., 1995; Nobre et al., 1991, 2009; Shukla et al., 1990). Nobre et al. (2009)

- ⁵ points out a difference in precipitation change in simulations coupled with the ocean; the coupled model produced a rainfall reduction that is nearly 60% larger than was obtained by use of an AGCM uncoupled from the ocean. As previously noted, the effect of different replacement vegetation may also play a role. Costa et al. (2007) found that changes in precipitation for 25, 50 and 75% deforestation, respectively were -6.2,
- -11.6, and -15.7% for soybean land cover, which was significantly different than the +1.4, -0.8, and -3.9% changes for pasture.
 Evapotranspiration decrease is a common finding of Amazon deforestation studies (Costa and Foley, 2000; Dickinson and Henderson-Sellers, 1988; Henderson-Sellers
- et al., 1993; McGuffie et al., 1995; Nobre et al., 1991; Shukla et al., 1990; Snyder tet al., 2004). Costa and Foley (2000) found that the differences in evapotranspiration are statistically significant in all months. The decrease in transpiration of 53 % was much larger than the decrease in total evapotranspiration of 16 %; this indicates that evaporation from the surface can compensate for the drop in transpiration (Costa and Foley, 2000). Henderson-Sellers et al. (1993) noted that as the evaporation decreases, the near-surface specific humidity decreases. This result is of particular interest in the
- response of planetary boundary layer growth. Subsequent sections will describe the model of choice and associated simulations used in this study, along with a desctiption of tropical crops incorporated into the
- model. Results detailing the changes in temperature, precipitation, surface fluxes and ²⁵ modifications to the land–atmosphere coupling. The possible impacts and causes of these changes are discussed, as well as the role that irrigation plays in altering land– atmosphere coupling.

2 Methods

2.1 Model description

The model for this study is the Community Earth System Model (CESM) version 1.2.0 developed at the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR). CESM is a cou-

- pled model system for simulating the Earth's climate and is composed of separate models simulating the Earth's atmosphere, ocean, land, land-ice and sea-ice (Vertenstein et al., 2013). Of the components available in CESM, the following were run in their default settings: Community Atmosphere Model (CAM4), the Parallel Ocean Program (POP2), the Community Ice CodE (CICE4), and the River Transport Model (RTM) (see model documentation for full details).
 - The Community Land Model 4.5 (CLM4.5) incorporates recent scientific advances in the understanding and representation of land surface process relevant to climate simulation (Oleson et al., 2013). CLM4.5 is a model developer's release that provides incremental improvements to CLM4.0 prior to the public release of CLM version 5. Land
- ¹⁵ surface heterogeneity in CLM4.5 is accomplished with a nested sub-grid hierarchy in which grid cells are comprised of multiple land units, soil columns, snow columns, and plant functional types (PFTs) (Oleson et al., 2013). The PFT level, which also includes bare ground, is intended to capture the biogeophysical and biogeochemical differences between broad categories of plants in terms of their functional characteristics. Fluxes
- to and from the surface are defined at the PFT level, as well as the vegetation state variables, such as vegetation temperature and canopy water storage.

Each PFT is characterized by parameters that differ in leaf and stem optical properties to determine the reflection, transmittance and absorption of solar radiation (Oleson et al., 2013). Each PFT also has a specific root distribution to allow for root uptake

²⁵ of water from the soil. Different PFTs have aerodynamic parameters that determine heat, moisture and momentum transfers, and photosynthetic parameters that determine stomatal resistance, photosynthesis and transpiration. These parameterizations are used to represent optimally the behaviors of each PFT. Discussion Paper

CLM4.5 includes a fully-prognostic treatment of the terrestrial carbon and nitrogen cycles (Oleson et al., 2013). The model is fully prognostic for all carbon and nitrogen state variables in the vegetation, litter, and soil organic matter. The seasonal timing of new vegetation growth and litterfall for each PFT is also prognostic, responding to

- soil and air temperature, soil water availability, and day length. PFTs are classified into three distinct phenological types that are represented by independent algorithms: an evergreen type that has some fraction of annual leaf growth displayed for longer than one year; a seasonal- deciduous type with a single growing season per year controlled mainly by temperature and day length; and a stress-deciduous type with the potential
 for multiple growing seasons per year, controlled by temperature and soil moisture
- o for multiple growing seasons per year, controlled by temperature and soil moisture conditions.

