



Effects of cultivation and reforestation on suspended sediment concentrations

N. F. Fang et al.

Effects of cultivation and reforestation on suspended sediment concentrations: a case study in a mountainous catchment in China

N. F. Fang^{1,2}, F. X. Chen³, H. Y. Zhang^{1,2}, Y. X. Wang^{1,2}, and Z. H. Shi^{2,3}

¹State Key Laboratory of Soil Erosion and Dryland Farming on the Loess Plateau, Northwest A & F University, Yangling 712100, China

²Institute of Soil and Water Conservation of Chinese Academy of Sciences and Ministry of Water Resources, Yangling 712100, China

³College of Resources and Environment, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan 430070, China

Received: 2 July 2015 – Accepted: 14 July 2015 – Published: 7 August 2015

Correspondence to: Z. H. Shi (shizhijhua70@gmail.com)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Abstract

Understanding how sediment concentrations vary with land use/cover is critical for evaluating the current and future impacts of human activities on river systems. This paper presents suspended sediment concentration (SSC) dynamics and the relationship between SSC and discharge (Q) in the 8973 km² Du catchment and its sub-catchment (4635 km²). In the Du catchment and its sub-catchment, 4235 and 3980 paired Q -SSC samples, respectively, were collected over 30 years. Under the influence of the “Household Contract Responsibility System” and Grain-for-Green projects in China, three periods were designated, the original period (1980s), cultivation period (1990s), and reforestation period (2000s). The results of a Mann–Kendall test showed that rainfall slightly increased during the study years; however, the annual discharge and sediment load significantly decreased. The annual suspended sediment yield of the Du catchment varied between 4 and 332 kg s⁻¹, and that of the sub-catchment varied between 2 and 135 kg s⁻¹. The SSCs in the catchment and sub-catchment fluctuated between 1 and 22 400 g m⁻³ and between 1 and 31 800 g m⁻³, respectively. The mean SSC of the Du catchment was relatively stable during the three periods (± 83 g m⁻³). ANOVA indicated that the SSC did not significantly change under cultivation for low and moderate flows, but was significantly different under high flow during reforestation of the Du catchment. The SSC in the sub-catchment was more variable, and the mean-SSC in the sub-catchment varied from 1058 g m⁻³ in the 1980s to 1256 g m⁻³ in the 1990s and 891 g m⁻³ in the 2000s. Reforestation significantly decreased the SSCs during low and moderate flows, whereas cultivation increased the SSCs during high flow. The sediment rating curves showed a stable relationship between the SSC and Q in the Du catchment during the three periods. However, the SSC- Q of the sub-catchment exhibited scattered relationships during the original and cultivation periods and a more linear relationship during the reforestation period.

HESSD

12, 7583–7614, 2015

Effects of cultivation and reforestation on suspended sediment concentrations

N. F. Fang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



1 Introduction

Suspended sediment is conventionally regarded as sediment that is transported by a fluid and is fine enough to remain suspended in turbulent eddies (Parsons et al., 2015). Suspended sediment plays important roles in the hydraulics, hydrology, and ecology of rivers (Luo et al., 2013). Land use/cover is thought to affect hydrology and suspended sediment yield (SSY) (Van Rompaey et al., 2002; Casali et al., 2010). Although many studies have assumed that forest cover is an effective method for controlling sediment yield throughout the world (e.g., Mount et al., 2005; Hopmans and Bren, 2007; Garzía-Ruiz et al., 2008; Stickler et al., 2009; Verbist et al., 2010; Lü et al., 2015; Wei et al., 2015), other studies have disagreed (e.g., Mizugaki et al., 2008; Ide et al., 2009). Additionally, many studies have implicated farmland as a major contributor of sediments (Gafur et al., 2003; Shi et al., 2004; Izaurrealde et al., 2007; Cerdan et al., 2010). However, whether changes in land use/cover alter soil loss by changing the runoff volume or by changing the suspended sediment concentration (SSC) has received little attention. The relationships between SSC and discharge (Q) have been discussed using sediment rating curves (Walling, 1977), a fuzzy logic model (Kisi et al., 2006), artificial neural networks (Liu et al., 2012), and other multivariate regression methods (Francke et al., 2008). SSCs are highly variable and can vary over many orders of magnitude during storm events (Naden and Cooper, 1999; Cooper, 2002; Fang et al., 2012). The mean annual/monthly SSC fails to capture the highly episodic nature of sediment transport because > 90 % of the sediment load can be transported in < 10 % of time (Collins et al., 2011). Morehead et al. (2003) indicated that the suspended sediment load carried by rivers varies spatially and temporally and that sediment rating curve parameters can exhibit time-dependent trends. Warrick et al. (2013) concluded that the discharge and sediment relationships from six coastal rivers varied substantially with time in response to land use. In most studies, SSYs were calculated using SSCs and Q . However, little work has focused on the effects of land use/cover change on SSCs.

