RESPONSES TO THE REVIEWERS COMMENTS

We have considered all the suggestions and comments of the two reviewers, and we have made the modifications/corrections. We have detailed the replies when necessary in the letter (in black is the text of the reviewers and in blue our responses). The manuscript with the marked correction is up-loaded with this letter.

REFEREE #1

The manuscript is based on a very detailed and extended data set, covering 10 years of monitoring at different stations in an estuary and tidal river. The data set is worthwhile to be published and the analysis of it reveals very interesting results. These results should convince authorities to extend monitoring of key ecological parameters, such as turbidity in other estuaries! The manuscript is (generally) well written and reasonably well structured. I recommend a publication of the manuscript in HESS after some revisions

a) **GENERAL COMMENTS**

Referee Comment 1. I was a little confused by the use of the terms 'low water' and 'high water' for discharge conditions. Usually they are used to indicate tidal elevation. I would therefore suggest replacing these words by 'low discharge' and 'high discharge' or 'low fresh water discharge' and 'high fresh water discharge'.

The terms were replaced in the text and figures, according the suggestion of RC#1, to avoid such confusion.

Referee Comment 2. The abstract starts with 'climate change and human activities'. I was immediately focused on these terms, but only very limited information was presented in the ms on these subjects. What do you mean by climate change? Is it global warming and its effect on e.g. precipitation or do you mean climate variability, such as the NAO?

Regarding the latter, the changes in e.g. duration of LW (low discharge) in Fig 15 correspond at a quick view (see http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/data/teledoc/nao_ts.shtml) with the variations in NAO index. The increase of LW duration in the 80's is correlated partly with a period (79/80-94/95) of positive NAO index. What is the effect of human impact (water usage for irrigation)? Do you have data that show the amount used for this purpose?

Yes, we begin the abstract with "climate change and human activities" to indicate the context of the article, however this is not the specific objective of the work. We know that the annual mean discharge of the Garonne-Gironde system has decreased over the last decades (see section 4.1 1st paragraph). There are already dedicated studies that confirm the influence of both human impact and climate variability on freshwater discharge variations, like those of Mazzega et al. (2014) and Hendrickx and Sauquet (2013), both cited in the article.

Such context is expected to affect natural distribution of estuarine SPM, as explained in the introduction. However we do not have historical data in the tidal Garonne River. The objective of the article is to detail turbidity dynamics in the upper reaches of the Gironde estuary in relation with the present-day hydrological conditions, based on a high-frequency turbidity records that covers only the last 10 years. We are convinced that figure 13 and 14 clearly demonstrate the decisive control of fluvial discharge characteristics (duration of low discharge period; the river water volume passed during the previous high discharge water period) on TMZ occurrence in the tidal Garonne. The figure 15 is presented to show that these two indicators have already changed over the period 1959-2014.

We agree with the observation that the changes in duration of low discharge (Fig. 15) present some similarities with the NAO index. In fact, we have envisioned a first version of the figure 15 including the NAO index (see below). We had suggested Referee 1 the possibility of include this version of the Figure. However, in absence of response, entering in this discussion can be stodgy. Taking into account our main objective of presenting 10-yr high frequency turbidity records, we prefer do not present here the NAO index. However an additional discussion about the interest of this analysis for the future management of the tidal Garonne, considering the projections of river discharged in Europe for the next decades (Alfieri et al., 2015), is included (p16 lines 3-7),

Added reference:

Alfieri, L., Burek, P., Feyen, L., & Forzieri, G.: Global warming increases the frequency of river floods in Europe, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 19(5), 2247–2260. doi:10.5194/hess-19-2247-2015, 2015.



Referee Comment 3. How do you define ’mobile mud’? Is this the same as fluid mud, high concentrated mud suspension or are these low consolidated mud deposits? Are the data given any direct clue for the occurrence of these ’mobile muds’, do you have other data that confirm the existence of these features or is their existence derived from the behavior of the turbidity variations?

The choice of the term “mobile mud” is better justified in the manuscript (p4 lines 19-23). We call “mobile mud” “low consolidated mud deposits”, that are easily erodible. We discarded the term “fluid mud” that refers precisely to a high-concentrated benthic suspension (several 10s of g L^{-1} to 100s of g L^{-1}) often formed from settling of particles from the turbidity maximum. Field observations by Chanson et al. (2011) confirm the existence of mud deposits in the Garonne tidal, which are defined as “a cohesive mud mixture consisting of fine mud and silt materials” (the reference is included in the manuscript). For this reason we adopted this more neutral term, mobile mud, which is used in other estuaries (Uncles et al. 1996; 2006) to describe the seasonal occurrence of unconsolidated mud layers.

References:

Chanson, H., Reungoat, D., Simon, B., & Lubin, P. : High-frequency turbulence and suspended sediment concentration measurements in the Garonne River tidal bore, Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 95(2-3), 298–306. doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2011.09.012, 2011

Uncles, R. J., Barton, M.L., and Stephens, J. A. : Seasonal Variability of Mobile Mud Deposits in the Tamar Estuary, in Mixing in Estuaries and Coastal Seas (ed C. Pattiaratchi), American Geophysical Union, Washington, D. C., doi:10.1029/CE050p0374, 1996.

Uncles, R. J., Stephens, J. A., and Law, D. J.: Turbidity maximum in the macrotidal, highly turbid Humber Estuary, UK: flocs, fluid mud, stationary suspensions and tidal bores, Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 67, 30–52, doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2005.10.013, 2006

Referee Comment 4. I was not completely convinced by the definition of TMZ that you use, ie NTU>1000. A TMZ in an estuary can be present even if the overall turbidity is lower than this value as it depends on the turbidity more upstream and downstream of it. The occurrence of TMZ in estuaries has been discussed a lot in literature, but I don’t think that a certain turbidity value was proposed in order to have have a TMZ. You refer to ’old’ papers from Allen et al (1977) and Allen & Castaing (1981) where they have used a threshold for turbidity in a TMZ. What is the scientific basis of using such a threshold?

Indeed in any estuary, a TMZ is defined as a zone, where turbidity is higher than in upstream and downstream waters. However, for the Gironde estuary, there are pioneer works of Allen and Castaing that define the threshold of TMZ at 1 g L^{-1} based on numerous field determinations of suspended load distributions.

As our work is in the same fluvio-estuarine system, we use the same TMZ definition (in fact changing the threshold should have been justified), i.e. the TMZ is present when particle load values exceed 1 g L^{-1}. The critical point is in fact the turbidity – SPM relationship, as the automated stations measure turbidity and not particle load. Ongoing works on [SSC, g L^{-1}] = f (Turbidity, NTU) curves based on particles collected at Bordeaux and Pauillac show that 1000 and 9999 NTU correspond to about 1 and 5-6 g L^{-1} respectively (Schmidt, personal data, see figure). This justifies the use of 1000 NTU as the threshold of TMZ occurrence.



However this work could serve also to test the historical threshold. When the TMZ is installed at one of the four MAGEST station, we observe that the minimum value of tidally-averaged turbidity is always equal or higher to 1000 NTU, whatever the tidal range. This observation thus reinforces previous works.



b) SPECIFIC COMMENTS

Referee Comment 1. p2846 line 18-19: Mention here that the tides are semi-diurnal.

Done (p3 line 25)

Referee Comment 2. p2847 line 17-18: 'However, the suspended sediments dynamics in this estuarine region are largely unknown': this should not be in the a chapter that is intended to present facts.

Sentence suppressed

Referee Comment 3. p2848: I can live with NTU, but why are you not using mass concentration (mg/l). Is it because of a lack of calibration data? What Is the correlation between NTU and mg/l? Is the correlation varying with season/discharge?

As already explained general comment 4, a work on [SSC, g L^{-1}] = f (Turbidity, NTU) curves based on estuarine particles is ongoing. It is too preliminary to be presented here.

Referee Comment 4. p 2848 line 27-28 to p2489 line 1: Not clear what you mean with "to at least 70% of measured values for the considered period of time". Is it that 70% of the data during a tidal cycle have to be of good quality?

Automated stations measure turbidity each 10 min. unfortunately there are data missing due to different technical reasons. Normally the tidally-averaged turbidity relies on 75 successive measurements. Due to temporary stop in measurements or sensor failure, the number of effective measurements could be lower. We have tested that tidally-averaged turbidity are representative when it relies on, at least, 52 successive measurements.

As there is no question of the reviewer#2 on this point, we did not change the sentence.

Referee Comment 5. p2849 Fig 2 is not necessary.

As argued in the text, this is a key study for the management of the estuary. Since management policies use usually daily-averages, this figure is important for potentially users of this kind of data. We prefer to keep the figure because the result is not intuitive.

Referee Comment 6. p2849 line 5: "Previous works have defined the TMZ in the Gironde estuary by a SPM concentration> 1 gL^{-1}. Is this near-bed, surface or vertical averaged SPM concentration?

It is surface SPM concentration. The text is modified to clarify (p6 line 2).

Referee Comment 7. Last paragraph of §3.2: the results of the statistical analysis you describe here are not presented and discussed in the ms.

The results of the statistical analysis described in §3.2 were presented in the second paragraph of the section 4.3.1.

Referee Comment 8. 4.1 Hydrological trends: the paragraph is better suited in chapter 2 (study site) and chapter 3.2 (data treatment).

We prefer to keep the section §4.1 because it presents our own data treatment, calculated from data presented in chapter 3, and adapted for the time period of our study. The values presented in this section describe the hydrological regime of cases discussed in section §5, hence we consider essential to separate the description of the studied period from the general description of the estuary.