CLM's default list of PFTs includes an unmanaged crop, essentially treated as a second C3 grass PFT (Levis et al., 2012; Oleson et al., 2013). In CLM4.5, a crop model based on the AgroIBIS (Kucharik et al., 2000) crop phenology algorithm has been

- ¹⁵ added, consisting of three distinct phases. Phase 1 starts at planting and ends with leaf emergence; phase 2 continues from leaf emergence to the beginning of grain fill; and phase 3 starts from the beginning of grain fill and ends with physiological maturity and harvest.
- CLM4.5 introduces three new agricultural PFTs: corn (CLM's only C4 crop), soybean, and temperate cereals, i.e. spring wheat and winter wheat (Levis et al., 2012). Temperate cereals represent wheat, barley, and rye, assuming that these three crops have similar characteristics and can be treated as one PFT. The changing of several PFT parameter values following AgroIBIS further distinguishes corn (a C4 crop), soybean, and temperate cereals from the existing unmanaged crop. The most notable difference
- ²⁵ between C3 and C4 photosynthesis is that the C4 photosynthetic pathway allows for stomata to close more often, thus transpiring less, allowing for higher water-use efficiency in C4 plants. With the crop model active in CLM4.5, the vegetated land unit is split into unmanaged and managed parts. PFTs in the unmanaged land unit all share the same below ground properties per grid cell, including water and nutrients, while

PFTs in the managed land unit occupy separate soil columns and do not interact with each other below the ground, and thus do not compete for water and nutrients. Having PFTs in separate managed land units allows for different management practices, such as irrigation and fertilization, for each crop PFT.

- CLM4.5 simulates the application of irrigation as a dynamic response to simulated soil moisture conditions (Oleson et al., 2013). When irrigation is enabled, the crop area of each grid cell is divided into irrigated and rainfed fractions according to a gridded dataset of areas equipped for irrigation. Irrigated and rainfed crops are placed on separate soil columns, so that irrigation is only applied to the soil beneath irrigated crops.
- ¹⁰ In irrigated croplands, a check is made once per day to determine whether irrigation is required; this check is made in the first time step after 6 a.m. LT. Irrigation is required if crop leaf area is greater than zero, and water is the limiting factor for photosynthesis.

2.2 Tropical crops

- In performing offline CLM4 simulations, the need to develop more realistic PFTs for the tropics became apparent. The tropical broadleaf evergreen tree PFT was initially replaced with the unmanaged crop PFT and C3 grass PFT. It was thought that there would be a reduction in LAI when replacing the broadleaf evergreen trees; however, it was found that there was a drastic basin wide increase in LAI. It was determined that the crop and C3 grass PFTs were parameterized solely for the mid-latitude conditions.
- The winter season temperature in the Amazon does not get cold enough to trigger senescence; the survival temperature for C3 grass is -17°C and the establishment temperature for C3 grass is 15.5°C, while the planting temperature for managed crops ranges from 7–13°C. The Amazon has an annual average temperature of approximate 27°C; meaning minimum temperature thresholds for each PFT are always met. Another
- ²⁵ aspect is the greater moisture availability in most of the Amazon; plants are rarely stressed by a lack of available moisture.

Using the Sacks et al. (2010) and Portmann et al. (2010) datasets of global crop distribution, it was determined that the most prevalent crops in and around the Amazon

Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper

Basin are soybean, corn, cotton, rice and sugarcane. These crops were then selected as tropical crops to be added to CLM4.5. Two separate corn PFTs were added to simulate the two separate corn harvests that occur in the region. Given the long growing season in the tropics, after the first corn harvest of the year a second crop of corn is typically planted and harvested later in the year. For each crop added, a rainfed and

irrigated PFT were constructed based on irrigation data.

The new tropical crops are based on existing crops in CLM4.5, with adjustments to physiology parameters to get realistic behavior. Tropical soybean was based on the existing soybean PFT and tropical corn based on the existing corn PFT. Tropical sug-

arcane is derived from the existing corn PFT, tropical rice is a variation on the existing spring wheat PFT, and tropical cotton is similar to soybean. Sugarcane was based on corn because both are C4 plants and corn is the only C4 crop in CLM4.5. Rice was based on the existing spring wheat because they are both cereal grain crops. Cotton uses soybean as a basis because they are both bushy C3 crops, with neither being

¹⁵ a cereal grain crop, as are the other C3 crops in CLM4.5. It is of note that sugarcane is a multi-year perennial crop, while all the other crops are annual; CLM4.5 does not currently have the capability to simulate perennial crops. Thus, sugarcane was modeled to have a planting date just after the previous harvest, with the intention of simulating perennial coverage with a decrease once a year when a portion of the sugarcane is typically harvested or replaced.

The Sacks et al. (2010) data was used to determine planting dates, growing degree days, maximum LAI and maximum number of days to plant maturity for the tropical crops being added; Table 1 shows original crop PFT and tropical crop PFT parameters that were modified. In addition to changing those physiology parameters, the albedo

and radiative transmissivity of crop leaves were changed to match those of Bonan (2008b). The amount of fertilizer applied to each crop was modified to allow for a more realistic seasonal cycle.

The 5 min spatial resolution Portmann et al. (2010) data was regridded for use in CLM4.5. In the specified domain (85–35° W, 30° S–13° N), each CLM gridbox having

a total area of tree PFT (tropical broadleaf evergreen and tropical broadleaf deciduous) percentage (see Fig. 1 for default PFT distribution) greater than zero was deforested; all existing PFTs in that gridbox were removed. Each respective deforested gridbox is checked for the presence of crops in the regridded Portmann data. If any crops

- are present in a deforested gridbox, the acreage for each crop is used to determine the percent coverage, preserving the percentages in the deforested case. There is a maximum of five crops allowed in each CLM gridbox. If all six crops are present, the lowest acreage crop is omitted. For deforested gridboxes with no crops present, a Cressman Analysis is used to interpolate crop coverage from neighboring gridboxes.
- ¹⁰ The calculated distribution of the tropical crops in the deforested case can be seen in Fig. 2, with 12.82% of the area being soybean, 21.09% for each corn crop, 14.77% for sugarcane, 25.18% for irrigated rice and 5.04% for cotton.

