Comments to the Author:

The paper investigates the role of drainage and soil storage properties on modulating climateevapotranspiration relations for three mountainous catchments in western U.S. As mentioned by the reviewers, the study results are of interest for both field researchers and modellers. The paper is clearly written though the conclusions section will benefit from changes suggested by the reviewers.

The reviewers have raised a number of additional interesting questions that have been carefully responded by the authors, who have also clearly identified the strategy to address these issues in the revised paper. The number of revisions is minor but I would like to see a revised version. I am therefore recommending minor revisions in line the reviewer's suggestions and with my own assessment of the manuscript.

We thank the editor for both the positive response to the original manuscript and for the opportunity to share our revisions to the full manuscript text.

Our revised manuscript includes all revisions mentioned in our response to the referees, including the abbreviated conclusion and focusing of the results and discussion. There are additional minor edits throughout the text that modify our use of the word 'soil' to 'subsurface storage' or 'geologic'. These edits are in direct response to referee #1's comment on the use of terminology "soil AWC" and our agreement that storage, in the physical world and in our model, includes more than the soil. Our edited manuscript even suggests a change to the paper's title to change 'Soil storage...' to 'Subsurface storage capacity', the full title would be:

Subsurface storage capacity influences climate-evapotranspiration interactions in three

western United States catchments

REFEREE #1 COMMENTS

Garcia and Tague present an interesting comparison of hydrologic partitioning in three catchments, reaching the conclusion that differences in landscape characteristics, specifically subsurface water storage, attenuates the role of climate in controlling ET. The paper is a nice example of how the timing and amount of precipitation interact with variable storage to control the fate of precipitation in mountain catchments and should be of interest to the range of observationists and modelers.

Although the authors focus on the implications for these three catchments, the take home messages potentially are applicable to a wide range of systems where the spatially and temporally explicit interplay between climate and landscape has the potential to result in different hydrologic responses in locations with similar mean climate.

Although I am generally supportive of the work, I do have a number of concerns and suggestions that I hope will focus the presentation.

Reply: We thank the referee for the supportive comments and have addressed the concerns and incorporated many of the suggestions below.



Shorten and focus conclusions to highlight key implications. The current take home points are somewhat buried, including both modeling issues (e.g. error introduced by absence of soil calibration) and broader science take homes (e.g. precipitation timing vs. storage interactions)

Reply: We appreciate this suggestion and have removed/moved text that is better suited to the discussion and restructured the conclusions to focus on the bigger take-home points. The conclusion now reads as follows:

We demonstrate how subsurface storage and drainage properties (AWC and parameters that control lateral redistribution) interact with climate-related drivers to influence ET in three western U.S. mountain watersheds with distinctive precipitation regimes. These watersheds reflect conditions found in many other western U.S. snow-dominated systems, where summer water availability is influenced by the magnitude of precipitation, timing of soil moisture recharge and spring temperature and its effect on snowmelt. We found that, for our three watersheds, estimates of longer-term average (15-year) watershed-scale ET vary across a range of physically realistic storage/drainage parameters. For all watersheds, the range in long term mean ET estimates across AWC estimates (e.g., mean ET at a high AWC versus mean ET at a low AWC) may be as large as inter-annual variation in ET, suggesting that the influence of AWC and drainage can be substantial.

Our results also point to the importance of lateral redistribution as a control on ET, particularly for CA-SIER. Only a few studies have emphasized the role of lateral redistribution in plot to watershed scale climate responses in the Western U.S. (Barnard et al., 2010; Tague and Peng, 2013). For the CA-SIER site, our model results suggest that there can also be interactions between AWC and hillslope to watershed scale redistribution as controls on ET. Lateral redistribution was less important for the CO-ROC, where summer precipitation was a more important contributor to annual ET values and the least important for the wetter OR-CAS site. Results emphasize that the role of subsurface properties, including both storage and drainage, will be different for different climate regimes.

These results have important implications both for predicting ET in basins where data is not available for calibration and for understanding and predicting the spatial variability of ET within a basin. AWC also affects the sensitivity of annual ET to climate drivers, particularly in the two more seasonally water-limited basins. Although the three watersheds show different responses of annual ET to these climate drivers, there are values of AWC that would eliminate these cross-basin differences. These sensitivities highlight the need for improved information on spatial patterns of subsurface properties to contribute to the development of science-based information on forest vulnerabilities to climate change. Improved accounting for plant accessibility to moisture has improved model-data ET comparisons in previous modeling studies at regional and global scales (Hwang et al., 2009; Tang et al., 2013; Thompson et al., 2011). With expected decreases in fractional precipitation received as snow with climate change (Diffenbaugh et al., 2013; Knowles et al., 2006), we might expect soil storage to play a more important role

in providing water for forests in the future. Improved understanding of how climate and subsurface storage/drainage combine to control ET can enhance our understanding of forest water stress related to increased mortality (van Mantgem et al., 2009). Western U.S. forests show substantial vulnerability to drought, with declines in productivity and increases in mortality and disturbance in drought years (Allen et al., 2010; Hicke et al., 2012; Williams et al., 2013).Understanding these ecosystems' responses to primary climate drivers is of particular concern given recent warming trends (Sterl et al., 2008) and multi-year droughts (Cook et al., 2004; Dai et al., 2004). Identifying the physical conditions in which our ability to estimate ET is most sensitive or limited by knowledge of subsurface geologic properties helps to prioritize regional data acquisition agendas. Integrating results from recent advances in geophysical measurements and models such as those emerging from Critical Zone Observatories in the U.S. and elsewhere (Anderson et al., 2008) will be essential for analysis of climate ET interactions.

REFEREE #1 COMMENTS CONTINUE BELOW

The paper could and should be improved by explicitly addressing alternative explanations for the differences between the three catchments. For example, they vary significantly in size, elevation, and total precipitation and the differences between catchment responses plausibly could be explained by these factors.

Similarly, how do the specifics of climate across the three sites influence results? For example, what does PET look like across time and space for your study catchments? Presumably, higher elevations in CO are always energy limited, while lower elevations switch are water limited. In contrast, CA and OR experience the seasonal pattern in energy vs. water limitation that is your focus.

Reply: Though text throughout the paper addresses how cross-site differences in physical characteristics are influencing the response of ET to climate, we have included an additional paragraph to the discussion (now third paragraph) to be explicit about how the range of responses we observe in model results are related to these characteristics:

The range of sensitivities of ET to climate in this study is a direct function of climatic and physical characteristics of the catchments presented in this study. For example, OR-CAS receives twice as much precipitation and spans a much lower elevation range than either CA-SIER or CO-ROC (Table 2). Because OR-CAS is considerably wetter, its sensitivity of ET to magnitude of annual *P* is lessened considerably. OR-CAS' lower elevations, and related mean winter temperatures, also result in smaller average snowpacks reducing the strength of spring temperature as an explanatory variable for ET. Differences between CA-SIER and CO-ROC largely reflect seasonal distribution of precipitation, and reflect the importance of summer precipitation in CO-ROC. While climate is the dominant factor, topographic differences are also important. As discussed above,

topographically driven flowpath convergence in CA-SIER tends to increase sensitivity of ET to parameters that influence lateral drainage. This effect is less evident in the other two watersheds. We also note that CO-ROC is considerably larger than our other two study sites and, as such, includes significant fractions of other land cover including rock and meadow. We expect the different vegetation types to influence the response of ET to climate.

Abstract begins with winter-wet summer dry but CO-ROC receives 46% precipitation in growing season while other sites are much less. This is an important part of your paper but suggests using a more objective metric perhaps AET: PET to describe differences between supply and demand.

Reply: P and PET are averages of annual sums. The aridity index, P:PET, is a helpful summary metric for normalizing how water-limited the catchments are. We added these values to Table 2.

| | CO-ROC | OR-CAS | CA-SIER |
|-------|--------|--------|---------|
| P:PET | 0.9 | 2.3 | 1.2 |

On a related note, the introduction begins with Mediterranean climates, but CO is a cold continental climate; I'm not certain that OR is technically Mediterranean either.

Reply: We appreciate this point and have removed the two occurrences of 'Mediterranean' as a description in the text --in the first line of the introduction, and the last paragraph of the introduction.

The results section as written reads too much like a discussion with numerous references and comparisons other work, making it difficult to focus on the key points of this effort.

Reply: We have edited the results section to move some of the discussion of results to the discussion section, and in some cases remove text that is in the discussion already:

Moved to discussion:

Among the predicted consequences of increased temperatures are an earlier start to the vegetation growing season (Cayan et al., 2001), and an increase in vapor pressure deficits and water demand (Isaac & van Wijngaarden, 2012).

CA-SIER does not show a significant relationship between T_{AMJ} and ET because the effect of temperature is strongly dependent on the amount of snowpack the basin receives in a year (Tague & Peng, 2013), which is more variable than the amount of snowpack received in CO-ROC or OR-CAS. These results suggest that the dominant effect of warmer spring temperatures is earlier meltout of snowpack, which leads to more snowmelt lost as runoff and results in less net recharge. A mechanism we suggest for this loss of runoff is that soils are more likely to be saturated in spring months. Later into the growing season, increased ET demands will have depleted soil

stores and throughfall/snowmelt will enter the soil matrix and be available for plant water use.

Removed: Thus warmer spring temperatures could potentially increase total annual ET through lengthening of the early growing season

I suggest you either changing the term "soil AWC" or more clearly define it to include other potential water sources. There is a growing body of literature that suggests that soil storage alone is often not sufficient to represent available water in mountain catchments. There this is rock water, groundwater, mobile vs. immobile water, etc. You have an opportunity to broaden the discussion and awareness among the land surface/ hydroclimate modeling community of these distinctions with this work.

Reply: We agree with the reviewer that plants often access water beneath what is typically defined as soil. In RHESSys plant available water storage is not restricted to "soil" but can include sapprolite, and rock water – and water from groundwater flow. We agree that the terminology "soil AWC" is indeed misleading. We have revised this terminology throughout the text and included the following text to emphasize that storage occurs not only in the soil:

Previous studies have shown that plants access to stored water is a substantial contributor to summer evapotranspiration in semi-arid regions (Bales et al., 2011). Plant accessible storage includes both water stored in soil and in sapprolite and bedrock layers that can be accessed by plant roots (McNamara et al., 2011).

Addressing the above issues should not require large amount of work, but should help focus the paper on important take home messages by addressing and removing distracting aspects of the current presentation likely to distract a critical reader.

References

- Bales, R., Hopmans, J., O'Green, A., Meadows, M., Hartsough, P., Kirchner, P., ... Beaudette, D. (2011). Soil Moisture Response to Snowmelt and Rainfall in a Sierra Nevada Mixed-Conifer Forest. *Vadose Zone Journal*, 10(3), 786–799. doi:10.2136/vzj2011.0001
- Cayan, D. R., Dettinger, M. D., Kammerdiener, S. a., Caprio, J. M., & Peterson, D. H. (2001). Changes in the Onset of Spring in the Western United States. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 82(3), 399–415. doi:10.1175/1520-0477(2001)082<0399;CITOOS>2.3.CO;2
- Isaac, V., & van Wijngaarden, W. a. (2012). Surface Water Vapor Pressure and Temperature Trends in North America during 1948–2010. *Journal of Climate*, 25(10), 3599–3609. doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00003.1
- McNamara, J. P., Tetzlaff, D., Bishop, K., Soulsby, C., Seyfried, M., Peters, N. E., ... Hooper, R. (2011). Storage as a metric of catchment comparison. *Hydrological Processes*, 25, 3364–3371. doi:10.1002/hyp.8113



Tague, C., & Peng, H. (2013). The sensitivity of forest water use to the timing of precipitation and snowmelt recharge in the California Sierra: Implications for a warming climate. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 118, 1–13. doi:10.1002/jgrg.20073

RESPONSE TO REFEREE #2

Authors have performed an interesting study to assess the role of soil storage on climateevapotranspiration (ET) interactions in three mountainous catchments using a distributed ecohydrologic model. In particular, the role of soil storage is considered by incorporating uncertainty of soil storage parameters in deriving precipitation, recharge and temperature relationships with ET. The manuscript is very well written and discussion of the results is very clear. However, the readers can benefit from a more focused conclusion summarizing main take home messages of the paper and its broader impact.

Reply: We thank the reviewer for the supportive comments and address the detailed comments below. Both referees suggest a more focused conclusion. We have edited the conclusion with this suggestion in mind -- some points have been moved to the discussion and other text has been removed. The edited conclusion now reads as follows:

[Edited conclusion removed for brevity. It is pasted into response to Reviewer #1 above.]

REVIEWER #2 COMMENTS CONTINUE BELOW

Detailed comments:

1) Authors have used a spatially distributed model to perform simulations across three catchments but the final results are aggregated at the catchment scale. It will be very interesting to see how these climate sensitivities change across the catchment? Are they observing differences between uplands and lowland areas?

REPLY: We agree that the spatial patterns of these climate sensitivities would be interesting to observe across the catchment, however a thorough analysis is beyond the scope of this paper and we will explore more spatial patterns in future work. It would afford less room in the manuscript length to address how these climate sensitivities interact with subsurface properties, which we believe to be the novel contribution of our work.

2) Does the sensitivity of ET change for different land cover types in a given catchment?

REPLY: We expect that ET estimates would vary with different land cover types in each catchment. Two of our catchments, CA-SIER and OR-CAS, are uniformly covered in conifers. CO-ROC, which is significantly larger than the other two catchments, is comprised of other land types including meadows and rock. We



expect that these land cover types are responding to climate drivers differently than the conifers. We have added text to acknowledge this important point:

We also note that CO-ROC is considerably larger than our other two study sites and, as such, includes significant fractions of other land cover including rock and meadow. We expect the different vegetation types to confound the response of ET to climate.

3) What about sensitivities of plant transpiration and NPP to AWC and precipitation?

REPLY: We explored the response of transpiration and NPP to the climate drivers presented in our study and found similar patterns in response across watersheds. We chose to focus on ET because we were able to validate our model estimates of annual values to field based observations in each catchment, which we believe strengthen our paper's results and discussion. We were unable to find similar transpiration observations at an appropriate scale/temporal resolution for model validation. We used annual NPP estimates to validate our carbon cycle, but chose to focus our presentation on the sensitivity of our hydrologic metric (ET) because it is more directly related to availability of soil moisture (AWC). Adding discussions of NPP/plant transpiration would also substantially lengthen an already long paper but we will consider this in future work.

4) Can authors specify which of the soil parameters generate most of the scatter in their results like in Figure 5 or 6? In other words, what is the most sensitive parameter? Is the most sensitive parameter different among the catchments?

REPLY:

We examined the influence of individual soil parameters to the sensitivity of ET estimates (not shown) and found that the sensitivity often varied with combinations for parameters rather than a single parameter value. For all catchments, streamflow estimates were most sensitivity to the 'm' parameter that controls the decay of conductivity with depth and defines an effective soil depth.

5) Can authors specify which metric they used for annual NPP during calibration (page 7899)?

REPLY: We used estimates of annual NPP that we found in peer-reviewed literature to define a minimum and maximum range of NPP values then selected parameters that fell within this range. That range of values is provided in Table 2. For calibration we selected parameters that fell within this NPP range and also provided reasonable estimates of streamflow based on the NSE and the daily bias.

6) Since R75 is not the actual recharge, I suggest authors rephrase it to timing of potential recharge.

REPLY: We agree that timing of potential recharge is more appropriate and have rephrased as follows:



To assess the impact of timing of potential recharge (as influenced either by year to year variation in precipitation timing, snowmelt or rain-snow partitioning) we calculate R_{75} , the day of water year by which 75% of the total potential annual recharge has occurred.

7) Can authors briefly describe patch elements in RHESSys (page 7898)?

REPLY: Patch units are not necessarily grid shaped, but instead are delineated based on landscape characteristics including elevation, land cover classification, and aspect. Average patch sizes range from 90 to 8100m2 with average patch size of 3600m2. Soil, vegetation and climate processes are calculated at the scale of the patch.