Referee Comment 9. Figure 3: quiet small and difficult to see. Possibly the figure will be more clear in the final version.

This is because of the orientation of the figure in the file. We suggest expanding this figure in landscape setup.

Referee Comment 10. Figure 4: Indicate the date of the example and the location in the caption of the figure. The figure is small and therefore not clear, e.g. sub-figure 4c (right) is hard to understand.

The location is included in the figure. The date is shown through the ticks. As suggested for Figure 3, the figure would benefit to be oversized.

Referee Comment 11. p2851 line 1: how do you know that 9999NTU is > 6g/l? Add reference or explain.

The reference was already mentioned (p2848 line 5 of discussion version), however the correspondence NTU-SPM is suppressed here as the purpose was only to indicate that turbidity could be very high.

Referee Comment 12. p2851 line 7: Do you mean by mid-flood/mid-ebb mean water elevation? Is ebb and flood nicely correlated with tides, i.e. between LW–>HW/HW–>LW or is there a time shift? Maybe indicate in 'study site' to which part of the tidal cycle ebb and flood correspond.

We used mid-flood/mid-ebb for qualitatively denoting the moments of maximum current velocities. The terms are suppressed to avoid confusions.

Referee Comment 13. p2851 line 12: is the discharge peak for the Garonne?

Yes, Garonne is added in the text to clarify (p7 line 3).

Referee Comment 14. p2851 line 16: why do you call some floods 'peculiar' Is there not always a first flood after LW-periods? Better skip 'peculiar'. Can you show such a flood in a Figure?

The text is modified to clarify (p8 line 7). The different turbidity patterns associated to floods are detailed and graphically represented in Section §5.1.

Referee Comment 15. p2852 line 1-2: sentence is not needed.

The sentence is suppressed and included in a more appropriate position (now at p7 lines 15-16). It is indeed an, obvious but important, statement that needs to be repeated. There are still works in tidal environments that forget this point.

Referee Comment 16. p2852 line 15 and Fig 5: in the text you mention 'contrasting hydrological periods' in the figure you mention 'season'. I agree that hydrological regime is strongly seasonal, but are there not also wet periods in summer and dry ones in winter? Is the behaviour of the TMZ in the estuary similar in a wet/dry summer and a wet/dry winter period?

Section 4 is the "result section". We first detail and demonstrate the main marked seasonal trends in hydrological conditions in section 4.1. Based on these main "contrasting hydrological periods' we present only the associated main trends and statistics in turbidity (section §4.3). We precise in the figure caption the values of daily-discharges for these two periods. The paragraph was simplified for clarity (p9 lines 2-4).

But we agree that, apart from these main trends, there is inter-annual variability. It is the reason for which, after this overview (section 3 results), we discussed in deep the relationships between turbidity and river flow. We had showed and explained that, indeed, TMZ could be observed in the tidal Garonne during winter dry periods as in 2012 (see figure 6 by example).

Referee Comment 17. p 2854 line 7: showed –> shown

Done

Referee Comment 18. p2855 line 7-8: 'probably remained TMZ-originated mud' –> 'probably remains of a previous TMZ period'

Done

Referee Comment 19. p2855 line 27-28: What is different in 2010 as compared with dry and wet years? In the Table 1 several M(CC) pattern are indicated, however, in the text you write 'absence of CC pattern'.

M(CC) patterns are not CC pattern: see the table caption . However the text is modified to clarify (p12 lines 1-3).

Referee Comment 20. p2856 line 9-10: "The prediction of TMZ location is a challenge in the fluvial Gironde estuary". I would suggest to skip this part, especially the 'challenge'.

Done. “A challenge” is changed by “nowadays a need” (p12 line 12)

Referee Comment 21. p2856 line 12: 'presence of the TMZ': is it the presence or the position? See also my comment on the 1000 NTU as definition of TMZ. Possibly a weak TMZ is occurring?

Done. “Presence” is changed by “Position” (p12 line 15).

Referee Comment 22. Figure 3 caption: missing (e) Portets

Done

Referee Comment 23. Figure 4 caption: add date. What is a 'mean time step of river flow'? Do you mean measuring time interval? add 'one' before 'hour'.

Done

Referee Comment 24. Figure 9: Not clear, especially part B.

This is because of the size of the figure in the discussion version. We suggest enlarging this figure in the revised version.

Referee Comment 25. p2857 line 3-4: "On the opposite, the effect of tidal range is null during floods, when turbidity is associated to sediments transported from the watershed." Replace 'null' by 'small', not very clear from the figure 9.

“Null” is modified by “almost negligible” (p13 line2).

Referee Comment 26. p2858 line 12: "discharges between 200-300 m3/s". From the figure I would say discharges < 300 m3/s.

The purpose is to indicate the discharge threshold that corresponds of the observation of TMZ in Bordeaux, according to the figure 12. As explained in the previous paragraphs, there is also an influence of tidal range on turbidity that explained the range (min median max) in turbidity values at a given discharge (Figure 12). Therefore we prefer to keep “between 200-300 m^3/s" that is more in agreement with the figure. Then below 200 m^3/s, TMZ is always present. In a management perspective, this range is important.

Referee Comment 27. p2859 line 3: 'may lead' –> 'may have lead'

Done

Referee Comment 28. p2860 line 7: 'especially since the 90': I see the duration increasing since the 80s.

Done

Referee Comment 29. p2860, line 9: see the general comment on climate change above. What is more important here climate change, climate variability (NAO) or human activity?

See general comment 1

Referee Comment 30. p2860 line 11: The volumes are 10 times smaller in Fig 15. What is the unit 'Hm^3'?

The value is the same in the text than in the figure. 30x10^3 Hm^3 is replaced by 3x10^4 to avoid confusions.

Referee Comment 31. p2860 line 15: " As the TMZ is concentrated and persistent, the required water volume to expel it increases". Do you mean that the SPM concentration/turbidity is higher in the TMZ or that the length of the TMZ is smaller?

The text is modified to clarify (p16 line 1).

Referee Comment 32. p2860, line 20: has there been a deepening in the estuary and a change in tidal range and asymmetry? Not clear from the sentence. If yes, then an increase of SPM concentration in the estuary or the TMZ could also be due to a higher import from the sea. Is the origin of the mud terrestrial or also marine?

The origin of mud is mainly terrestrial. It is written in the Section 2 with its correspondent reference (p2847 line 6 of discursion version). There is no works about the evolution of tidal range and asymmetry during the last decades, so we do not know if morphological changes have affected the sedimentary dynamics. The text is modified to clarify (p16 lines 12-13).

REFEREE #2

This article represents an important contribution to our understanding of the evolution of turbidity maxima in macrotidal estuaries under varying fresh water flow and tidal range. It is well laid out and clear, with a good structure. It is most useful to present a long term, high resolution data set in this way and to alert readers to the possibilities afforded by such a level of monitoring. Thus, I fully support publication.

My comments are generally minor and relate to the way in which the arguments are presented. I think readers will primarily be interested in the question of what the results mean for the future of the estuary and for management regimes. So, Section 5.3 on 'has the turbidity intensified' is important here and should be referred to in the abstract and aims.

Done (p1 lines 22-30 and p3 lines 16-17)

I am not in favour of the use of the terms 'installation' and 'expulsion' as, in my experience, these terms are not well known. Can I suggest 'Upstream migration' and 'downstream flushing'

Yes we admit that 'installation" and "expulsion" terms are not usually. We replace these terms when we are referring the shift of the TMZ (or mobile mud) over the tidal rivers, considered in general. But our work is based on specific stations that are located at a specific location on the tidal rivers. It is the reason we prefer to use the terms "expulsion" and "installation", since it is denoting the TMZ presence or not in a specific location. We have introduced the definition of these terms (p6 lines 3-7) to clarify and avoid confusions.

Please be aware of the following paper on the Thames which I believe to be relevant: Mitchell S.B., Uncles R.J. and Akesson L. 2012. Observations of turbidity in the Thames estuary. Water and Environment Journal 26, 511-520

Yes indeed it is an important work that would have been cited. Done (p10 lines 13-14 and p13 lines 3-4)

I have tried to attach it to this comment, hopefully I have succeeded.

Please ensure to provide a clear key (legend) in Fig.15 to help interpretation of this figure.

Done

Turbidity in the fluvial Gironde Estuary (S.-W. France) based on 10-year continuous monitoring: sensitivity to hydrological conditions

I. Jalón-Rojas, S. Schmidt and A. Sottolichio

{UMR5805 EPOC, CNRS-Université de Bordeaux, Pessac, France}

Correspondence to: I. Jalón-Rojas (isabel.jalon-rojas@u-bordeaux.fr)

Abstract

Climate change and human activities impact the volume and timing of freshwater input to estuaries. These modifications in fluvial discharges are expected to influence estuarine suspended sediment dynamics, and in particular the turbidity maximum zone (TMZ). Located in the southwest France, the Gironde fluvial-estuarine systems has an ideal context to address this issue. It is characterized by a very pronounced TMZ, a decrease in mean annual runoff in the last decade, and it is quite unique in having a long-term and high-frequency monitoring of turbidity. The effect of tide and river flow on turbidity in the fluvial estuary is detailed, focusing on dynamics related to changes in hydrological conditions (river floods, periods of low-water, inter-annual changes). Turbidity shows hysteresis loops at different time scales: during river floods and over the transitional period between the installation and expulsion of the TMZ. These hysteresis patterns, that reveal the origin of sediment, locally resuspended or transported from the watershed, may be a tool to evaluate the presence of remained mud. Statistics on turbidity data bound the range of river flow that promotes the upstream migration of TMZ ~~installation~~ in the fluvial stations. Whereas the duration of the low discharge period mainly determines the TMZ persistence, the freshwater volume during high discharge periods explains the TMZ concentration at the following dry period.~~ Hydrological indicators of the persistence and turbidity level of the TMZ are also defined~~. The ~~long-term~~ evolution of these two hydrological indicators of TMZ persistence and turbidity level since 1960 confirms the ~~influence~~effect ~~-~~of discharge decrease on the intensification of the TMZ in tidal rivers;~~,~~ ~~and~~both provide~~s~~ a tool to evaluate future scenarios.