The initial seasonal mean changes to the land surface can be seen in Fig. 3. There is a basin wide increase in surface albedo across the deforested region. In the closed

¹⁵ canopy region where the highest percentages of broadleaf evergreen trees are located, there is a large reduction in both LAI and canopy height across all seasons. To the southeast of that region, an area where C4 grass was predominant, there is an increase in both LAI and canopy height in NDJFM, the main growing season of the dominant crops, soybean and rice, in that region. The other months show a general decrease in LAI and canopy height in that region.

The choice of these irregular seasons is based on the growing season of the tropical crops used as replacement vegetation. NDJFM largely coincides with crop growth in the region south of the equator and planting north of the equator. AMJ is the main growing season north of the equator. JASO is predominantly a period after harvest

has occurred and planting south of the equator is taking place in the last month. Additionally, these seasons correspond to the seasons of peak precipitation as NDJFM has precipitation predominantly south of the equator, AMJ precipitation is centered on the equator and extends into northern South America, and JASO is the driest period

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper

for a majority of the region with precipitation is centered over the northwest portion of South America.

2.3 Model simulations

CESM with active components of CAM4, CLM4.5, POP2, CICE4 and RTM is used for the model simulations in this study. The simulations are run at an atmospheric model resolution of 0.9° × 1.25° and a nominal 1° ocean resolution grid with a displaced pole over Greenland for present day (year 2000) initial conditions. Before starting the coupled runs, a spin-up simulation for the land surface was implemented to achieve a steady state for the carbon and nitrogen processes of the interactive phenology. The

- ¹⁰ CLM4.5 spin-up procedure consists of a 650 year offline simulation with present day atmospheric forcing, achieved by repeatedly cycling through the Qian et al. (2006) input dataset; the last land state from the offline simulations is then used as the land initial condition in the coupled simulations. A separate spin-up simulation is done for each coupled experiment with matching PFT distributions. In the simulation utilizing tropical
- ¹⁵ crops, the crop model and irrigation models are active. Each of the fully coupled simulations has a length of 250 years, in which only the last 125 years is used for analysis. The control simulation uses the default PFT distribution (Fig. 1) and the deforested simulation used the crop PFT distribution in Fig. 2.
- In all simulations, the fire module is turned off. When coupling CLM4.5 with CAM, specific humidity has been found to be too low over the Amazon region (W. Sacks and D. Lawrence, personal communication, 2013). Fires in CLM4.5 are invoked as a function of relative humidity, soil wetness, temperature and precipitation (Oleson et al., 2013). With low specific humidity, the relative humidity triggers the fire model in vast areas of the Amazon region, predominantly regions neighboring the closed canopy
- ²⁵ forests (gridboxes with greater than 60 % tree PFT). Along with a reduction in humidity, there is a decrease in precipitation that is enough to invoke fire in the closed canopy as well. From short coupled simulations, it was seen that fire occurs in year 1 along the edge of the closed canopy and LAI is reduced. LAI becomes significantly small in

the northeast by year 4 and large reductions in LAI propagate westward into the closed canopy in subsequent years.

CLM4.5 was tested in short coupled simulations with the fire module both active and inactive. The results showed that canopy height was no longer decreasing with the fire

- ⁵ module inactive, although the LAI was reduced by approximately 30 % from offline simulations. The LAI reduction is much more severe to both the canopy height and LAI with fire active. Reduced LAI in the coupled model presumably results from the low humidity and precipitation impacting the phenology algorithms previously discussed. Thus, it has been determined that the simulations used in this study should have the fire module
- turned off. The LAI impacts due to deforestation are still large and capable of producing a significant signal. In addition, the large changes exhibited in surface roughness also provide a boundary condition to the atmosphere capable of demonstrating the impacts of large-scale land-use change.

3 Results

3.1 Temperature

As can be seen in Fig. 4, in the initially dense forest region there is an increase in surface temperature in all seasons; a majority of the region warms 1-3 K with the central region warming more than 7 K. To the southeast, there is a region of temperature decrease, typically less than 3 K. This temperature decrease is largely over the region

- ²⁰ that was predominantly C4 grass. McGuffie et al. (1995) noted that changes in surface temperature over the deforested region are dipolar: an increase over the central and eastern Amazon and a decrease to the southwest of the deforestation. The region of decrease is shifted eastward in these findings, but such a dipolar change has precedent. Despite the region of cooling, the areal average for each season shows an increase of the ND IEM and to K in AML and to 12 K in IASO
- increase; +0.8 K in NDJFM, +1.6 K in AMJ, and +2.1 K in JASO.