8) A brief description of snow module will be helpful. How the results are impacted by the snow parameters?

REPLY:

We have added the following text to the methods section that describes the RHESSys model:

RHESSys partitions rain to snow at a daily timestep based on each patch's air temperature. Snowmelt is estimated using a combination of an energy budget approach for radiation-driven melt and a temperature index-based approach for latent heat-drive melt processes.

We agree with the reviewer that results are potentially sensitive to snow parameters that control the rate of accumulation and melt. However we assume that in order to achieve reasonable rates of model performance relative to daily streamflow observations, the snow parameters used are reasonable and provide a basis for assessing the sensitivity to subsurface characteristics, which is the central focus of this paper.



| 1 | Subsurface storage capacity | influences climate-evapotrans | spiration interactions in three |
|---|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|---|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|

2 western United States catchments

3

- 4 Garcia, Elizabeth S., Department of Atmospheric Sciences, University of Washington,
- 5 Seattle, WA, USA.
- 6
- 7 Tague, Christina L., Bren School of Environmental Science and Management, University
- 8 of California, Santa Barbara, California, USA.
- 9
- 10 Corresponding author: E. S. Garcia, Department of Atmospheric Sciences, University of

9

- 11 Washington, Seattle, WA 98195 (esgarcia@uw.edu)
- 12
- 13

Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:09 PM Deleted: Soil storage

- 15 ABSTRACT
- 16

17 In the winter-wet, summer-dry forests of the western United States, total annual

- 18 evapotranspiration (ET) varies with precipitation and temperature. Geologically mediated
- 19 drainage and storage properties, however, may strongly influence these relationships
- 20 between climate and ET. We use a physically based process model to evaluate how plant
- 21 accessible water storage capacity (AWC) and rates of drainage influence model estimates
- 22 of ET-climate relationships for three snow-dominated, mountainous catchments with
- 23 differing precipitation regimes. Model estimates show that total annual precipitation is a
- 24 primary control on inter-annual variation in ET across all catchments and that the timing
- 25 of recharge is a second order control. Low AWC, however, increases the sensitivity of
- 26 annual ET to these climate drivers by three to five times in our two study basins with
- 27 drier summers. ET climate relationships in our Colorado basin receiving summer
- 28 precipitation are more stable across subsurface drainage and storage characteristics.
- 29 Climate driver-ET relationships are most sensitive to subsurface storage (AWC) and
- 30 drainage parameters related to lateral redistribution in the relatively dry Sierra site that
- 31 receives little summer precipitation. Our results demonstrate that uncertainty in
- 32 geophysically mediated storage and drainage properties can strongly influence model
- 33 estimates of watershed scale ET responses to climate variation and climate change. This
- 34 sensitivity to uncertainty in geophysical properties is particularly true for sites receiving
- 35 little summer precipitation. A parallel interpretation of this parameter sensitivity is that
- 36 spatial variation in storage and drainage properties are likely to lead to substantial within-
- 37 watershed plot scale differences in forest water use and drought stress.
- 38

39 1. INTRODUCTION

40

- 41 In high-elevation forested ecosystems in the western U.S., the majority of precipitation
- 42 falls during the winter there is often a disconnect between seasonal water availability and
- 43 growing season water demand. Consequently forests in these regions are frequently water
- 44 limited, even when annual precipitation totals are high (Boisvenue and Running, 2006;
- 45 Hanson and Weltzin, 2000). This disconnect between water inputs and energy demands

- 46 also highlights the importance of storage of winter recharge by both snowpack and by
- 47 soils. The importance of snowpack storage in these systems for hydrologic fluxes has
- 48 received significant attention, particularly given their vulnerability to climate warming.
- 49 Warmer temperatures are already shifting seasonal water availability in the western U.S.
- 50 through reductions in snowpack accumulation (Knowles et al., 2006) and earlier
- 51 occurrence of peak snowpack (Mote et al., 2005) and shifts in streamflow timing (Stewart
- 52 et al., 2005). Recently, field and modeling studies have shown that the years with greater
- 53 snowpack accumulation can be a strong predictor of vegetation water use and
- productivity for sites in the California Sierra (Tague and Peng, 2013; Trujillo et al., 2012).
- 56 Less attention, however, has been paid to the role of subsurface storage and drainage that
- 57 can influence whether or not winter precipitation or snowmelt is available for plant water
- 58 use during the summer months. Previous studies have shown that plant access to stored
- 59 water is a substantial contributor to summer evapotranspiration in semi-arid regions
- 60 (Bales et al., 2011). Plant accessible storage includes both water stored in soil and in
- 61 sapprolite and bedrock layers that can be accessed by plant roots (McNamara et al., 2011).
- 62 Like snowpack, the storage of water in the subsurface has the potential to act as a water
- 63 reservoir, storing winter precipitation for use later in the growing season (Geroy et al.,
- 64 2011). The amount of water that can be stored varies substantially in space with
- 65 topography, geologic properties, and antecedent moisture conditions (Famiglietti et al.,
- 66 2008; McNamara et al., 2005). If the rate of snowmelt allows for subsurface moisture,
- 67 stores to be replenished later into the growing season, more of the winter precipitation is
- 68 made available for plant water use. If, storage capacity is too shallow to capture a
- 69 significant amount of runoff or if the rate of rain or snowmelt inputs exceeds the rate of
- 70 infiltration, then <u>subsurface storage</u> will not be physically able to extend water
- 71 availability. While field studies in the Western US have shown that shallow soils can
- 72 limit how much snowmelt is available for ecological use during the summer (Kampf et al.,
- 73 2014; Smith et al., 2011), these studies cannot fully characterize the relative impact of
- 74 subsurface storage on ET given inter-annual and cross-site variation in climate drivers.
- 75

Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:24 PM

Elizabeth Garcia 11/20/2015 7:28 AM

Comment [1]: Reference added

Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:14 PM

Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:24 PM

Elizabeth Garcia 11/20/2015 7:28 AM

Deleted: by a soil

Deleted: soil

Deleted: soi

Deleted: 1

Deleted: oils

Deleted: are

Deleted: soil

Deleted: soil

| 84 | In this paper, we focus on the potential for plant accessible subsurface water storage to | |
|-----|--|--|
| 85 | mediate the sensitivity of ET to inter-annual variation in climate drivers, precipitation and | Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:25 PM Deleted: soil |
| 86 | temperature. Understanding how ET varies with climate drivers is important, both from | |
| 87 | the perspective of how ET influences downstream water supply and water availability for | |
| 88 | forests and other vegetation (Grant et al., 2013). Western U.S. forests show substantial | |
| 89 | vulnerability to drought, with declines in productivity and increases in mortality and | |
| 90 | disturbance in drought years (Allen et al. 2010: Hicke et al. 2012: Williams et al. 2013) | |
| 91 | Understanding these ecosystems' responses to primary climate drivers is of particular | |
| 02 | concern given recent warming trands (Starl et al. 2008) and multi year droughts (Cook et | |
| 92 | concern given recent warming tiends (Sterr et al., 2008) and multi-year droughts (Cook et | |
| 93 | al., 2004; Dal et al., 2004) and that these changes in water and energy demands are | |
| 94 | expected to intensify (Ashfaq et al., 2013). Increased temperatures also effect plant | |
| 95 | phenology, leading to earlier spring onset of plant water use and productivity (Cayan et | |
| 96 | al., 2001) and thus can influence water requirements and water use. However, increases | |
| 97 | in early season water use, combined with higher atmospheric moisture demand, may lead | |
| 98 | to increased soil water deficit later in the season. | |
| 99 | | Deleted: o |
| 100 | Forest evapotranspiration is also a substantial component of the water budget (Post and | Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:25 PM |
| 101 | Jones, 2001) and thus any change in forest water use will potentially have significant | Deleted: il |
| 102 | impacts on downstream water use. <i>Goulden et al.</i> [2012], for example, use flux tower and | |
| 103 | remote sensing data to argue that warming may result in an increase of up to 60% in | |
| 104 | vegetation water use at high elevations in the Unper Kings River watershed in | |
| 105 | California's Southarn Siarra watershed. We note however that these projected increases | |
| 105 | damend on how sub-surface stances can alter interacts with an encourage at high elevetions | |
| 106 | depend on now subsurface storage capacity interacts with snowpack at high elevations. | Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:25 PM |
| 107 | | Deleted: oil |
| 108 | This manuscript's primary research objective is to quantify the interaction between | |
| 109 | subsurface storage characteristics and key climate-related metrics that influence forest | Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:26 PM |
| 110 | water availability and use in snow-dominated environments receiving a range of summer | Elizabeth Garcia 10/30/2015 10:26 AM |
| 111 | precipitation. Heterogeneity in subsurface, properties in soil, sapprolite and bedrock layers | Deleted: Mediterranean |
| 112 | make the characterization of subsurface storage difficult at the watershed scale. Here we | Deleted: oil |
| 113 | use a spatially distributed process-based model, the Regional Hydro-Ecologic Simulation | Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:26 PM |
| 114 | System (RHESSys) to quantify how uncertainty or spatial variation in subsurface storage | Deleted: s soil |
| 117 | system (Krizssys), to quantify now uncertainty of spatial variation in substituted storage. | Deleted: oil |
| | | |

| 124 | properties might be expected to influence watershed response to these climate-related | |
|-----|--|-------------------------------|
| 125 | drivers. We apply RHESSys in three case study watersheds of differing precipitation | |
| 126 | regimes to investigate how climate and subsurface storage combine to control inter- | |
| 127 | annual variation in ET. | Deleted: soil |
| 128 | | |
| 129 | 2. METHODS | |
| 130 | | |
| 131 | We apply our model at a daily time step to three watersheds located in the western | |
| 132 | Oregon Cascades (OR-CAS), central Colorado Rocky Mountains (CO-ROC) and central | |
| 133 | California Sierras (CA-SIER). All three watersheds receive a substantial fraction of | |
| 134 | precipitation as snowfall, but vary in their precipitation and temperature regimes and | |
| 135 | amount of precipitation that falls as snow (Figure 1). We compare a humid, seasonally | |
| 136 | dry watershed (OR-CAS) to two catchments that receive half as much precipitation | |
| 137 | annually. The more water-limited catchments differ in that CO-ROC receives a | |
| 138 | significant amount of its precipitation budget during the summer growing season. We use | |
| 139 | these case studies to estimate ET sensitivity to storage and drainage properties for | |
| 140 | several different precipitation and temperature regimes common in western U.S. | Deleted: soil |
| 141 | mountain watersheds. For each watershed, we quantify how subsurface storage and | |
| 142 | drainage properties interact with a combination of inter-annual variation in precipitation | |
| 143 | timing and magnitude, and shifts in snowpack storage. We first establish how inter- | |
| 144 | annual variation in three primary climate-related metrics (precipitation, average spring | |
| 145 | temperature, and timing of soil moisture recharge) influence annual ET with average | |
| 146 | subsurface storage properties. We then explore how these relationships change across | Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:27 DM |
| 147 | physically plausible storage values. | Deleted: soil |
| 148 | | Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:28 PM |
| 149 | 2.1 RHESSys MODEL DESCRIPTION | Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:28 PM |
| 150 | | Deleted: properties |
| 151 | We use a physically based model (RHESSys v.5.15) to calculate vertical water, energy, | |
| 152 | and carbon fluxes in our three watersheds (Tague and Band, 2004). RHESSys is a | |
| 153 | spatially explicit model that partitions the landscape into units representative of the | |
| 154 | different hydro-ecological processes modeled (Band et al., 2000). RHESSys has been | |

| 160 | used to address diverse eco-hydrologic questions across many watersheds (Baron et al., |
|-----|--|
| 161 | 2000; Shields and Tague, 2012; Tague and Peng, 2013). Key model processes are |
| 162 | described below and a full account is provided in Tague and Band [2004]. |
| 163 | |
| 164 | RHESSys requires data describing spatial landscape characteristics and climate forcing; a |
| 165 | digital elevation model (DEM), geologic, and vegetation maps are used to represent the |
| 166 | topographic, geologic, carbon and nitrogen characteristics within a watershed. RHESSys |
| 167 | accounts for variability of climate processes within the catchment using algorithms |
| 168 | developed for extrapolation of climate processes from point station measurements over |
| 169 | spatially variable terrain (Running and Nemani, 1987). Hydrologic processes modeled in |
| 170 | RHESSys include interception, evapotranspiration, infiltration, vertical and lateral |
| 171 | subsurface drainage, and snow accumulation and melt. The Penman-Monteith formula |
| 172 | (Monteith, 1965) is used to calculate evaporation of canopy interception, snow |
| 173 | sublimation, evaporation from subsurface and litter stores, and transpiration by leaves. A |
| 174 | model of stomatal conductance allows transpiration to vary with soil water availability, |
| 175 | vapor pressure deficit, atmospheric CO2 concentration, and radiation and temperature |
| 176 | (Jarvis, 1976). A radiation transfer scheme that accounts for canopy overstory and |
| 177 | understory, as well as sunlit and shaded leaves, controls energy available for transpiration. |
| 178 | RHESSys accounts for changes in vapor pressure deficit for fractions of days that rain |
| 179 | occurs (wet versus dry periods). Plant canopy interception and ET are also a function of |
| 180 | leaf area index (LAI) and gappiness of the canopy such that as LAI increases and gap size |
| 181 | decreases, plant interception capacity and transpiration potential increases. RHESSys |
| 182 | partitions rain to snow at a daily timestep based on each patch's air temperature. |
| 183 | Snowmelt is estimated using a combination of an energy budget approach for |
| 184 | radiation-driven melt and a temperature index-based approach for latent heat-drive |
| 185 | melt processes. Subsurface water availability varies as a function of infiltration and |
| 186 | water loss through transpiration, evaporation and drainage. RHESSys also routes water |
| 187 | laterally and thus patches can receive additional moisture inputs as either re-infiltration of |
| 188 | surface flow or through shallow subsurface flow from upslope contributing areas. Lateral |
| 189 | subsurface drainage routes subsurface and surface water between spatial units and it is a |
| | |

| 193 | function of top | ography and soi | l and saprolite | drainage chara | acteristics. Deep | groundwater |
|-----|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------|
|-----|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------|

stores are drained to the stream using a simple linear reservoir representation,

- 196 Carbon and nitrogen cycling in RHESSys was modified from BIOME-BGC (Thornton,
- 197 1998) to account for dynamic rooting depth, sunlit and shaded leaves, multiple canopy
- 198 layers, variable carbon allocation strategies, and drought stress mortality. The Farquhar
- equation is used to calculate gross primary productivity (GPP) (Farquhar et al., 1980).
- 200 Plant respiration costs include both growth and maintenance respiration and are
- 201 influenced by temperature following *Ryan* [1991]. Net primary productivity (NPP) is
- 202 calculated by subtracting total respiration costs from GPP.
- 203

204 In our three study sites, RHESSys is driven with daily records of precipitation and

- 205 maximum and minimum temperature. Each basin is calibrated for seven parameters that
- 206 characterize subsurface storage and drainage properties. Drainage rates are controlled by
- 207 saturated hydraulic conductivity (K) and its decay with depth (m). Air-entry pressure
- 208 (φ_{ae}) , pore size index (b), and rooting depth (Z_r) control <u>subsurface</u> water holding
- 209 capacity (Brooks and Corey, 1964). In all basins, we assume that geologic properties
- allow for deeper groundwater stores that are inaccessible to vegetation (Table 2).
- 211 <u>Vegetation however can access more shallow groundwater flow.</u> These deep groundwater
- stores are controlled by two parameters representing the percentage of water that passes
- 213 to the store (gw_1) and the rate of its release to streamflow (gw_2) . Calibration is conducted
- with a Monte-Carlo based approach, the generalized likelihood uncertainty estimation
- 215 (GLUE) method (Beven and Binley, 1992). Parameter sets (1000 total) are generated by
- 216 random sampling from uniform distributions of literature-constrained estimates for the
- 217 individual parameters; all calibration parameter sets are physically viable representations
- of soils within each basin. In other words, though a single parameter set may not meet
- 219 streamflow and annual NPP calibration metrics, that particular subsurface storage
- 220 <u>capacity</u> may still exist within the basin.
- 221
- 222 Model validation and <u>drainage/storage</u> parameter calibration were performed using two
- 223 measures: daily streamflow statistics and annual measures of NPP. Streamflow statistics

Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:29 PMDeleted: soilNaomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:29 PMDeleted: Soil dNaomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:29 PMDeleted: SoilNaomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:29 PMDeleted: aNaomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:30 PMDeleted: sNaomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:29 PMDeleted: oil

Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:31 PM Deleted: soil type

Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:31 PM Deleted: soil

| 23 | 2 | were set such that good parameters resulted in daily flow magnitude errors less than 15%, | |
|----|---|---|--|
| 23 | 3 | Nash-Sutcliffe efficiencies (NSE, a measure of hydrograph shape) greater than 0.65, and | |
| 23 | 4 | logged NSE values greater than 0.7 (a test of peak and low flows) (Nash and Sutcliffe, | |
| 23 | 5 | 1970). We select all parameter sets from these acceptable values; the total number of | |
| 23 | 6 | parameters equals 87, 246, and 47 for CA-SIER, CO-ROC, and OR-CAS, respectively. | |
| 23 | 7 | Daily hydrologic fluxes are calculated over 15 years for each soil parameter set in order | |
| 23 | 8 | to account for variability due to parameters in establishing relationships with our climate | |
| 23 | 9 | related indices, the results of which are presented in Figs. 2-4. We verify our annual ET | |
| 24 | 0 | estimates against limited field estimates published in literature for subwatersheds of CO- | |
| 24 | 1 | ROC and OR-CAS (Baron and Denning, 1992; Webb et al., 1978). The average of our | |
| 24 | 2 | model estimated annual ET matches these limited field-based measurements and also fall | |
| 24 | 3 | within the bounds of annual ET estimated through water balance by subtracting annual | |
| 24 | 4 | streamflow from our records of annual precipitation. We assess the performance of the | |
| 24 | 5 | carbon-cycling model by comparing with published forest field measurements of annual | |
| 24 | 6 | NPP (values reported in Table 2). In our fully coupled eco-hydrologic model, accurate | |
| 24 | 7 | estimates of NPP also suggest that ET estimates are reasonable. Finally we note that | |
| 24 | 8 | RHESSys estimates of ET and NPP have been evaluated in a number of previous studies | |
| 24 | 9 | by comparison with flux tower and tree ring data and these studies confirm that RHESSys | |
| 25 | 0 | provides reasonable estimates of ET and its sensitivity to climate drivers (Vicente- | |
| 25 | 1 | Serrano et al., 2015; Zierl et al., 2007) . We quantify the sensitivity of ET-climate | |
| 25 | 2 | relationships to geologic properties by varying subsurface storage parameters (Figs. 5-6). | |
| 25 | 3 | | |
| 25 | 4 | 2.2 STUDY SITES | |
| 25 | 5 | | |
| 25 | 6 | These analyses are conducted in three western U.S. mountain catchments: Big Thompson | |
| 25 | 7 | in Colorado's Rocky Mountains (CO-ROC), Lookout Creek in Oregon's Western | |
| 25 | 8 | Cascades (OR-CAS), and Sagehen Creek Experimental Forest in California's Northern | |
| 25 | 9 | Sierra Nevada (CA-SIER). Basin characteristics pertinent to modeling annual ET are | |
| 26 | 0 | listed in Table 2 and we highlight important similarities and differences here. All sites are | |
| 26 | 1 | located on steep, mountainous slopes and are dominated by forest cover. All basins have | |

climates typical of the western U.S., on average receiving 54% - 81% of their annual

16

Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:31 PM Deleted: soil

Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:32 PM Deleted: soil Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:32 PM Deleted: soil

Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:32 PM Deleted: soil

Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:32 PM Deleted: soil

| 268 | precipitation during the winter, 29% - 64% of the annual <i>P</i> falls as snow, and they do not |
|-----|--|
| 269 | meet potential evaporative demand during the growing season (Fig. 1, Table 2). On |
| 270 | average, OR-CAS is a much wetter basin and receives more than twice as much annual |
| 271 | precipitation than CO-ROC and CA-SIER. Despite OR-CAS receiving more precipitation, |
| 272 | a much lower fraction of that winter precipitation is received as snow. On average OR- |
| 273 | CAS's peak streamflow occurs in December, four to five months earlier than CO-ROC |
| 274 | and CA-SIER (Fig. 1). The drier watersheds, CO-ROC and CA-SIER, receive more than |
| 275 | half of their annual precipitation as snow (Table 2). CO-ROC also experiences a summer |
| 276 | monsoonal season and on average receives 46% its annual precipitation from April - |
| 277 | September. Landscape carbon (C) and nitrogen (N) stores in general vary with total |
| 278 | annual P across basins. For example, OR-CAS receives the most precipitation and also |
| 279 | supports stands of large, old-growth forests; its LAI is more than twice that of either CO- |
| 280 | ROC or CA-SIER. As presented in the model description (Sect. 2.1), we use a stable, |
| 281 | climatic optimum for vegetation biomass for all analyses in this paper. Garcia et al. |
| 282 | [2013] and Tague and Peng [2013] provide detailed descriptions of the geology, and |
| 283 | climate data, model vegetation, and organic soil carbon store spin-up and calibration used |
| 284 | for model implementations of OR-CAS and CA-SIER, respectively. We note that all |
| 285 | precipitation and temperature data were derived from daily measurements made at |
| 286 | climate stations located within the basins and extrapolated across the terrain using MT- |
| 287 | CLM algorithms (Running and Nemani, 1987) and 30-m resolution DEMs. Though |
| 288 | RHESSys has previously been used in CO-ROC (Baron et al., 2000), we have made |
| 289 | significant updates in RHESSys since that time, so we re-implemented the model as |
| 290 | described in the next section. |
| 291 | |
| 292 | 2.2.1 RHESSys MODEL DEVELOPMENT FOR CO-ROC |
| 293 | |
| 294 | In CO-ROC, landscape topographic characteristics including elevation, slope and aspect |
| 295 | were derived from a digital elevation model (DEM) downloaded from the U.S. Geologic |
| 296 | Survey (USGS) National Elevation Data set at 1/3 arc second resolution |
| 297 | (http://datagateway.nrcs.usda.gov/). A stream network was then derived to accumulate |

surface and subsurface flow at USGS gage #06733000. Sub-catchments were delineated

17

Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:33 PM Deleted: soil

| 300 | using GRASS GIS's watershed basin analysis program, <i>r.watershed</i> . Terrestrial data was |
|-----|---|
| 301 | aggregated such that the average size of the patch units, the smallest spatial units for |
| 302 | calculation of vertical model processes, was 3600 m ² . Soil classification data was |
| 303 | downloaded from the Soil Survey Geographic database (SSURGO) and aggregated to |
| 304 | four primary soil types: gravelly loam, sandy loam, loamy sandy, and rock |
| 305 | (http://datagateway.nrcs.usda.gov/). Parameter values associated with these soil types are |
| 306 | based on literature values (Dingman, 1994; Flock, 1978) and adjusted using model |
| 307 | calibration, as described above. We note that these initial values are approximate and |
| 308 | calibration permits storage values that reflect plant access to water stored in both organic |
| 309 | soil layers and in sapprolite and rock. Vegetation land cover from the National Land |
| 310 | Cover Database (NLCD) was aggregated to four primary vegetation types: subalpine |
| 311 | conifer, aspen, shrubland, and meadow (Homer et al., 2007). Because a shift in |
| 312 | precipitation patterns occurs at approximately 2700 meters, we use daily records of |
| 313 | precipitation, T_{max} , and T_{min} from two points within the watershed. RHESSys then |
| 314 | interpolates data from these points based on MTN-CLM (Running and Nemani, 1987) to |
| 315 | provide spatial estimates of temperature, precipitation and other meteorologic drivers for |
| 316 | each patch. Climate data from 1980-2008 was downloaded from the DAYMET system |
| 317 | for two locations – one at elevation 2460 m (latitude 40.35389, longitude -105.58361) |
| 318 | and the second at 3448 m (latitude 40.33769, longitude -105.70315) (Thornton et al., |
| 319 | 2012). |
| 320 | |
| 321 | Plant C and N stores were initialized by converting remote-sensing derived LAI to leaf, |
| 322 | stem and woody carbon and nitrogen values using allometric equations appropriate to the |
| 323 | vegetation type (http://daac.ornl.gov/MODIS/; MOD15A2 Collection 5). In order to |
| 324 | stabilize organic soil C and N stores relative to the LAI-derived plant C and N, we run the |
| 325 | model repeatedly over the basin's climate record until the change in stores stabilizes |
| 326 | (Thornton and Rosenbloom, 2005). After stabilizing soil biogeochemical processes, we |
| 327 | remove vegetation C and N stores and then dynamically 'regrow' them using daily |
| 328 | allocation equations (Landsberg and Waring, 1997) for 160 years in order to stabilize |

329 plant and soil C and N stores with model climate drivers. For all three basins, an optimum

- 330 maximum size for each vegetation type was determined using published, field-derived
- 331 estimates of LAI and aboveground and total annual NPP.
- 332

333 2.3 FRAMEWORK for PRIMARY CONTROLS on ET

334

335 In these seasonally water-limited basins, we use total annual precipitation (P) as a metric 336 of gross climatic water input. Annual precipitation P is summed over a water year (Oct. 1 337 to Sep. 30 of the following calendar year) and summer season P is summed over July, 338 August, and September. For all climate metrics we use spatially averaged watershed 339 values. To assess the impact of timing of soil moisture recharge (as influenced either by 340 year to year variation in precipitation timing, snowmelt or rain-snow partitioning) we 341 calculate R_{75} , the day of water year by which 75% of the total annual recharge has 342 occurred. Recharge is defined as liquid water (e.g. rain throughfall or snowmelt) that 343 reaches the soil surface. For this metric, we do not differentiate between water that, upon 344 reaching the soil surface becomes runoff, and water that infiltrates into the soil. We treat 345 this variable as a temporal marker of potential water availability that denotes the timing 346 within the water year that either rain throughfall or snowmelt may potentially infiltrate 347 the soil. To examine energy inputs, we identify a season when temperature most strongly 348 influences estimates of annual ET modeled using historic climate. We performed linear 349 regressions between model estimate of total annual ET and one and three-month averages 350 of daily maximum (T_{max}) , minimum (T_{min}) and average temperatures $(T_{avg} = (T_{max} +$ 351 T_{\min})/2)) for all watersheds and for all months of the year. We test the correlation 352 significance with a p-value and set a significance threshold at 0.05, i.e., a p-value greater 353 than 0.05 is not significant. Our analysis found a three-month average of daily T_{avg} in 354 April, May and June (T_{AMJ}) to have the greatest explanatory power as a temperature 355 variable for estimating inter-annual variation in annual ET under historic climate 356 variability across our three study watersheds (results not shown). We note that the p-357 value for T_{AMJ} in CA-SIER was greater than 0.05 so it is not reported as a significant 358 result. The growing season is assumed to extend from May 1 to September 30 in all 359 watersheds. For all climate metrics we use spatially-averaged watershed values. 360

| 361 | We examine the role of storage through AWC. As noted above, plants access water | | |
|-----|---|--------------|---|
| 362 | organic soils as well as water stored in sapprolite and rock (Schwinning et al., 2010). We | | |
| 363 | consider an aggregate storage and do not distinguish between these layers. AWC | | Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:36 PM Comment [2]: |
| 364 | represents the water stored after gravity drainage (field capacity) that can be extracted by | | Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:37 PM |
| 365 | plant root suction (wilting point), and is thus still viable for plant water use [<i>Dingman</i> , | | Comment [3]: Schwinning, S. The ecohydrology of roots in rocks. <i>Ecohydrology</i> 3 , 238–245 (2010). |
| 366 | 1994, p. 236]. We calculate AWC as: | | Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:36 PM |
| 367 | | | Deleted: in the soil |
| 368 | $AWC = (\theta_{fc}, \theta_{wp}) Z_r $ ⁽²⁾ | | |
| 369 | | | |
| 370 | Where θ_{fc} represents the <u>average</u> field capacity per unit depth, θ_{wp} the <u>average</u> | | Naomi Taque 11/1/2015 6:37 PM |
| 371 | characteristic wilting point also per unit depth, and AWC is scaled by vegetation rooting | \backslash | Deleted: soil's |
| 372 | depth, Z_r , a model calibration parameter. The field capacity and wilting point are | | Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:37 PM |
| 373 | calculated, respectively, as | | Deleted. son s |
| 374 | | | |
| 375 | $\theta_{\rm fc} = \phi \left(\varphi_{\rm ae} / 0.033 \right)^{\rm b} \tag{3}$ | | |
| 376 | $\theta_{\rm wp} = \phi \left(\varphi_{\rm ae} / \psi_{\rm v} \right)^{1/b} \tag{4}$ | | |
| 377 | | | |
| 378 | Where ϕ is <u>average subsurface</u> porosity, φ_{ae} represents the air-entry pressure (in meters), | | Naomi Taque 11/1/2015 6:37 PM |
| 379 | b is a pore size distribution index that describes the moisture-characteristic curve, and ψ_v | \setminus | Deleted: soil |
| 380 | describes the pressure at which the plants' stomata close. Variables φ_{ac} and b are also | \setminus | Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:38 PM |
| 381 | model calibration parameters | | Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:38 PM |
| 382 | FF | | Deleted: soil |
| 383 | Larger AWC indicates that more water can be held in the subsurface, and potentially | | Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:38 PM Deleted: oil |
| 384 | interacts with climate to extend plant water availability by capturing snowmelt, one of the | | Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:39 PM |
| 385 | primary sources of water for forest ET_{*} Our results present each watershed's average | | Deleted: We note that we use the term soil in a hydrologic sense to denote subsurface media that stores water. This can include both |
| 386 | AWC; watersheds are represented by one (OR-CAS), two (CA-SIER), and five (CO- | | organic and mineral soil as well as fractured |
| 387 | ROC) soil types and their characterizations are described in Table 2. <u>All values of AWC</u> | | by deeper plant roots |
| 388 | calculated in calibration represent physically feasible values, for each watershed. | | Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:40 PM |
| 389 | | | is bounded by literature values specific to each site's soil properties (Dingman, 1994) This |
| 390 | We use RHESSys to calculate total annual ET over the entire available climate record in | | means a |
| 391 | each basin (28-50 years; Table 2) and use linear regression to quantify how much of the | | Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:39 PM Deleted: soils |

| 410 | inter-annual | variation i | n ET | is related to | each of | f the th | ree climate | metrics- | Ρ, | $T_{\rm AMJ}$, and | |
|-----|--------------|-------------|------|---------------|---------|----------|-------------|----------|----|---------------------|--|
|-----|--------------|-------------|------|---------------|---------|----------|-------------|----------|----|---------------------|--|

411 R_{75} . We set a limit of less than 0.05 for p-values to determine significance. We then

- 412 investigate how long-term mean ET and its relationship with these climate-related
- 413 indicators are influenced by AWC.
- 414
- 415 To examine how subsurface storage capacity may influence long term average ET, we
- 416 calculate average annual ET over a 15-year period (1985-2000) for a range of 1000 AWC
- 417 values and linearly regress the long-term averaged ET values against AWC. We then

418 characterize the interacting influences of AWC and each climate driver. For the 1000

419 values of AWC, we calculate the slope of annual ET estimates to each climate predictor 420 (P, T_{AMJ}, R_{75}) .