HESSD

12, 7583–7614, 2015

Effects of cultivation and reforestation on suspended sediment concentrations

N. F. Fang et al.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)



[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)



HESSD

12, 7583–7614, 2015

Effects of cultivation and reforestation on suspended sediment concentrations

N. F. Fang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



China contains 22 % of the world's population but only 7 % of the world's croplands (Liu and Diamond, 2005). In China, erosion by water affects an area of $3.6 \times 10^6 \text{ km}^2$, or approximately 37 % of the country's land area (Ni et al., 2008). Thus, soil erosion has become an important topic for local and national policy makers. In the 1980s, a policy called the "Household Contract Responsibility System" was implemented in China's rural areas. Consequently, more land was reclaimed for farming. In the late 1990s, the Grain-for-Green project was introduced to increase forest and grassland cover. To combat soil erosion on sloped croplands, farmland with slopes $> 25^\circ$ was restored. The farmers who agreed to stop cultivating these lands received subsidies to cover their losses (Gao et al., 2012). Before this project, subtropical zones with adequate rainfall were often over-exploited due to economic and demographic pressures. Cultivation of steeply sloping lands in subtropical areas can result in serious soil erosion during intense rainfall (Fang et al., 2012). In this study, a mountainous catchment and its sub-catchment were investigated and analyzed in detail. This catchment is located in the Danjiangkou Reservoir Area, which is a source area in the Middle Route Project under the South-to-North Water Transfer Scheme (the largest water transfer project in the world). The study catchment has experienced cultivation and reforestation periods. The first part of this study focuses on how cultivation and reforestation affect Q , SSC , and SSY at different time scales. Then, we discuss the dual roles of cultivation and reforestation that affect the relationship between SSC and Q . Finally, the SSC dynamics in the catchment and sub-catchment were determined under land use/cover changes.

2 Study area and methods

2.1 Study area

This study was conducted in the Du catchment ($31^\circ 30' - 32^\circ 37' \text{ N}$, $109^\circ 11' - 110^\circ 25' \text{ E}$), which is located in Hubei Province, China, and covers an area of 8973 km^2 (Fig. 1). Elevations within the watershed range from 245 to 3002 m. The sub-catchment (Xinzhou

Effects of cultivation and reforestation on suspended sediment concentrations

N. F. Fang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



catchment) is located in the northwest region of the Du catchment and covers an area of 4635 km². The topography in the Du catchment is undulating and is characterized by mountain ranges, steep slopes and a subtropical climate with a mean temperature of 15 °C. The mean annual precipitation in this region is approximately 1000 mm, with 80 % of the precipitation occurring between May and September. The major soil types include yellow-brown soils, Chao soils, and purple soils (National Soil Survey Office, 1992), which correspond to Alfisols, Entisols, and Inceptisols, respectively, according to USDA Soil Taxonomy (Soil Survey Staff, 1999). The major crops in this region are corn (*Zea mays L.*) and wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*).

2.2 Land use/cover change

The land cover was digitized as part of a previous research project. Reconnaissance field surveys were conducted in 2007. A watershed topographic map was used in combination with 1999 ETM photographs and Landsat imagery from 1987 and 2007. The land use/cover units were delineated on the photographs and verified in the field. We assigned the periods of the 1980s, 1990s, and 2000s to original, cultivation, and reforestation periods, respectively. The areas of the various types of land use/cover are presented in Tables 1 and 2. In 1987, forestland, farmland, and shrubland covered areas of 6316 km² (70.4 %), 919 km² (10.2 %) and 929 km² (10.4 %), respectively. The other land use/cover types covered small areas and included barren land (0.4 %), grassland (7.3 %), urban land (0.9 %), and water bodies (0.4 %) (Table 1). During the 2000s, some steep lands with slopes of more than 25° were converted to forestland. The area of forestland increased to 75.2 % in 2007, whereas the area of farmland decreased to 6.1 % (Fig. 2). The sub-catchment experienced a similar change in farmland, which increased from 11.5 % in 1987 to 14.7 % in 1999 and decreased to 6.7 % in 2007. However, the change in forestland in the sub-catchment was different from that in the Du catchment, in which forestland increased from 66.3 % in 1987 to 67.9 % in 1999 and 74.0 % in 2007 (Table 2).

2.3 Data acquisition

All of the hydrological data were obtained from the Hubei Provincial Water Resources Bureau. Two gauge stations (Zhushan and Xinzhou) and seven weather stations (nearly evenly distributed) are located in the study catchment. The yearly average rainfall measured at three weather stations in Xinzhou was very similar to the mean rainfall measured at the seven weather stations. Therefore, we used the average annual values of rainfall obtained from the seven stations for the Zhushan and Xinzhou stations. A continuously recording water-level stage recorder and a silt sampler (metal type) were used to record discharge and sediment (complemented by manual samples), respectively. The water stage was measured and transformed into discharge by using the calibrated rating curve obtained through periodic flow measurements. SSCs were determined using the gravimetric method, in which water samples were vacuum-filtered through a 0.45 μm filter and the residue was oven-dried at 105 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 24 h. The weight of each dried residue and the initial sample volume were used to obtain the SSC (g m^{-3}). Next, the SSY was calculated from the SSC and Q . During rainfall events, the sampling measurement frequency was increased several times each day. Paired SSC- Q data were obtained during rainfall-runoff events. Because bed load measurements were not performed in this area, this study does not consider bed load sediment transport. This study uses several variables, and their meanings and abbreviations are shown in Table 3. To distinguish between the variables of the two gauges, we used Q_z , D_z , SSY_z , and SSC_z for the Zhushan station (Du catchment) and Q_x , D_x , SSY_x , and SSC_x for the Xinzhou station (sub-catchment).

HESSD

12, 7583–7614, 2015

Effects of cultivation and reforestation on suspended sediment concentrations

N. F. Fang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



The variables for D , SSY_i and SSY are calculated as follows:

$$D = Q/A \quad (1)$$

$$SSY_i = SSC_i \times Q_i \quad (2)$$

$$SSY = \int_1^n SSY_i \quad (3)$$

5 where A is the area of the catchment and SSY_i , SSC_i and Q_i are the suspended sediment yield, suspended sediment concentration, and discharge during period i , respectively.