The contrast in temperature change between the densely forested and C4 grass areas becomes more apparent in the change of maximum monthly surface temperature. The forested region experiences an increase in all months, typically between 2-6 K. In the C4 grass area, the maximum monthly surface temperature decreases from Au-

- ⁵ gust to January by 4–6 K, with the remaining months having a mixed change between -2 to 2 K. The same pattern tends to hold up for minimum monthly temperature, with the changes about half the magnitude. The overall range in extremes for the densely forested area increases by 2–4 K, while in the C4 grass area, the range of extremes is reduced by 2–4 K from August to January and increase less than 2 K in the remaining
- ¹⁰ months. It is worth noting that C4 grass in CLM can behave unrealistically at times by dying off and then regrowing a couple months later (Dirmeyer et al., 2013), which can affect surface temperature drastically.

The annual areal average increase in surface temperature of 1.4 K is consistent with previous modeling studies; Costa and Foley (2000) found a 1.4 K increase, Snyder et al.

(2004) found a 1.5 K increase and Snyder (2010) found a 1.2 K increase. However, some studies found smaller or larger temperature increases: 0.6 K (Henderson-Sellers et al., 1993), 0.3 K (McGuffie et al., 1995), 0.3 K (Ramos da Silva et al., 2008), 2.5 K (Nobre et al., 1991) and 2.5 K (Shukla et al., 1990). The results in this study lie within the range of previous findings.

20 3.2 Precipitation

There is a significant decrease of at least 1 mm day^{-1} in precipitation over the originally densely forested region throughout the year, with some areas experiencing decreases larger than 4 mm day^{-1} , see Fig. 5. A majority of this region sees decreases of more than 50 %. During NDJFM, when a majority of the Amazon region experiences at least 8 mm day^{-1} in precipitation in the control simulation, there is a largely statistically signif-

icant decrease in precipitation in the control simulation, there is a largely statistically significant decrease in precipitation for the deforested region. An area of increase is present in a region that is mainly irrigated rice. During AMJ when precipitation is largely occurring within a few degrees of the equator, there is a significant decrease across this

region of the equator, while a significant increase is present to the south. The driest season in the control simulation, JASO, has a significant decrease in precipitation over much of the deforested region. All seasons experience a decrease in the areal average; $-0.27 \text{ mm day}^{-1}$ in NDJFM, $-0.37 \text{ mm day}^{-1}$ in AMJ, and $-0.44 \text{ mm day}^{-1}$ in JASO.

- Most of the precipitation changes can be explained by changes to convective precipitation, which decreases in all seasons (not shown), with the only exception being the region with irrigated rice. The reduction in convective precipitation suggests changes in flux partitioning at the surface may modify the properties and growth of the planetary boundary layer, as well as the land-atmosphere coupling in the region.
- The decreases exhibited in this study are consistent with previous modeling studies; however, the magnitude of the decrease is smaller. This study found an annual areal average decrease of 0.35 mm day⁻¹, while previous studies found decreases of 0.7 mm day⁻¹ (Costa and Foley, 2000), 0.4–0.7 mm day⁻¹ (Hasler et al., 2009), 1.6 mm day⁻¹ (Henderson-Sellers et al., 1993), 1.2 mm day⁻¹ (McGuffie et al., 1995), 1.4 mm day⁻¹ (Snyder et al., 2004; Snyder, 2010), and 0.8 mm day⁻¹ (Werth and Avis-
- 1.4 mm day⁻¹ (Snyder et al., 2004; Snyder, 2010), and 0.8 mm day⁻¹ (Werth and Avissar, 2002). The smaller decrease in precipitation may be due to previously mentioned model shortcomings with low humidity and less climatological precipitation in the region.

3.3 Radiation and fluxes

- Net radiation is shown (Fig. 6) to be significantly reduced over the densely forest region in all seasons, typically by 30–50 W m⁻². To the southeast over the C4 grass area, an increase is shown during NDJFM, changes between –10 and 10 W m⁻² are present in AMJ and decreases of 10 W m⁻² exist in JASO. These changes are driven by changes to albedo (seen in Fig. 3) and impacts the partitioning of latent and sensible heat flux.
- Latent heat flux is primarily reduced across the region in all seasons; the major exception is an increase during NDJFM in the former C4 grass area. Sensible heat flux increases in the formerly densely forested area in all seasons and is surrounded by a region of decrease in sensible heat flux. There is an increase in sensible heat

Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper

flux in the southeast during both NDJFM and AMJ, while JASO has a mix of both increases and decreases, with most of the area not experiencing a significant change. The annual areal average of latent heat flux and sensible heat flux both decrease, -8.1 and -1.7 Wm^{-2} respectively. This change in the fluxes has reduced the evaporative fraction in the region and indicates that the Amazon would shift to a drier climate.

3.4 Land-atmosphere coupling

A two-legged coupling metric (Guo et al., 2006; Dirmeyer, 2011) uses correlations between a land surface state variable (soil moisture) and surface flux (latent heat) as a means to assess terrestrial climate feedbacks, or a surface flux (sensible heat) and

an atmospheric property (PBL height) for the atmospheric climate feedback. It is used here to describe the feedbacks present in the system and how they have changed after deforestation. Positive values in these two instances would imply that the land surface is controlling the feedback. We multiply these correlations by the SD of the response variable (latent heat and PBL height respectively) to determine the magnitude of the feedback (Guo et al., 2006).