1 Introduction

Macrotidal estuaries are highly variable systems as result of the strong influence of both tides and river discharge. In particular dynamics of suspended particulate matter (SPM) and the occurrence of a turbidity maximum zone (TMZ) are complex and difficult to predict (Fettweis et al., 1998; Mitchell and Uncles, 2013). Different processes can induce the formation of the TMZ (for details see Allen et al., 1980; Dyer, 1988; Jay and Musiak, 1994; Talke et al., 2009). This highly concentrated zone plays an important role on estuarine morphodynamics. Sediment depositions from the TMZ~~, termed fluid mud,~~ may generate gradual accretion of bed and banks (Pontee et al., 2004; Schrottke et al., 2006; Uncles et al., 2006). Therefore, many estuaries require regular dredging against ongoing siltation events to maintain the depth of navigation channels.

Quite recently, considerable attention has been paid to evaluate the effect of climate change (Fettweis et al., 2012) and human interventions (Schuttelaars et al., 2013; Winterwerp and Wang, 2013; Yang et al., 2013; De-Jonge et al., 2014) on natural distribution of SPM in estuaries. There are numerical evidences linking freshwater abstractions to an increased potential for up-estuary transport (Uncles et al., 2013). Nevertheless the effects of shifts in freshwater inflow on sediment regime are not yet totally understood (Mitchell and Uncles, 2013). The longitudinally TMZ migration as result of seasonal variability of runoff was well described in many estuaries (Grabemann et al., 1997; Uncles et al., 1998; Guézennec et al., 1999). However, the effect of floods or long-term hydrological variability on sediment dynamics is scarcely documented. Only Grabemann and Krause (2001) showed differences in SPM concentrations of the TMZ in the Weser estuary between a dry and a wet year, although the gaps in data hamper a detailed analysis. The transitional periods of upstream migration and downstream flushing~~installation and expulsion~~ of the TMZ and of its associated mobile mud in fluvial sections have also not been detailed. These limitations are partly due to the absence of relevant long-term datasets, which are not so common in estuaries (Garel et al., 2009; Contreras and Polo, 2012).

The Gironde fluvio-estuarine system (SW France) is quite unique in having a long-term and high-frequency monitoring of water quality. This estuary presents a pronounced TMZ so far documented in the lower and central reaches (Allen and Castaing, 1973; Allen et al., 1980; Sottolichio and Castaing, 1999). The Gironde watershed has the largest water structural deficit in France (Mazzega et al., 2014). Warming climate over the basin induces a decrease in mean annual runoff, a shift to earlier snow melting in mountainous areas and more severe low-flow conditions (Hendrickx and Sauquet, 2013). In addition, according to data of the agricultural census, irrigated areas have duplicated its surface in several regions of the watershed between 1988 and 2000, promoting strong water storage and abstractions. This context makes the Gironde estuary a good example to evaluate how changes in freshwater regime may affect the estuarine particle dynamic.

The goal of this work is to analyse the response of fine sediments to hydrological fluctuations, based on a 10-years, high-frequency database of turbidity in the fluvial Gironde estuary, in order to:

1. Document the trends of SPM at all representative time scales, from intratidal to interannual variability.
2. Analyse the role of floods on the sedimentary dynamic of the tidal rivers.
3. Analyse~~Discuss~~ the influence of hydrological conditions on TMZ features (~~installation/expulsion~~upstream migration, downstream flushing, concentration, persistence).
4. Discuss the effect of the long-term decrease of runoff in the upstream intensification of the TMZ.

2 The study site

With a total surface area of 635 km^2, the Gironde is a macrotidal fluvial-estuarine system located on the Atlantic coast (South-West of France, Fig. 1). The estuary shows a regular funnel shape of 75 km between the mouth and the junction of the Garonne and the Dordogne rivers. Tidal rivers present a single sinuous channel with weak slopes and narrow sections (about 300 m, 250 m and 200 m at Bordeaux, Portets and Libourne respectively, Fig. 1). At the Gironde mouth, tides are semidiurnal and the mean neap and spring tidal ranges are respectively 2.5 and 5 m (Bonneton et al., 2015). The tidal wave propagates up to 180 km from the estuary mouth. Thereby, the uppermost limits for the dynamic tidal zone are (Fig. 1): La Réole for the Garonne River (95 km from the river confluence); and Pessac for the Dordogne River (90 km from the river confluence). As the tide propagates upstream, tidal currents undergo an increasing ebb-flood asymmetry (longer and weaker ebb currents; shorter and stronger flood currents) and the wave is amplified (Allen et al., 1980). The tidal wave reaches its maximum value at about 125 km from the mouth (Bonneton et al., 2015), before decaying in the fluvial narrow sections.

The tidal asymmetry toward upstream and the subsequent tidal pumping coupled to density residual circulation develop a turbidity maximum zone (TMZ). The high tidal ranges and the great length of the estuary promote a highly turbid TMZ (Uncles et al., 2002). In surface waters of the middle estuary, SPM concentrations range between 0.1 and 10 g L^{-1} according to Sottolichio and Castaing (1999). Estuarine suspended sediments have a dominant terrestrial origin and are mainly composed of clays (45–65%) and silts (Fontugne and Jouanneau, 1987). SPM residence time is comprised between 12 and 24 months, depending on river discharge (Saari et al., 2010). Freshwater inflow moves the TMZ along the estuary axis: during high river flow the TMZ moves down-estuary and vice versa (Castaing and Allen, 1981). There is also a secondary steady TMZ in the middle estuary possibly related to a high dynamic zone, so called the 'erosion maximum zone' (Allen et al., 1980; Sottolichio and Castaing, 1999). At slack water, sediment deposition occurs on the river bed and banks. In the channel fluid mud can form elongated patches, with concentrations up to 300 g L^{-1} (Allen, 1971).

Contrary to the middle estuary, the tidal Garonne and Dordogne Rivers are still poorly documented. Measurements over a maximum of 3 days (Romaña 1983; Castaing et al. 2006) and satellite images (Doxaran et al., 2009) ~~showed~~revealed the seasonal presence of the TMZ ~~in the tidal rivers~~ during the summer-autumn period. Brief field observations in September 2010 (Chanson et al, 2011) showed the presence of low consolidated mud deposits upstream Bordeaux. In the following, and according to Uncles et al. (2006), the term mobile mud is used for these low consolidated mud deposits that are easily erodible, and likely to shift seasonally with the TMZ. ~~However, the suspended sediments dynamics in this estuarine region are largely unknown.~~

3 Materials and Methods

3.1 The multiyear high-frequency monitoring system

The Gironde estuary counts on an automated continuous monitoring network, called MAGEST (MArel Gironde ESTuary), to address the current and future estuarine water quality. MAGEST network includes four sites (Fig. 1): Pauillac in the central estuary (52 km from the mouth); Libourne in the Dordogne tidal river (115 km from the mouth); and

Bordeaux and Portets in the Garonne tidal river (100 and 140 km from the mouth respectively). The automated stations record dissolved oxygen, temperature, turbidity and salinity every ten minutes at 1 m below the surface. In addition, an ultrasonic level controller measures the water depth in the stations of Bordeaux, Portets and Libourne. The turbidity sensor (Endress and Hauser, CUS31-W2A) measures values between 0 and 9999 NTU with a precision of 10%. The saturation value (9999 NTU) of turbidity sensor corresponds to about 6 g L^{-1} (Schmidt et al., 2014). One may refer to Etcheber et al. (2011) for a description of the MAGEST survey program, for the technical features of monitoring system and for examples of the trends in measured parameters; and to Lanoux et al. (2013) for a detailed analysis of oxygen records.

The first implemented station was Pauillac the 15 June 2004. Acquisition at Portets and Libourne stations began the 16 November 2004 and at Bordeaux stations the 1 March 2005. Portets station was stopped the 11 January 2012. The severe environmental conditions, electrical / mechanical failures and sensor malfunctions could cause missing or wrong data. Therefore the database needed a cleaning for erroneous values in turbidity. By example 9999 NTU correspond to saturation values, but also to sensor errors in, these later need to be removed. A routine under Matlab was developed to retain only turbidity values corresponding to saturation. The validated database of turbidity corresponds to 1.223.486 data points recorded between 2005 and mid-2014. This corresponds to a rate of correct operating of 71%, 70%, 70% and 57% for Bordeaux, Portets, Libourne and Pauillac stations respectively.

In addition, two tide gauges, managed by the port of Bordeaux (Grand Port Maritime de Bordeaux), record tide height at Pauillac and Bordeaux every 5 minutes. Hydrometric stations record each 1 to 24 hours discharges of the Dordogne River (Pessac ; Lamonzie Saint Martin) and of the Garonne River (La Réole ; Tonneins) (Fig. 1). Data are available on the national web site: http://www.hydro.eaufrance.fr/.