2.4 Statistical analyses

The Mann–Kendall test, which was proposed by Mann (1945) and Kendall (1975), was used to identify trends in P , Q and SSY during the 30 year study period. The S statistic was calculated as follows:

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^n \text{sgn}(x_j - x_i) \quad (4)$$

15 where n is the number of data points, x_i and x_j are the respective data values in the time series i and j ($j > 1$), and $\text{sgn}(x_j - x_i)$ is the sign function (Gao et al., 2012), which is determined as follows:

$$\text{sgn}(x_j - x_i) = \begin{cases} +1, & \text{if } x_j - x_i > 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } x_j - x_i = 0 \\ -1, & \text{if } x_j - x_i < 0 \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

The variance is computed as

$$\text{VAR}(S) = \frac{1}{18} \left[n(n-1)(2n+5) - \sum_{i=1}^q t_i(t_i-1)(2t_i+5) \right] \quad (6)$$

Effects of cultivation and reforestation on suspended sediment concentrations

N. F. Fang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



where n is the number of data points, q is the number of tied groups and t_i is the number of data values in the i th group. The standard test statistic, Z , is computed as follows:

$$Z = \begin{cases} \frac{S-1}{\sqrt{\text{VAR}(S)}} & \text{if } S > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } S = 0 \\ \frac{S+1}{\sqrt{\text{VAR}(S)}} & \text{if } S < 0 \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

5 A positive value of Z indicates an upward trend, and a negative value of Z indicates a downward trend. The Mann–Kendall statistical test has frequently been used to quantify the significance of trends in hydro-meteorological time series (Gocic and Trajkovic, 2013).

10 To discuss relationships between SSC and Q , hydrologists often use sediment rating curves. The most common approach is to fit a power curve to the normal data (Khanchoul et al., 2010) as follows:

$$\text{SSC} = \alpha Q^\beta \quad (8)$$

15 Here, α and β are constants in the non-linear regression equation. The non-linear model assumes that the dependent variable (SSC) has a constant variance (scatter), which typically does not occur because the scatter around the regression generally increases with increasing Q (Harrington and Harrington, 2013). Descriptive statistics were obtained using Excel 2010 and the SPSS17.0 statistical software package. The Mann–Kendall test was performed in MATLAB 7.0.

3 Results

20 3.1 Stream flow and sediment yield during different periods

Figure 3 shows the annual P , D and SSY for the hydrological years of 1980–2009 from the Zhushan and Xinzhou gauges. The annual P fluctuated between 665 and 1219 mm,

Effects of cultivation and reforestation on suspended sediment concentrations

N. F. Fang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Effects of cultivation
and reforestation on
suspended sediment
concentrations**

N. F. Fang et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

and the Q from the two gauges showed similar variations. The annualSSY varied between 4 and 332 kgs^{-1} from the Zhushan gauge and between 2 and 135 kgs^{-1} from the Xinzhou gauge. To identify the relationships between the annual P , D_z , D_x , SSY_z , and SSY_x , we generated a Pearson's correlation matrix, as shown in Fig. 4. The analysis showed significant correlations between all of the variables ($n = 30$, $\rho < 0.0001$). During the low-flow years (e.g., 1997 or 2001), SSY_z was similar to SSY_x . However, during the high-flow years (e.g., 1983 or 2005), SSY_z was several times greater than SSY_x .

The Mann–Kendall test was applied to the annual P , D and SSY data for 1980–2009. The test shows a decreasing but not significant trend for P , a significant (5% level) decreasing trend for Q_z , and highly significant decreasing trends for Q_x , SSY_x and SSY_z (1% level) (Fig. 5). After 2000, P shows an increasing trend and Q and SSY show decreasing trends.

To better understand the dynamics of Q and SSC, Tables 4 and 5 compare the observed average monthly Q and SSC among the three periods monitored at the Zhushan and Xinzhou gauges.

During 1980–1990, the annual Q_z showed a decreasing trend (Table 4), with only 3 of 12 months showing a slightly increasing trend. The rate of decrease varied from -3.3 to -53.0 %. In addition, Q_x exhibited a decreasing trend that was similar to that of Q_z during the same period. During 1990–2000, Q_z greatly increased from 1 to 34% during 9 of 12 months. Meanwhile, Q_x increased over eight months and fluctuated between 10 and 42%. During 1990–2000, Q_z and Q_x both exhibited a more obvious increasing trend during the winter than during the flow seasons.

Table 5 shows the dynamics of the monthly mean SSC from the two gauges. SSC_z decreased (-1 to -66 %) during the flow seasons (May to September), except in August, when it slightly increased (2%) during 1980–1990. The decrease of SSC_z did not coincide with that of Q_z . During 1990–2000, the decrease in SSC_z was more obvious than that in 1980–1990. Eight of ten months experienced a decreasing change, and the change over seven months was > -40 %. In addition, the SSC_x decreased over six

months and increased during the other four months during 1980–1990. During 1990–2000, the SSC_x decreased over seven months, and four out of five months showed a decreasing trend during the flow season. However, the monthly SSC is calculated by SSY and Q and is not the actual SSC. To better understand SSC dynamics, paired SSC- Q data collected by monitoring should be discussed.

3.2 SSC- Q relationship

From 1980 to 2009, 4235 paired SSC- Q samples were collected at the Zhushan station and 3980 samples were collected at the Xinzhou station. Figure 6 shows that the SSCs varied by several orders of magnitude for a given discharge at both gauges. SSC_z and SSC_x fluctuated between 1 and 22 400 gm^{-3} and between 1 and 31 800 gm^{-3} , respectively. The maximum SSC_x was larger than the maximum SSC_z . In Fig. 6, SSC_z-Q_z maintained a stable relationship during the three periods (1980–1989, 1990–1999, and 2000–2009). However, SSC_x-Q_x showed a scattered relationship from 1980–1989 and 1990–1999 and showed a more liner relationship from 2000–2009. During the three periods, the max Q_z decreased from 9880 to 6140 and 5070 $m^{-3}s^{-1}$, respectively. Meanwhile, max Q_x was reduced from 5960 to 3580 and 2990 $m^{-3}s^{-1}$, respectively.

3.3 Paired SSC- Q dynamics

Figure 6 shows the statistical characteristics of the SSC and Q during the three periods. The mean- SSC_z was relatively stable during the three periods ($\pm 83 gm^{-3}$), and the mean- SSC_x varied from 1058 gm^{-3} in the 1980s to 1256 gm^{-3} in the 1990s and then decreased to 891 gm^{-3} in the 2000s. In the 1980s, the max SSC_z and max SSC_x were 22 400 and 31 800 gm^{-3} , respectively. Next, the max SSC_z shape decreased to 20 000 gm^{-3} during the 1990s and to 17 800 gm^{-3} during the 2000s. Meanwhile, the max SSC_x decreased to 26 900 and 19 200 gm^{-3} during the 1990s and 2000s, respectively. The max Q_x was more variable than the max Q_z and was 12 400 gm^{-3} in

HESSD

12, 7583–7614, 2015

Effects of cultivation and reforestation on suspended sediment concentrations

N. F. Fang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Effects of cultivation and reforestation on suspended sediment concentrations

N. F. Fang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

the 1980s, 3610 gm^{-3} in the 1990s and 3010 gm^{-3} in the 2000s. However, the rate of change of the mean Q_x was similar to that of the mean Q_z .

The relationship between SSC and Q is complicated. To better understand the dynamics of SSC, SSC was sorted by ranking the paired Q values, which were classified using a threshold level approach (e.g., low flow (minimum 25 %), moderate flow (middle 50 %), and high flow (maximum 25 %)). The SSC dynamics were compared under different flow regimes. For the sub-catchment, the thresholds were 188 and $674 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for the minimum 25 % and maximum 25 %, respectively. For Q_z , the thresholds of the minimum and maximum 25 % were 332 and $1100 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$, respectively. Figure 8 presents box plots for SSC_z and SSC_x during the three periods for the three flow grades. The box plots indicate the maximum, 75, 50, 25 %, and minimum values for each SSC (outliers are excluded). For the sub-catchment, SSC_x increased between the original period and the cultivation period for moderate and high flow, but not for low flow. Then, SSC_x decreased during the reforestation period for all flows. At the Zhushan station, SSC_z was larger during the cultivation period for both moderate and high flows. During the reforestation period, the SSC_z during low flow was higher than during the other periods.

Six ANOVA tests were performed using SSC as the dependent variable and using the different periods (land use) as independent variables. One-way ANOVA (Table 6) revealed that SSC_x showed significant differences among the different periods for all three types of flows ($p < 0.001$). However, a significant difference in SSC_z was only observed among high flows ($p < 0.001$). No statistically significant differences were observed among the SSC_z values during the different periods for low or moderate flows.

4 Discussion

Land use/cover changes significantly affect Q and SSY (Tables 4 and 5). During the cultivation period, an increase in farmland resulted in an obvious decreasing trend in Q

Effects of cultivation and reforestation on suspended sediment concentrations

N. F. Fang et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

in the Du catchment and its sub-catchment. The sediment concentration in the direct runoff from a slope consists of a combination of the sediment stored on the slope and that generated by flow erosion during the current rainfall event (Aksoy and Kavvas 2005; Rankinen et al., 2010). However, high SSCs are not detected in the absence of a high flow velocity to carry the suspended sediment to the outlet of a catchment. SSCs are determined by onsite sediment production and the connectivity of sediment sources to the river. When runoff is impounded, its erodibility is reduced (Bakker et al., 2008; Van Rompaey et al., 2002). Reduced stream flow can reduce the sediment transport capacity and increase the probability for further sediment deposition in the river (Zhu et al., 2015). Human-induced modifications of land use/cover in river basins may cause strong geomorphic responses by disturbing sediment supply, transport and deposition processes (Liebault et al., 2005).

Hydrological studies rely on the analysis of processes at different spatial scales (García-Ruiz et al., 2008). Sediment yield and watershed areas have been elucidated in many studies (e.g., Renschler and Harbor, 2002; de Vente and Poesen, 2005). The mean-SSC was stable during the study years in the Du catchment, and the mean-SSC varied in the sub-catchment. The increase in Q_x was larger than the increase in Q_z . The monitored sub-catchment covered approximately half of the entire catchment. Likewise, the combined water yield of the catchment and sub-catchment was nearly half of the total catchment output (i.e., a deficit of approximately 50 % at the outlet). However, the SSC dynamics were more variable. Due to sediment delivery problems, sediment is generated on catchment slopes and is either stored on the surface or removed (Rankinen et al., 2010). Only a fraction of the gross soil erosion within a catchment will reach the outlet and be represented in the sediment yield. In addition, stream flow erodes the sediment directly from the surface or causes channel erosion, which both remove the stored surface layer of detached sediment. Previously, our studies involving 107 sub-watersheds of the Du catchment demonstrated that the sediment delivery model can be expressed as follows (Shi et al., 2014):

$$\text{SDR} = 0.46 + 4.74(\ln \text{AREA})^{-1} - 0.49(\text{HI}) - 0.13 \ln(\text{CONTAG}) + 0.12(\text{SHDI}), \quad (R^2 = 0.76)$$

where HI, CONTAG and SHDI are all variables of the land pattern (hypsothetic integral, contagion, and Shannon's diversity, respectively). In this system, the area scale dominates the SDR, the sediment stored in the gullies is flushed to the river when a certain threshold is exceeded, and the deposition of sediment in channels is flushed at higher discharges. During a flood, the sediment stock is depleted. This process may not occur simultaneously within the entire river basin and results in gradually decreasing SSCs downstream (Doomen et al., 2008). Cultivation or reforestation alter the slope surfaces but do not remove gullies and channels. Thus, the max SSC_x is greater than the max SSC_z (31 800 vs. 22 400 gm^{-3}).

5 Conclusions

Under cultivation and reforestation, the sediment flux is extremely spatially and temporally variable. However, the SSCs in Zhushan were only significantly different during high flow and the reforestation period when the forest cover greatly increased. For low and moderate flow, the changes in SSY primarily resulted from runoff, while the SSC showed little change. For the sub-catchment, the changes in the SSC were more sensitive to land use/cover changes. Reforestation caused significant differences in the SSC for both low and moderate flows. Meanwhile, cultivation resulted in significant differences in the SSC for high flow. Overall, our results provide useful information regarding SSC dynamics relative to land use/cover changes in mountainous catchments in a subtropical climate, which have largely been undocumented in the literature.

Acknowledgements. Financial support for this research was provided by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (41301294), the West Light Foundation of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (2014YB053).

Effects of cultivation and reforestation on suspended sediment concentrations

N. F. Fang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



References

- Aksoy, H. and Kavvas, M. L.: A review of hillslope and watershed scale erosion and sediment transport models, *Catena*, 64, 247–271, doi:10.1016/j.catena.2005.08.008, 2005.
- Bakker, M. M., Govers, G., van Doorn, A., Quetier, F., Chouvardas, D., and Rounsevell, M.: The response of soil erosion and sediment export to land-use change in four areas of Europe: The importance of landscape pattern, *Geomorphology*, 98, 213–226, doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2006.12.027, 2008.
- Casalí, J., Giménez, R., Díez, J., Álvarez-Mozos, J., Del Valle de Lersundi, J., Goñi, M., Campo, M. A., Chahor, Y., Gastesi, R., and López, J.: Sediment production and water quality of watersheds with contrasting land use in Navarre (Spain), *Agr. Water Manage.*, 97, 1683–1694, doi:10.1016/j.agwat.2010.05.024, 2010.
- Cerdan, O., Govers, G., Le Bissonnais, Y., Van Oost, K., Poesen, J., Saby, N., Gobin, A., Vacca, A., Quinton, J., Auerswald, K., Klik, A., Kwaad, F. J. P. M., Raclot, D., Ionita, I., Rejman, J., Rousseva, S., Muxart, T., Roxo, M. J., and Dostal, T.: Rates and spatial variations of soil erosion in Europe: A study based on erosion plot data, *Geomorphology*, 122, 167–177, doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2010.06.011, 2010.
- Collins, A. L., Naden, P. S., Sear, D. A., Jones, J. I., Foster, I. D. L., and Morrow, K.: Sediment targets for informing river catchment management: international experience and prospects, *Hydrol. Process.*, 25, 2112–2129, doi:10.1002/hyp.7965, 2011.
- Cooper, J. A. G.: The role of extreme floods in estuary-coastal behaviour: contrasts between river- and tide-dominated microtidal estuaries, *Sediment. Geol.*, 150, 123–137, doi:10.1016/S0037-0738(01)00271-8, 2002.
- de Vente, J., Poesen, J., Verstraeten, G., Govers, G., Vanmaercke, M., Van Rompaey, A., Arabkhedri, M., and Boix-Fayos, C.: Predicting soil erosion and sediment yield at regional scales: where do we stand?, *Earth-Sci. Rev.*, 127, 16–29, doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2013.08.014, 2013.
- Doomen, A. M. C., Wijma, E., Zwolsman, J. J. G., and Middelkoop, H.: Predicting suspended sediment concentrations in the Meuse River using a supply-based rating curve, *Hydrol. Process.*, 22, 1846–1856, doi:10.1002/hyp.6767, 2008.
- Fang, N.-F., Shi, Z.-H., Li, L., Guo, Z.-L., Liu, Q.-J., and Ai, L.: The effects of rainfall regimes and land use changes on runoff and soil loss in a small mountainous watershed, *Catena*, 99, 1–8, doi:10.1016/j.catena.2012.07.004, 2012.

Effects of cultivation and reforestation on suspended sediment concentrations

N. F. Fang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



- Francke, T., López-Tarazón, J. A., and Schröder, B.: Estimation of suspended sediment concentration and yield using linear models, random forests and quantile regression forests, *Hydrol. Process.*, 22, 4892–4904, doi:10.1002/hyp.7110, 2008.
- Gafur, A., Jensen, J. R., Borggaard, O. K., and Petersen, L.: Runoff and losses of soil and nutrients from small watersheds under shifting cultivation (Jhum) in the Chittagong Hill Tracts of Bangladesh, *J. Hydrol.*, 274, 30–46, doi:10.1016/S0022-1694(03)00262-2, 2003.
- Gao, Z. L., Fu, Y. L., Li, Y. H., Liu, J. X., Chen, N., and Zhang, X. P.: Trends of streamflow, sediment load and their dynamic relation for the catchments in the middle reaches of the Yellow River over the past five decades, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 16, 3219–3231, doi:10.5194/hess-16-3219-2012, 2012.
- Garcia-Ruiz, J. M., Reguees, D., Alvera, B., Lana-Renault, N., Serrano-Muela, P., Nadal-Romero, E., Navas, A., Latron, J., Marti-Bono, C., and Arnaez, J.: Flood generation and sediment transport in experimental catchments affected by land use changes in the central Pyrenees, *J. Hydrol.*, 356, 245–260, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2008.04.013, 2008.
- Gocic, M. and Trajkovic, S.: Analysis of changes in meteorological variables using Mann–Kendall and Sen’s slope estimator statistical tests in Serbia, *Global Planet. Change*, 100, 172–182, doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2012.10.014, 2013.
- Harrington, S. T. and Harrington, J. R.: An assessment of the suspended sediment rating curve approach for load estimation on the Rivers Bandon and Owenabue, Ireland, *Geomorphology*, 185, 27–38, doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2012.12.002, 2013.
- Hopmans, P. and Bren, L. J.: Long-term changes in water quality and solute exports in headwater streams of intensively managed radiata pine and natural eucalypt forest catchments in south-eastern Australia, *Forest Ecol. Manag.*, 253, 244–261, doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2007.07.027, 2007.
- Ide, J. I., Kume, T., Wakiyama, Y., Higashi, N., Chiwa, M., and Otsuki, K.: Estimation of annual suspended sediment yield from a Japanese cypress (*Chamaecyparis obtusa*) plantation considering antecedent rainfalls, *Forest Ecol. Manag.*, 257, 1955–1965, doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2009.02.011, 2009.
- Izaurrealde, R. C., Williams, J. R., Post, W. M., Thomson, A. M., McGill, W. B., Owens, L. B., and Lal, R.: Long-term modeling of soil C erosion and sequestration at the small watershed scale, *Climatic Change*, 80, 73–90, doi:10.1007/s10584-006-9167-6, 2006.
- Kendall, M. G.: *Rank Correlation Methods*, Griffin, London, 1975.

HESSD

12, 7583–7614, 2015

Effects of cultivation and reforestation on suspended sediment concentrations

N. F. Fang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Effects of cultivation and reforestation on suspended sediment concentrations

N. F. Fang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



- Khanchoul, K. and Jansson, M. B.: Sediment rating curves developed on stage and seasonal means in discharge classes for the Mellah wadi, Algeria, *Geogr. Ann. A*, 90A, 227–236, doi:10.1111/j.1468-0459.2008.341.x, 2008.
- 5 Kisi, O., Karahan, M. E., and Şen, Z.: River suspended sediment modelling using a fuzzy logic approach, *Hydrol. Process.*, 20, 4351–4362, doi:10.1002/hyp.6166, 2006.
- Liébault, F., Gomez, B., Page, M., Marden, M., Peacock, D., Richard, D., and Trotter, C. M.: Land-use change, sediment production and channel response in upland regions, *River Res. Appl.*, 21, 739–756, doi:10.1002/rra.880, 2005.
- 10 Liu, J. and Diamond, J.: China's environment in a globalizing world, *Nature*, 435, 1179–1186, doi:10.1038/4351179a, 2005.
- Liu, Q.-J., Shi, Z.-H., Fang, N.-F., Zhu, H.-D., and Ai, L.: Modeling the daily suspended sediment concentration in a hyperconcentrated river on the Loess Plateau, China, using the Wavelet-ANN approach, *Geomorphology*, 186, 181–190, doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2013.01.012, 2013.
- 15 Lü, Y.-H., Zhang, L.-W., Feng, X.-M., Zeng, Y., Fu, B.-J., Yao, X.-L., Li, J.-R., and Wu, B.-F.: Recent ecological transitions in China: greening, browning, and influential factors, *Sci. Rep.*, 5, 8732, doi:10.1038/srep08732, 2015.
- Luo, P.-P., He, B., Chaffe, P. L. B., Nover, D., Takara, K., and Mohd Remy Rozainy, M. A. Z.: Statistical analysis and estimation of annual suspended sediments of major rivers in Japan, *Environ. Sci. Proc. Imp.*, 15, 1052–1061, doi:10.1039/c3em30777h, 2013.
- 20 Mann, H. B.: Nonparametric Tests Against Trend, *Econometrica*, 13, 245–259, 1945.
- Mizugaki, S., Onda, Y., Fukuyama, T., Koga, S., Asai, H., and Hiramatsu, S.: Estimation of suspended sediment sources using ^{137}Cs and $^{210}\text{Pb}_{\text{ex}}$ in unmanaged Japanese cypress plantation watersheds in southern Japan, *Hydrol. Process.*, 22, 4519–4531, doi:10.1002/hyp.7053, 2008.
- 25 Morehead, M. D., Syvitski, J. P., Hutton, E. W. H., and Peckham, S. D.: Modeling the temporal variability in the flux of sediment from ungauged river basins, *Global Planet. Change*, 39, 95–110, doi:10.1016/s0921-8181(03)00019-5, 2003.
- Mount, N. J., Sambrook Smith, G. H., and Stott, T. A.: An assessment of the impact of upland afforestation on lowland river reaches: the Afon Trannon, mid-Wales, *Geomorphology*, 64, 255–269, doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2004.07.003, 2005.
- 30 Naden, P. S. and Cooper, D. M.: Development of a sediment delivery model for application in large river basins, *Hydrol. Process.*, 13, 1011–1034, 1999.

HESSD

12, 7583–7614, 2015

Effects of cultivation and reforestation on suspended sediment concentrations

N. F. Fang et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

- National Soil Survey Office: Soil Survey Technique in China, Agricultural Press, Beijing, 1992. (in Chinese)
- Ni, J.-R., Li, X.-X., and Borthwick, A. G. L.: Soil erosion assessment based on minimum polygons in the Yellow River basin, China, *Geomorphology*, 93, 233–252, doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2007.02.015, 2008.
- Parsons, A. J., Cooper, J., and Wainwright, J.: What is suspended sediment?, *Earth Surf. Proc. Land.*, 40, 1417–1420, doi:10.1002/esp.3730, 2015.
- Rankinen, K., Thouvenot-Korppoo, M., Lazar, A., Lawrence, D. S. L., Butterfield, D., Veijalainen, N., Huttunen, I., and Lepistö, A.: Application of catchment scale sediment delivery model INCA-Sed to four small study catchments in Finland, *Catena*, 83, 64–75, doi:10.1016/j.catena.2010.07.005, 2010.
- Renschler, C. S. and Harbor, J.: Soil erosion assessment tools from point to regional scales-the role of geomorphologists in land management research and implementation, *Geomorphology*, 47, 189–209, doi:10.1016/S0169-555x(02)00082-X, 2002.
- Shi, Z.-H., Cai, C.-F., Ding, S.-W., Wang, T.-W., and Chow, T. L.: Soil conservation planning at the small watershed level using RUSLE with GIS: a case study in the Three Gorge Area of China, *Catena*, 55, 33–48, doi:10.1016/s0341-8162(03)00088-2, 2004.
- Shi, Z.-H., Huang, X.-D., Ai, L., Fang, N.-F., and Wu, G.-L.: Quantitative analysis of factors controlling sediment yield in mountainous watersheds, *Geomorphology*, 226, 193–201, doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2014.08.012, 2014.
- Soil Survey Staff: Soil Taxonomy, A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys, 2nd edition, Agricultural Handbook 436, Natural Resources Conservation Service, USDA, Washington DC, USA, 869 pp., 1999.
- Stickler, C. M., Nepstad, D. C., Coe, M. T., McGrath, D. G., Rodrigues, H. O., Walker, W. S., Soares-Filho, B. S., and Davidson, E. A.: The potential ecological costs and cobenefits of REDD: a critical review and case study from the Amazon region, *Global Change Biol.*, 15, 2803–2824, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.02109.x, 2009.
- Van Rompaey, A. J. J., Govers, G., and Puttemans, C.: Modelling land use changes and their impact on soil erosion and sediment supply to rivers, *Earth Surf. Proc. Land.*, 27, 481–494, doi:10.1002/esp.335, 2002.
- Verbist, B., Poesen, J., van Noordwijk, M., Widiyanto, Suprayogo, D., Agus, F., and Deckers, J.: Factors affecting soil loss at plot scale and sediment yield at catchment scale in a tropi-

HESSD

12, 7583–7614, 2015

Effects of cultivation and reforestation on suspended sediment concentrations

N. F. Fang et al.

Table 1. Land use/cover type and change ratio during 1978–2007 in the Du catchment.

Land use/cover	Land use/cover (km ²) and ratio			Land use/cover change (km ²) and change ratio		
	1987	1999	2007	1999–1987	2007–1999	2007–1987
Water	35 (0.4 %)	26 (0.3 %)	31 (0.4 %)	–9 (–0.1 %)	5 (0.1 %)	–4 (–0.0 %)
Urban land	81 (0.9 %)	88 (1.0 %)	115 (1.3 %)	8 (0.1 %)	26 (0.3 %)	34 (0.4 %)
Barren land	37 (0.4 %)	38 (0.4 %)	62 (0.7 %)	1 (0.0 %)	24 (0.3 %)	26 (0.3 %)
Forest	6316 (70.4 %)	6232 (69.5 %)	6841 (75.2 %)	–84 (–0.9 %)	609 (6.8 %)	525 (5.9 %)
Shrub	929 (10.4 %)	846 (9.4 %)	851 (9.9 %)	–83 (–0.9 %)	5 (0.1 %)	–78 (–0.9 %)
Grass	657 (7.3 %)	525 (5.8 %)	551 (6.4 %)	–132 (–1.5 %)	26 (0.3 %)	–106 (–1.2 %)
Farmland	919 (10.2 %)	1218 (13.6 %)	522 (6.1 %)	299 (3.3 %)	–695 (–7.7 %)	–397 (–4.4 %)

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Effects of cultivation and reforestation on suspended sediment concentrations

N. F. Fang et al.

Table 2. Land use/cover and change ratio during 1978–2007 in the Xinzhou catchment.

Land use/cover	Land use/cover (km ²) and ratio			Land use/cover change (km ²)		
	1987	1999	2007	1999–1987	2007–1999	2007–1987
Water	16 (0.3 %)	15 (0.3 %)	14 (0.3 %)	−1 (0.0 %)	−1 (0.0 %)	−2 (0.0 %)
Urban land	52 (1.1 %)	57 (1.2 %)	51 (1.1 %)	5 (0.1 %)	−6 (−0.1 %)	−1 (0.0 %)
Barren land	20 (0.4 %)	22 (0.5 %)	41 (0.9 %)	2 (0.0 %)	19 (0.4 %)	21 (0.5 %)
Forest	3072 (66.3 %)	3148 (67.9 %)	3432 (74.0 %)	76 (1.6 %)	284 (6.1 %)	360 (7.8 %)
Shrub	537 (11.6 %)	422 (9.1 %)	479 (10.3 %)	−115 (−2.5 %)	57 (1.2 %)	−58 (−1.3 %)
Grass	404 (8.7 %)	290 (6.3 %)	307 (6.6 %)	−114 (−2.5 %)	17 (0.4 %)	−97 (−2.1 %)
Farmland	534 (11.5 %)	679 (14.7 %)	312 (6.7 %)	145 (3.1 %)	−367 (−7.9 %)	−222 (−4.8 %)

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)



[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)



Effects of cultivation and reforestation on suspended sediment concentrations

N. F. Fang et al.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)



[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)



Table 3. Variables and associated abbreviations used in the statistical analysis.

Abbreviations	Variables	Units
<i>P</i>	Rainfall	mm
<i>Q</i>	Stream flow	$\text{m}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$
<i>D</i>	Discharge depth	mm
SSY	Suspended sediment yield	kg s^{-1} or g s^{-1}
SSC	Suspended sediment concentration	kg m^{-3} or g m^{-3}

Effects of cultivation and reforestation on suspended sediment concentrations

N. F. Fang et al.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)

[⏪](#)

[⏩](#)

[◀](#)

[▶](#)

[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)



Table 4. Monthly mean stream flow from the Xinzhou and Zhushan gauges.

	Q_z ($\text{m}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$)			Change (100%)		Q_x ($\text{m}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$)			Change (100%)	
	1980s	1990s	2000s	C1	C2	1980s	1990s	2000s	C1	C2
Jan	35	33	41	−5.7%	24.2%	17	13	19	−23.5%	46.2%
Feb	37	46	49	24.3%	6.5%	18	19	21	5.6%	10.5%
Mar	85	96	74	12.9%	−22.9%	42	46	31	9.5%	−32.6%
Apr	186	146	160	−21.5%	9.6%	92	72	61	−21.7%	−15.3%
May	185	200	203	8.1%	1.5%	89	97	89	9.0%	−8.2%
Jun	274	224	192	−18.2%	−14.3%	132	115	111	−12.9%	−3.5%
Jul	412	223	262	−45.9%	17.5%	207	119	173	−42.5%	45.4%
Aug	269	260	257	−3.3%	−1.2%	129	136	156	5.4%	14.7%
Sep	338	159	202	−53.0%	27.0%	173	76	109	−56.1%	43.4%
Oct	255	136	155	−46.7%	14.0%	123	67	103	−45.5%	53.7%
Dec	121	94	95	−22.3%	1.1%	57	42	47	−26.3%	11.9%
Nov	49	41	62	−16.3%	51.2%	23	18	30	−21.7%	66.7%

Note: C1 is the change for 1990–1980; C2 is the change for 2000–1990.

Effects of cultivation and reforestation on suspended sediment concentrations

N. F. Fang et al.

Table 5. Monthly mean suspended sediment concentration from the Xinzhou and Zhushan gauges.

	SSC _z (gm ³)			Change (100%)		SSC _x (gm ³)			Change (100%)	
	1980s	1990s	2000s	C1	C2	1980s	1990s	2000s	C1	C2
Jan	0	0	0	–	–	0	0	0	–	–
Feb	10	1	2	–90%	100%	3	0	0	–100%	–
Mar	7	15	1	114%	–93%	3	12	1	300%	–92%
Apr	224	147	56	–34%	–62%	118	81	28	–31%	–65%
May	427	256	139	–40%	–46%	298	128	127	–57%	–1%
Jun	629	623	321	–1%	–48%	471	718	430	52%	–40%
Jul	1222	755	686	–38%	–9%	929	895	603	–4%	–33%
Aug	942	963	364	2%	–62%	736	961	411	31%	–57%
Sep	674	229	239	–66%	4%	409	115	186	–72%	62%
Oct	268	146	46	–46%	–68%	185	84	84	–55%	0%
Dec	26	86	1	231%	–99%	18	54	1	200%	–98%
Nov	0	0	0	–	–	0	0	0	–	–

Note: Suspended sediment primarily loads during the flow season. Rainfall is rare in the winter (Dec, Nov and Jan), and the stream flow is dominated by a base flow; thus, in most years, there is no suspended sediment load.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Effects of cultivation and reforestation on suspended sediment concentrations

N. F. Fang et al.

Table 6. Mean SSC values and one-way ANOVA of SSCs during the different periods.

		Original	Cultivation	Reforestation
Mean SSC _z (gm ⁻³)	Low flow	0.49	0.50	0.44
	Moderate flow	0.83	0.86	0.97
	High flow	2.42	2.43	2.02*
Mean SSC _x (gm ⁻³)	Low flow	0.68	0.66	0.36*
	Moderate flow	0.87	0.97	0.64*
	High flow	1.80	2.83*	1.80

Note: ANOVA was only conducted for the same flow during different periods; * means significant difference at $\alpha = 0.05$, $p < 0.001$.

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)

[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)





Figure 1. Location of study area.

HESSD

12, 7583–7614, 2015

Effects of cultivation and reforestation on suspended sediment concentrations

N. F. Fang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion






Figure 2. Land use changes during the three periods.

Effects of cultivation and reforestation on suspended sediment concentrations

N. F. Fang et al.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)



Effects of cultivation and reforestation on suspended sediment concentrations

N. F. Fang et al.




Figure 3. Annual P, D and SSY for the hydrological years of 1980–2009 from the Zhushan and Xinzhou gauges.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion






Figure 4. Results of the Mann–Kendall test.

HESSD

12, 7583–7614, 2015

Effects of cultivation and reforestation on suspended sediment concentrations

N. F. Fang et al.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#) | [Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#) | [References](#)

[Tables](#) | [Figures](#)

[◀](#) | [▶](#)

[◀](#) | [▶](#)

[Back](#) | [Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)






Figure 5. Bivariate scatter-plot matrix of selected variables.

HESSD

12, 7583–7614, 2015

Effects of cultivation and reforestation on suspended sediment concentrations

N. F. Fang et al.

Title Page	
Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References
Tables	Figures
◀	▶
◀	▶
Back	Close
Full Screen / Esc	
Printer-friendly Version	
Interactive Discussion	



Effects of cultivation and reforestation on suspended sediment concentrations

N. F. Fang et al.




Figure 6. SSC-Q relationships during the three periods for the two gauges.

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#) [Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#) [References](#)
[Tables](#) [Figures](#)

⏪ ⏩
⏴ ⏵
[Back](#) [Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)



Effects of cultivation and reforestation on suspended sediment concentrations

N. F. Fang et al.




Figure 7. Descriptive statistics of Q and SSC.

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#) [Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#) [References](#)
[Tables](#) [Figures](#)
⏪ ⏩
⏴ ⏵
[Back](#) [Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)



Effects of cultivation and reforestation on suspended sediment concentrations

N. F. Fang et al.




Figure 8. Box plots of SSC.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