In the terrestrial leg of the coupling (Fig. 7) for the control simulation, a large band of negative values during NDJFM corresponds to the heavy rains during that season when soil moisture is not a limiting factor for surface fluxes. As the rains shift throughout the year, this region shifts accordingly. During the drier seasons in the south, the sign switches to positive, indication that soil moisture is controlling the latent heat flux (cf.

Dirmeyer et al., 2013).

20

After deforestation, the previously densely forested areas become more strongly coupled throughout the year (Fig. 7). This is probably due to the shallower roots of crops, which have access to a smaller soil moisture reservoir. There are also large areas of

decreased coupling, particularly over the southeast in JASO and south of the densely forested area in NDJFM. During AMJ, nearly the whole region sees an increase in coupling.

The changes in coupling can occur due to changes in the correlation, variability, or both. In NDJFM, the correlation increases in 54.8 % of the region and flux variability decreases in 56.4 % of the region. Neither component appears to be the leading agent of the changes; the changes in NDJFM (the rainy season) are largely atmospherically

⁵ driven due to changes in precipitation. Areas with the largest reduction in precipitation have correlation increases; they also have increases in variability and are becoming more strongly coupled.

In AMJ and JASO, the changes in correlation are much larger: 69.5 and 76.6% of the region has an increase in correlation, respectively. Increases in correlation do not

- necessarily imply increased coupling, while a majority of the region in AMJ has stronger coupling, JASO has a majority of the region showing a decrease in coupling. JASO has a decrease in variability for 62.7% of the region, with 46.2% of the region having an increase in correlation and decrease in variability, largely taking place in the southeast where there was lower initial tree cover. In contrast, the more densely forested regions
 largely experience an increase in correlation and an increase in variability.
- For the atmospheric leg of the coupling, in the control run, the entire region is positively coupled (Fig. 8). The areas of strongest coupling occur in locations that were initially less tree-covered, as the dense canopy acts to dampen the coupling between surface sensible heat flux and PBL height.
- In all seasons, the densely forested areas have an increase in coupling after deforestation (Fig. 8). The southeast region largely experiences a decrease in coupling during all seasons. The largest contrast between the densely forested area and the southeast occurs in JASO, which is after most of the crops have been harvested and LAI is low.
- For the atmospheric leg, a majority of the region either experiences and increase in both correlation and variability or decrease in both. There are co-located correlation and variability increases over 31.0, 41.6, and 33.3% of the region for NDJFM, AMJ and JASO respectively. These regions are predominantly along the southeast coast where increased temperature and decreased precipitation occur, and in the previously

forested areas. Regions experiencing decreases in both were 36.9, 29.7 and 40.2 % for those same seasons. These changes largely occurred in the southeast area where lower initial tree cover is located.

4 Discussion and conclusions

- Replacement of natural vegetation with crops typical of tropical agriculture over the Amazon results in an albedo increase, lowering net radiation, which in turn modifies the surface fluxes. Both latent heat flux and sensible heat flux are reduced, but the evaporative fraction decreases, modifying the region toward a drier climate. Combining the surface temperature increase with the surface flux changes, a warmer, drier and
- deeper PBL is expected. There is a decrease in precipitation, largely due to decreased convection. By modifying PBL properties and PBL growth, modified interaction between the PBL and the free atmosphere will decrease vertical moisture transport and increase vertical heat transport. These changes in vertical transport provide a mechanism that can impact the circulation and may affect remote regions.
- An added level of complexity that previous studies did not consider is irrigation. The irrigation impact is difficult to isolate, due to the gridboxes with irrigated rice also having other crops present. Irrigation adds water to the surface when water is a limiting factor for photosynthesis and can have an impact on land–atmosphere interactions. Irrigation does appear to have an impact on the coupling between land and atmosphere (Fig. 9).
- Irrigation is active in eight months (ONDJFM in the Southern Hemisphere and JFMAM in the Northern Hemisphere) when rice is widely grown. In the months that irrigation is added, there is a negative correlation between irrigation water added and the change in the terrestrial leg of the coupling. The more irrigation water that is added, the less coupled the soil moisture becomes from the latent heat flux.
- ²⁵ By affecting the surface coupling, irrigation can also impact the atmospheric leg of the coupling (Fig. 9). A negative relationship between irrigation water added and

the change in SH-PBLH coupling further shows that irrigation is modifying landatmosphere interactions.

Although irrigation is shown to have an impact on the atmospheric leg of the coupling, the larger contributor appears to be the percentage of tree cover lost (Fig. 9). The

- ⁵ coupling changes are largely the same for non-irrigated gridboxes with original tree percentage less than 80 %, typically between -50 and 50 m. JASO, the driest season, does have a larger spread, but comparable magnitudes of increases and decreases. When the initial tree cover is greater than 80 %, the coupling strength is predominantly increasing and has a greater magnitude of the change. This signal is also common in
- climate change scenarios driven by greenhouse gas increases (Dirmeyer et al., 2013), suggesting land use change could further amplify sensitivity to land surface anomalies in the tropics.