3.2 Data treatment

Turbidity was analysed as function of river flow and water height at different time scales. To better identify intertidal trends, we calculated tidal-averaged turbidity with its corresponding tidal range. In order to avoid biased averaged values, we only consider the tidal averages corresponding to at least 70% of measured values for the considered period of time. Since management directives are often based on daily values, tidally and daily averages were compared. Figure 2 compares both turbidity averages and shows a very good agreement between the two calculations (R^2=0.993). Previous works have defined the TMZ in the Gironde estuary by a SPM concentration > 1 g L^{-1} in surface (Allen et al., 1977; Castaing and Allen, 1981), which corresponds to a turbidity of about 1000 NTU. We call TMZ installation and expulsion the transitional periods where turbidity oscillates around 1000 NTU in a given station, during the TMZ upstream and downstream migration (see Figure 3). River floods are defined by a daily increase of the Garonne discharge higher than 480 m^3 s^{-1} (percentile 75 of river flow during the study period). A time shift was added to discharge time series for the study of floods, since hydrometric stations are located tens of kilometres upstream of the MAGEST ones. It has been estimated based on the velocity of the ~~peak~~ flood~~s~~ peaks between two hydrometric stations.

We performed statistical analysis on tidal-averaged data. We compared turbidity values according to stations (Portets, Bordeaux, Libourne and Pauillac), period (months, and tidal range), and their interactions (e.g. station within period), by performing analysis of variance. We used parametric test (T-Test and ANOVA) when datasets or ~~its~~ their transforms (like log or cubic root) met the normality and homoscedasticity criteria. Otherwise we used non-parametric tests (Mann-Whitney U and Kruskal-Wallis tests). In the following, we refer to "significantly different" datasets when these tests on tidal-averaged data were significant at $p < 0.5$. These tests were carried out using STATA software (v. 12.1, StataCorp, 2011).

4 Results

4.1 Hydrological trends

The Gironde estuary drains a watershed of 81000 km^2, (Fig. 1.a) strongly regulated by dams and reservoirs. The Garonne and the Dordogne Rivers contribute~~s~~ respectively ~~to~~for 65% and 35% of the freshwater input. Historical records reveal drastic changes in hydrological conditions: the annual mean discharge (Garonne + Dordogne) is decreasing, flood events are increasingly scarce and drought periods are becoming more durable. In the period between the 60's and the 80's, the mean annual discharge was 1000 m^3 s^{-1}. In contrast, during the studied period (January 2005 - July 2014), the mean annual discharge was 680 m^3 s^{-1} (Fig. 3.A). For this period, the inter-annual variability in freshwater inflow was also remarkable: the driest year was 2011 with a mean discharge of 433 m^3 s^{-1} and the wetter one was 2013 with a total mean discharge of 961 $m^3 s^{-1}$. River discharge varies also seasonally reaching the highest values in January to February and the ~~small~~lowest in August to September. For the studied period, mean discharges were 720 $m^3 s^{-1}$ in winter (December 21 to March 20) and 190 $m^3 s^{-1}$ (June 21 to September 20) for the Garonne River (380 and 105 $m^3 s^{-1}$ for the Dordogne River).

Tides are semidiurnal (the main harmonic component is the M_2) with a period of 12 h 25 min. Between January 2005 and July 2014, the ~~minimal,~~ mean, minimal and maximal values of tidal ranges were respectively about 4.1, 1.9 and 6.1 m at Pauillac and about 4.9, 2.5 and 6.6 m at Bordeaux (see the whole time series in Fig. 3.B). Spring and neap tides were defined as the tidal cycles which tidal range is respectively above the percentile 75 and below the percentile 25. These values were about 3.5 (p25) and 4.7 (p75) at Pauillac, and about 4.3 (p25) and 5.4 (p75) at Bordeaux.

4.2 Short-term variability in turbidity

Figure 4 presents examples of high frequency (10 minutes) data recorded at Bordeaux under two contrasted conditions of fluvial discharge. Continuous measurements reveal turbidity patterns related to tidal cycles, and to changes in fluvial discharges. Only such a continuous record can capture turbidity signatures of a flood that often occurs for a few hours.

4.2.1 Tidal cycles

The first selected dataset (Fig. 4.I) corresponds to a low-water period: the Garonne discharge was below 120 $m^3 s^{-1}$. Turbidity shows a large range of values between 740 and 9999 NTU, testifying the presence of the TMZ in the tidal river. It is noticeable that turbidity is higher than the saturation value~~, i.e. > 6 g L^{-1},~~ during several hours per tidal cycle. These raw data illustrate the short-term changes in turbidity due to deposition-resuspension processes induced by changes in current velocities throughout the tidal cycles. This pattern was already reported in the central estuary (Allen et al., 1980; Castaing and Allen, 1981). Fig. 4.I.c relates turbidity and water level of the above raw data, showing more clearly the intratidal patterns: two turbidity peaks ~~at mid-flood and mid-ebb,~~ due to the resuspension by the maximum current velocities. In contrast minimum turbidity values are always recorded at high tide and low tide due to deposition processes.

4.2.2 Flood events

The second selected dataset (Fig. 4.II) represents the turbidity signal related to a spring flood with a discharge peak of the Garonne River at 1730 m^3 s^{-1}. As shown in the middle and lower panels, throughout river floods turbidity is the lowest during rising tide when tidal currents are against river flow; from high tide, river sediments are transported downstream, turbidity values begin to increase and the SPM peak usually occurs between mid-ebbing and low tide. ~~Peculiar floods, specially the f~~First flood just after low-water periods can~~,~~ present a turbidity peak also at ~~mid-~~rising tide. These peaks are associated with local resuspension processes and their occurrences are likely to give an indication of the existence of remained mud trapped in the tidal rivers.

Table 1 collects maximum discharge value and its associated maximum turbidity (when recorded) of each flood event at Bordeaux and Portets stations. Flood events were identified in the time series of river discharge in figure 3.A. The associated turbidity peaks were calculated as the maximum of the turbidity values at low tide (fluvial signature) in order to consider only the sediments transported by river flow. As shown in Table 1, turbidity maxima during flood events are 5 to 30 times lower compared to TMZ maximum values (50% of the recorded floods present a maximum turbidity <1000 NTU). ~~Only such a continuous record can capture turbidity signatures of a flood that often occurs for a few hours.~~

4.3 Long-term variability in turbidity

4.3.1 From fortnightly to seasonal variability

The 10-year time series of tidal averaged turbidity (Fig. 3) reveals short oscillations related to neap-spring tide cycles and seasonal trends induced by hydrology. Maximum turbidity values are recorded during spring tides, since higher current velocities favour the resuspension of sediments (Allen et al., 1980). The highest turbidities occur during low discharge periods~~waters~~ (usually between July and November) in the up estuary waters (Fig3.D, E and F) due to the upstream displacement of the TMZ. Turbidity is usually minimal in spring after the flood period. In the middle estuary (Fig 3.C) seasonal changes are more moderate and show an inverse trend. This is due to the existence of a permanent TMZ in this estuarine zone, which is possibly related to a mud-trapping zone (Sottolichio and Castaing, 1999).

Figure 5 summarizes the main characteristics (mean, percentiles) of turbidity to compare the four stations during high (February) and low (August) river discharges~~contrasted hydrological periods~~ and tidal ranges. ~~Differences between periods of high and low river discharges are obvious i~~In the fluvial stations (Bordeaux, Portets, Libourne),~~. In these stations,~~ turbidity in August is significantly ($p<0.001$) higher than in February: mean values in August are 8, 27 and 54 times higher than in February at Bordeaux, Portets et Libourne, respectively. By contrast, at Pauillac station, in the central estuary, turbidity remains relatively high throughout the year (see Fig. 3). However, turbidity in August is significantly lower ($p<0.0000001$) than in February, when TMZ moves upstream. Turbidity values are also significantly different between the three fluvial stations in both dry ($p<0.0018$) and wet ($p<0.0001$) months. Summer turbidity values at Bordeaux and Libourne are higher than at Portets and Pauillac, reaching values above 7500 NTU. In February, turbidity is lower in the most upstream stations, with mean tidally-averaged turbidity values of 1322, 401, 93 and 52 NTU at Pauillac, Bordeaux, Portets and Libourne, respectively. Turbidity at high tidal range is significantly (e.g. $p<0.000025$ at Pauillac, $p<0.017$ at Libourne) higher than at low tide at all station in August: respectively for Pauillac, Bordeaux, Portets and Libourne, mean turbidity at high tide was 2.7, 2.3, 1.7 and 1.6 times higher compared to low tide. However, in February tidal range does not induce significant differences in turbidity at the most upstream stations of Portets ($p=0.22$) and Libourne ($p=0.37$). Only Pauillac and Bordeaux stations present turbidity values significantly higher ($p<0.000001$) at high tide than at low tide during this month.

4.3.2 Interannual variability

The observation of the entire dataset of tidally-averaged turbidity evidences a strong interannual variability in SPM in the fluvial Gironde estuary. Figure 3 allows to appreciate marked differences in the concentration and in the duration of the TMZ for the monitored years at Bordeaux, Portets and Libourne. The maximum turbidity values exceeded 7200 NTU in the years 2010, 2011 and 2012 at Bordeaux and in the years 2010 and 2012 at Libourne. By contrast, during the year 2008 tidal-averaged turbidity was always below 6700 and 4400 NTU at Bordeaux and Libourne respectively. Portets station is less documented: tidal-averaged turbidity maxima ranged between 4730 and 6880 NTU (years 2009 and 2006, respectively). The durations of the TMZ occurrence ($Duration_{TMZ}$) were calculated per year as the number of days during which tidal-averaged turbidity overtakes 1000 NTU (Fig. 6). In general, the TMZ is less present for the more upstream reaches. Annual durations decrease from Bordeaux (varying between 93 and 259 days; years 2013 and 2011, respectively), to Portets (varying between 91 and 171 days; years 2006 and 2008, respectively), and to Libourne (varying between 33 and 143 days; years 2007 and 2011, respectively). The TMZ appeared also during dry winters (striped bars in Fig. 6) like in 2012 (39 days at Bordeaux and 6 days at Libourne).

5 Discussion

The presence of TMZ (duration, turbidity level, hibernal occurrence) is more marked and better documented in Bordeaux waters. The following discussion is dedicated to the tidal Garonne.

5.1 Mobile mud downstream flushing~~expulsion~~ rhythm based on sediment dynamics during floods

River floods expel the TMZ (and its associated mobile mud) from fluvial to middle estuary as shown~~ed~~ in figure 3. Mitchell et al. (2012) related this downstream flushing to a lack of settling at high slack water during high river discharge. According to Castaing and Allen (1981), the repetition of strong flood events, along with spring tides, favours the flushing ~~expulsion~~ of a part of the TMZ toward the sea. Floods also transport eroded sediments from the watershed that contribute to the TMZ. Identifying both processes is important to discuss the role of floods on the sedimentary budget of tidal rivers. The literature proposes the hysteresis-based analysis to search specific patterns of sediment transport in rivers (Williams, 1989; Klein, 1984; López-Tarazón et al., 2009). The relative position of sediment sources within the catchment is analysed through the flow sediment hysteresis shapes (clockwise or counterclockwise). In short, anti-clockwise loops correspond to a transport of sediments from upstream distant sources, while clockwise loops occur when the sediment source is the channel itself or adjacent areas. Based on the MAGEST turbidity database, flow sediment hysteresis shapes were systematically analysed for the 26 floods recorded at Bordeaux (13 at Portets; Table 1). Only the values at low tide were used to trace the loops in order to preserve the fluvial signal and to avoid the impact of local resuspension by tidal currents on the levels of turbidity. The succession of hysteresis shapes over several years follows a seasonal pattern in the Garonne tidal river (Table 1, illustrated for the year 2013 in Fig. 7). In the case of Bordeaux:

- The first floods that occur at the end of the low discharge period~~water~~ and expel the TMZ down estuary show clockwise (C) hysteresis loops (e.g. f3, f8, f11, f24, Table 1; f24 in Fig. 7). This indicates the advection of resuspended sediments from the close bed and banks. ~~During the occurrence of~~ When the TMZ is present in the fluvial section, there is an accretion of sediments that remain after the TMZ downstream flushing~~expulsion~~. This mud is eroded by river flood.
- Winter and some early spring floods present mixed (M) hysteresis curves, i.e., clockwise loops with a counterclockwise loop around the flood peak (f25 in Fig. 7). Some events show a predominance of the clockwise loop (M(C), e.g. floods f1, f4, f17, Table 1), or of the counterclockwise loop (M(CC), e.g. floods f15, f18 Table 1). This pattern suggests the presence of local sediments, probably remained of a previous TMZ period~~TMZ originated mud~~, and also the transport of sediment from remote areas~~places~~. The predominant loop could be interpreted in term of proportion of each sediment source.
- Spring floods follow counterclockwise (CC) hysteresis patterns (e.g. floods f2, f7, f10, f28, Table 1; f26, f27, f28 in Fig. 7). This means that sediments are mainly transported from upstream areas; the TMZ-derived mud is expected to be totally expelled.

A similar seasonal evolution of hysteresis also exists at Portets, but it is subtler probably in relation with its upstream position: the flow sediment curves of the first floods are mixed and counterclockwise loops already appear in winter (Table 1). For example, the flood f1 (31-01-2006) presented a mixed, but predominantly C, loop at Bordeaux indicating dominant local sediments, whereas the simultaneous CC loop at Portets traced a distant origin of sediments. The TMZ-originated mud is less present locally and more quickly expelled in the uppermost section.

Therefore, hysteresis curves are indicators of the presence of mobile mud in tidal rivers, as schematized in figure 8, and permit to discuss its rhythm of downstream flushing~~expulsion~~ for different hydrological conditions and positions along the tidal river axis. During the wet years 2008 and 2009 the mud disappeared from Portets and Bordeaux in the beginning of winter with the first floods. In contrast, mud was only expelled in May during the dry years 2007 and 2012. In the case of the period from January to May~~year~~ 2010, ~~we assume~~the observation of mixed patterns shows that mobile mud ~~it~~ was not completely flushed (Table 1): this is explained by the absence of major floods until the following upstream migration of the TMZ~~present all the year in the absence of CC pattern and marked floods (Table 1)~~.

This first detailed study of 10-year continuous turbidity records suggests that deposition of mobile mud also occurs in the tidal Gironde, as already reported in the central estuary (Allen, 1971; Sottolichio and Castaing, 1999). Two-third of the floods from 2005 to mid-2014 contributed to the progressive downstream flushing~~expulsion~~ of ~~fluid~~mobile mud from Bordeaux. As turbidity values associated to floods are significantly lower than those in the TMZ, this demonstrates that floods play a more important role in flushing sediment downstream than in increasing the TMZ concentration.

5.2 Occurrence of the TMZ in the tidal river

The prediction of TMZ location is nowadays a ~~challenge~~need in the fluvial Gironde estuary and of particular interest to improve regional sediment management. The present work, based on turbidity measurements over the last 10 years, reveals a seasonal occurrence of the TMZ at Portets, 40 km upstream Bordeaux. The position~~resence~~ of the TMZ along the longitudinal axis depends mainly on the freshwater inflow in major macrotidal European estuaries (e.g. Weser, Seine, Scheldt, Humber, see Mitchell, 2013). To better understand the relationships between turbidity and river flow in the Garonne tidal river, figure 9 shows the tidally (A) and daily (B) averaged turbidity as a function of river flow (3-day average). In Pauillac (central estuary) the dependence on river flow is the weakest: turbidity is slightly lower when the TMZ elongates to the upper reaches, but also when floods push suspended sediments seaward. In the tidal Garonne River, turbidity increases with decreasing river flow for discharges lower than about 1000 and 600 $m^3\ s^{-1}$ at Bordeaux and Portets respectively. At Bordeaux, the maximum turbidity values remain rather constant in the range 50-200 $m^3\ s^{-1}$ because of the saturation of the turbidity sensor. For the highest discharges (>1500 $m^3\ s^{-1}$), turbidity increases up to about 2450 NTU with increasing river flow.

Determining a precise discharge threshold of the TMZ installation per station is tricky, due to the large variability in turbidity, more than one order of magnitude at 200 $m^3\ s^{-1}$, partly explained by the tidal range and the locally-available sediment stock. During spring tides, current velocities and thus bed shear stress are stronger, promoting sediment resuspension and hence higher turbidity. This process is visible and quantifiable in Fig. 9.A for different discharges. The dependence is strong when the TMZ is installed in the fluvial estuary at low discharge period~~water~~. On the opposite, the effect of tidal range is almost negligible~~null~~ during floods, when there are no sediments to resuspend from the river bed, as suggested Mitchell et al. (2012) for the Thames Estuary, and turbidity is then associated to sediments transported from the watershed. To detail the relationship between these variables, figure 10 presents turbidity as a function of tidal range for raising-falling neap-spring cycles during the periods of installation, presence and expulsion of the TMZ at Bordeaux in 2009 (see periods in Fig. 3). During the TMZ installation (a) and when the TMZ is completely installed (b), turbidity was lower during neap-spring tide transition than during the spring-neap- tide one. This hysteresis pattern, already observed in other estuaries, is explained by the consolidation of deposited material during neap tides, when currents velocities and resuspension are lower (Grabemann et al., 1997; Guézennec et al., 1999; Grabemann and Krause, 2001). During the installation of the TMZ the maximum turbidity occurs 4-5 tidal cycles after the maximum tidal range (Fig. 10, curve a). This is explained by a gradual increase in sediment availability at the riverbed as river discharge decreases, promoting the upstream shift of the TMZ. During the TMZ expulsion period, following river flood, the hysteresis curve is reversed (Fig. 10, curve c), the sediments are progressively resuspended and expelled down estuary and the stock decreases. These behaviours were also found in Portets station.

Differences on turbidity between the periods of decreasing and increasing river flow are also notable in the fluvial estuary (Fig. 9.B). In the tidal Garonne, for same discharge intensity, the smallest turbidity values are always associated with the TMZ installation (decreasing discharge) and the highest values during the TMZ expulsion (increasing discharge). This indicates that the discharge turbidity curve follows a clockwise hysteresis over the transitional periods of installation and expulsion of the TMZ (Fig. 11). For example, for a river flow of 500 $m^3 s^{-1}$, daily-averaged turbidity at Bordeaux was 8 to 50 times higher during the falling discharge curve in the year 2009. Such hysteresis were also recorded in the Weser estuary (Grabemann et al., 1997; Grabemann and Krause, 1998), suggesting an association with delays in TMZ movements or with the local sediment inventory. We explain these hysteresis patterns by an accumulation of sediments during the presence of the TMZ that need large river flow to be expelled. This agrees with the existence a deposition flux of mud remained at upper reaches after the passage of the TMZ.

A distinction in turbidity values corresponding to the periods of TMZ installation or expulsion is then necessary to precise the discharge threshold of the TMZ installation in tidal rivers. Figure 12 summarizes the distribution of turbidity values as a function of river flow (intervals of 30 $m^3 s^{-1}$) during the transitional periods of installation and expulsion of the TMZ at Bordeaux station. It allows to associate a river discharge range to a probability of TMZ installation (as defined by tidal average turbidity>1000 NTU, Fig. 12.A) or TMZ expulsion (tidal averaged turbidity<1000 NTU, Fig. 12.B). The discharges between 200-300 $m^3 s^{-1}$ present the highest probabilities to promote the TMZ installation. The expulsion threshold is less bounded since the intensity and the amount of first floods are variable. Discharges greater than 350 $m^3 s^{-1}$ promote the TMZ expulsion and discharges above over 610 $m^3 s^{-1}$ ensure the complete expulsion.

5.3 Has the TMZ intensified in the tidal Garonne?

In the absence of historical turbidity data in tidal rivers, it is difficult to judge the evolution of the TMZ. There are only few limited available dataset, issued from field campaigns. By example in September 1960, SPM concentrations of surface waters at Bordeaux range between 1 g L^{-1} (mean tide) and 2.5 g L^{-1} (spring tide) (Castaing et al., 2006). At Portets, SPM concentration reached 2.5 g L^{-1} just before high tide for spring tide, while at mean and neap tides, SPM concentrations was always bellow 1 g L^{-1}. Romaña (1983) presented also quasi-instantaneously turbidity measurements implemented by helicopter along the estuary for 3 days of contrasted hydrological conditions in the years 1981-1982. At low-water TMZ appeared 10 km upstream Portets reaching a maximum value of 1.7 g L^{-1}. Although these values seem lower than current turbidity trends, the extremely limited measurement periods and the difference in sampling points prevent to draw conclusions about a possible TMZ intensification in the tidal river. However, the remarkable dependence of turbidity to river flow in the fluvial section (Fig. 9) suggests that the decreasing trend in river flow in the last decades (Section 4.1) may have ~~lead to~~promoted an upstream intensification of the TMZ.

The 10-year dataset of the MAGEST stations of Bordeaux and Portets was used to evaluate the impact of hydrological conditions on TMZ (turbidity level and persistence) in the tidal Garonne. The annual maximum turbidity value ($Turbidity_{max}$, as an indicator of turbidity level) and the duration ($Duration_{TMZ}$) of the TMZ were compared to three hydrological characteristics:

1. Duration$_{LDW}$: the duration of low-discharge period~~water~~, calculated as the number of days per year river flow is below 250 $m^3 s^{-1}$ at Bordeaux (Fig. 12) and 160 $m^3 s^{-1}$ at Portets; these values were evaluated as the mean critical river flows above which the TMZ is installed in two stations.

2. Vol$_{HWD}$: the river water volume passed during the previous high discharge ~~water~~ period, i.e., between the last expulsion and the reinstallation of the TMZ;

3. Vol$_{TMZ}$: the river water volume passed during the presence of the TMZ at the considered station.

The Duration$_{TMZ}$ in both stations is well correlated to the Duration$_{LDW}$ (R^2= 0.75) as shown in Fig. 13. Years with a long low discharge ~~water~~ period like 2011, 2006 or 2007 have a more persistent TMZ than years like 2013 or 2010 characterized by shorter periods of low river flow.

There is also a good correlation between Turbidity$_{max}$ and Volume$_{HDW}$ (R^2= 0.78, Fig. 14.A). Years with numerous and large floods (like 2008, 2009 and 2013) present a less turbid TMZ. This can be the result of the total downstream flushing ~~expulsion~~ of mobile sediment (as seen in Section 5.2) and of the further flushing of the previous TMZ (Castaing & Allen, 1981). The Volume$_{LDW}$ is not correlated to Turbidity$_{max}$. However, the sum of Volume$_{LDW}$ and Volume$_{HDW}$ improves the correlation (R^2= 0.90). This is because the water volume during very wet summers is enough to expel partly the TMZ.

In summary, the duration of the low ~~water~~discharge period mainly determines the TMZ duration, and the freshwater volume during high discharge ~~water~~ periods the TMZ concentration. High river flows are efficient in flushing the TMZ in the central estuary, even to the coastal waters, and expel higher quantity of mobile mud, as seen in Section 5.2. In order to discuss the potential evolution of the TMZ in the last decades, we calculated the Duration$_{LDW}$ and the Volume$_{HDW}$ at Bordeaux since 1960 to 2013 (Fig. 15). There is a trend in decreasing Volume$_{HDW}$ and increasing Duration$_{LDW}$, especially since the 8~~9~~0', which has changed the TMZ characteristics. The decrease in river discharge is attributed to climate change and human activities (Mazzega et al., 2014). For example, in the years 1963 and 1976 the low discharge ~~water~~ period lasted respectively only 20 and 9 day, and Volume$_{HDW}$ reached 2.5 10^{34} Hm^3 in 1969 and 1977 and 3~~0~~ 10^{34} Hm^3 1965 and 1976. Considering the relationship between TMZ and hydrology (Fig. 13 and 14), we assume that the TMZ is at present more persistent and turbid than 40-50 years ago. Furthermore, an accumulation effect can favour this intensification. As the TMZ is concentrated in SPM and persistent, the required water volume to expel it increases, promoting the next TMZ to be more pronounced.

According to recent streamflow simulations from 1976 to 2100 based on 22 European river basins, including the Garonne watershed, average discharges are projected to decrease in southern Europe, and extreme events to increase (Alfieri et al., 2015). In this context, the finding of straightforward river discharge-based indicators of TMZ behaviour should be of great interest for future river basin management plans in the fluvial Garonne.

The effect of river discharge is assumed to be a major factor in the longitudinal shift of the TMZ. However morphological changes (natural or anthropogenic) may also contribute to the TMZ intensification (Winterwerp and Wang, 2013; De-Jonge et al., 2014), by amplifying tidal asymmetry and hence enhancing trapping of fine sediments, as suggested by Sottolichio et al. (2011). The existence and importance of these changes is not documented yet and will be the subject of future research. The combined effect of changes in topography and in river flow on the TMZ evolution needs be analysed by numerical modelling.

6 Conclusions

The high-frequency and long-term turbidity monitoring provides detailed informations on suspended sediment dynamics in the fluvial Gironde estuary over a wide range of time scales and hydrological conditions. Tide, river flow and sediment stock (mobile mud patches) induce large variability on turbidity levels. Suspended sediment dynamics related to tidal cycles (semidiurnal and fortnightly) follows the same cyclic processes in the tidal section, as previously described in the lower estuary (Allen et al., 1977). The TMZ occurrence in the tidal rivers is very sensitive to changes in hydrological conditions. River discharge is a key variable ~~for~~to explain the upstream migration, downstream flushing ~~installation, expulsion~~ and concentration of the TMZ and its associated mobile mud. River discharge thresholds promoting the installation and expulsion of the TMZ at Bordeaux have been delimited, 250 and at least 350 $m^3 s^{-1}$ respectively, showing the need to a higher “water effort” to expel the TMZ. Two hydrological indicators of the TMZ intensity have been defined: the duration of low discharge ~~water~~ periods as indicator of the persistence of the TMZ, and water volume passing before and during the presence of the TMZ as indicator of the TMZ turbidity level. Higher water volume contributes to move more efficiently the TMZ and to expel higher quantity of remained mobile mud, resulting in less concentrated TMZ. The existence of mobile mud during and after the TMZ presence is confirmed through turbidity-discharge hysteresis patterns over different scales, which reveal the local or remote location of the sediment source. More particularly, these hysteresis patterns over river floods can serve as an indicator of the rhythm of downstream flushing~~expulsion~~ of mobile mud.

The extrapolation of hydrological conditions suggests an intensification of the TMZ occurrence in the fluvial Gironde during the last decades and could be used to evaluate future scenarios. This can be very useful to water management strategies in order to address the global change impacts as Garonne 2050 (www.garone2050.fr). The estimate of discharge thresholds of TMZ installation and expulsion is also of great interest to local public authorities. By example, a partner of the MAGEST network, the SMEAG, is in charge to maintain a minimum discharge level of the Garonne to ensure a water quality favourable to ecosystems (http://www.smeag.fr/plan-de-gestion-detiage-garonne-ariege.html). Their criteria to release water stocks from upstream dams are the levels of dissolved oxygen in Bordeaux waters. It appears from this work that the discharge threshold, below 100-110 m^2 s^{-1}, actually retained by the SMEAG is far too low to prevent the installation of the TMZ, and the subsequent problems (dissolved oxygen consumption, pollutant accumulation ...).

Finally this work will be useful to improve the calibration of numerical models coupling hydrodynamics and suspended sediment transport. Numerical simulations will allow evaluate the turbidity in the upper estuary for different hydrological and climate scenarios (naturals and anthropogenic), including the effect of morphological changes.

Acknowledgements

I. Jalón-Rojas thanks the Agence de l'Eau Adour-Garonne (AEAG) and the Aquitane Region for the financial support of her PhD grant. The MAGEST network is financially supported by the following organisms: AEAG (Agence de l'Eau Adour-Garonne); SMIDDEST (Syndicat MIxte pour le Développement Durable de l'ESTuaire de la Gironde); SMEAG (Syndicat Mixte d'Etudes et d'Aménagement de la Garonne); EPIDOR (Etablissement Public Interdépartemental de la Dordogne); EDF; GPMB (Grand Port Maritime de Bordeaux); CUB (Communauté Urbaine de Bordeaux); Conseil Régional Aquitaine; CG-33 (Conseil Général de Gironde); Ifremer; CNRS; Université de Bordeaux. The authors thank also the support of the OASU (Observatoire Aquitain des Sciences de l'Univers) through the SOLAQUI (Service

d'Observation du Littoral AQUItain) program. MAGEST is a contribution to the CNRS observation program DYNALIT.

References

Alfieri, L., Burek, P., Feyen, L., & Forzieri, G.: Global warming increases the frequency of river floods in Europe, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 19(5), 2247–2260. doi:10.5194/hess-19-2247-2015, 2015.

Allen, G. P.: Déplacements saisonniers de la lentille de "crème de vase" dans l'estuaire de la Gironde, in: C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris, 273, pp. 2429–2431, 1971.

Allen, G. P. and Castaing, P.: Suspended sediment transport from the Gironde estuary (France) onto the adjacent continental shelf, Marine Geology, 14, 47–53, 1973.

Allen, G. P., Sauzay, G., and Castaing, P.: Transport and deposition of suspended sediment in the Gironde Estuary, France, in: Estuarine Processes, edited by Wiley, M., pp. 63–81, Academic Press, New York, 2 edn., 1977.

Allen, G. P., Salomon, J. C., Bassoullet, P., Du Penhoat, Y., and De Grandpré, C.: Effects of tides on mixing and suspended sediment transport in macrotidal estuaries, Sedimentary Geology, 26, 69–90, 1980.

Bonneton, P., Bonneton, N., Parisot, J.-p., and Castelle, B.: Tidal bore dynamics in funnel-shaped estuaries, Journal of Geophysical Research, doi:10.1002/2014JC010267, 2015.

Castaing, P. and Allen, G. P.: Mechanisms controlling seaward escape of suspended sediment from the Gironde: A macrotidal estuary in France, Marine Geology, 40, 101–118, 1981.

Castaing, P., Etcheber, H., Sottolichio, A., and Cappe, R.: Evaluation de l'evolution hydrodolique et sedimentaire du système Garonne-Dordogne-Gironde, Tech. rep., Rapport Agence de l'eau Adour-Garonne - Université de Bordeaux, 2006.

Chanson, H., Reungoat, D., Simon, B., and Lubin, P.: High-frequency turbulence and suspended sediment concentration measurements in the Garonne River tidal bore, Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 95(2-3), 298–306. doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2011.09.012, 2011.

Contreras, E. and Polo, M. J.: Measurement frequency and sampling spatial domains required to characterize turbidity and salinity events in the Guadalquivir estuary (Spain), Natural Hazards Earth System Sciences, 12, 2581-2589, 2012.

De-Jonge, V. N., Schuttelaars, H. M., Van-Beusekom, J. E., Talke, S. A., and De-Swart, H. E.: The influence of channel deepening on estuarine turbidity levels and dynamics, as exemplified by the Ems estuary, Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 139, 46–59, doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2013.12.030, 2014.

Doxaran, D., Froidefond, J. M., Castaing, P., and Babin, M.: Dynamics of the turbidity maximum zone in a macrotidal estuary (the Gironde, France): Observations from field and MODIS satellite data, Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 81, 321–332, doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2008.11.013, 2009.

Dyer, K. R.: Fine sediment particle transport in estuaries, in: Physical Process in Estuaries, edited by Dronkers, J. and van Leussen, W., pp. 427–445, Springer-Verlag, 1988.

Etcheber, H., Schmidt, S., Sottolichio, A., Maneux, E., Chabaux, G., Escalier, J. M., Wennekes, H., Derriennic, H., Schmeltz, M., Quéméner, L., Repecaud, M., Woerther, P., and Castaing, P.: Monitoring water quality in estuarine environments: lessons from the MAGEST monitoring programme in the Gironde fluvial-estuarine system, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 15, 831–840, doi:10.5194/hess-15-831-2011, 2011.

Fettweis, M., Sas, M., and Monbaliu, J.: Seasonal, Neap-spring and Tidal Variation of Cohesive Sediment Concentration in the Scheldt Estuary, Belgium, Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 47, 21–36, doi:10.1006/ecss.1998.0338, 1998.

Fettweis, M., Monbaliu, J., Baeye, M., Nechad, B., and Van den Eynde, D.: Weather and climate induced spatial variability of surface suspended particulate matter concentration in the North Sea and the English Channel, Methods in Oceanography, 3-4, 25–39, doi:10.1016/j.mio.2012.11.001, 2012.

Fontugne, M. R., and Jouanneau, J.-M.: Modulation of the particulate organic carbon flux to the ocean by a macrotidal estuary: Evidence from measurements of carbon isotopes in organic matter from the Gironde system. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 24, 377–387, 1987.

Garel, E., Nunes, S., Neto, J. M., Fernandes, R., Neves, R., Marques, J. C., and Ferreira, O.: The autonomous Simpatico system for real-time continuous water-quality and current velocity monitoring: examples of application in three Portuguese estuaries, Geo-Marine Letters, 29, 331–341, doi:10.1007/s00367-009-0147-5, 2009.

Grabemann, I. and Krause, G.: Response of the turbidity maximum in the Weser Estuary to pulses in freshwater runoff and to storms, in: Physics of estuaries and coastal seas, pp. 83–92, 1998.

Grabemann, I. and Krause, G.: On Different Time Scales of Suspended Matter Dynamics in the Weser Estuary, Estuaries, 24, 688–698, 2001.

Grabemann, I., Uncles, R. J., Krause, G., and Stephens, J. A.: Behaviour of Turbidity Maxima in the Tamar (U.K.) and Weser (F.R.G.) Estuaries, Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 45, 235–246, doi:10.1006/ecss.1996.0178, 1997.

Guézennec, L., Lafite, R., Dupont, J. P., Meyer, R., and Boust, D.: Hydrodynamics of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Tidal Freshwater Zone of a Macrotidal Estuary (The Seine Estuary, France), Estuaries, 22, 717–727, doi:10.2307/1353058, 1999.

Hendrickx, F. and Sauquet, E.: Impact of warming climate on water management for the Ariège River basin (France), Hydrological Sciences Journal, 58, 976–993, doi:10.1080/02626667.2013.788790, 2013.

Jay, D. A. and Musiak, J. D.: Particle trapping in estuarine tidal flows, Journal of Geophysical Research, 99(C10), 1994.

Klein, M.: Anti closckwise hysteresis in suspended sediment concentration during individual storms: Holbeck Catchment; Yorkshire, England, Catena, 11, 251–257, 1984.

Lanoux, A., Etcheber, H., Schmidt, S., Sottolichio, A., Chabaud, G., Richard, M., and Abril, G.: Factors contributing to hypoxia in a highly turbid, macrotidal estuary (the Gironde, France), Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts, 15, 585–595, doi:10.1039/c2em30874f, 2013.

López-Tarazón, J. A., Batalla, R. J., Vericat, D., and Francke, T.: Suspended sediment transport in a highly erodible catchment: The River Isábena (Southern Pyrenees), Geomorphology, 109, 210–221, doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2009.03.003, 2009.

Mazzega, P., Therond, O., Debril, T., March, H., Sibertin-Blanc, C., Lardy, R., and Sant’ana, D.: Critical multi-level governance issues of integrated modelling: An example of low-water management in the Adour-Garonne basin (France), Journal of Hydrology, 519, 2515–2526, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.09.043, 2014.

Mitchell, S., Akesson, L., and Uncles, R.: Observations of turbidity in the Thames Estuary, United Kingdom, Water and Environment Journal, 26(4), 511–520. doi:10.1111/j.1747-6593.2012.00311.x, 2012.

Mitchell, S.: Turbidity maxima in four macrotidal estuaries, Ocean & Coastal Management, 79, 62–69, doi:10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2012.05.030, 2013.

Mitchell, S. B. and Uncles, R. J.: Estuarine sediments in macrotidal estuaries: Future research requirements and management challenges, Ocean and Coastal Management, 79, 97–100, doi:10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2012.05.007, 2013.

Pontee, N., Whitehead, P., and Hayes, C.: The effect of freshwater flow on siltation in the Humber Estuary, north east UK, Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 60, 241–249, doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2004.01.002, 2004.

Romaña, A.: Estuaire de la Gironde. Campagnes "Libellule". Distribution longitudinale des paramètres mesurés et calculés, Tech. rep., Rapport Ifremer, Dépt. Env. Litt. et Gest. du Milieu Marin, 1983.

Saari, H. K., Schmidt, S., Castaing, P., Blanc, G., Sautour, B., Masson, O., and Cochran, J. K.: The particulate 7Be/210Pbxs and 234Th/210Pbxs activity ratios as tracers for tidal-to seasonal particle dynamics in the Gironde estuary (France): Implications for the budget of particle-associated contaminants, Science of the Total Environment, 408, 4784–4794, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2010.07.017, 2010.

Schmidt, S., Ouamar, L., Cosson, B., Lebleu, P., and Derriennic, H.: Monitoring turbidity as a surrogate of suspended particulate load in the Gironde Estuary: The impact of particle size on concentration estimates, in: ISOBAY, 2014.

Schrottke, K., Becker, M., Bartholomä, A., Flemming, B. W., and Hebbeln, D.: Fluid mud dynamics in the Weser estuary turbidity zone tracked by high-resolution side-scan sonar and parametric sub-bottom profiler, Geo-Marine Letters, 26, 185–198, doi:10.1007/s00367-006-0027-1, 2006.

Schuttelaars, H. M., de Jonge, V. N., and Chernetsky, A.: Improving the predictive power when modelling physical effects of human interventions in estuarine systems, Ocean & Coastal Management, 79, 70–82, doi:10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2012.05.009, 2013.

Sottolichio, A. and Castaing, P.: A synthesis on seasonal dynamics of highly-concentrated structures in the Gironde estuary, Comptes Rendus de l’Academie de Sciences - Serie IIa: Sciences de la Terre et des Planetes, 329, 795–800, doi:10.1016/S1251-8050(00)88634-6, 1999.

Sottolichio, A., Castaing, P., Etcheber, H., Maneux, E., Schmeltz, M., and Schmidt, S.: Observations of suspended sediment dynamics in a highly turbid macrotidal estuary, derived from continuous monitoring, Journal of Coastal Research, pp. 1579–1583, 2011.

StataCorp: Stata Statistical Software: Release 12, 2011.

Talke, S. A., de Swart, H. E., and Schuttelaars, H. M.: Feedback between residual circulations and sediment distribution in highly turbid estuaries: An analytical model, Continental Shelf Research, 29, 119–135, doi:10.1016/j.csr.2007.09.002, 2009.

Uncles, R. J., Easton, A. E., Griffiths, M. L., Harris, C., Howland, R. J. M., King, R. S., Morris, A. W., and Plummer, D. H.: Seasonality of the Turbidity Maximum in the Humber Ouse Estuary, UK, Marine Pollution Bulletin, 37, 206–215, 1998.

Uncles, R. J., Stephens, J. A., and Smith, R. E.: The dependence of estuarine turbidity on tidal intrusion length, tidal range and residence time, Continental Shelf Research, 22, 1835–1856, doi:10.1016/S0278-4343(02)00041-9, 2002.

Uncles, R. J., Stephens, J. A., and Law, D. J.: Turbidity maximum in the macrotidal, highly turbid Humber Estuary, UK: Flocs, fluid mud, stationary suspensions and tidal bores, Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 67, 30–52, doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2005.10.013, 2006.

Uncles, R. J., Stephens, J. A., and Harris, C.: Towards predicting the influence of freshwater abstractions on the hydrodynamics and sediment transport of a small, strongly tidal estuary: The Devonshire Avon, Ocean and Coastal Management, 79, 83–96, doi:10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2012.05.006, 2013.

Williams, G. P.: Sediment concentration versus water discharge during single hydrologic events in rivers, Journal of Hydrology, 111, 89–106, doi:10.1016/0022-1694(89)90254-0, 1989.

Winterwerp, J. C. and Wang, Z. B.: Man-induced regime shifts in small estuaries—I: theory, Ocean Dynamics, 63, 1279–1292, doi:10.1007/s10236-013-0662-9, 2013.

Yang, Y., Li, Y., Sun, Z., and Fan, Y.: Suspended sediment load in the turbidity maximum zone at the Yangtze River Estuary: The trends and causes, Journal of Geographical Sciences, 24, 129–142, doi:10.1007/s11442-014-1077-3, 2013.

Table 1. Discharge and turbidity characteristics of flood events for the period 2005 – mid 2014 in the tidal Garonne River (Bordeaux and Portets stations). Flood event were numbered by f plus a number according to figure 3. Hysteresis loops were classified as: [C] clockwise; [CC] counterclockwise; [M] mixed; [No] no trend. Mixed loops with a clear clockwise [M(C)] or counterclockwise [M(CC)] predominance were specified. Flood without turbidity record were included to facilitate the interpretation of the hysteresis succession.

	Date	Q_{max} ($m^3 s^{-1}$)	Bordeaux T_{max} (NTU)	Bordeaux Hysteresis	Portets T_{max} (NTU)	Portets Hysteresis
			2006			
	10.12.2005	865	-	-	-	-
	03.01.2006	938	-	-	-	-
f1	31.01.2006	1820	989	M(C)	908	CC
f2	12.03.2006	4160	1446	CC	1326	CC
			2007			
f3	13.02.2007	2140	1460	C	975	M
f4	27.02.2007	1600	414	M(C)	292	M
f5	18.04.2007	1210	-	-	400	CC
f6	03.05.2007	953	349	C	-	-
f7	28.05.2007	1730	1794	CC	-	-
			2008			
	11.12.2007	1270	-	-	-	-
f8	08.01.2008	1120	1008	C	313	M
f9	19.01.2008	2180	835	No	795	CC
	22.04.2008	3130	-	-	-	-
f10	28.05.2008	2640	495	CC	-	-
			2009			

f11	03.11.2008	1450	2200	C	993	M(CC)
f12	06.12.2008	1830	476	CC	-	-
f13	25.01.2009	4750	1578	CC	-	-
f14	13.04.2009	1950	-	-	203	CC
	30.04.2009	2870	-	-	-	-
			2010			
f15	16.01.2010	1880	747	M(CC)	-	-
f16	07.02.2010	1410	358	No	-	-
f17	02.04.2010	1070	471	M(C)	152	No
f18	06.05.2010	1770	971	M(CC)	474	CC
			2011			
f19	24.12.2010	1480	425	M	-	-
f20	24.02.2011	1090	1598	M	(C)	164
f21	18.03.2011	2150	-	-	723	CC
			2012			
	08.11.2012	1890	-	-	-	-
	07.01.2012	1390	-	-	-	-
f22	01.05.2012	1760	335	M	-	-
f23	23.05.2012	3110	963	CC	-	-
			2013			
f24	07.12.2012	834	914	C	-	-
f25	21.01.2013	3460	1075	M	-	-
f26	09.03.2013	1150	175	CC	-	-
	31.03.2013	2510	-	-	-	-
f27	01.06.2013	4020	768	CC	-	-
f28	20.06.2013	1980	1304	CC	-	-



Figure 1. The Gironde fluvial-estuarine system: a) Location map (SW France), the grey area shows the watershed of Garonne and Dordogne; b) the estuary with its main tributaries. Red circles locate the MAGEST stations; blue squares indicate the hydrometric stations.



Figure 2. Comparison of tidally-averaged turbidity and daily-averaged turbidity for Bordeaux station showing the correlation coefficient (R^2).



Figure 3. (A) Daily mean flow of the Garonne River and the Dordogne River showing the river flood events of Table 1; (B) tidal range recorded at Bordeaux tide gauge; and tidally-averaged turbidity at (C) Pauillac, (D) Bordeaux, and (E) Portets and (F) Libourne stations. Red dotted lines represent the low-pass filtered data performed with running averages in order to highlight the turbidity trends. The labels f plus a number refer to the flood events according to Table 1. (a), (b) and (c) indicate the neap-spring-neap cycles represented in Figure 10.





Figure 4. Examples of 48H raw data of (a) river flow, and (b) turbidity and water level (dotted lines) at Bordeaux for two contrasted hydrological conditions. The mean time step of river flow is one hour, while turbidity and water level were recorded every~~each~~ 10 minutes. (c) Relationships between turbidity and water level records of the middle panels.



Figure 5. Mean (red cross), median (red bars), percentiles 25-75 (blue bars) and minimum–maximum (black bars) values of tidally-averaged turbidity depending on the season (months of February and August) and the tidal range (TR) in each MAGEST station.–The minimal, mean and maximal values of river flow in February (2005-2014) are 176, 566 and 2994 m^{3}s^{-1} respectively. These values in August are 56, 103 and 317 m^{3}s^{-1} respectively. High and low tidal ranges correspond to values above the percentile 75 and below the percentile 25, respectively, of the entire TR dataset of each station.



Figure 6. Duration of the TMZ presence per year at the three tidal rivers stations. Striped bars designate the duration of the TMZ when it appears in winter: 17, 18, 9 and 39 days respectively in the years 2005, 2008, 2011 and 2012 at Bordeaux; 6 days in the year 2012 at Libourne.



Figure 7. Relationship between Garonne discharge and turbidity at Bordeaux, and corresponding hysteresis patterns for the successive floods occurring since the ~~departure~~ downstream flushing, in December 2012, and the following upstream migration ~~return of the TMZ~~, in August 2013, of the TMZ. The labels f plus a number refer to the flood events according to Figure 3 and Table 1.



Figure 8. Schematic representation of suspended sediment dynamics in tidal rivers associated to the different types of hysteresis (clockwise, ~~anticlockwise,~~ mixed, and anticlockwise) during river floods.



Figure 9. Tidally-averaged turbidity (A) and daily-averaged turbidity (B) as a function of 3-days averaged river flow for the MAGEST stations of Pauillac, Bordeaux and Portet~~r~~s (log-log representation). (A): values were classified in function of tidal range (TR). (B): values corresponding to the periods of installation (blue diamonds) and expulsion (green square) of the TMZ were differentiated.



Figure 10. Turbidity as a function of tidal rage (2-days running averages) for three neap-spring-neap cycles (see the cycles in Fig.3.D) during a period of (a) installation, (b) presence and (c) expulsion of the TMZ at Bordeaux.



Figure 11. Examples of clockwise ~~D~~discharge/~~T~~turbidity hysteresis curves during the transition periods of installation and expulsion of the TMZ (see these periods in Fig. 3).



Figure 12. Mean (red cross), median (red bars), percentiles 25-75 (blue bars) and percentiles 9-91 (black bars) values of tidally-averaged turbidity per 30 m^3 s^{-1} intervals of river flow during the installation and expulsion of the TMZ at Bordeaux.



Figure 13. Duration of the TMZ presence as a function of the number of days per year where the river flow was below 250 $m^3 s^{-1}$ at Bordeaux station and 160 $m^3 s^{-1}$ at Portets station.



Figure 14. Turbidity maxima of the TMZ as a function of the water volume passed: (A) during the previous wet period; and (B) during the previous wet period + the presence of the TMZ. ~~Portets~~Only Bordeaux is ~~not~~ considered as it was not possible to estimate Turbidity$_{max}$ at Portets due to the number of missing data.



Figure 15. Evolution of the duration of low discharge ~~water~~ period (Duration$_{LD}$) and the water volume during high discharge ~~water~~ periods (Volume$_{HD}$) between 1960 and 2013 (calculated from discharge data available on http://www.hydro.eaufrance.fr/); red lines represent the 5-days running averages in order to highlight the trends.