- 421
- 422 3. RESULTS
- 423
- 424 3.1 ANNUAL P vs. ET
- 425
- 426 In all watersheds higher *P* results in greater total annual ET (Fig. 2). This is a statistically
- 427 significant relationship in all watersheds (CO-ROC and CA-SIER, correlations and p-
- 428 values reported in Table 3) where the years of highest annual *P* are correlated with the
- 429 years of greatest annual ET. Of the three basins, CO-ROC's annual ET shows the greatest
- 430 sensitivity to *P*, having the steepest slope. Annual *P* is the strongest explanatory variable
- 431 of annual ET in both CO-ROC ($r^2 = 0.9$) and CA-SIER ($r^2 = 0.75$) (Table 3). For CO-ROC,
- 432 annual P has a greater influence (steeper slope) in the drier years when P is less than
- 433 1000 mm (Fig. 2). OR-CAS has the least significant relationship between P and ET on an
- 434 annual scale. OR-CAS is a relatively wet basin and on average receives more than twice
- the amount of winter (Jan-Mar) precipitation than CA-SIER or CO-ROC receives. High
- 436 annual P in OR-CAS in most years likely diminishes the sensitivity of ET to the
- 437 magnitude of *P*.
- 438
- 439 3.2 TIMING OF RECHARGE vs ET
- 440

Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:40 PM Deleted: oil Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:40 PM Deleted: soil Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:40 PM Deleted: soil Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:40 PM Deleted: soil

| 445 | For all three catchments, later R_{75} has a significant positive correlation with ET (Fig. 3). |
|-----|---|
| 446 | In OR-CAS and CA-SIER, R ₇₅ occurs between February and May. There is more scatter |
| 447 | in the predictive power of R_{75} for annual ET when R_{75} is earlier in the water year. The |
| 448 | earliest R_{75} are in OR-CAS, where a greater fraction of winter precipitation falls as rain. |
| 449 | CA-SIER and CO-ROC are more sensitive to the timing of recharge than OR-CAS. |
| 450 | Summer monsoonal pulses in CO-ROC push R_{75} to later in the water year as compared to |
| 451 | OR-CAS or CA-SIER. The explanatory power of R_{75} for ET is greatest in CA-SIER |
| 452 | where greater accumulation of snowpack and warmer spring temperatures can interact to |
| 453 | increase forest water use earlier in the growing season. |
| 454 | |
| 455 | 3.3 SPRING TEMPERATURE vs. ET |
| 456 | |
| 457 | Warmer spring temperature (T_{AMJ}) in all basins generally reduces annual ET (Fig. 4a), and |
| 458 | is significantly correlated with lower ET in CO-ROC and OR-CAS. CA-SIER does not |
| 459 | show a significant relationship between T_{AMJ} and ET. In CO-ROC and OR-CAS |
| 460 | increasing T_{AMJ} leads to a reduction in water availability and a decline in later season ET. |
| 461 | The relationship between spring air temperature and snowmelt timing is demonstrated by |
| 462 | significant correlations between T_{AMJ} and R_{75} for CO-ROC (Fig. 4b). The colder |
| 463 | temperatures and more persistent snowpack in the CO-ROC basin is more sensitive, |
| 464 | relative to OR-CAS, in ET response to earlier snowmelt due to temperature increases. |
| 465 | |
| 466 | 3.4 AWC vs. ET |
| 467 | |
| 468 | Increased AWC increases the long-term average ET in all basins. Figure 5 shows a |
| 469 | nonlinear relationship between long-term mean ET and AWC suggesting that the effect |
| 470 | of increasing storage diminishes for higher AWC values. Each basin reaches an |
| 471 | approximate storage capacity above which a further increase in storage (AWC) is less |
| 472 | important and climate (i.e., P and energy) variables limit ET. Following Muggeo [2003], |
| 473 | for each basin, we calculate that breakpoint value of AWC where ET is less sensitive to |
| 474 | AWC. We find that the threshold value of AWC varies across basins and is substantially |

475 higher in CO-ROC (265 mm) as compared to CA-SIER (195 mm) and OR-CAS (190

Elizabeth Garcia 10/30/2015 10:33 AM

Deleted: , which is somewhat counterintuitive. Among the predicted consequences of increased temperatures are an earlier start to the vegetation growing season (Cayan et al., 2001), and an increase in vapor pressure deficits and water demand (Isaac and van Wijngaarden, 2012). Thus warmer spring temperatures could potentially increase total annual ET through lengthening of the early growing season. However, warmer spring temperatures are

Elizabeth Garcia 10/30/2015 5:14 PM

Deleted: because the effect of temperature is strongly dependent on the amount of snowpack the basin receives in a year (Tague and Peng, 2013), which is more variable than the amount of snowpack received in CO-ROC or OR-CAS. These results suggest that the dominant effect of warmer spring temperatures is earlier meltout of snowpack, which leads to more snowmelt lost as runoff and results in less net recharge. A mechanism we suggest for this loss of runoff is that soils are more likely to be saturated in spring months. Later into the growing season, increased ET demands will have depleted soil stores and throughfall/snowmelt will enter the soil matrix and be available for plant water use. So instead of higher T_{AMJ} leading to increased water demand and early growing season ET, i Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 <u>6:45 PM</u>

Deleted: SOIL

| 506 | mm) (Fig. 5). Regression of | AWC agains | t annual ET | show that a | significant | relationship |
|-----|-----------------------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
|-----|-----------------------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|

507 exists in OR-CAS and CO-ROC (Table 3).

508

Elizabeth Garcia 10/30/2015 7:18 AM **Deleted:** logged values of

509 The effect of varying lateral redistribution or lateral drainage parameters can be seen in 510 the range of slopes for a given AWC (e.g., the scatter in the slope-AWC relationship). 511 All three watersheds show some sensitivity of climate-ET relationships to lateral 512 redistribution parameters for a given AWC. CA-SIER shows the greatest sensitivity, 513 followed by OR-CAS and CO-ROC. The greater sensitivity of CA-SIER to lateral 514 drainage parameters may reflect the strong contribution of snowmelt recharge in its drier 515 and winter precipitation dominated climate. The topography of CA-SIER is also 516 distinctive and includes many swale-like features that concentrate drainage from upslope 517 areas. We calculate the topographic wetness index (TWI) using a 30m resolution DEM 518 for each watershed (Moore et al., 1991) (Table 2). The TWI reflects the propensity of a 519 location to develop saturated conditions under the assumption that topography controls 520 water flow. Higher TWI values represent flatter, converging terrain and lower values 521 reflect steep topography. The mean TWI for CA-SIER is greater than, and significantly 522 different from (Welch's t-test) the mean TWI for CO-ROC and OR-CAS. Particularly for 523 CA-SIER, changing storage parameters associated with drainage rates can alter the Naomi Tague <u>11/1/2015 6:45 PM</u> 524 timing of flow into areas that concentrate flow and subsequently alter their ET rates. Deleted: soil 525 3.5 SENSITIVITY OF ET to CLIMATE DRIVERS with AWC 526 Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:45 PM 527 Deleted: SOIL 528 We analyze the sensitivity of ET relationships with climate drivers to subsurface storage 529 properties by plotting the slope of linear regressions between ET and P, R_{75} , and T_{AMJ} , Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:45 PM 530 across all storage parameter sets in Fig. 6. We note that the slope of the relationships Deleted: oil Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:45 PM 531 between climate drivers and ET has been normalized by the watersheds' mean AWC in Deleted: soil 532 these plots to facilitate cross-site comparison. 533 534 3.5.1 SENSITIVITY to P with AWC 535

| 541 | Of the climate drivers explored, ET relationships with annual precipitation P have the | |
|---|---|--|
| 542 | greatest robustness across subsurface storage parameter sets, as suggested by number of | |
| 543 | sets that show a statistically significant relationship between annual P and annual ET (Fig. | Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:46 PM Deleted: soil |
| 544 | 6A). As expected, slopes are positive between P and ET across all basins. Only the drier | |
| 545 | basins CO-ROC and CA-SIER have p-values less than 0.001, highlighting the strength of | |
| 546 | P as a climatic driver in these drier basins, as discussed above. The response in slope | |
| 547 | sensitivity across AWC is similar in OR-CAS and CA-SIER where ET's sensitivity to P | |
| 548 | is highest at low AWC and decreases with increased AWC. OR-CAS has a much smaller | |
| 549 | range in sensitivities (slope varies from 0.2-0.6) compared to CA-SIER (slope varies | |
| 550 | from 0.0-0.8). Thus in CA-SIER for low values of AWC, year-to-year variation in P | |
| 551 | becomes a greater control on year-to-year variation in ET. For both OR-CAS and CA- | |
| 552 | SIER, increasing AWC becomes less important at higher values of AWC. Higher scatter | |
| 553 | in slope of annual P versus ET relationship for CA-SIER also reflects the greater | |
| 554 | sensitivity of ET to subsurface parameters that influence lateral drainage as discussed | Noomi Toguo 11/1/2015 6:46 DM |
| 555 | above (Sect. 3.4). | Deleted: soil |
| | | |
| 556 | | |
| 556 557 | The variation of ET response to <i>P</i> across AWC in CO-ROC is noteworthy for two | |
| 556 557 558 | The variation of ET response to P across AWC in CO-ROC is noteworthy for two reasons. First, CO-ROC has the highest slope values (0.6-0.8), which again reflects the | |
| 556 557 558 559 | The variation of ET response to P across AWC in CO-ROC is noteworthy for two reasons. First, CO-ROC has the highest slope values (0.6-0.8), which again reflects the consistency of annual P as a control on inter-annual variation in ET in this basin. Second, | |
| 556 557 558 559 560 | The variation of ET response to P across AWC in CO-ROC is noteworthy for two reasons. First, CO-ROC has the highest slope values (0.6-0.8), which again reflects the consistency of annual P as a control on inter-annual variation in ET in this basin. Second, unlike OR-CAS and CA-SIER, increasing AWC does not substantially reduce that | |
| 556 557 558 559 560 561 | The variation of ET response to P across AWC in CO-ROC is noteworthy for two reasons. First, CO-ROC has the highest slope values (0.6-0.8), which again reflects the consistency of annual P as a control on inter-annual variation in ET in this basin. Second, unlike OR-CAS and CA-SIER, increasing AWC does not substantially reduce that sensitivity (i.e., slope) to P . Though CO-ROC's sensitivity to P does not change with | |
| 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 | The variation of ET response to <i>P</i> across AWC in CO-ROC is noteworthy for two reasons. First, CO-ROC has the highest slope values (0.6-0.8), which again reflects the consistency of annual <i>P</i> as a control on inter-annual variation in ET in this basin. Second, unlike OR-CAS and CA-SIER, increasing AWC does not substantially reduce that sensitivity (i.e., slope) to <i>P</i> . Though CO-ROC's sensitivity to <i>P</i> does not change with AWC, the scatter in slopes (0.6-0.8) suggests that lateral drainage has a strong effect on | |
| 555 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 | The variation of ET response to <i>P</i> across AWC in CO-ROC is noteworthy for two reasons. First, CO-ROC has the highest slope values (0.6-0.8), which again reflects the consistency of annual <i>P</i> as a control on inter-annual variation in ET in this basin. Second, unlike OR-CAS and CA-SIER, increasing AWC does not substantially reduce that sensitivity (i.e., slope) to <i>P</i> . Though CO-ROC's sensitivity to <i>P</i> does not change with AWC, the scatter in slopes (0.6-0.8) suggests that lateral drainage has a strong effect on this climate-ET relationship. We note that CO-ROC has a seasonal precipitation regime | |
| 5556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 | The variation of ET response to <i>P</i> across AWC in CO-ROC is noteworthy for two reasons. First, CO-ROC has the highest slope values (0.6-0.8), which again reflects the consistency of annual <i>P</i> as a control on inter-annual variation in ET in this basin. Second, unlike OR-CAS and CA-SIER, increasing AWC does not substantially reduce that sensitivity (i.e., slope) to <i>P</i> . Though CO-ROC's sensitivity to <i>P</i> does not change with AWC, the scatter in slopes (0.6-0.8) suggests that lateral drainage has a strong effect on this climate-ET relationship. We note that CO-ROC has a seasonal precipitation regime where a significant fraction of its annual precipitation is received later in the growing | |
| 555 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 | The variation of ET response to <i>P</i> across AWC in CO-ROC is noteworthy for two reasons. First, CO-ROC has the highest slope values (0.6-0.8), which again reflects the consistency of annual <i>P</i> as a control on inter-annual variation in ET in this basin. Second, unlike OR-CAS and CA-SIER, increasing AWC does not substantially reduce that sensitivity (i.e., slope) to <i>P</i> . Though CO-ROC's sensitivity to <i>P</i> does not change with AWC, the scatter in slopes (0.6-0.8) suggests that lateral drainage has a strong effect on this climate-ET relationship. We note that CO-ROC has a seasonal precipitation regime where a significant fraction of its annual precipitation is received later in the growing season as summer monsoonal pulses. When precipitation occurs during the growing | |
| 555 557 558 560 561 562 563 564 565 566 | The variation of ET response to <i>P</i> across AWC in CO-ROC is noteworthy for two reasons. First, CO-ROC has the highest slope values (0.6-0.8), which again reflects the consistency of annual <i>P</i> as a control on inter-annual variation in ET in this basin. Second, unlike OR-CAS and CA-SIER, increasing AWC does not substantially reduce that sensitivity (i.e., slope) to <i>P</i> . Though CO-ROC's sensitivity to <i>P</i> does not change with AWC, the scatter in slopes (0.6-0.8) suggests that lateral drainage has a strong effect on this climate-ET relationship. We note that CO-ROC has a seasonal precipitation regime where a significant fraction of its annual precipitation is received later in the growing season as summer monsoonal pulses. When precipitation occurs during the growing season, the water available for ET is less likely to be limited by storage capacity. Instead | |
| 5556 557 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 566 566 | The variation of ET response to <i>P</i> across AWC in CO-ROC is noteworthy for two reasons. First, CO-ROC has the highest slope values (0.6-0.8), which again reflects the consistency of annual <i>P</i> as a control on inter-annual variation in ET in this basin. Second, unlike OR-CAS and CA-SIER, increasing AWC does not substantially reduce that sensitivity (i.e., slope) to <i>P</i> . Though CO-ROC's sensitivity to <i>P</i> does not change with AWC, the scatter in slopes (0.6-0.8) suggests that lateral drainage has a strong effect on this climate-ET relationship. We note that CO-ROC has a seasonal precipitation regime where a significant fraction of its annual precipitation is received later in the growing season as summer monsoonal pulses. When precipitation occurs during the growing season, the water available for ET is less likely to be limited by storage capacity. Instead ET is limited by the amount or intensity of precipitation. Water that does recharge the | |
| 555 557 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 566 567 568 | The variation of ET response to <i>P</i> across AWC in CO-ROC is noteworthy for two reasons. First, CO-ROC has the highest slope values (0.6-0.8), which again reflects the consistency of annual <i>P</i> as a control on inter-annual variation in ET in this basin. Second, unlike OR-CAS and CA-SIER, increasing AWC does not substantially reduce that sensitivity (i.e., slope) to <i>P</i> . Though CO-ROC's sensitivity to <i>P</i> does not change with AWC, the scatter in slopes (0.6-0.8) suggests that lateral drainage has a strong effect on this climate-ET relationship. We note that CO-ROC has a seasonal precipitation regime where a significant fraction of its annual precipitation is received later in the growing season as summer monsoonal pulses. When precipitation occurs during the growing season, the water available for ET is less likely to be limited by storage capacity. Instead ET is limited by the amount or intensity of precipitation. Water that does recharge the system is used relatively quickly, making variation in storage (or AWC) less important as | |
| 5556 557 558 560 561 562 563 564 565 566 566 567 568 569 | The variation of ET response to P across AWC in CO-ROC is noteworthy for two reasons. First, CO-ROC has the highest slope values (0.6-0.8), which again reflects the consistency of annual P as a control on inter-annual variation in ET in this basin. Second, unlike OR-CAS and CA-SIER, increasing AWC does not substantially reduce that sensitivity (i.e., slope) to P . Though CO-ROC's sensitivity to P does not change with AWC, the scatter in slopes (0.6-0.8) suggests that lateral drainage has a strong effect on this climate-ET relationship. We note that CO-ROC has a seasonal precipitation regime where a significant fraction of its annual precipitation is received later in the growing season as summer monsoonal pulses. When precipitation occurs during the growing season, the water available for ET is less likely to be limited by storage capacity. Instead ET is limited by the amount or intensity of precipitation. Water that does recharge the system is used relatively quickly, making variation in storage (or AWC) less important as a control on how much P can be used in CO-ROC. | |
| 555 557 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 566 566 567 568 569 569 | The variation of ET response to P across AWC in CO-ROC is noteworthy for two reasons. First, CO-ROC has the highest slope values (0.6-0.8), which again reflects the consistency of annual P as a control on inter-annual variation in ET in this basin. Second, unlike OR-CAS and CA-SIER, increasing AWC does not substantially reduce that sensitivity (i.e., slope) to P . Though CO-ROC's sensitivity to P does not change with AWC, the scatter in slopes (0.6-0.8) suggests that lateral drainage has a strong effect on this climate-ET relationship. We note that CO-ROC has a seasonal precipitation regime where a significant fraction of its annual precipitation is received later in the growing season as summer monsoonal pulses. When precipitation occurs during the growing season, the water available for ET is less likely to be limited by storage capacity. Instead ET is limited by the amount or intensity of precipitation. Water that does recharge the system is used relatively quickly, making variation in storage (or AWC) less important as a control on how much P can be used in CO-ROC. | |

571 3.5.2 SENSITIVITY to R_{75} with AWC

| 574 | | |
|-----|--|---|
| 575 | After precipitation, the timing of recharge (R_{75}) most significantly correlates with | |
| 576 | increased ET across all AWC and all basins (Fig. 6B). There are several similarities in | |
| 577 | the response of ET's sensitivity to R_{75} across AWC when compared to sensitivity to P | |
| 578 | (Fig. 6A). For example, the dry basins CO-ROC and CA-SIER have the highest degree of | |
| 579 | sensitivity (significant slopes > 1.0) as compared to OR-CAS (slopes < 1.0) and CA- | |
| 580 | SIER has the greatest variability in its sensitivity to AWC with slopes ranging from 1.0- | |
| 581 | 3.0 across variation in storage parameters. CO-ROC once again has the least variability in | Noomi Tooluo 11/1/2015 6:46 DM |
| 582 | the ET versus R_{75} relationship, with consistently high (2.0-2.5) slopes unaffected by | Deleted: oil |
| 583 | AWC. | |
| 584 | | |
| 585 | 3.5.3 SENSITIVITY to T_{AMJ} with AWC | |
| 586 | | |
| 587 | Finally, T_{AMJ} has the fewest subsurface storage/drainage parameter sets with significant | |
| 588 | correlation with ET. None of the linear regressions of ET on T_{AMJ} have statistical | Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:46 PM Deleted: soil |
| 589 | significance less than 0.001 (Fig. 6C). The slopes are always negative because earlier | |
| 590 | occurrence of snowmelt results in less ET. For all basins, the sensitivity of ET to T_{AMJ} is | |
| 591 | greatest at the lowest values of AWC, though CO-ROC once again demonstrates the least | |
| 592 | variability in slopes across the entire range of AWC (-0.2 – -0.3). At OR-CAS, T_{AMJ} is | |
| 593 | only significant for the lower AWC values. We suggest this is in part due to the small | |
| 594 | fraction of P that falls as snow. Because T_{AMJ} 's largest effect is through timing of | |
| 595 | snowmelt (Fig. 4), AWC interacts with T_{AMJ} to modulate the melt response. With | |
| 596 | relatively less snowmelt in OR-CAS, only the systems with the smallest capacities will | |
| 597 | have a significant negative interaction effect with AWC. | Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:47 PM Deleted: soils |
| 598 | | |
| 599 | 4. DISCUSSION | |
| 600 | | |
| 601 | Our model estimates show differences in the response of ET to climate-related drivers | |
| 602 | across the three watersheds, primarily due to differences in their precipitation regimes. | |
| 603 | Spatial heterogeneity in <u>soil and geology</u> , both within and between watersheds | Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:47 PM |
| 604 | substantially alter these relationships. <u>Our model-based study provides a simplified</u> | Elizabeth Garcia 10/30/2015 10:49 AM |
| | | Moved (insertion) [1] |

| 609 | representation of these interactions, ignoring many additional complexities. In particular, | |
|-----|--|-------------------------------------|
| 610 | we assume no adaptation of the ecosystem structure and composition that would | |
| 611 | influence productivity, evapotranspiration and their relationship with climate | |
| 612 | (Loudermilk et al., 2013). Future work will investigate these coupled carbon cycling- | |
| 613 | hydrology interactions. In this study we focus on the energy and moisture drivers of ET | |
| 614 | and how subsurface properties influence their interaction. | |
| 615 | v | |
| 616 | The degree to which climate drivers affect ET varies with the magnitude and seasonality | Deleted: |
| 617 | of basin precipitation. Total annual P is the first order control of ET in the two drier | |
| 618 | watersheds, CO-ROC and CA-SIER. In OR-CAS, most of the inter-annual variation in | |
| 619 | precipitation is reflected in inter-annual variation in runoff rather than ET. In most years, | |
| 620 | subsurface storage is filled by this annual precipitation during the winter and spring, | |
| 621 | asynchronously to late growing season demands (Fig. 1). Our results extend findings by | Deleted: soil |
| 622 | previous studies demonstrating that vegetation productivity and water use relates to the | |
| 623 | fraction of regional precipitation available to plants (Brooks et al., 2011; Thompson et al., | |
| 624 | 2011). The fraction of water available to plants tends to decrease with larger rainfall | |
| 625 | (given saturated soil stores a greater proportion is lost) and with synchronicity between | |
| 626 | the timing of recharge and growing season water demands. | |
| 627 | | |
| 628 | Our analysis highlights the timing of water availability (R_{75}) as a key predictor of total | |
| 629 | annual ET; annual ET increases when recharge occurs later in the water year, during the | |
| 630 | growing season and period of highest water demand. Previous research has shown how | |
| 631 | delayed soil moisture recharge (Tague and Peng, 2013) and snowpack dynamics (Tague | |
| 632 | and Heyn, 2009; Trujillo et al., 2012) are able to increase ET in the Sierra Nevada. In | |
| 633 | these mountain basins, the sensitivity of ET to timing of recharge is related to the fraction | |
| 634 | of precipitation received as snow. The climate metrics related to snowmelt, R_{75} and T_{AMJ} , | |
| 635 | are important secondary controls of ET, especially in the colder, snow-dominated | |
| 636 | watersheds, CA-SIER and CO-ROC. We note that CA-SIER does not show a significant | |
| 637 | relationship between T_{AMJ} and ET because the effect of temperature is strongly dependent | |
| 638 | on the amount of snowpack the basin receives in a year (Tague and Peng, 2013), which is | |
| 639 | more variable than the amount of snowpack received in CO-ROC or OR-CAS. In OR- | Elizabeth Garcia 10/30/2015 5:25 PM |
| | | Woved (Insertion) (4) |

5 PM Moved (insertion) [4]

| 617 | CAS and $CO_{T}O_{T}$ arrive temperature T_{T} is more strongly related to ET through its | | Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:56 PM |
|-----|---|---|---|
| 042 | <u>CAS and CO-ROC, spring temperature T_{AMJ} is more strongly related to E1 through its</u> | | Deleted: A mechanism we suggest for this |
| 643 | effect on snowmelt and correlates negatively with ET. These results suggest that the | | Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:56 PM |
| 644 | dominant effect of warmer spring temperatures is earlier meltout of snowpack, which | | Naomi Taque 11/1/2015 6:48 PM |
| 645 | leads to more snowmelt lost as runoff and results in less net recharge. This greater loss of | | Deleted: soils |
| 646 | runoff occurs when storage canacity is exceeded. Later into the growing season | | Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:48 PM |
| 040 | | K | Deleted: are more likely to be saturated |
| 647 | increased ET demands will have depleted subsurface stores and throughfall/snowmelt | | Deleted: in spring months |
| 648 | will enter the soil matrix and be available for plant water use. Previous work has shown | | Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:48 PM |
| 649 | seasonal increases in spring ET with warmer spring temperatures (Hamlet et al., 2007) | | Deleted: oil |
| 650 | which may be related to an earlier start to the vegetation growing season (Cayan et al., | | Deleted: the lateness of |
| 651 | 2001), and an increase in vapor pressure deficits and water demand (Isaac and van | | Elizabeth Garcia 10/30/2015 5:25 PM |
| 652 | Wijngaarden, 2012). Our work suggests that though early season ET may increase with | | Moved up [4]: In OR-CAS and CO-ROC, spring temperature T_{AMJ} is more strongly |
| 653 | warming temperatures, warmer spring temperatures may in some cases decrease total | | and correlates negatively with ET. |
| 654 | annual FT by melting the snownack stores earlier in the water year and reducing soil | | Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:58 PM |
| 651 | maintail 11 by metalling the showpack stores carrier in the water year and reducing point | | Naomi Taque 11/1/2015 6:58 PM |
| 055 | noisture recharge_later in the spring when energy demand is high. | | Formatted: Font:Not Bold |
| 656 | | | Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:58 PM |
| 657 | The range of sensitivities of ET to climate in this study is a direct function of climatic and | | Deleted: The range of sensitivities of ET to climate in this study is a direct function of the |
| 658 | physical characteristics of the catchments presented in this study. For example, OR-CAS | | physical characteristics of the catchments presented in this study. For example, OR-CAS |
| 659 | receives twice as much precipitation and spans a much lower elevation range than either | | receives twice as much precipitation and spans a much lower elevation range than either CA- |
| 660 | CA-SIER or CO-ROC (Table 2). Because OR-CAS is considerably wetter, its sensitivity | | SIER or CO-ROC (Table 2). Because OR-CAS is considerably wetter, its sensitivity of ET to |
| 661 | of ET to magnitude of annual P is lessened considerably. OR-CAS' lower elevations, and | | magnitude of annual <i>P</i> is lessened considerably. Similarly, OR-CAS' lower elevations, and |
| 662 | related mean winter temperatures, also result in smaller average snowpacks reducing the | | related mean winter temperatures, result in smaller average snowpacks reducing the |
| 663 | strength of spring temperature as an explanatory variable for ET. Differences between | | strength of spring temperature as an explanatory variable for ET. We also note that |
| 664 | CA-SIER and CO-ROC largely reflect seasonal distribution of precipitation, and reflect | | CO-ROC is considerably larger than our other two study sites and as such includes [11] |
| 665 | the importance of summer precipitation in CO-ROC, While climate is the dominant | | Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:58 PM |
| 666 | factor, topographic differences are also important. As discussed above, topographically | | Formatted: Font:Not Bold |
| 667 | driven flowpath convergence in CA-SIER tends to increase sensitivity of ET to | | Formatted: Font:Not Bold |
| 668 | narameters that influence lateral drainage. This effect is less evident in the other two | | Elizabeth Garcia 10/30/2015 9:56 AM |
| 000 | parameters that influence lateral dramage. This effect is less evident in the other two | | Formatted: Font:Not Bold |
| 669 | watersheds. | | Elizabeth Garcia 10/30/2015 10:56 AM |
| 670 | x | | increases the magnitude of long-term av [2] |
| 671 | Over a range of physically realistic storage characteristics, long-term averages of ET | | Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:49 PM |
| 672 | increase with greater storage (AWC) in all basins. Our analysis found the greatest | | Deletea: soil |
| 0.1 | increase mail product produce (1111 c) in an ousing, our analysis found the product | | (Deleted: soil |

| 735 | sensitivity of long-term average annual ET to variation in AWC in OR-CAS (Table 3). In | |
|--|--|--|
| 736 | CO-ROC, ET ranges from 380-600 mm across annual P variation, and across all | |
| 737 | calibrated subsurface parameters long-term average ET ranges from 450-600 mm. This | |
| 738 | variation in CO-ROC's ET associated with subsurface storage characteristics is on the | Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:49 PM Deleted: soil |
| 739 | same order of magnitude as inter-annual variation in ET with <i>P</i> . Similarly, in CA-SIER, | Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:59 PM |
| 740 | ET ranges from 400-800 mm across the <i>P</i> record and across all storage parameters, and | Deleted: soil |
| 741 | ranges from 700-1000 mm long-term. There is a nonlinear relationship between ET and | Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:49 PM Deleted: soil |
| 742 | AWC in each basin. We suggest that below a threshold point in each basin (195 - 265mm | |
| 743 | of AWC), long-term average ET is more sensitive to AWC and above these threshold | |
| 744 | values the effect of climate on ET is greater than an increase in subsurface storage | |
| 745 | | Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:49 PM |
| 746 | The sensitivity of FT to year to year variability of climate drivers is also influenced by | Deleted: oil |
| 740 | AWC. The experimentary of ET estimates to alignets deigner exprise her true to fine experimentary de | |
| 747 | AwC. The sensitivity of ET estimates to climate drivers varies by two to five magnitudes | Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:49 PM |
| /48 | in CA-SIER and OR-CAS across the range of plausible storage parameters. These basins | Deleted: soil |
| 749 | receive the smallest fraction of annual P in the summer and their annual ET estimates are | Deleted: oil |
| 750 | most sensitive to P , R_{75} , and T_{AMJ} at low, water capacity (AWC). CO-ROC has a high | Naomi Taque 11/1/2015 6:50 PM |
| | | |
| 751 | sensitivity to climate drivers but this sensitivity does not change with AWC. We suggest | Deleted: soil |
| 751 752 | sensitivity to climate drivers but this sensitivity does not change with AWC. We suggest that a strong summer <i>P</i> signal in CO-ROC explains the negligible change in ET's | Deleted: soil Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:50 PM Reveal to the solution of the solu |
| 751 752 753 | sensitivity to climate drivers but this sensitivity does not change with AWC. We suggest that a strong summer <i>P</i> signal in CO-ROC explains the negligible change in ET's sensitivity to climate drivers across values of AWC, similar to other studies that show | Deleted: soil Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:50 PM Deleted: content |
| 751 752 753 754 | sensitivity to climate drivers but this sensitivity does not change with AWC. We suggest that a strong summer <i>P</i> signal in CO-ROC explains the negligible change in ET's sensitivity to climate drivers across values of AWC, similar to other studies that show that summer <i>P</i> can offset the dependence of ET on soil replenishment or winter snowpack | Deleted: soil Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:50 PM Deleted: content |
| 751 752 753 754 755 | sensitivity to climate drivers but this sensitivity does not change with AWC. We suggest that a strong summer <i>P</i> signal in CO-ROC explains the negligible change in ET's sensitivity to climate drivers across values of AWC, similar to other studies that show that summer <i>P</i> can offset the dependence of ET on soil replenishment or winter snowpack (Hamlet et al., 2007; Litaor et al., 2008). The relative importance of AWC to regional | Deleted: soil Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:50 PM Deleted: content |
| 751 752 753 754 755 756 | sensitivity to climate drivers but this sensitivity does not change with AWC. We suggest that a strong summer <i>P</i> signal in CO-ROC explains the negligible change in ET's sensitivity to climate drivers across values of AWC, similar to other studies that show that summer <i>P</i> can offset the dependence of ET on soil replenishment or winter snowpack (Hamlet et al., 2007; Litaor et al., 2008). The relative importance of AWC to regional climate differences is apparent if we consider that a similar sensitivity to <i>P</i> and T_{AMJ} can | Deleted: soil Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:50 PM Deleted: content |
| 751 752 753 754 755 756 757 | sensitivity to climate drivers but this sensitivity does not change with AWC. We suggest that a strong summer <i>P</i> signal in CO-ROC explains the negligible change in ET's sensitivity to climate drivers across values of AWC, similar to other studies that show that summer <i>P</i> can offset the dependence of ET on soil replenishment or winter snowpack (Hamlet et al., 2007; Litaor et al., 2008). The relative importance of AWC to regional climate differences is apparent if we consider that a similar sensitivity to <i>P</i> and T_{AMJ} can be achieved for all basins by varying AWC. For example, ET at the smallest AWC values | Deleted: soil Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:50 PM Deleted: content |
| 751 752 753 754 755 756 757 758 | sensitivity to climate drivers but this sensitivity does not change with AWC. We suggest that a strong summer <i>P</i> signal in CO-ROC explains the negligible change in ET's sensitivity to climate drivers across values of AWC, similar to other studies that show that summer <i>P</i> can offset the dependence of ET on soil replenishment or winter snowpack (Hamlet et al., 2007; Litaor et al., 2008). The relative importance of AWC to regional climate differences is apparent if we consider that a similar sensitivity to <i>P</i> and T_{AMJ} can be achieved for all basins by varying AWC. For example, ET at the smallest AWC values in OR-CAS are similarly sensitive (slope of 0.6) to inter-annual variation precipitation as | Deleted: soil Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:50 PM Deleted: content |
| 751 752 753 754 755 756 757 758 759 | sensitivity to climate drivers but this sensitivity does not change with AWC. We suggest that a strong summer <i>P</i> signal in CO-ROC explains the negligible change in ET's sensitivity to climate drivers across values of AWC, similar to other studies that show that summer <i>P</i> can offset the dependence of ET on soil replenishment or winter snowpack (Hamlet et al., 2007; Litaor et al., 2008). The relative importance of AWC to regional climate differences is apparent if we consider that a similar sensitivity to <i>P</i> and T_{AMJ} can be achieved for all basins by varying AWC. For example, ET at the smallest AWC values in OR-CAS are similarly sensitive (slope of 0.6) to inter-annual variation precipitation as stands in CO-ROC (Fig. 6A). | Deleted: soil Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:50 PM Deleted: content Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:50 PM Deleted: soil |
| 751 752 753 754 755 756 757 758 759 760 | sensitivity to climate drivers but this sensitivity does not change with AWC. We suggest that a strong summer <i>P</i> signal in CO-ROC explains the negligible change in ET's sensitivity to climate drivers across values of AWC, similar to other studies that show that summer <i>P</i> can offset the dependence of ET on soil replenishment or winter snowpack (Hamlet et al., 2007; Litaor et al., 2008). The relative importance of AWC to regional climate differences is apparent if we consider that a similar sensitivity to <i>P</i> and T_{AMJ} can be achieved for all basins by varying <u>AWC</u> . For example, ET at the smallest AWC values in OR-CAS are similarly sensitive (slope of 0.6) to inter-annual variation precipitation as stands in CO-ROC (Fig. 6A). | Deleted: soil Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:50 PM Deleted: content Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:50 PM Deleted: soil |
| 751 752 753 754 755 756 757 758 759 760 761 | sensitivity to climate drivers but this sensitivity does not change with AWC. We suggest that a strong summer <i>P</i> signal in CO-ROC explains the negligible change in ET's sensitivity to climate drivers across values of AWC, similar to other studies that show that summer <i>P</i> can offset the dependence of ET on soil replenishment or winter snowpack (Hamlet et al., 2007; Litaor et al., 2008). The relative importance of AWC to regional climate differences is apparent if we consider that a similar sensitivity to <i>P</i> and T_{AMJ} can be achieved for all basins by varying AWC. For example, ET at the smallest AWC values in OR-CAS are similarly sensitive (slope of 0.6) to inter-annual variation precipitation as stands in CO-ROC (Fig. 6A). The two more water-limited basins demonstrate similarly high sensitivities of ET to | Deleted: soil Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:50 PM Deleted: content |
| 751 752 753 754 755 756 757 758 759 760 761 762 | sensitivity to climate drivers but this sensitivity does not change with AWC. We suggest that a strong summer <i>P</i> signal in CO-ROC explains the negligible change in ET's sensitivity to climate drivers across values of AWC, similar to other studies that show that summer <i>P</i> can offset the dependence of ET on soil replenishment or winter snowpack (Hamlet et al., 2007; Litaor et al., 2008). The relative importance of AWC to regional climate differences is apparent if we consider that a similar sensitivity to <i>P</i> and T_{AMJ} can be achieved for all basins by varying AWC. For example, ET at the smallest AWC values in OR-CAS are similarly sensitive (slope of 0.6) to inter-annual variation precipitation as stands in CO-ROC (Fig. 6A). The two more water-limited basins demonstrate similarly high sensitivities of ET to climate drivers, but differ in the response of their sensitivity to climate across AWCs. | Deleted: soil Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:50 PM Deleted: content |
| 751 752 753 754 755 756 757 758 759 760 761 762 763 | sensitivity to climate drivers but this sensitivity does not change with AWC. We suggest that a strong summer <i>P</i> signal in CO-ROC explains the negligible change in ET's sensitivity to climate drivers across values of AWC, similar to other studies that show that summer <i>P</i> can offset the dependence of ET on soil replenishment or winter snowpack (Hamlet et al., 2007; Litaor et al., 2008). The relative importance of AWC to regional climate differences is apparent if we consider that a similar sensitivity to <i>P</i> and T_{AMJ} can be achieved for all basins by varying AWC. For example, ET at the smallest AWC values in OR-CAS are similarly sensitive (slope of 0.6) to inter-annual variation precipitation as stands in CO-ROC (Fig. 6A). The two more water-limited basins demonstrate similarly high sensitivities of ET to climate drivers, but differ in the response of their sensitivity to climate across AWCs. Despite CO-ROC and CA-SIER showing similarly strong sensitivities to climate, their | Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:50 PM Deleted: content Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:50 PM Deleted: soil |
| 751 752 753 754 755 756 757 758 759 760 761 762 763 764 | sensitivity to climate drivers but this sensitivity does not change with AWC. We suggest that a strong summer <i>P</i> signal in CO-ROC explains the negligible change in ET's sensitivity to climate drivers across values of AWC, similar to other studies that show that summer <i>P</i> can offset the dependence of ET on soil replenishment or winter snowpack (Hamlet et al., 2007; Litaor et al., 2008). The relative importance of AWC to regional climate differences is apparent if we consider that a similar sensitivity to <i>P</i> and T_{AMJ} can be achieved for all basins by varying AWC. For example, ET at the smallest AWC values in OR-CAS are similarly sensitive (slope of 0.6) to inter-annual variation precipitation as stands in CO-ROC (Fig. 6A). The two more water-limited basins demonstrate similarly high sensitivities of ET to climate drivers, but differ in the response of their sensitivity to climate across AWCs. Despite CO-ROC and CA-SIER showing similarly strong sensitivities to climate, their response across AWC differs considerably. CA-SIER's sensitivity to climate drivers is | Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:50 PM Deleted: content Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:50 PM Deleted: soil Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:50 PM Deleted: soil |

| 777 | AWC values. Its lack of summer precipitation, like OR-CAS, gives water storage a more | |
|-----|--|---|
| 778 | significant role in mediating late summer water stress. With lower AWC values there is | Deleted: soil |
| 779 | less potential for water storage and ET becomes more sensitive to climate drivers. | |
| 780 | | |
| 781 | In addition to the sensitivity to AWC, our results show that lateral redistribution strongly | |
| 782 | influences the sensitivity of ET to climate drivers in the drier basins; in CA-SIER and | |
| 783 | CO-ROC there is considerable scatter in the slopes for P and R_{75} across a single AWC | |
| 784 | (e.g., for an AWC of 400 mm, the P:ET ranges from 0.6 to 0.8 and 0.2 to 0.7 for CO- | |
| 785 | ROC and CA-SIER, respectively in Fig. 6A). We note that this additional sensitivity of | |
| 786 | ET-climate relationships to drainage rates, even given similar AWC or storage conditions, | |
| 787 | emphasizes the role played by lateral connections. In other words, results suggest that for | |
| 788 | the two more water limited sites, the timing of upslope contributions to downslope areas | |
| 789 | can mediate the sensitivity of watershed scale vegetation water use. | |
| 790 | | |
| 791 | Our results have general implications for model based estimates of ET in this region. | |
| 792 | Because there is substantial heterogeneity in subsurface storage characteristics within | Elizabath Caraia 10/20/2015 5:54 DM |
| 793 | each basin (Dahlgren et al., 1997; Denning et al., 1991; McGuire et al., 2007) we might | Moved (insertion) [2] |
| 794 | expect that the full range of AWCs can be observed when we look across individual | Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:51 PM |
| 795 | forest stands within a basin. Thus, our estimates that show substantial changes in climate- | Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:51 PM |
| 796 | ET relationships across subsurface, parameters suggest that there may be substantial | Deleted: Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:51 PM |
| 797 | within-basin spatial heterogeneity in vegetation responses to climate variation and change. | Deleted: at soils |
| 798 | Even if model estimates are focused on basin aggregate responses such as streamflow, | Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:51 PM Deleted: oil |
| 799 | our results point to the importance of calibration data for defining subsurface storage and | |
| 800 | drainage properties. Estimates of subsurface parameters are often derived from readily | |
| 801 | available products such as STATSGO and SSURGO [Natural Resources Conservation | |
| 802 | Service] that provide relatively coarse scale and imperfect information about hydrologic | |
| 803 | properties. Consequently, hydrologic models are typically calibrated to obtain estimates | |
| 804 | of storage and drainage parameters (Beven, 2011). Our results suggest that in areas where | |
| 805 | streamflow data is not available for calibration, watershed scale estimates of ET | |
| 806 | responses to climate drivers may have substantial errors. | |
| 807 | · | Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 7:06 PM |
| | | Deleted: |

| 814 | • |
|-----|---|
| 815 | |
| 816 | 5. CONCLUSIONS |
| 817 | |
| 818 | We demonstrate how subsurface storage and drainage properties (AWC and parameters |
| 819 | that control lateral redistribution) interact with climate-related drivers to influence ET in |
| 820 | three western U.S. mountain watersheds with distinctive precipitation regimes. These |
| 821 | watersheds reflect conditions found in many other western U.S. snow-dominated systems, |
| 822 | where summer water availability is influenced by the magnitude of precipitation, timing |
| 823 | of soil moisture recharge and spring temperature and its effect on snowmelt. We found |
| 824 | that, for our three watersheds, estimates of longer-term average (15-year) watershed-scale |
| 825 | ET vary across a range of physically realistic storage/drainage parameters. For all |
| 826 | watersheds, the range in long term mean ET estimates across <u>AWC estimates (e.g., mean</u> |
| 827 | ET at a high AWC versus mean ET at a low AWC) may be as large as inter-annual |
| 828 | variation in ET, suggesting that the influence of AWC and drainage can be substantial. |
| 829 | |
| 830 | |
| 831 | Our results also point to the importance of lateral redistribution as a control on ET, |
| 832 | particularly for CA-SIER. Only a few studies have emphasized the role of lateral |
| 833 | redistribution in plot to watershed scale climate responses in the Western U.S. (Barnard |
| 834 | et al., 2010; Tague and Peng, 2013). For the CA-SIER site, our model results suggest that |
| 835 | there can also be interactions between AWC and hillslope to watershed scale |
| 836 | redistribution as controls on ET. Lateral redistribution was less important for the CO- |
| 837 | ROC, where summer precipitation was a more important contributor to annual ET values |
| 838 | and the least important for the wetter OR-CAS site. Results emphasize that the role of |
| 839 | subsurface properties, including both storage and drainage, will be different for different |
| 840 | climate regimes. |
| 841 | |
| 842 | These results have important implications both for predicting ET in basins where data is |
| 843 | not available for calibration and for understanding and predicting the spatial variability of |
| 844 | ET within a basin. AWC also affects the sensitivity of annual ET to climate drivers, |
| | |

Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 7:06 PM

Deleted: Lateral redistribution strongly influences the sensitivity of ET to climate drivers in the drier basins; in CA-SIER and CO-ROC there is considerable scatter in the slopes for P and R₇₅ across a single soil AWC (e.g., for an AWC of 400 mm, the P:ET ranges from 0.6 to 0.8 and 0.2 to 0.7 for CO-ROC and CA-SIER, respectively in Fig. 6A). We note that this additional sensitivity of ET-climate relationships to drainage rates, even given similar AWC or storage conditions, emphasizes the role played by lateral connections. In other words, results suggest that for the two more water limited sites, the timing of upslope contributions to downslope areas can mediate the sensitivity of watershed scale vegetation water use.

Elizabeth Garcia 10/30/2015 10:49 AM

Moved up [1]: Our model-based study provides a simplified representation of these interactions, ignoring many additional complexities. In particular, we assume no adaptation of the ecosystem structure and composition that would influence productivity, evapotranspiration and their relationship with climate (Loudermilk et al., 2013). Future work will investigate these coupled carbon cyclinghydrology interactions. In this study we focus on the energy and moisture drivers of E(...[3] Elizabeth Garcia 10/30/2015 8:41 AM

| Deleted:[4] |
|--|
| Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:52 PM |
| Deleted: oil |
| Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:52 PM |
| Deleted: soil parameters |
| Elizabeth Garcia 10/30/2015 7:43 AM |
| Deleted: e.g |
| Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:52 PM |
| Deleted: soil |
| Elizabeth Garcia 10/30/2015 9:04 AM |
| Moved (insertion) [3] |
| Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 7:13 PM |
| Deleted: These results have important implications both for predicting ET in basins where soil data is not available for calib[5] |
| Elizabeth Garcia 10/30/2015 9:04 AM |
| Moved up [3]: These results have important for predicting ET in basins where soil data is not available for calibration and for |
| Elizabeth Garcia 10/30/2015 5:54 PM |
| Moved up [2]: Because there is substantial heterogeneity in soil characteristics within each basin (Dahlgren et al., 1997; Denn[7] |
| Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:53 PM |
| Deleted: soil |

| 962 | particularly in the two more seasonally water-limited basins. Although the three |
|-----|---|
| 963 | watersheds show different responses of annual ET to these climate drivers, there are |
| 964 | values of AWC that would eliminate these cross-basin differences. These sensitivities |
| 965 | highlight the need for improved information on spatial patterns of subsurface properties |
| 966 | to contribute to the development of science-based information on forest vulnerabilities to |
| 967 | climate change. Improved accounting for plant accessibility to moisture has improved |
| 968 | model-data ET comparisons in previous modeling studies at regional and global scales |
| 969 | (Hwang et al., 2009; Tang et al., 2013; Thompson et al., 2011). With expected decreases |
| 970 | in fractional precipitation received as snow with climate change (Diffenbaugh et al., |
| 971 | 2013; Knowles et al., 2006), we might expect soil storage to play a more important role |
| 972 | in providing water for forests in the future. Improved understanding of how climate and |
| 973 | subsurface storage/drainage combine to control ET can enhance our understanding of |
| 974 | forest water stress related to increased mortality (van Mantgem et al., 2009). Western U.S. |
| 975 | forests show substantial vulnerability to drought, with declines in productivity and |
| 976 | increases in mortality and disturbance in drought years (Allen et al., 2010; Hicke et al., |
| 977 | 2012; Williams et al., 2013), Understanding these ecosystems' responses to primary |
| 978 | climate drivers is of particular concern given recent warming trends (Sterl et al., 2008) |
| 979 | and multi-year droughts (Cook et al., 2004; Dai et al., 2004). Identifying the physical |
| 980 | conditions in which our ability to estimate ET is most sensitive or limited by knowledge |
| 981 | of subsurface geologic properties helps to prioritize regional data acquisition agendas. |
| 982 | Integrating results from recent advances in geophysical measurements and models such |
| 983 | as those emerging from Critical Zone Observatories in the U.S. and elsewhere (Anderson |
| 984 | et al., 2008) will be essential for analysis of climate ET interactions. |
| 985 | |
| 986 | ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS |
| 987 | |
| 988 | Data is available upon request from the author. This work was supported by funding from |
| 989 | the U.S. Geological Survey through the Western Mountain Initiative (Award Number |
| 990 | G09AC00337) and the U.S. National Science Foundation through the Willamette |
| 991 | Watershed 2100 Project (EAR-1039192). We also acknowledge support from the |

992 Southern Sierra Critical Zone Observatory (EAR-0725097) and the Center for Scientific

31

Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 7:14 PM Deleted: Our study Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 7:14 PM Deleted: suggests that Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 7:14 PM Deleted: is needed in Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 7:15 PM Deleted: developing Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 7:15 PM Deleted: these Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:53 PM Deleted: soil

Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:53 PM Deleted: soils

Elizabeth Garcia 10/30/2015 8:59 AM

Deleted: These findings may also extend to understanding of forest disturbances, contributing to mechanistic explanations for previous work showing that the area burned by wildfire can be correlated to ecosystems and their climates (Littell et al., 2009). Elizabeth Garcia 10/30/2015 9:11 AM Deleted: Elizabeth Garcia 10/30/2015 9:10 AM Deleted: ________[8] Naomi Tague 11/1/2015 6:54 PM Deleted: oil characterizations Elizabeth Garcia 10/30/2015 9:01 AM

Deleted: can also

- 1011 Computing from the CNSI, MRL: an NSF MRSEC (DMR-1121053) and NSF CNS-
- 1012 0960316
- 1013
- 1014 LITERATURE CITED
- 1015 Allen, C. D., Macalady, A. K., Chenchouni, H., Bachelet, D., McDowell, N., Vennetier,
- 1016 M., Kitzberger, T., Rigling, A., Breshears, D. D., Hogg, E. H. (Ted), Gonzalez, P.,
- 1017 Fensham, R., Zhang, Z., Castro, J., Demidova, N., Lim, J.-H., Allard, G., Running, S. W.,
- 1018 Semerci, A. and Cobb, N.: A global overview of drought and heat-induced tree mortality
- 1019 reveals emerging climate change risks for forests, For. Ecol. Manage., 259(4), 660–684,
- 1020 doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2009.09.001, 2010.
- 1021 Anderson, S. P., Bales, R. C. and Duffy, C. J.: Critical Zone Observatories: Building a
- 1022 network to advance interdisciplinary study of Earth surface processes, Mineral. Mag.,
- 1023 72(1), 7–10, doi:10.1180/minmag.2008.072.1.7, 2008.
- 1024 Arthur, M. and Fahey, T.: Biomass and nutrients in an Engelmann spruce subalpine fir
- forest in north central Colorado: pools, annual production, and internal cycling, Can. J.
 For. Res., 22(3), 315–325, doi:10.1139/x92-041, 1992.
- 1027 Ashfaq, M., Ghosh, S., Kao, S.-C., Bowling, L. C., Mote, P., Touma, D., Rauscher, S. a.
- 1028 and Diffenbaugh, N. S.: Near-term acceleration of hydroclimatic change in the western
- 1029 U.S., J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 118(January), 1–18, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50816, 2013.
- 1030 Bales, R., Hopmans, J., O'Green, A., Meadows, M., Hartsough, P., Kirchner, P.,
- 1031 Hunsaker, C. and Beaudette, D.: Soil Moisture Response to Snowmelt and Rainfall in a
- 1032 Sierra Nevada Mixed-Conifer Forest, Vadose Zo. J., 10(3), 786–799,
- 1033 doi:10.2136/vzj2011.0001, 2011.
- 1034 Band, L. E., Tague, C. L., Brun, S. E., Tenenbaum, D. E. and Fernandes, R. A.:
- Modelling Watersheds as Spatial Object Hierarchies: Structure and Dynamics, Trans.
 GIS, 4(3), 181–196, doi:10.1111/1467-9671.00048, 2000.
- 1037 Barnard, H., Graham, C., van Verseveld, W., Brooks, J. R., Bond, B. J. and McDonnell, J.
- 1038 J.: Mechanistic assessment of hillslope transpiration controls of diel subsurface flow: a
- 1039 steady-state irrigation approach, Ecohydrology, 3, 133–142, doi:10.1002/eco.114, 2010.
- 1040 Baron, J., Hartman, M. and Band, L.: Sensitivity of a high-elevation Rocky Mountain
- 1041 watershed to altered climate and CO2, Water Resour. Res., 36(1), 89–99 [online]
- 1042 Available from: http://www.agu.org/pubs/crossref/2000/1999WR900263.shtml
- 1043 (Accessed 26 January 2012), 2000.
- 1044 Baron, J. S. and Denning, A.: Hydrologic budget estimates, in Biogeochemistry of a



- 1045 Subalpine Ecosystem, edited by J. Baron, pp. 28–47, Springer-Verlag, New York., 1992.
- 1046 Beven, K. J.: Rainfall-runoff modelling: the primer, John Wiley & Sons., 2011.
- 1047 Beven, K. J. and Binley, A.: THE FUTURE OF DISTRIBUTED MODELS: MODEL
- 1048 CALIBRATION AND UNCERTAINTY PREDICTION, Hydrol. Process., 6(3), 279–
- 1049 298, doi:10.1002/hyp.3360060305, 1992.
- 1050 Boisvenue, C. and Running, S. W.: Impacts of climate change on natural forest
- productivity evidence since the middle of the 20th century, Glob. Chang. Biol., 12(5),
 862–882, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01134.x, 2006.
- 1053 Bradford, J. B., Birdsey, R. a., Joyce, L. a. and Ryan, M. G.: Tree age, disturbance
- 1054 history, and carbon stocks and fluxes in subalpine Rocky Mountain forests, Glob. Chang.
- 1055 Biol., 14(12), 2882–2897, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01686.x, 2008.
- 1056 Brooks, P. D., Troch, P. a., Durcik, M., Gallo, E. and Schlegel, M.: Quantifying regional
- scale ecosystem response to changes in precipitation: Not all rain is created equal, Water
 Resour. Res., 47(10), W00J08, doi:10.1029/2010WR009762, 2011.
- Brooks, R. and Corey, A.: Hydraulic properties of porous media, in Hydrology Paper 3, p.27, Colorado State University, Fort Collins., 1964.
- 1061 Cayan, D. R., Dettinger, M. D., Kammerdiener, S. a., Caprio, J. M. and Peterson, D. H.:
- 1062 Changes in the Onset of Spring in the Western United States, Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.,
- 1063 82(3), 399-415, doi:10.1175/1520-0477(2001)082<0399:CITOOS>2.3.CO;2, 2001.
- 1064 Cook, E. R., Woodhouse, C. a, Eakin, C. M., Meko, D. M. and Stahle, D. W.: Long-term
- 1065 aridity changes in the western United States., Science, 306(5698), 1015–8,
- 1066 doi:10.1126/science.1102586, 2004.
- 1067 Dahlgren, R. a., Boettinger, J. L., Huntington, G. L. and Amundson, R. G.: Soil
- 1068 development along an elevational transect in the western Sierra Nevada, California,
- 1069 Geoderma, 78(3-4), 207–236, doi:10.1016/S0016-7061(97)00034-7, 1997.
- 1070 Dai, A., Trenberth, K. E. and Qian, T.: A global dataset of Palmer Drought Severity
- 1071 Index for 1870-2002: Relationship with soil moisture and effects of surface warming, J.
- 1072 Hydrometeorol., 5(6), 1117–1130 [online] Available from:
- 1073 http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/pdf/10.1175/JHM-386.1 (Accessed 29 January 2012),
- 1074 2004.
- 1075 Denning, A., Baron, J., Mast, M. and Arthur, M.: Hydrologic pathways and chemical
- 1076 composition of runoff during snowmelt in Loch Vale watershed, Rocky Mountain
- 1077 National Park, Colorado, USA, Water. Air. Soil Pollut., 59(1-2), 107–123 [online]
- 1078 Available from: http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF00283175 (Accessed 3
 - 33

- 1079 October 2013), 1991.
- 1080 Diffenbaugh, N. S., Scherer, M. and Ashfaq, M.: Response of snow-dependent
- 1081 hydrologic extremes to continued global warming., Nat. Clim. Chang., 3(4), 379–384,
- 1082 doi:10.1038/nclimate1732, 2013.
- 1083 Dingman, S. L.: Physical Hydrology, 2nd ed., Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ., 1994.
- 1084 Famiglietti, J. S., Ryu, D., Berg, A. a., Rodell, M. and Jackson, T. J.: Field observations
- 1085 of soil moisture variability across scales, Water Resour. Res., 44(1), W01423,
- 1086 doi:10.1029/2006WR005804, 2008.
- 1087 Farquhar, G. D., Caemmerer, S. Von and Berry, J. A.: A biochemical model of
- 1088 photosynthetic CO2 assimilation in leaves of C3 species, Planta, 149, 78–90 [online]
- Available from: http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF00386231 (Accessed 30 April2013), 1980.
- 1091 Flock, J.: Lichen-Bryophyte Distribution along a Snow-Cover-Soil-Moisture Gradient,
- 1092 Niwot Ridge, Colorado, Arct. Alp. Res., 10(1), 31–47 [online] Available from:
- 1093 http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/1550655 (Accessed 7 October 2013), 1978.
- 1094 Garcia, E. S., Tague, C. L. and Choate, J. S.: Method of spatial temperature estimation
- influences ecohydrologic modeling in the Western Oregon cascades, Water Resour. Res.,
 49, 1611–1624, doi:10.1002/wrcr.20140, 2013.
- 1097 Geroy, I. J., Gribb, M. M., Marshall, H. P., Chandler, D. G., Benner, S. G. and
- McNamara, J. P.: Aspect influences on soil water retention and storage, Hydrol. Process.,
 25(25), 3836–3842, doi:10.1002/hyp.8281, 2011.
- 1100 Gholz, H. L.: Environmental limits on aboveground net primary production, leaf area,
- 1101 and biomass in vegetation zones of the Pacific Northwest, Ecology, 63(2), 469–481
- 1102 [online] Available from: http://www.esajournals.org/doi/abs/10.2307/1938964 (Accessed
- 1103 30 November 2011), 1982.
- 1104 Goulden, M. L., Anderson, R. G., Bales, R. C., Kelly, a. E., Meadows, M. and Winston,
- G. C.: Evapotranspiration along an elevation gradient in California's Sierra Nevada, J.
 Geophys. Res., 117(G3), G03028, doi:10.1029/2012JG002027, 2012.
- 1107 Grant, G. E., Tague, C. L. and Allen, C. D.: Watering the forest for the trees: an emerging
- 1108 priority for managing water in forest landscapes, Front. Ecol. Environ., 11(6), 314–321,
- 1109 doi:10.1890/120209, 2013.
- 1110 Grier, C. C. and Logan, R. S.: Old-growth Pseudotsuga menziesii communities of a
- 1111 western Oregon watershed: biomass distribution and production budgets, Ecol. Monogr.,
- 1112 47(4), 373–400 [online] Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/1942174
 - 34

- 1113 (Accessed 18 August 2013), 1977.
- 1114 Hamlet, A. F., Mote, P. W., Clark, M. P. and Lettenmaier, D. P.: Twentieth-Century
- 1115 Trends in Runoff, Evapotranspiration, and Soil Moisture in the Western United States*, J.
- 1116 Clim., 20(8), 1468–1486, doi:10.1175/JCLI4051.1, 2007.
- 1117 Hanson, P. and Weltzin, J.: Drought disturbance from climate change: response of United
- States forests, Sci. Total Environ., 262(3), 205–220, doi:10.1016/S0048-9697(00)005234, 2000.
- 1120 Hicke, J. a., Allen, C. D., Desai, A. R., Dietze, M. C., Hall, R. J., Ted Hogg, E. H.,
- 1121 Kashian, D. M., Moore, D., Raffa, K. F., Sturrock, R. N. and Vogelmann, J.: Effects of
- 1122 biotic disturbances on forest carbon cycling in the United States and Canada, Glob.
- 1123 Chang. Biol., 18(1), 7–34, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02543.x, 2012.
- 1124 Homer, C., Dewitz, J., Fry, J., Coan, M., Hossain, N., Larson, C., Herold, N., Mckerrow,
- 1125 A., Vandriel, J. N. and Wickham, J.: Completion of the 2001 National Land Cover
- 1126 Database for the Conterminous United States, Photogramm. Eng. Remote Sensing, 73(4),
- 1127 337–341, 2007.
- 1128 Hudiburg, T., Law, B., Turner, D. P., Campbell, J., Donato, D. and Duane, M.: Carbon
- 1129 dynamics of Oregon and Northern California forests and potential land-based carbon
- 1130 storage., Ecol. Appl., 19(1), 163–180, doi:10.1890/07-2006.1, 2009.
- 1131 Hwang, T., Band, L. and Hales, T. C.: Ecosystem processes at the watershed scale:
- Extending optimality theory from plot to catchment, Water Resour. Res., 45(11),
 W11425, doi:10.1029/2009WR007775, 2009.
- 1134 Isaac, V. and van Wijngaarden, W. a.: Surface Water Vapor Pressure and Temperature
- 1135 Trends in North America during 1948–2010, J. Clim., 25(10), 3599–3609,
- 1136 doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00003.1, 2012.
- 1137 Jarvis, P.: The interpretation of the variations in leaf water potential and stomatal
- 1138 conductance found in canopies in the field, Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London. B, Biol. Sci.,
- 1139 273(927), 593–610, doi:10.1098/rstb.1976.0035, 1976.
- 1140 Kampf, S., Markus, J., Heath, J. and Moore, C.: Snowmelt runoff and soil moisture
- 1141 dynamics on steep subalpine hillslopes, Hydrol. Process., 29(5), 712–723,
- 1142 doi:10.1002/hyp.10179, 2014.
- 1143 Knowles, N., Dettinger, M. D. and Cayan, D. R.: Trends in snowfall versus rainfall in the
- 1144 western United States, J. Clim., 19(18), 4545–4559, doi:10.1175/JCLI3850.1, 2006.
- 1145 Landsberg, J. and Waring, R.: A generalised model of forest productivity using simplified
- 1146 concepts of radiation-use efficiency, carbon balance and partitioning, For. Ecol. Manage.,



- 1147 95(3), 209–228 [online] Available from:
- 1148 http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0378112797000261 (Accessed 30
- 1149 November 2011), 1997.
- 1150 Litaor, M. I., Williams, M. and Seastedt, T. R.: Topographic controls on snow
- 1151 distribution, soil moisture, and species diversity of herbaceous alpine vegetation, Niwot
- 1152 Ridge, Colorado, J. Geophys. Res., 113(G2), G02008, doi:10.1029/2007JG000419, 2008.
- 1153 Loudermilk, E. L., Scheller, R. M., Weisberg, P. J., Yang, J., Dilts, T. E., Karam, S. L.
- and Skinner, C.: Carbon dynamics in the future forest: the importance of long-term
- successional legacy and climate-fire interactions., Glob. Chang. Biol., 19(11), 3502–3515,
- 1156 doi:10.1111/gcb.12310, 2013.
- 1157 van Mantgem, P. J., Stephenson, N. L., Byrne, J. C., Daniels, L. D., Franklin, J. F., Fulé,
- 1158 P. Z., Harmon, M. E., Larson, A. J., Smith, J. M., Taylor, A. H. and Veblen, T. T.:
- 1159 Widespread increase of tree mortality rates in the western United States., Science,
- 1160 323(5913), 521–4, doi:10.1126/science.1165000, 2009.
- 1161 McGuire, K. J., Weiler, M. and McDonnell, J. J.: Integrating tracer experiments with
- modeling to assess runoff processes and water transit times, Adv. Water Resour., 30(4),
 824–837, doi:10.1016/j.advwatres.2006.07.004, 2007.
- 1164 McNamara, J. P., Chandler, D., Seyfried, M. and Achet, S.: Soil moisture states, lateral
- 1165 flow, and streamflow generation in a semi-arid, snowmelt-driven catchment, Hydrol.
- 1166 Process., 19(20), 4023–4038, doi:10.1002/hyp.5869, 2005.
- 1167 McNamara, J. P., Tetzlaff, D., Bishop, K., Soulsby, C., Seyfried, M., Peters, N. E.,
- Aulenbach, B. T. and Hooper, R.: Storage as a metric of catchment comparison, Hydrol.
 Process., 25, 3364–3371, doi:10.1002/hyp.8113, 2011.
- 1170 Monteith, J.: Evaporation and Environment, in Proceedings of the 19th Symposium of the
- 1171 Society for Experimental Biology, vol. 19, pp. 205–234, Cambridge University Press,
- 1172 New York. [online] Available from:
- 1173 http://www.unc.edu/courses/2007fall/geog/801/001/www/ET/Monteith65.pdf (Accessed
- 1174 30 November 2011), 1965.
- 1175 Moore, I. D., Grayson, R. B. and Ladson, A. R.: DIGITAL TERRAIN MODELLING : A
- 1176 REVIEW OF HYDROLOGICAL, GEOMORPHOLOGICAL, AND BIOLOGICAL
- 1177 APPLICATIONS, Hydrol. Process., 5(1), 3–30, 1991.
- 1178 Mote, P. W., Hamlet, A. F., Clark, M. P. and Lettenmaier, D. P.: Declining Mountain
- 1179 Snowpack in Western North America*, Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 86(1), 39–49,
- 1180 doi:10.1175/BAMS-86-1-39, 2005.
- 1181 Muggeo, V. M. R.: Estimating regression models with unknown break-points., Stat. Med.,
 - 36

- 1182 22(19), 3055–71, doi:10.1002/sim.1545, 2003.
- 1183 Nash, J. E. and Sutcliffe, J.: River flow forecasting through conceptual models part I —
- 1184 A discussion of principles, J. Hydrol., 10(3), 282–290 [online] Available from:
- 1185 http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0022169470902556 (Accessed 28
- 1186 November 2011), 1970.
- 1187 Natural Resources Conservation Service, S. S. S.: Soil Survey Geographic (SSURGO)
- 1188 Database. [online] Available from: http://sdmdataaccess.nrcs.usda.gov/, n.d.
- 1189 Post, D. A. and Jones, J. A.: Hydrologic regimes of forested, mountainous, headwater
- basins in New Hampshire, North Carolina, Oregon, and Puerto Rice, Adv. Water Resour.,
- 1191 24(9), 1195–1210, doi:10.1016/S0309-1708(01)00036-7, 2001.
- 1192 Running, S. and Nemani, R.: Extrapolation of synoptic meteorological data in
- 1193 mountainous terrain and its use for simulating forest evapotranspiration and
- 1194 photosynthesis, Can. J. For. Res., 17(6), 472–483 [online] Available from:
- http://www.nrcresearchpress.com/doi/abs/10.1139/x87-081#.VctfPmRViko (Accessed 30
 November 2011), 1987.
- 1197 Ryan, M. G.: Effects of climate change on plant respiration, Ecol. Appl., 1(2), 157–167
- [online] Available from: http://www.esajournals.org/doi/abs/10.2307/1941808 (Accessed
 1 December 2011), 1991.
- 1200 Shields, C. A. and Tague, C. L.: Assessing the Role of Parameter and Input Uncertainty
- 1201 in Ecohydrologic Modeling: Implications for a Semi-arid and Urbanizing Coastal
- 1202 California Catchment, Ecosystems, 15(5), 775–791, doi:10.1007/s10021-012-9545-z,
- 1203 2012.
- 1204 Smith, T. J., McNamara, J. P., Flores, A. N., Gribb, M. M., Aishlin, P. S. and Benner, S.
- G.: Small soil storage capacity limits benefit of winter snowpack to upland vegetation,
 Hydrol. Process., 25(25), 3858–3865, doi:10.1002/hyp.8340, 2011.
- 1207 Sterl, A., Severijns, C., Dijkstra, H., Hazeleger, W., Jan van Oldenborgh, G., van den
- 1208 Broeke, M., Burgers, G., van den Hurk, B., Jan van Leeuwen, P. and van Velthoven, P.:
- 1209 When can we expect extremely high surface temperatures?, Geophys. Res. Lett., 35(14),
- 1210 L14703, doi:10.1029/2008GL034071, 2008.
- 1211 Stewart, I. T., Cayan, D. R. and Dettinger, M. D.: Changes toward Earlier Streamflow
- 1212 Timing across Western North America, J. Clim., 18(8), 1136–1155,
- 1213 doi:10.1175/JCLI3321.1, 2005.
- 1214 Tague, C. and Band, L.: RHESSys: regional hydro-ecologic simulation system-an object-
- 1215 oriented approach to spatially distributed modeling of carbon, water, and nutrient cycling,
- 1216 Earth Interact., 8(19), 1–42, doi:10.1175/1087-3562(2004)8<1:RRHSSO>2.0.CO;2, 2004.
 - 37

- 1217 Tague, C. and Heyn, K.: Topographic controls on spatial patterns of conifer transpiration
- 1218 and net primary productivity under climate warming in mountain ecosystems,
- 1219 Ecohydrology, 554(October), 541-554, doi:10.1002/eco.88, 2009.
- 1220 Tague, C. and Peng, H.: The sensitivity of forest water use to the timing of precipitation
- 1221 and snowmelt recharge in the California Sierra: Implications for a warming climate, J.
- 1222 Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences, 118, 1–13, doi:10.1002/jgrg.20073, 2013.
- 1223 Tang, J., Pilesjö, P., Miller, P. a., Persson, A., Yang, Z., Hanna, E. and Callaghan, T. V.:
- 1224 Incorporating topographic indices into dynamic ecosystem modelling using LPJ-GUESS,
- 1225 Ecohydrology, 7(4), 1147–1162, doi:10.1002/eco.1446, 2013.
- 1226 Thompson, S., Harman, C., Konings, A., Sivapalan, M., Neal, A. and Troch, P.:
- 1227 Comparative hydrology across AmeriFlux sites: The variable roles of climate, vegetation,
- and groundwater, Water Resour. Res., 47(null), W00J07, doi:10.1029/2010WR009797,
 2011.
- 1230 Thornton, P. E.: Description of a numerical simulation model for predicting the dynamics
- of energy, water, carbon, and nitrogen in a terrestrial ecosystem, University of Montana,Missoula, MT., 1998.
- 1252 Milssoula, M11., 1998.
- 1233 Thornton, P. E. and Rosenbloom, N. A.: Ecosystem model spin-up: Estimating steady
- state conditions in a coupled terrestrial carbon and nitrogen cycle model, Ecol. Modell.,
 189(1-2), 25–48, doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2005.04.008, 2005.
- 1236 Thornton, P., Thornton, M., Mayer, B., Wilhelmi, N., Wei, Y. and Cook, R.: Daymet:
- 1237 Daily surface weather on a 1 km grid for North America, 1980-2012, Oak Ridge Natl.
- 1238 Lab. Distrib. Act. Arch. Cent., doi:10.3334/ORNLDAAC/Daymet_V2, 2012.
- 1239 Trujillo, E., Molotch, N. P., Goulden, M. L., Kelly, A. E. and Bales, R. C.: Elevation-
- dependent influence of snow accumulation on forest greening, Nat. Geosci., 5(10), 705–
 709, doi:10.1038/ngeo1571, 2012.
- 1242 Van Tuyl, S., Law, B. E., Turner, D. P. and Gitelman, a. I.: Variability in net primary
- 1243 production and carbon storage in biomass across Oregon forests—an assessment
- 1244 integrating data from forest inventories, intensive sites, and remote sensing, For. Ecol.
- 1245 Manage., 209(3), 273–291, doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2005.02.002, 2005.
- 1246 Vicente-Serrano, S. M., Camarero, J. J., Zabalza, J., Sangüesa-Barreda, G., López-
- 1247 Moreno, J. I. and Tague, C. L.: Evapotranspiration deficit controls net primary production
- 1248 and growth of silver fir: Implications for Circum-Mediterranean forests under forecasted
- 1249 warmer and drier conditions, Agric. For. Meteorol., 206, 45-54,
- 1250 doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2015.02.017, 2015.
- 1251 Webb, W., Szarek, S., Lauenroth, W., Kinerson, R. and Smith, M.: Primary Productivity
 - 38

- 1252 and Water Use in Native Forest, Grassland, and Desert Ecosystems, Ecology, 59(6),
- 1253 1239–1247, doi:10.2307/1938237, 1978.
- 1254 Williams, A., Allen, C., Macalady, A., Griffin, D., Woodhouse, C., Meko, D., Swetnam,
- 1255 T. W., Rauscher, S. a. and Seager, R.: Temperature as a potent driver of regional forest
- drought stress and tree mortality, Nat. Clim. Chang., 3(September), 292–297,
- 1257 doi:10.1038/NCLIMATE1693, 2013.
- 1258 Zierl, B., Bugmann, H. and Tague, C.: Water and carbon fluxes of European ecosystems:
- 1259 An evaluation of the ecohydrological model RHESSys, Hydrol. Process., 21(24), 3328-
- 1260 3339, doi:10.1002/hyp.6540, 2007.
- 1261

1263 Table 1. Explanatory variables

| Abbreviation | Definition |
|-----------------|---|
| Р | Total annual precipitation |
| T_{AMJ} | Average daily temperature for April, May, June |
| R ₇₅ | Day of water year that 75% of soil water recharge occurs |
| AWC | Available water capacity of soil (field capacity-wilting point) |

1266 Table 2. Basin topography, geology, vegetation and climate characteristics. Climate

| Watershed | CO-ROC | OR-CAS | CA-SIER |
|--|------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Location | Colorado | Oregon | California |
| U.S. Geological Survey | 06733000 | 14161500 | 10343500 |
| gage number | | | |
| Geology | Holocene glacial till, rock; | Western Cascade basalt | Sierra granite, with |
| | Precambrian gneiss, granite | | Miocene andesite cap |
| Elevation range (m) | 1470-4345 | 410-1630 | 1800-2650 |
| Drainage Area (km ²) | 350 | 64 | 26 |
| Topographic Wetness | 7.0 (1.9) | 6.6 (1.7) | 7.9 (1.8) |
| Index- Mean (Std Dev) | | | |
| Climate record | 1980 - 2008 | 1958-2008 | 1960-2000 |
| Mean Annual | 1000 | 2250 | 850 |
| Precipitation (mm) | | | |
| Annual Precipitation as | 64 | 29 | 55 |
| snow (%) | | | |
| Precipitation received in | 46 | 21 | 19 |
| Growing Season (%) | | | |
| Min/Max winter T | -12.1/-0.02 | -0.9 / 5.2 | -9.5/3.7 |
| (JFM) (oC) | | | |
| Min/Max spring T | -2.7/10.9 | 4.0/14.0 | -2.5/13.8 |
| (AMJ) (oC) | | | |
| <u>P:PET</u> | <u>0.9</u> | 2.3 | <u>1.2</u> |
| Vegetation | Subalpine fir, aspen, | Douglas-fir, Western | Mixed Conifer, Jeffrey |
| | meadows, shrub | Hemlock | and Lodgepole Pine |
| Mean basin LAI | 3.5 | 9.0 | 4.1 |
| Annual NPP range for | 280-520 | 620-1100 | 450-800 |
| calibration (gC m ⁻² yr ⁻¹) | | | |
| Literature sources used | Arthur and Fahey [1992] | Grier and Logan [1977] | Hudiburg et al. [2009] |
| to bound annual NPP | Bradford et al. [2008] | Gholz [1982] | Goulden et al. [2012] ^a |
| range | | | |

1267 descriptions are averaged over total available climate record (duration noted in table).

1268 ^aValues reported as gross primary productivity, converted to NPP using RHESSys

1269 calculated values of respiration.

1270

| _ | Watershed | | CO-ROC | OR-CAS | CA-SIER |
|---|---------------------------|----------------|---------|--------|---------|
| | Precipitation | p-value | < 0.001 | < 0.05 | < 0.001 |
| | (P) | r ² | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.75 |
| | | slope | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| | | | | | |
| | Timing (R ₇₅) | p-value | < 0.001 | < 0.01 | < 0.001 |
| | | r ² | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| | | slope | 3.8 | 1.2 | 4.6 |
| | | | | | |
| | Temperature | p-value | < 0.001 | < 0.05 | >0.1 |
| | T_{AMJ} | r ² | 0.4 | 0.1 | -0.01 |
| | | slope | -26.3 | -25.7 | 15 |
| | | | | | |
| | Soil Capacity | p_value | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| | (AWC) | r ² | 0.43 | 0.53 | 0.11 |
| | | slope | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |

1271 Table 3. Statistics for ET predictors based on linear regression models.

Elizabeth Garcia 10/30/2015 7:17 AM Formatted: Superscript

1272

1273

| 1274 | Figure Captions |
|------|--|
| 1275 | |
| 1276 | Figure 1. Locations and average daily water fluxes averaged from 1980-2000 for three |
| 1277 | case study watersheds located in (A) the western Oregon Cascades (OR-CAS), (B) |
| 1278 | Colorado Rockies (CO-ROC), and (C) California Sierra Nevada (CA-SIER). |
| 1279 | |
| 1280 | Figure 2. (A) Total annual ET increases with total annual precipitation. Lines indicate |
| 1281 | statistically significant relationships (<i>p</i> -value < 0.05). |
| 1282 | |
| 1283 | Figure 3. Later occurrence of soil moisture recharge (R_{75}) is significantly correlated with |
| 1284 | increased annual ET in all study watersheds. |
| 1285 | |
| 1286 | Figure 4. (A) Warmer spring temperatures are correlated with lower total annual ET in |
| 1287 | the two snow-dominated watersheds. (B) An earlier occurrence of soil moisture recharge |
| 1288 | is correlated with warmer temperatures in CO-ROC. |
| 1289 | |
| 1290 | Figure 5. Each point represents the 15-year average annual ET from WY 1985-2000 for a |
| 1291 | physically viable mean basin soil available water capacity (AWC). Vertical lines |
| 1292 | represent the calculated breakpoint in the nonlinear relationship between long-term ET |
| 1293 | and AWC for each basin. |
| 1294 | |
| 1295 | Figure 6. The impact of soil AWC on the slope a linear regression model of annual ET as |
| 1296 | a function of climate predictors: (A) precipitation, (B) R75, and (C) TAMJ. The slope of |
| 1297 | ET:climate predictor is plotted across a physically viable range of mean basin soil AWC |
| 1298 | for each climate predictor and for each study basin: OR-CAS (left column), CO-ROC |
| 1299 | (middle column), and CA-SIER (right column). The slopes are normalized to facilitate |
| 1300 | inter-basin comparison. |





1303 Figure 1. Locations and average daily water fluxes averaged from 1980-2000 for three

- 1304 case study watersheds located in (A) the western Oregon Cascades (OR-CAS), (B)
- 1305 Colorado Rockies (CO-ROC), and (C) California Sierra Nevada (CA-SIER).





1308 Figure 2. (A) Total annual ET increases with total annual precipitation. Lines indicate

1309 statistically significant relationships (*p*-value < 0.05).





1311 Figure 3. Later occurrence of soil moisture recharge (R_{75}) is significantly correlated with

1312 increased annual ET in all study watersheds.



1313 Figure 4. (A) Warmer spring temperatures are correlated with lower total annual ET in

1314 the two snow-dominated watersheds. (B) An earlier occurrence of soil moisture recharge

1315 is correlated with warmer temperatures in CO-ROC.







1318 Figure 5. Each point represents the 15-year average annual ET from WY 1985-2000 for a

1319 physically viable mean basin soil available water capacity (AWC). Vertical lines

1320 represent the calculated breakpoint in the nonlinear relationship between long-term ET

1321 and AWC for each basin.



Figure 6. The impact of soil AWC on the slope a linear regression model of annual ET as
a function of climate predictors: (A) precipitation, (B) R₇₅, and (C) T_{AMJ}. The slope of
ET:climate predictor is plotted across a physically viable range of mean basin soil AWC
for each climate predictor and for each study basin: OR-CAS (left column), CO-ROC

- 1327 (middle column), and CA-SIER (right column). The slopes are normalized to facilitate
- 1328 inter-basin comparison.