Irrigation largely decreases the coupling strength when the initial tree cover is less than 80% and increases the magnitude of the change. When the initial tree cover is

- ¹⁵ greater than 80%, the gridboxes that experience a decrease in coupling are typically irrigated; with the more strongly irrigated gridboxes showing the largest decreases and less irrigated gridboxes showing an increase in coupling that is comparable to nonirrigated gridboxes. Just as with the terrestrial leg, more irrigation water added decreases the coupling strength of the atmospheric leg of the coupling.
- By using a realistic crop distribution in the Amazon region, as opposed to homogenous vegetation coverage used in previous studies, there is still general agreement with previous modeling studies. The higher resolution and heterogeneity of the land cover shows smaller scale features and regions of opposite change, particularly in the southeast Amazon where the region has higher coverage of C4 grass. With crops be-
- ing planted in different regions at different times of the year, a level of complexity not present in previous Amazon deforestation studies, seasonality to land–surface changes that were not previously modeled, are now seen.

A warming and drying of the region has impacted how the land-surface and atmosphere interact. By modifying the flux partitioning between latent and sensible heat Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

fluxes, the region shifts to drier climate with a warmer, drier and deeper PBL. By altering how the PBL grows, interaction with the free atmosphere is altered; this can lead to a warmer and drier atmospheric column above the region and may cause impacts to remote regions by modifying the general circulation and transports of moisture and heat.

Remote impacts, such as modification to the African easterly waves and increased precipitation over the southwest United States have been found in these experiments, and will be discussed in a future paper. By employing a coupled ocean model, changes to sea surface temperature and the El Niño South Oscillation have also been found and will be discussed in a later paper.

¹⁰ will be discussed in a later paper.

Acknowledgements. This research was supported by joint funding from the National Science Foundation (ATM-0830068), the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NA09OAR4310058), and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NNX09AN50G) of the Center for Ocean Land Atmosphere Studies (COLA). The lead author would like to ac-

⁵ knowledge Sam Levis (NCAR) for help in getting CLM code modifications to work and help in getting the crop model to work in a coupled CESM, and NCAR for supplying computing resources on the Yellowstone supercomputer.

References

5

20

25

Bonan, G. B.: Forests and climate change: forcings, feedbacks, and the climate benefits of forests, Science, 320, 1444–1449, doi:10.1126/science.1155121, 2008a. 880, 881

- Bonan, G. B.: Ecological Climatology: Concepts and Applications, 2nd edn., Cambridge University Press, New York, USA, 2008b. 887
- Costa, M. H. and Foley, J. A.: Combined effects of deforestation and doubled atmospheric CO₂ concentrations on the climate of Amazonia, J. Climate, 13, 18–34, doi:10.1175/1520-0442(2000)013<0018:CEODAD>2.0.CO;2, 2000. 881, 883, 891, 892
- Costa, M. H., Yanagi, S. N. M., Souza, P. J. O. P., Ribeiro, A., and Rocha, E. J. P.: Climate change in Amazonia caused by soybean cropland expansion, as compared to caused by pastureland expansion, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34, L07706, doi:10.1029/2007GL029271, 2007. 882, 883

- Davin, E. L. and de Noblet-Ducoudré, N.: Climatic impact of global-scale deforestation: radiative versus nonradiative processes, J. Climate, 23, 97–112, doi:10.1175/2009JCLI3102.1, 2010. 881
- Dickinson, R. E. and Henderson-Sellers, A.: Modelling tropical deforestation: a study of GCM land–surface parametrizations, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 114, 439–462, doi:10.1002/qj.49711448009, 1988. 882, 883

Dirmeyer, P. A.: The terrestrial segment of soil moisture–climate coupling, Geophys. Res. Lett., 38, L16702, doi:10.1029/2011GL048268, 2011. 893

Dirmeyer, P. A., Jin, Y., Singh, B., and Yan, X.: Trends in land–atmosphere interactions from CMIP5 simulations, J. Hydrometeorol., 14, 829–849, doi:10.1175/JHM-D-12-0107.1, 2013. 891. 893. 896

Foley, J. A., DeFries, R., Asner, G. P., Barford, C., Bonan, G., Carpenter, S. R., Chapin, F. S., Coe, M. T., Daily, G. C., Gibbs, H. K., Helkowski, J. H., Holloway, T., Howard, E. A., Kucharik, C. J., Monfreda, C., Patz, J. A., Prentice, I. C., Ramankutty, N., and Snyder, P. K.: Global consequences of land use, Science, 309, 570–574, doi:10.1126/science.1111772, 2005. 880, 881

- Guo, Z., Dirmeyer, P. A., Koster, R. D., Sud, Y. C., Bonan, G., Oleson, K. W., Chan, E., Verseghy, D., Cox, P., Gordon, C. T., McGregor, J. L., Kanae, S., Kowalczyk, E., Lawrence, D., Liu, P., Mocko, D., Lu, C.-H., Mitchell, K., Malyshev, S., McAvaney, B., Oki, T., Yamada, T., Dirmer, A., Taylor, C. M., Vasa, P., and Yuy, Y. Cl. AcCr. the clock land structure for a structure of the structure for the structure for the structure of the structure for the str
- Pitman, A., Taylor, C. M., Vasic, R., and Xue, Y.: GLACE: the global land–atmosphere coupling experiment, Part II: Analysis, J. Hydrometeorol., 7, 611–625, doi:10.1175/JHM511.1, 2006. 893
 - Hasler, N., Werth, D., and Avissar, R.: Effects of tropical deforestation on global hydroclimate: a multimodel ensemble analysis, J. Climate, 22, 1124–1141, doi:10.1175/2008JCLI2157.1, 2009. 883, 892
- Henderson-Sellers, A., Dickinson, R. E., Durbidge, T. B., Kennedy, P. J., McGuffie, K., and Pitman, A. J.: Tropical deforestation: Modeling local- to regional-scale climate change, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 98, 7289–7315, doi:10.1029/92JD02830, 1993. 882, 883, 891, 892 Kucharik, C. J., Foley, J. A., Delire, C., Fisher, V. A., Coe, M. T., Lenters, J. D., Young-Molling, C.,
- Ramankutty, N., Norman, J. M., and Gower, S. T.: Testing the performance of a dynamic global ecosystem model: water balance, carbon balance, and vegetation structure, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 14, 795–825, 2000. 885

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

- Levis, S., Bonan, G. B., Kluzek, E., Thornton, P. E., Jones, A., Sacks, W. J., and Kucharik, C. J.: Interactive crop management in the Community Earth System Model (CESM1): seasonal influences on land-atmosphere fluxes, J. Climate, 25, 4839–4859, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00446.1, 2012. 885
- ⁵ McGuffie, K., Henderson-Sellers, A., Zhang, H., Durbidge, T., and Pitman, A.: Global climate sensitivity to tropical deforestation, Global Planet. Change, 10, 97–128, doi:10.1016/0921-8181(94)00022-6, 1995. 882, 883, 890, 891, 892
 - Nepstad, D. C., Stickler, C. M., Filho, B. S., and Merry, F.: Interactions among Amazon land use, forests and climate: prospects for a near-term forest tipping point, Philos. T. R. Soc. B, 363, 1737–1746, doi:10.1098/rstb.2007.0036, 2008. 881
- Nobre, C. A., Sellers, P. J., and Shukla, J.: Amazonian deforestation and regional climate change, J. Climate, 4, 957–988, doi:10.1175/1520-0442(1991)004<0957:ADARCC>2.0.CO;2, 1991. 882, 883, 891

10

25

- Nobre, P., Malagutti, M., Urbano, D. F., de Almeida, R. A. F., and Giarolla, E.: Amazon deforestation and climate change in a coupled model simulation, J. Climate, 22, 5686–5697, doi:10.1175/2009JCLI2757.1, 2009. 883
 - Oleson, K. W., Lawrence, D. M., Bonan, G. B., Drewniak, B., Huang, M., Koven, C. D., Levis, S., Li, F., Riley, W. J., Subin, Z. M., Swenson, S. C., Thornton, P. E., Bozbiyik, A., Fisher, R., Kluzek, E., Lamarque, J.-F., Lawrence, P. J., Leung, L. R., Lipscomb, W., Muszala, S.,
- Ricciuto, D. M., Sacks, W., Sun, Y., Tang, J., and Yang, Z.-L.: Technical Description of version 4.5 of the Community Land Model (CLM), NCAR Technical Note, National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO, USA, 2013. 884, 885, 886, 889
 - Portmann, F. T., Siebert, S., and Döll, P.: MIRCA2000—Global monthly irrigated and rainfed crop areas around the year 2000: A new high-resolution data set for agricultural and hydrological modeling, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 24, GB1011, doi:10.1029/2008GB003435, 2010. 886, 887
 - Qian, T., Dai, A., Trenberth, K. E., and Oleson, K. W.: Simulation of global land surface conditions from 1948 to 2004, Part I: Forcing data and evaluations, J. Hydrometeorol., 7, 953–975, doi:10.1175/JHM540.1, 2006. 889
- Ramos da Silva, R., Werth, D., and Avissar, R.: Regional impacts of future landcover changes on the Amazon basin wet-season climate, J. Climate, 21, 1153–1170, doi:10.1175/2007JCLI1304.1, 2008. 891

899

- Sacks, W. J., Deryng, D., Foley, J. A., and Ramankutty, N.: Crop planting dates: an analysis of global patterns, Global Ecol. Biogeogr., 19, 607–620, doi:10.1111/j.1466-8238.2010.00551.x, 2010. 886, 887
- Shukla, J., Nobre, C., and Sellers, P.: Amazon deforestation and climate change, Science, 247, 1322–1325, doi:10.1126/science.247.4948.1322, 1990. 882, 883, 891
- Snyder, P. K.: The influence of tropical deforestation on the Northern Hemisphere climate by atmospheric teleconnections, Earth Interact., 14, 1–34, doi:10.1175/2010El280.1, 2010. 880, 891, 892
 - Snyder, P. K., Delire, C., and Foley, J. A.: Evaluating the influence of different vegetation biomes
- on the global climate, Clim. Dynam., 23, 279–302, doi:10.1007/s00382-004-0430-0, 2004. 881, 882, 883, 891, 892
- Sun, S. and Wang, G.: Diagnosing the equilibrium state of a coupled global biosphereatmosphere model, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 116, D09108, doi:10.1029/2010JD015224, 2011. 881
- Vertenstein, M., Bertini, A., Craig, T., Edwards, J., Levy, M., Mai, A., and Schollenberger, J.: CESM User's Guide, CESM1.2 Release Series User's Guide, NCAR Technical Note, National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO, USA, 2013. 884
 - Werth, D. and Avissar, R.: The local and global effects of Amazon deforestation, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 107, LBA 55-1–LBA 55-8, doi:10.1029/2001JD000717, 2002. 892

Table 1. Key parameters used in developing CLM4.5 tropical crops. Planting dates are in the
format of last two digits being the day of the month, the preceding digit(s) being the month
number (example: 415 is 15 April). "" denotes a parameter that is not specified.

Parameters	C3 Crop	Corn	Spring Wheat	Winter Wheat	Soybean	Tropical Soybean	Tropical Corn	Tropical Corn (2)	Tropical Sugarcane	Tropical Rice	Tropical Cotton
Photosynthesis	C3	C4	C3	C3	C3	C3	C4	C4	C4	C3	C3
Max LAI	-	5	7	7	6	6	5	5	5	7	6
Max Canopy Top (m)	-	2.5	1.2	1.2	0.75	1	2.5	2.5	4	1.8	1.5
Last NH Planting Date	-	615	615	1130	615	1231	1015	228	331	228	531
Last SH Planting Date	-	1215	1215	530	1215	1231	1015	228	1031	1231	1130
First NH Planting Date	-	401	401	901	501	1015	920	201	101	101	401
First SH Planting Date	-	1001	1001	301	1101	1015	920	201	801	1015	901
Min. Planting Temp. (K)	-	279.15	272.15	278.15	279.15	283.15	283.15	283.15	283.15	283.15	283.15
Planting Temp. (K)	-	283.15	280.15	-	286.15	294.15	294.15	294.15	294.15	294.15	294.15
GDD	-	1700	1700	1700	1900	2100	1800	1900	4300	2100	1700
Base Temperature (°C)	0	8	0	0	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
Max Day to Maturity	-	165	150	265	150	150	160	180	300	150	160
Maximum Fertilizer (kg N m ⁻²)	0	0.015	0.008	0.008	0.0025	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.02
Leaf Albedo – near IR	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.58	0.58	0.58	0.58	0.58	0.58
Leaf Transmittance – near IR	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Leaf Transmittance – visible	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07

901



Figure 1. Distributions of the indicated Plant Functional Types (PFTs) in the control simulation as a percentage of each grid box. "Other vegetation" includes C3 alpine grasses and bare soil.

Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper |



Figure 2. Distribution of each tropical crop as replacement vegetation in the Amazon region, with color bar indicating the percentage of each gridbox.

Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper |

903



Figure 3. Changes to surface properties after deforestation in NDJFM, AMJ and JASO; albedo (top-row), leaf area index (middle-row) and canopy height [m] (bottom-row). Shading indicates significance at the 95 % confidence level.



Figure 4. Change in surface temperature [K] for NDJFM, AMJ and JASO. Shading indicates significance at the 95 % confidence level.



Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper |

905



Figure 5. Change in precipitation $[mm day^{-1}]$ for NDJFM, AMJ and JASO. Shading indicates significance at the 95% confidence level.



Figure 6. Changes of surface energy fluxes in NDJFM, AMJ and JASO; net radiation $[W m^{-2}]$ (top-row), latent heat flux $[W m^{-2}]$ (middle-row) and sensible heat flux $[W m^{-2}]$ (bottom-row). Shading indicates significance at the 95 % confidence level.

907



Figure 7. Terrestrial leg of coupling strength $[W m^{-2}]$ between soil moisture and latent heat flux for the control simulation (top-row) and change due to deforestation (bottom-row) for NDJFM, AMJ and JASO.



Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper |

Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper



Figure 8. Atmospheric leg of coupling strength [m] between sensible heat flux and planetary boundary layer height for the control simulation (top-row) and change due to deforestation (bottom-row) for NDJFM, AMJ and JASO.





Figure 9. Top row: change in terrestrial leg of coupling strength $[W m^{-2}]$ vs. irrigation water added $[mm day^{-1}]$ for irrigated gridboxes in NDJFM, AMJ and JASO. Bottom row: change in atmospheric leg of coupling strength [m] vs. initial tree cover percentage for NDJFM, AMJ and JASO. Shaded dots represent irrigated gridboxes with the shading being equivalent to the shading for irrigation water added $[mm day^{-1}]$ in top row.

Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper