

# Accelerated gravity testing of aquitard core permeability and implications at formation and regional scale

Timms, W.A.<sup>1,2</sup>, Crane, R.<sup>2,3</sup>, Anderson, D.J.<sup>3</sup>, Bouzalakos, S.<sup>1,2</sup>, Whelan, M.<sup>1,2</sup>, McGeeney, D.<sup>2,3</sup>, Rahman, P.F.<sup>3</sup>, Acworth, R.I.<sup>2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> School of Mining Engineering, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia.

<sup>2</sup> UNSW Connected Waters Initiative affiliated with the National Centre for Groundwater Research and Training, Australia.

<sup>3</sup> Water Research Laboratory, School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia.

**Abstract** Evaluating the possibility of leakage through low permeability geological strata is critically important for sustainable water supplies, the extraction of fuels from coal and other strata, and the confinement of waste within the earth. The current work demonstrates that relatively rapid and ~~reasonable-realistic~~ vertical hydraulic conductivity ( $K_v$ ) measurement of aquitard cores using accelerated gravity can constrain and compliment larger scale assessments of hydraulic connectivity. Steady state fluid velocity through a low  $K$  porous sample is linearly related to accelerated gravity ( $g$ -level) in a centrifuge permeameter (CP) unless consolidation or geochemical reactions occur. A CP module was custom designed to fit a standard 2 m diameter geotechnical centrifuge (550g maximum) with a capacity for sample dimensions up to 100 mm diameter and 200 mm length, and a total stress of  $\sim 2$  MPa at the base of the core. Formation fluids were used as influent to limit any shrink-swell phenomena which may alter the permeability.  $K_v$  results from CP testing of minimally disturbed cores from three sites within a clayey silt formation varied from  $10^{-10}$  to  $10^{-7}$  ms<sup>-1</sup> ([number of samples, n](#) = 18). Additional tests were focused on the [Cattle Lane \(CL\)](#) site, where  $K_v$  within the 99% confidence interval ( $n=9$ ) was  $1.1 \times 10^{-9}$  to  $2.0 \times 10^{-9}$  ms<sup>-1</sup>. [These  \$K\_v\$  results](#) ~~were~~ very similar to an independent in situ  $K_v$  method based on pore pressure propagation though the sequence. However there was less certainty at two other core sites due to limited and ~~more~~-variable  $K_v$  data. Blind standard 1g column tests underestimated  $K_v$  compared to CP and in situ  $K_v$  data, possibly due to deionized water interactions with clay, and were more time consuming than CP tests. [Our  \$K\_v\$  results](#) were compared with [the setup of a vertical connectivity within a regional-flow model for the region](#), and considered in the context of heterogeneity and preferential flow paths at site and formation scale. Reasonable assessments of leakage and solute transport through aquitards over multi-decadal timescales

can be achieved by accelerated core testing together with complimentary hydrogeological monitoring, analysis and modelling.

## 1. Introduction

Clay or other low permeability sediment and rock often dominate sedimentary sequences and can form important aquitards (Potter et al., 1980). These hydraulic barriers often overlie aquifers that yield strategically important fresh water resources and form important cap-rocks or seals between shallow aquifers and deeper strata targeted for depressurization during gas or mineral extraction (Timms et al., 2012). The current work compares the results of steady state centrifuge permeability testing of semi-consolidated drill core samples with column tests at standard gravity ( $1g$  at earth's surface,  $9.8065 \text{ m s}^{-2}$ ). ~~Results of laboratory tests were also compared with and formation seal~~in situ permeability, based on analysis of ~~in situ~~ pore pressure propagation at formation scale.

Thick, low hydraulic conductivity ( $K$ ), un-oxidized, clay-rich aquitards represent important sites for waste confinement and disposal (including high-level radioactive waste and the sequestration of carbon dioxide and saline effluents) and act as protective covers for regional aquifers (Cherry et al., 2004). Effective shale and claystone flow barriers are required to disconnect shallow aquifer systems from underlying coal seams that are depressurized to produce gas (Timms et al., 2012; APLNG, 2013). Fine-grained geologic media are also commonly used as engineered barriers to limit horizontal seepage of mine water (Bouzalakos et al., 2014), for containment of tailings (Znidarčič et al., 2011), ~~and disposal of~~ municipal refuse and nuclear waste (Rowe et al., 1995). Low permeability material is defined by  $K$  of  $<10^{-8} \text{ ms}^{-1}$  (Neuzil, 1986). The US EPA requires low permeability waste barriers for hazardous waste landfills with  $K$  of  $<10^{-9} \text{ m/s}$  (US EPA, 1989). ~~Neuzil (1986) noted that no geologic material properly tested proved to be entirely impermeable.~~

Aquitards volumetrically constitute the bulk of sedimentary geologic deposits (Potter et al., 1980), and are typically assumed saturated if located below a watertable (Cherry et al., 2004). Water-saturated  $K$  and diffusion coefficients for aquitards are therefore not applicable to variably saturated or non-water saturated low permeability strata. Research ~~on aquitards is lacking for comprised of~~ semi-consolidated clayey ~~materials aquitards~~ (eg. ~~deposited by~~ alluvial, colluvial and aeolian deposits). ~~processes is lacking~~, compared with aquitard research on glacial tills (Grisak and Cherry, 1975), claystones (Smith et al., 2013; Jougnot et al., 2010) and shale (Neuzil, 1994; Josh et al., 2012). Clay-bearing sediments formed via

alluvial, colluvial and aeolian processes frequently occur in the geosphere. For example clayey silt aquitards account for 60% of the ~100 m thick alluvial sediment sequences in the Mooki catchment of Australia's Murray-Darling Basin (Farley, 2011). The [relative lack of information on the dominant type of sedimentary deposits](#) represents a key gap in the current theoretical understanding of clay mineralogy and geochemistry.

Aquitard research on alluvial sediments is important because recharge by slow seepage provides essential groundwater supplies for municipal water supply and crop irrigation in relatively dry inland settings (Acworth and Timms, 2009). Increased effective stress associated with aquifer drawdown for irrigation, may release saline water stored within shallow aquitards with implications for the continuation of high yields of fresh water. Characterising the effects of variable chemical composition of formation water on the hydraulic conductivity of such sediments is therefore essential to determine the long-term [hydro-geochemical fate of such field sites](#) [changes to fresh water](#).

As an example, [revised calculation of hydraulic parameters based on](#) water level recovery [from](#) a bore pump test in glacial till ( $K = 10^{-11} \text{ ms}^{-1}$ ) has [been required](#) ~~occurred over a period of ~30 years with revised calculation of hydraulic parameters~~ to improve the fit with the data emerging over ~~that time~~ [a ~30 years](#) (van der Kamp, 2011). Various field and laboratory methods are available to directly measure or indirectly calculate hydraulic conductivity ~~in along the~~ horizontal ( $K_h$ ) or vertical ( $K_v$ ) ~~orientation~~, and saturated and unsaturated or multi-phase flow (eg. liquid and gas). Obtaining realistic measurements of groundwater flow and solute transport within aquitards is by definition a slow process, requiring relatively time consuming and expensive field and/or laboratory studies.

Methods for measuring the in situ permeability of clay formations include: slug tests (piezometer tests, falling-head tests), aquifer pumping tests with piezometers in the aquitard, aquifer pumping tests with observation wells in the aquifer only, measurement of seasonal fluctuations of pore-pressure, measurement of pore-pressure changes and settlement due to surface loading, and numerical analysis of local and regional groundwater flow (van der Kamp, 2001). Neuman and Witherspoon (1968) developed generic analytical solutions for drawdown within an aquiclude, in which vertical flow occurs, but is sufficiently small to have no effect on water levels within an overlying or underlying aquifer. Type curves were presented for analytical solutions applying to an infinitely thick and a finite thickness aquiclude. In contrast, analysis of a leaky aquitard-aquifer system was presented by Neuman

1 and Witherspoon (1972). The ratio method compares drawdown within an aquitard with  
2 drawdown in an underlying aquifer from which extraction was occurring. Drawdown data is  
3 then used to calculate hydraulic diffusion of pressure transients, and  $K_v$ , assuming a uniform,  
4 homogeneous aquitard.

5 Deconvolution of the pressure response to depth through an aquitard can be analysed with a  
6 Fourier transform or harmonic analysis (Boldt-Leppin and Hendry, 2003). The hydraulic  
7 diffusivity (hydraulic conductivity divided by specific storage) is expressed analytically,  
8 either based on the amplitude or phase shift of harmonic signals, assuming that the thickness  
9 of the aquitard is semi-infinite. ~~For example, harmonic analysis enabled in situ  $K_v$  to be~~  
10 ~~estimated from phase and amplitude shifts of pore pressure response to soil moisture loading~~  
11 ~~propagating downwards through a 30 m thick aquitard on the basis of measured specific~~  
12 ~~storage and hourly or 6 hourly groundwater level monitoring over 5 years (Timms and~~  
13 ~~Aeworth, 2005).~~ Jiang et al. (2013) further developed the harmonic analysis method for finite  
14 aquitards in a multi-layer system in the instance of water level monitoring within aquifers  
15 above and below an aquitard, but not monitoring within the aquitard, that is limited to  
16 aquifers bounding the aquitard, rather than from within the aquitard. Coherence analysis of  
17 water level fluctuations in bounding aquifers from indeterminate stresses (eg. pumping,  
18 recharge, rainfall or earthquake) was used to derive  $K_v$  for deep rock aquitards on the basis of  
19 interpolated groundwater level data measured at irregular intervals of at least 10 days over a  
20 duration of several decades.

21 A more direct method of determining in situ hydraulic parameters is possible using fully  
22 grouted vibrating wire transducers and high frequency data recording within deep formations,  
23 as recently demonstrated by Smith et al. (2013) for a bedrock claystone at up to 325 m below  
24 ground (BG). Pore pressure and barometric pressure were recorded at 30 minute intervals and  
25 analysed, assuming no leakage in the grouted system, for barometric response, earth tides,  
26 and rainfall events. Core samples from the same drill holes were vacuum sealed on site for  
27 consolidation testing and triaxial permeameter testing. The in situ compressibility and  
28 specific storage calculated from barometric pressure responses were as much as an order of  
29 magnitude smaller than laboratory results.

30 A variety of laboratory testing techniques for low  $K$  samples are also available, however the  
31 reliability of results may depend on factors such as the preparation and size of core samples,  
32 configuration of equipment and uncertainties of measurement, the influent water that is used

1 and the stresses that are applied relative to in situ values, and whether permeability is directly  
2 measured from steady state flow, or subject to additional parameters and assumptions with  
3 alternative flow regimes. Laboratory testing of clayey-silt cores by standard rigid and flexible  
4 wall column techniques requires 1-2 weeks, compared with <1 week for centrifuge  
5 permeameter (CP) methods in unsaturated samples (ASTM, 2010). Constant or falling-head  
6 tests in rigid-walled column permeameters at natural gravity require a large water pressure  
7 gradient and/or long testing times for low-permeability samples. They are subject to potential  
8 leakage, and may not replicate in situ confining stresses. Column testing of core samples is  
9 possible for some test conditions in triaxial cells on both  $K_h$  and  $K_v$ , for example those used in  
10 geotechnical and petroleum studies ~~as in the study of (Wright et al., 2002), on both  $K_h$  and~~  
11  ~~$K_v$  and anisotropy in limestone aquifers.~~ However standard practice for testing ultralow  
12 permeability cores (e.g.  $K_v < 1 \times 10^{-10} \text{ ms}^{-1}$ ) typically consists of applying a confining pressure  
13 to a watertight system and measuring ~~relatively subtle changes in~~ small transient pore  
14 pressures with high resolution pressure transducers (API, 1998).

15 Geotechnical centrifuges are used to subject porous samples to high artificial gravities in  
16 order to characterise their hydraulic and/or consolidation properties (Conca and Wright, 1998;  
17 Nakajima and Stadler, 2006; Znidarčić et al., 2011), and for physical modelling as part of  
18 geotechnical design (Garnier et al. 2007; Parks et al. 2012). Accelerated gravity acts on both  
19 the solid particles and fluids within the porous sample without use of a large fluid pressure  
20 gradient to drive flow. The technique can be applied to investigate slow hydrogeological  
21 processes over shorter timescales, i.e. ~~hydraulic~~ flow through low permeability layers that  
22 would take several years under in situ conditions can be reproduced in a geotechnical  
23 centrifuge within hours or days, depending on test conditions.

24 A CP, or a column mounted on a centrifuge strong box, is commonly used for hydraulic  
25 characterisation of porous media. Accelerated gravity achieves a steady state equilibrium for  
26 fluid flow through the CP within hours or days of instrument operation (for an unsaturated  
27 sample), while simultaneously applying stresses to the solid matrix. A permeameter column,  
28 mounted on a geotechnical centrifuge is rotated sufficiently fast to accelerate flow and  
29 approximate in situ total stresses, while the target g-level is designed to ensure that the matrix  
30 is not consolidated and chemical equilibrium is maintained. Steady state flow can provide  
31 more reasonable  $K$  results than transient flow techniques. Although transient tests are even

more rapid than steady state tests in the centrifuge, more complex instrumentation is required to ensure reliable results (Zornberg and McCartney, 2010).

The geotechnical centrifuge system described in this paper is moderately sized and relatively economical to operate, whilst able to perform both unsaturated and saturated testing of porous media with real-time measurement of various parameters during flight (Table 1). These attributes mean that CP testing of relatively large diameter cores (up to 100 mm diameter) in this facility is comparable in cost to testing of small cores (38 mm diameter) using alternative methods such as He-gas permeation. The system has been successfully used for testing low permeability rock cores (Bouzalakos et al., 2013). To date, there were no other direct  $K_v$  measurements on these deep shales available (APLNG, 2013) and alternative laboratory methods were not successful in obtaining a  $K_v$  value from these very low  $K$  rocks (Bouzalakos et al., 2013).

This paper demonstrates novel CP techniques and equipment that have been specifically developed for characterizing semi-consolidated clayey silt cores.  $K_v$  results from CP methods are compared with standard 1g column methods and in situ measurements of permeability, based on harmonic analysis of the high frequency pore pressure propagation through a thick clayey sequence. The variability, confidence limits and overall reliability of the  $K_v$  results to constrain assessments of regional scale vertical connectivity are considered in the context of sampling and ~~experimental factors including~~ flow and stress conditions within the CP. This paper provides reasonable  $K_v$  for at least one local clayey-silt sequence and strategies for future testing that are important contributions towards evaluating flow connectivity at a range of scales. These  $K_v$  results can be complimented with hydrogeological data such as pore pressure and tracer data to better constrain numerical flow models.

## 2 Geology of study sites

Semi-consolidated sediment cores were obtained from three sites in the Australia Murray-Darling Basin, in the Upper Mooki subcatchment of the Namoi catchment (Fig. 1). Groundwater is extracted in this area for irrigation and town water supplies, with drawdowns of more than 10 m over 30 years. ~~Due to the heterogeneity of mixed sediments, that were previously assumed to be homogenous, high permeability sediments, it~~ can take years or decades for ~~changing pore pressures to be transmitted through these mixed sediments that are heterogeneous, even though the effects of groundwater extraction were assumed to occur~~

1 ~~rapidly within homogeneous, high permeability sediments the impact of groundwater~~  
2 ~~withdrawal to be transmitted through the sediments~~ (Kelly et al., 2013). The alluvial  
3 sedimentary geology of the valley features significant heterogeneity but a general fining  
4 upwards which reflects climatic drivers of sedimentation (Kelly et al., 2014). This study  
5 found that the architectural features and the net (sand and gravel) to gross (total volume) line  
6 plot that identifies low permeability clays and silts of the valley-filling sequence are best  
7 represented by a distributive fluvial system. In this type of fluvial system, the avulsion  
8 frequency increases at a slower rate than the aggradation rate.

9 Core drilling was completed at three research sites (Fig. 1) including Cattle Lane (CL),  
10 located south of the town of Caroonna (31° 31'9"S, 150° 28'7"E), the Breeza farm (BF)  
11 operated by the NSW Department of Primary Industries, southeast of Gunnedah (31° 10'32"S,  
12 150° 25'15"E), and Norman's Road (NR), east-southeast of Gunnedah (31° 2'48"S, 150°  
13 26'7"E).

14 Clayey silt sediments at the Cattle Lane site are approximately 30 m thick (Timms and  
15 Acworth, 2005) and extend throughout the valley (Wiesner and Acworth, 1999), as shown by  
16 numerous CCPT (conductivity cone penetrometer) profiles. The porewater salinity profile at  
17 the site, increasing from 10-30 m depth through the clay is consistent with a diffusion  
18 dominated transport over thousands of years (Timms and Acworth, 2006). The saturated zone  
19 fluctuates in response to rainfall events from between ground surface to approximately 2 m  
20 depth, while water levels in the confined gravel aquifer at >50 m depth display a delayed and  
21 dampened response to the same rainfall events. There is no groundwater extraction for  
22 irrigation from this aquifer in the vicinity of the site, and the valley has ~~been had~~-artificially  
23 ~~drained~~ ~~age channels constructed~~ to prevent ponding of surface water and soil salinization.  
24 Detailed geological studies and particle dating have identified that the clayey silt in the top  
25 ~30 m at this site accumulated gradually at 0.2 – 0.3 mm/year by weathering of alkali basalts  
26 (Acworth et al., 2015). Flow testing of 100 mm diameter cores from the CL site, reported by  
27 Crane et al. (2015) has revealed evidence for dual porosity flow when a hydraulic gradient is  
28 imposed on the low permeability sediments, with further work in progress to identify the  
29 nature and significance of these potential flow paths.

30 Sediments at the Breeza farm and Norman's Road site are relatively heterogeneous, with  
31 mixed sandy, clayey sand, and clayey-silt alluvium overlying a semi-confined aquifer. The  
32 saturated zone is approximately 18 to 20 m below surface and extraction for flood irrigation

of crops causes large fluctuations in groundwater levels in the confined aquifers at >50 m depth. Hydrogeological and hydro-geochemical evidence indicate a leaky aquifer-aquitard system, with the variability in groundwater level responses controlled by a fining upward alluvial sequence (Acworth and Timms, 2009). At the Norman's Road site, highly saline porewater ( $15 \text{ mS cm}^{-1}$ ) in the clayey silt in proximity to the surface (<20 m) appears to have leached into the underlying aquifer, causing a significant increase in salinity of the aquifer (Badenhop and Timms, 2012).

### **3 Study site characterisation and sampling**

#### **3.1 Drilling and core sampling**

Equipment and procedures for coring were compliant with ASTM D1587-08, 2008 to obtain samples which were as undisturbed as possible. A rotary drilling rig equipped with Triefus triple core barrels, lined with seamless clear PET, was used in push coring mode. Local creek water was used as a drilling fluid and casing was used to stabilise the hole behind the push core barrel such that drilling fluid additives were not required. The holes were therefore fully cased to the maximum depth of push core drilling at up to 40 m BG.

The non-rotating core barrel was forced into the formation whilst a rotating device on the outside of the tube removes the cuttings as the barrel was advanced. The cutting edge of the non-rotating sample tube projects several millimetres beyond the rotary cutters. The thin walled core barrel complied with the standard for undisturbed sampling, with an area ratio of less than 25% for an open drive sampler. The area ratio of 16% was based on a core barrel design with an external diameter of 110 mm and internal diameter of 101 mm (C size). The 1.5 m length core barrel was a composite open sampling system with a core nose screwed on the base with a bevelled end to cut the core as the barrel pushed into the formation. After the core was extracted from the ground, an air supply was connected to the top of the core barrel to slide the core out of the barrel whilst it remained in the clear PET liner without rotation, distortion or compression.

The cores contained within PET liners ~~in this minimally disturbed state~~ were transferred directly from the core barrels to a cool room on site, and thence to a laboratory cool room, reducing the potential for moisture loss. Semi-consolidated clay cores were selected from below the saturated zone for CP tests, at depths up to 40 m BG. Sediment core samples of



lengths between 50-100 mm were prepared for CP testing. The moisture content and bulk density of cores was measured ~~on the cores at the drill site~~ using methods adapted from ASTM D7263-09 ~~(, 2009)~~. These measurements were completed immediately on the drill site.

The preferred method for preservation of drill core was double plastic bagging of sections of core within their PET liners using a food grade plastic sealing system (with brief application of a vacuum to extract air from the plastic bag), ~~and storage in a cool room at approximately 4 °C.~~ Alternatively, core within PET core barrel liners were trimmed of air or fluid filled excess liner immediately after drilling, sealed with plastic tape. ~~All cores were, and~~ stored at 4 °C in a portable cool room on the drill site and then at the laboratory. Sections of cores, particularly at the nose end, that appeared to be damaged or disturbed were excluded from permeability or bulk density testing. Additional steps that were taken in the laboratory to ensure core testing was representative of in situ conditions are described in Section 4.1.

After coring, the holes were completed as monitoring piezometers and the casing was jacked out. The piezometers were constructed of screwed sections of 50 mm PVC casing with O-ring seals, with a 1.5 m machine slotted screen packed with pea-sized washed gravel. The annulus was then filled with a bentonite seal, backfilled to the surface and completed with a steel casing monument and cement monument pad.

### 3.2 Groundwater sampling for influent

Fluid for  $K$  testing (influent) should be taken from the formation at the same depth as the core. ~~Formation water can be synthesized if, or if the limitations of sampling from it is not possible to sample directly from~~ aquitard strata, ~~by estimating the, preclude this, influent water chemistry can be synthesized to approximate known~~ ionic strength, Na/Ca ratio and pH.

In this study, groundwater from piezometers at a similar depth to the core was obtained using standard groundwater quality sampling techniques (Sundaram et al., 2009). A 240V electric submersible pump (GRUNDFOS MP1) and a surface flow cell were used to obtain representative samples after purging stagnant water to achieve constant field measurements of electrical conductivity and other parameters (Acworth et al., 2015 and unpublished data).

## 4. Centrifuge permeameter methods and calculations

#### 4.1 Preparation of cores

To ensure that cores ~~were was~~ tested under saturated ~~conditions, realistic of in situ realistic~~ conditions, drill ~~core was~~ cores were adequately preserved, stored, prepared and set on a vacuum plate prior to centrifuge testing. ~~In addition to the steps taken on the drill site (Section 3.1), additional procedures in the laboratory were designed to ensure that core was tested under in situ conditions.~~ Cores ~~directly~~ from PET drill core liners ~~were~~ as trimmed and inserted into an acrylic liner for the CP using a core extruder. The custom made core extruder had 5 precision cutting blades driven by a motorised piston suitable for a 100 mm diameter core. Cores for CP testing in this study were 100 mm diameter C size core, with a length of 50-100 mm. A close fit between the clay core and the liner was achieved using this extruder.

A vacuum plate system for core samples was designed to ensure fully saturated cores, remove air at the base of the core, and ensure an effective seal between the CP liner prior to testing at accelerated gravity. The vacuum plate device was designed to fit the CP liners containing the cores, drawing ponded influent from the top to the base of the cores using a standard laboratory vacuum pump at 100 kPa of negative pressure. After 12 to 48 hours, or upon effluent flow from the base, the acrylic liners containing the prepared cores were then transferred directly to the CP module without disturbing the sample.

Furthermore, the moisture content and degree of saturation was monitored by measuring weight change of the permeameters during testing, and direct moisture tests of samples before and after CP testing. ~~Due to the procedures described, t~~ There was negligible difference observed between the moisture content of the core tests and in situ conditions, and the results were not associated with the time between sampling and testing of the core. Moisture content was not affected by ~~or~~ the use of vacuum to expel air from sealing bags or from the top or base of the cores fitted into the CP liners.

A self-seal was observed forming from material swelling at the interface with the liner within minutes of introducing the influent solution. Prior to the self-seal development, leakage along the liner interface was identified by a flow rate of several orders of magnitude higher than the steady state flow  $K_v$  value. The swelling that occurred to self-seal the core was estimated at less than 0.02% of the cross-sectional area of the core by comparing flow rates through the CP drainage hole (described in Supplement S3). It was calculated that this area of swelling

was sufficient to seal an annulus aperture of  $\sim 0.01$  mm between the clay core and the acrylic liner.

Given the relatively shallow depth of these cores, and the semi-consolidated status, the maximum  $g$ -level in the centrifuge was limited to prevent structural changes in the core matrix. To minimise changes in porosity [of the core](#) during testing ~~to be similar to in situ~~, the  $g$ -level and the weight of ponded fluid on the cores were therefore designed to ensure that total stress was less than estimated in situ stress at the depth from which the core was drilled.

Blind permeability tests were carried out by an independent laboratory, who adapted a constant/falling head method (AS 1289 6.7.3/5.1.1) with methods from Head (1988). For these  $1g$  column tests, a sample diameter of 45.1 mm and length 61.83 mm was used, and a confining pressure of 150 kPa and back pressure of 50 kPa was applied, providing a vertical uniaxial stress of 100 kPa. The test time was up to 100 hours. These standard  $1g$  column tests used deionised water as the influent.

#### 4.2 Centrifuge permeameter testing

The Broadbent CP module and some unique systems developed as part of this study are described in this section, with further details in Supplement S1 and S3. A conceptual plan of a CP is shown in Fig. 2. The CP contains a cylindrical clay sample with length  $L$  and diameter  $D$ , and is spinning in a centrifuge around a central axis at an angular velocity  $\omega$ . The permeameter has an inlet face at a radius  $r$ , and a drainage plate at a radius of  $r_0$ . The co-ordinate  $z$  is defined as positive from the base of the sample towards the central axis of rotation, consistent with definitions in  $1g$  column testing (McCartney and Zornberg, 2010). This frame of reference is in an opposite direction to that defined by Nimmo and Mello (1991), but is convenient for interpretation and comparison of column flow tests. ~~In this study, the outlet face is a free drainage boundary, and is discussed further in Supplements S2 and S3.~~

Influent was fed from burettes located next to the centrifuge via a pair of custom designed low voltage peristaltic pumps mounted either on the centrifuge beam, or outside the centrifuge and through the low flow rotary union. In this study, the outlet face was a free drainage boundary, and is discussed further in Supplements S2 and S3.

The  $K$  value is based on flow rate, flow area, radius and revolutions per minute (RPM), although the method was adapted from a UFA centrifuge to this CP system (Section 4.3). Importantly, both testing systems are for steady state flow with free drainage due to zero pressure at the base of the core.

The mass of two core samples were balanced to the nearest 100g and tested simultaneously at either end of the centrifuge beam. The CP was operated at 10g for 30 minutes, and if no rapid flows due to leakage were detected, this was gradually increased to 20g, 40g and so on, until the maximum total stress on the core approached the estimated in situ stresses of the material at the given depth in the formation. The upper permissible  $g$ -level was designed to be less than the estimated in situ stress from the depth at which the core was obtained. It was also important to ensure that effective stress (Section 4.4) was acceptable, as variable pore fluid pressures during testing could cause consolidation of the core matrix. Influent volume was measured using both a calibrated continuous time record of pump rotations, and manual burette measurements, and effluent volumes were measured by weight. Steady state flow was defined as  $\pm 10\%$  change in discharge over subsequent measurements in time, provided that influent flow rate was within  $\pm 10\%$  of the effluent flow rate. Both of these conditions were required for the testing to be considered as a steady state flow condition. This protocol, providing additional quantitative measures to the ASTM D7664 which states that steady state conditions have been attained “if the outflow is approximately equal to the inflow”. Supplement S4 discusses the uncertainty of the measured data in more detail.

#### 4.3 $K_v$ calculations and statistical analysis

Hydraulic conductivity calculations for the CP in this study were based on ASTM D6527 (ASTM, 2008) and ASTM D7664 (ASTM, 2010) with a form of Darcy’s Law that incorporates the additional driving force within a centrifuge. The gradient in the centrifuge elevation potential (Nimmo and Mello, 1991), or the gradient in centrifuge “elevation head” (Zornberg and McCartney, 2010) due to the centrifuge inertial force driving was defined as flow away from the centre of rotation (or in the opposite direction to  $z$  in Fig. 2). The  $g$ -level was defined at the mid-point of the core. A ponded influent above the top of the core prevented loss of saturation along the core (Nimmo and Mello, 1991). The centrifuge inertial (elevation) head gradient and hydraulic head gradient (stationary centrifuge at 1g) were calculated at 0.005 m increments through the core.

Statistical analysis of the data followed basic small-sampling theory using the student  $t$ -distribution, following the approach of Gill et al. (2005) and extending the approach of Timms and Anderson (2015) for estimating sample numbers required for CP testing. Upper and lower confidence intervals (UCI, LCI) were calculated from the ~~apparent~~ mean  $\pm t_{(n-1)} \cdot s_n / n^{1/2}$ , where  $s_n$  is the sample standard deviation and  $t_{(n-1)}$  is the value of the student  $t$ -distribution at the selected confidence limits (CL) of 90% and 99%. The confidence intervals were calculated for increasing number ( $n$ ) of  $K_v$  data from each core.

#### 4.4 Fluid pressure and total stress calculations

Fluid pressures and hydraulic gradient through the centrifuge core were determined following the approach of Nimmo and Mello (1991). The total fluid pressure  $P$  (kPa) was calculated. A bulk density  $\rho_s$  of  $1.9 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$  and fluid density  $\rho_w$  of  $1.0 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$  was assumed in Eq. (1):

$$P = \rho_w \int_{r_0}^{r'} r \omega^2 dr \quad (1)$$

assuming a fluid density  $\rho_w$  of  $1.0 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$  and where  $P$  is total fluid pressure (kPa),  $\rho_w$  is the fluid density ( $\text{g cm}^{-3}$ ),  $r$  is the radius of rotation (cm), and  $\omega$  is the angular velocity ( $\text{s}^{-1}$ ). The total stress  $S$  (kPa) was determined through the centrifuge core, following Eq. (2):

$$S = \rho_s \int_{r_0}^{r'} r \omega^2 dr \quad (2)$$

assuming core bulk density  $\rho_s$  of  $1.9 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ . where  $S$  is total stress (kPa),  $\rho_s$  is the saturated core density ( $\text{g cm}^{-3}$ ),  $g$  is gravitational acceleration. The total stress and fluid pressure were calculated at 0.005 m increments through the core. The effective stress was then calculated as the difference between total stress and fluid pressure. An increase in effective stress associated with decreased fluid pressures near the base of the free draining core may cause consolidation of the core matrix near the boundary.

The total stress applied to the core, relative to stress, may affect the porosity of the core sample, depending on the stress history. In situ stress of the cores ( $S_i$ ) at the sampling depth below ground ( $D$ ) was calculated using Eq. (3): estimated on the assumption that the

overlying formations were fully saturated and of a similar density ( $\rho_s$ ) to the supplied core samples:

$$S_i = \rho_s Dg \quad (3)$$

It was assumed that the overlying formations were fully saturated and of a similar bulk density to the supplied core samples.

The centrifuge inertial (elevation) head gradient and hydraulic head gradient (stationary centrifuge at 1g) were calculated at 0.005 m increments through the core.

## 5. Results and discussion

### 5.1 Core properties and $K_v$ results from CP testing

Index properties for five representative cores are provided in Table 2. The cores were typically silty clay (where the silty clay-silt size boundary is defined at  $\leq 0.002$  mm), except for one sandy clay core. The large proportion of silt relative to clay is an important characteristic of this formation, with clay mineralogy dominated by smectite (Timms and Acworth, 2005; Acworth and Timms, 2009).

Moisture content varied from 24.7 to 36.4% by weight, and was consistent with site measured data on the core while at the drill site (Supplement S5), although not all the cores were fully saturated as received by the external laboratory. Bulk wet density varied from 1.71 to 1.88 g cm<sup>-3</sup> and particle density from 2.47 to 2.58 g cm<sup>-3</sup>. The  $K_v$  of cores tested in the CP module (Table 3) varied from  $1.1 \times 10^{-10}$  to  $3.5 \times 10^{-7}$  ms<sup>-1</sup> ( $n = 18$ ). Accelerations up to 1080g were applied during CP testing of semi-consolidated sediment cores and were more typically limited to 30-40g. Fig. 35 shows the measured influent and effluent rates and the calculated  $K_v$  values during a typical CP test as the g-level was gradually increased. Steady state flow ( $\pm 10$  % change over time with influent rate equal to effluent rate) was achieved at ~20 hrs (Fig. 3). However, a lower  $K_v$  value that was observed overnight ( $> 12$  hrs interval between samples) than was observed during the day (overnight than those values measured over ~1 hr intervals between samples). during the day with frequent stops of several minutes duration to measure the effluent volume, and the later time interval measurement was considered to be more realistic. The  $K_v$  values over shorter time periods, with minimal evaporative losses were considered to be more reliable. Further experimentation and numerical modelling is required

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman

Formatted: English (Australia)

1 to adequately explain this anomalous data which may be associated with evaporative losses  
2 over longer time periods of flow measurement or other transient processes within the system.

3 Anomalous flow via preferential pathways could be readily identified by a flow rate of  
4 several orders of magnitude greater than otherwise observed. Anomalous flow was often  
5 observed along the interface of the cores and the liner during the early minutes of a test before  
6 ~~where sealing occurred and before~~ steady state conditions were established. On one occasion  
7 ~~a failure occurred in the core during testing with~~ a preferential flow path developed occurring  
8 ~~through the matrix during the test and which, at accelerated gravity,~~ caused very fast flow at  
9 accelerated gravity that was easily detected. A test failure like this could be readily identified  
10 and excluded from further evaluation.

11 A small uncertainty in  $K_v$  results for the CL site was calculated at a confidence limit of 99%  
12 using the methods described in Section 4.3. By increasing the number of samples, the  
13 confidence bounds for  $K_v$  were narrowed from a range of  $4.8 \times 10^{-10}$  to  $2.4 \times 10^{-9}$  m/s ( $n=5$ ) to a  
14 range of  $1.1 \times 10^{-9}$  to  $2.1 \times 10^{-9}$  m/s ( $n=9$ ). ~~This evaluation demonstrates the value of the~~  
15 ~~additional testing that has recently been completed.~~ Increasing the number of samples from  
16 five to nine ~~also~~ decreased the standard deviation, although a with a similar geometric mean  
17 occurred with the increased sample number (Table 4). However there was less certainty at  
18 two other core sites (BF and NR) due to limited and more variable  $K_v$  data. At the BF site the  
19 99% confidence interval had relatively wide  $K_v$  bounds for  $n = 6$ , while at NR site, a  
20 confidence interval of 90% results in similarly wide  $K_v$  bounds for  $n = 3$ . However, such a  
21 small number of samples is not considered sufficient for statistical analysis. This ~~statistical~~  
22 evaluation of the results highlights the relative  $K_v$  variability and small sample set for the BF  
23 and NR sites, and the need for further testing, particularly at the NR site. This issue will be  
24 expanded in the following discussion ~~following~~.

## 25 5.2 Pore fluid pressure and stress conditions at accelerated gravity

26 How realistic the  $K_v$  measured by CP testing is of in situ conditions ~~While the errors that may~~  
27 ~~occur during measurement of  $K$  can be defined, whether or not the  $K$  value is realistic for in~~  
28 ~~situ conditions~~ depends in part on the magnitude of stress and any structural changes that  
29 occur within the core matrix. Supplement S2 provides background on the definition and  
30 significance of hydrostatic pore pressure, centrifuge inertial (elevation) head, and gradients  
31 driving fluid flow. Supplement S2 also discusses the possibility that  $K$  values reported in this

study could be biased on the high side, considering total stress at the base of the core under steady state conditions.

During centrifuge testing effective stress is maximum at the base of the free draining core, where fluid pressure is zero, and thus effective stress is equal to total stress under hydrostatic conditions (no flow). In both testing methods in this study, the total stress was less than estimated in situ stress, however the stress history of the core sample and effective stress dynamics were uncertain. ~~Considering that a stress is necessary on top of the core to prevent swelling of the core, it~~ appears that the stresses during these tests were likely within an acceptable range to minimise structural changes including swelling and consolidation. There was no evidence of significant changes in core length due to consolidation of the samples during spot checks of core length with a digital calliper. However further attention on these processes, including instrumentation to measure fluid pressures and core matrix changes during testing is required in future studies. A separate geotechnical study of these semi-consolidated sediments, including oedometer testing is in progress to better quantify the relationship between stress and permeability in these semi-consolidated materials. In future studies of semi-consolidated materials, measurement of consolidation state (over consolidation ratio) and pre-consolidation stress is recommended prior to centrifuge testing to ensure that an appropriate centrifuge stress is applied.

### 5.3 Comparison of in situ $K_v$ and column testing methods at the CL site

A comparison of  $K_v$  data from three methods in situ and column testing methods are shown in Fig. 4 for the CL site. Results from the CP method ( $1.1 \times 10^{-10}$  to  $3.5 \times 10^{-9} \text{ ms}^{-1}$ ,  $n = 9$ ) were similar to  $K_v$  values from the independent and in situ method ( $1.6 \times 10^{-9}$  to  $4.0 \times 10^{-9} \text{ ms}^{-1}$ ) confirming that the sequence is of low permeability at the CL site with a reasonable level of confidence (Table 4). However,  $K_v$  from both in situ and CP methods were higher than 1g column tests of core from 11.27–11.47 and 28.24–28.33 m BG from this site ( $1.4 \times 10^{-9}$ ,  $1.1 \times 10^{-10}$  and  $1.5 \times 10^{-10} \text{ ms}^{-1}$ ,  $n = 3$ ).

In situ  $K_v$  of the clayey-silt at the CL site were based on observed amplitude and phase changes of pore pressures (at hourly or 6-hourly intervals) due to five major rainfall events over four years (Timms and Acworth, 2005). The phase lag at the base of the clay varied between 49 and 72 days. The phase lag pore pressure analysis resulted in a  $K_v$  value of  $1.6 \times 10^{-9} \text{ ms}^{-1}$ , while the change in amplitude over a vertical clay sequence of 18 m (from a 17

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic



m depth piezometer to the inferred base of the aquitard at 35 m depth) resulted in a  $K_v$  value of  $4.0 \times 10^{-9} \text{ ms}^{-1}$ .

It is noted that the reliability of harmonic analysis related methods may be compromised by specific storage measurements. Jiang et al. (2013) relied on indirect specific storage values derived from downhole sonic and density log data from boreholes in the region, while Timms and Acworth (2005) calculated specific storage from barometric and loading responses that were recorded in the same groundwater level data set and boreholes used for harmonic analysis.

The reduced test times of CP testing may be attributed to the reduced time required to achieve steady state flow with centrifugal forces driving flow. Alternatively, the relatively longer time required for 1g column testing may be attributed to deionized water interaction with clay that reduced infiltration rates into the cores (10 to 100 lower  $K_v$  result for 1g column tests compared with CP tests). It is known that decreased ionic strength of influent (eg. deionized water) causes a linear decrease in permeability, and that the relative concentrations of sodium and calcium can affect permeability due to swelling and inter-layer interactions (eg. Shackelford et al., 2010; Ahn and Jo, 2009). ~~It is also possible that differences in  $K_v$  values from the two laboratory testing methods can also be attributed to~~ Differences in  $K_v$  values from the two laboratory testing methods can also be attributed to differences in test setup (eg. 45 vs. 100 mm diameter core) and stress changes that occur as discussed in Section 5.2 and Supplement S2.

CP testing was relatively rapid, typically with a few hours, up to 24 hours required for steady state flow CP, compared with an average of 90 hours (73, 96 and 100 hours for the tests reported here) for 1g column testing. In addition, an extended test of 830 hours in the CP (unpublished data) verified that no significant changes occurred over extended testing periods. The CP technique can therefore reduce average testing time to ~20% of the time that would be required in 1g laboratory testing systems, similar to the reduced time requirement of centrifuge methods for unsaturated hydraulic conductivity functions compared with 1g column tests ASTM (2010). The relative time advantage of testing cores at accelerated gravity may be greater at lower  $K_v$ , due to the increased time required to establish steady state flow conditions. The relative time advantage could be significant for contaminant transport testing which requires several pore volumes of steady state flow, compared to permeability testing where steady state flow is established before one pore volume. ~~This could be advantageous for longer experiments of contaminant transport that requires~~

~~With the advantage of robust estimates for specific storage in this study,~~ The similarity of  $K_v$  measurements with different scales at the CL site (Fig. 4) indicates that in this part of the alluvial deposit  $K$  is independent of vertical scale from centimeters to several meters. These  $K_v$  results from both in situ and laboratory methods provide an important constraint for evaluations of hydraulic connectivity, particularly as there is a general lack of  $K_v$  data for these sediments. Complimentary studies of hydraulic connectivity to quantify leakage rates include pore pressure monitoring and piezometer slug testing at various depth intervals along with hydrogeochemical and isotope tracer data. Recent geological studies of the alluvial sequence (Acworth et al., 2015) outlined in Section 2, and identification of dual porosity structures in the large diameter cores (Crane et al., 2015) indicate that it may be possible for vertical leakage to occur through clayey silts if a vertical hydraulic gradient were to be imposed. A diffusion dominated salt profile through the sequence suggest negligible vertical flow (Timms and Acworth, 2006), however, a proper assessment of flow connectivity requires vertical hydraulic gradients to ~~be determined over a long term period, taking into~~ also taken into account any salinity variations with depth and pore pressure variations that have occurred over at least the past decade.

#### 5.4 Geological and regional context for permeability of a clay-silt aquitard

The  $K_v$  measurements reported in this paper are important because there is a lack of aquitard data for a general lack of such data for alluvial groundwater systems globally. Even where many groundwater investigations have been completed (eg. Murray-Darling Basin), such as in this study area ~~this study area in the Mooki subcatchment,~~ there continues to be a lack of information on the thick clayey-silt sediments at various spatial scales.

The core samples for testing were randomly selected from the same lithostratigraphic formation, the upper 30 m of the alluvial sequence as described in Section 2. Although the alluvial sequence extends to over 100 m depth, we focused this study on sediments defined by a low net-to-gross ratio (Larue and Hovadik, 2006) of  $<0.4$  that reflects that clay rich part of the sequence (Timms et al., 2011). We assumed a log-normal distribution of  $K_v$  within this formation, which as noted by ~~(Fogg et al., (1998)~~ (Fogg et al., 1998) might be justified within individual facies, but not over the full stratigraphic section. It was also assumed that the standard deviation of the samples tested is similar to the standard deviation of the total population of  $K_v$  results

1 from the formation, which may only be known if a significantly large number of samples are  
2 tested.

3  $K_v$  values for cores from the NR and BF sites were significantly ~~more~~ larger than for the CL  
4 site, although additional data from the NR site is required to increased confidence intervals  
5 (Table 3, Table 4). ~~These findings could reflect the greater heterogeneity of alluvial sediments~~  
6 ~~at the northern sites (NR and BF), compared with the clayey-silt deposit at the southern CL~~  
7 ~~site.~~ Based on the dataset currently available for each site there did not appear to be any  
8 significant  $K_v$  trend with depth, except at the CL site, with a possible decrease of  $K_v$  by a  
9 factor of 3 with depth increasing from 11 to 28 m BG. Further testing is in progress to better  
10 identify any spatially significant trends in  $K_v$ .

11  $K_v$  results obtained from the CP for these clayey silt aquitards were significantly higher larger  
12 than  $K_v$  for consolidated rock cores tested in this system (Bouzalakos et al., 2013). The  
13 relatively low  $g$ -levels in this study (up to 80g), compared to rock core testing (up to 520g,  
14 Bouzalakos et al., 2013) were necessary for the shallow and semi-consolidated nature of the  
15 clayey-silt cores. In fact, steady state flow was achieved at low  $g$ -levels for  $K_v$  values that  
16 were at least 100 times higher than the current detection limit and uncertainty of the CP  
17 system (Supplement S4).

18 The vertical permeability of the clayey-silt aquitards in this region, and the relative  
19 importance of matrix flow and preferential flow through fractures and heterogeneities are  
20 critical to the sustainability of the groundwater resource. The  $K_v$  data reported in this study for  
21 these silty and semi-consolidated sediments are higher than reported for regional flow  
22 modellings in this area (McNeilage, 2006), indicating that the aquitards allow significant  
23 recharge to underlying aquifers.

24 A regional groundwater flow model developed by McNeilage (2006) with a 2 layer  
25 MODFLOW code, determined the dominant source of recharge to be diffuse leakage through  
26 the soil (and aquitards) in the Breeza groundwater management area. As in typical  
27 groundwater modelling practice (Barnett et al., 2012) the aquitard was not explicitly  
28 modelled, with water instead transferred from a shallow to a deeper aquifer using a vertical  
29 leakance value (units in  $\text{day}^{-1}$ ).

The calibrated groundwater model indicated that approximately 70% of the long-term average groundwater recharge (11 GL year<sup>-1</sup>) was attributed to diffuse leakage in this area that included the CL and NR sites. This volume is equivalent to 20 mm year<sup>-1</sup>, or a  $K_v$  of  $\sim 6 \times 10^{-10} \text{ ms}^{-1}$  assuming a unit vertical hydraulic gradient over an area of approximately 500 km<sup>2</sup>. The actual  $K_v$  or leakance values were not reported. The calibrated leakance values were found to vary over three orders of magnitude across the Breeza area, with relatively high values in isolated areas in the south, centre and north. In comparison, the  $K_v$  results on clayey-silt cores appear to be higher than the apparent  $K_v$  of the regional groundwater model, but with a similar degree of heterogeneity. The reasons for this discrepancy are not yet clear, but may be attributed to non-unique calibration of the regional flow model (eg. underestimation of inter-aquifer leakance) or the lack of representative  $K_v$  values for this aquitard at a scale that accounts for heterogeneities and preferential flow paths.

The  $K_v$  results in this study are within the range of values reported elsewhere for semi-consolidated clay silt sediments, ~~and are higher than commonly reported  $K_v$  values for consolidated glacial till and shale~~. For example, Neuzil (1994) reviewed aquitard  $K_v$  values for intact muds and lacustrine clays ( $10^{-8}$  to  $10^{-11} \text{ ms}^{-1}$ ) compared to consolidated materials such as shale with values as low as  $10^{-16} \text{ ms}^{-1}$  for argillite. A detailed study of a clayey marl and limestone aquitard in France (Larroque et al., 2013) found a quasi-systematic bias of one order of magnitude between petrophysical  $K_v$  estimates ( $10^{-8}$  to  $10^{-10} \text{ ms}^{-1}$ ), compared with values ( $10^{-9}$  to  $10^{-11} \text{ ms}^{-1}$ ) from hydraulic diffusivity monitoring between 30 and 70 m BG. However, the empirical petrophysical relationships between porosity, pore size and intrinsic permeability do not adequately account for structural effects of clay materials. Field piezometer rising head tests ( $n = 225$ ) indicated that  $K_v$  of a lacustrine clay aquitard around Mexico City was  $10^{-8}$  to  $10^{-9} \text{ ms}^{-1}$  in two areas, one hundred times greater than matrix scale permeability (Vargas and Ortega-Guerrero, 2004). ~~However, in~~ In a third area of the Mexico City aquitard, the field tests were  $10^{-10} \text{ ms}^{-1}$  indicating the regional variability that can occur within clayey deposits.

Studies of glacial till aquitards in Canada, the US and Denmark find that regional permeability is typically at least two orders magnitude greater than laboratory tests (Van der Kamp, 2001; Fredericia 1990; Bradbury and Muldoon, 1990; Gerber and Howard, 2000), although one study (Husain et al., 1998) showed that for a thick glacial till aquitard in

southern Ontario, Canada, the regional permeability is similar to the laboratory-obtained measurements, indicating the absence of significant permeable structures.

There is evidence of fracturing near the surface of the clayey aquitards that are the focus of this study. Fracture flow to a shallow pit and the freshening of porewater in the aquifers at 16 and 34 m depth during the irrigation season indicated rapid leakage had occurred at the BF site (Acworth and Timms, 2009). The dynamics of fracturing within ~2 m of the ground surface in these sediments was described by Greve et al. (2012). However, beyond the zone of fracturing near the ground surface, there appears to be insignificant groundwater flow. Solute profiles through the 30 m thick clayey deposit at the CL site indicate that downwards migration of saline water is limited to diffusion and that flow is insignificant (Timms and Acworth, 2006). On the basis of available evidence, the clayey sediments in this region may lack preferential flow paths at some sites, and in other areas preferential flow may occur through features such as fractures and heterogeneity at a range of scales (Crane et al. 2015). Further work is required to determine permeability at a range of scales, and to better understand preferential flow paths. The current conceptual model on which the numerical models [in this region](#) are based (simple layered aquitard overlying an aquifer) do not allow for spatial variability in connectivity mechanisms that could be important across a large valley alluvial fill sequence. ~~It is not surprising that would be in~~ Multiple mechanisms for vertical connectivity ~~including (matrix flow, fracture flow and sedimentary heterogeneity.)~~ [that would be important to varying degrees in aquitards. The relative importance of each of these pathways for vertical flow would](#) depending on the spatial scale and local setting [in each aquitard](#).

## 5.5 Groundwater flow at natural gradient and accelerated conditions

To determine if accelerated flow conditions are realistic for hydrogeological environments, the linear flow velocity for various CP setups was compared [with other flow scenarios. The rationale behind this comparison was that if the flow rate was consistent with scaling laws for physical modelling, with a unit gradient as a point of reference, then the results could be consider realistic for a with a theoretical unit gradient, and a](#) typical in situ vertical hydraulic gradient. In Table 5, an in situ hydraulic gradient of 0.5 is compared with CP setups for 100 mm and 65 mm diameter cores of various lengths for an aquitard material with  $K_v$  of  $10^{-8} \text{ ms}^{-1}$ . The vertical flow rate varies from  $0.3 \text{ mL hour}^{-1}$  under in situ conditions, to  $8.5 \text{ mL hour}^{-1}$  in the CP, such that linear flow velocities remain very low at  $10^{-8}$  to  $10^{-6} \text{ ms}^{-1}$ . The flow rate

during centrifugation was  $N$  times greater than if a hydraulic gradient of 1 was applied to the core samples at 1g. This increase in flow rate is consistent with scaling laws for physical modelling (Tan and Scott, 1987). providing further evidence that the  $K_v$  results are realistic.

The accelerated flow conditions, whilst realistic for hydrogeological environments can also be an advantage for experimental studies of solute transport.  $K_v$  results in the order of  $10^{-9} \text{ ms}^{-1}$  were obtained in ~20% of the time required for 1g column permeameter tests. Solute breakthrough experiments require longer testing periods of steady state flow than for permeability testing. For example, Timms and Hendry (2008) and Timms et al. (2009) describe continuous CP experiments over 90 days to quantify reactive solute transport during several pore volumes (PV) of flow. The comparisons of time required for one PV provided in Table 5 illustrate the possible advantages of CP for contaminant flow that may affect the structural integrity of the material.

#### 5-6 Implications of core scale measurement of aquitard properties Conclusions

Formatted: English (Australia)

Accurate and reasonable measurement of the vertical hydraulic conductivity ( $K_v$ ) of aquitards is a critical concern for many applications. providing that the applicability of  $K_v$  at various spatial scales is considered. For example, following an empirical analysis of ~~notable-selected~~ case studies, Bredehoeft (2005) reported that collection of new field data may render the prevailing conceptual hydrogeological models invalid in 20-30% of model analyses. Bredehoeft (2005) coined the term 'conceptual model surprise' to explain this phenomenon. He then went on to explain that 'often one does not have hydraulic conductivity values for confining layers because of the difficulties associated with acquiring such data'.

The centrifuge technology described within this paper helps investigators to overcome some of the modelling limitations identified by Bredehoeft (2005). With centrifuge technology accurate-realistic point-scale measures of hydraulic property data can be collected to develop more realistic numerical flow models to quantify the significance of transient drawdown, the associated release of water into adjacent aquifers over long time periods, and the possibility of preferential flow. Without this technology and accurate data on Realistic information on aquitard hydraulic properties more generally, the value of investment in the construction of complex hydro-geochemical projects will continue to be questioned, as will could improve confidence in the constituent conceptual and numerical hydrogeological models of aquifer- aquitard systems-

~~The natural variability within apparently homogeneous geological media is large (Schulze-Makuch et al., 1999). For example, Neuzil (1994) reported that for similar porosity, hydraulic conductivity commonly varies over three orders of magnitude. For argillaceous strata, permeability often does not increase with an increasing physical scale of testing, at least at intermediate scale, indicating that permeability due to fracturing is absent (Neuzil, 1994).~~

In the absence of direct measurement of aquitard permeability there is a real risk that aquitard parameters may be ignored or misrepresented in analyses resulting in a corresponding under-prediction of vertical connectivity via preferential flow paths and/or over-prediction of aquifer storage and transmissivity. This is an especially important consideration in the analysis of aquifer tests that may not have been conducted for sufficient periods of time to identify distant boundary conditions or the characteristic effects of aquitard leakage and/or storage (Neuman and Witherspoon, 1968). In very low permeability strata however, there are practical limitations to pump tests and packer testing below about  $10^{-8} \text{ ms}^{-1}$ , depending on the equipment and the thickness of strata that is subject to testing. It is recognised that in many heterogeneous systems time lags for the propagation of drawdown responses through an aquitard can be significant (Kelly et al., 2013).

Core scale measures of aquitard hydraulic conductivity are an integral component of hydrogeological studies concerning aquifer connectivity. The availability of core scale facies measurements enables the up-scaling of bore log and geophysical data to determine upper and lower hydraulic conductivity bounds for regionally up-scaled aquitard units. Any differences between  $K$  values at various scales are important for indicating the possibility of preferential flow through heterogeneous strata or aquitard defects (eg. faults and fractures). The availability of these bounded estimates helps to constrain the uncertainty analyses conducted on regional groundwater flow models to yield more confident predictions (Gerber and Howard, 2000). ~~Jiang et al. (in review), used sparse bore scale  $K_a$  measurements and CP core tests of  $K_a$  for mapping a regional aquitard-aquifer system by combining stochastic fluvial process modelling and a geostatistical simulation technique. The spatial heterogeneity of this aquitard-aquifer system was a basis for subsequent groundwater modelling explicitly including faults that could be either barriers or conduits for groundwater flow at Basin scale.~~

Nevertheless, regional groundwater flow models generally use hydraulic resistance (leakance) values to transfer water vertically between aquifers (Barnett et al., 2012) rather than spatial discretization of aquitards that control this transfer. While this simplification is justified in

many models, such an approach is not capable of identifying rapid flow pathways through defects in the aquitards or the release of stored water from an aquitard to an aquifer and cannot resolve the vertical hydraulic head distribution across the aquitard to verify drawdown responses. An aquitard should be subdivided into at least three thinner layers to effectively model transient pressure responses (Barnett et al., 2012). Rather than assigning constant theoretical values for aquitard properties through these multiple layers a combination of realistic and rapid laboratory measurement and direct in situ measurements ~~may be considered where high risk activities demand~~ improved confidence in conceptual understanding and model predictions.

## Acknowledgements

Funding from the Australian Research Council and National Water Commission, through the National Centre for Groundwater Research and Training Program 1B is gratefully acknowledged. The contributions of N Baker and A Ainsworth of Broadbent and Sons, Huddersfield UK, are acknowledged and J McCartney for helpful discussion on the theory of fluid flow during centrifuge testing. We appreciated research support at the Breeza farm provided by M McLeod and S Goodworth of the NSW Department of Primary Industries. Clayey-silt cores were drilled by New South Wales Office of Water, with S McCulloch, H Studhome and G Regmi. Experimental testing was assisted at UNSW by A Hartland, B Bambrook, M Aitkins, P King, S May and T Meyers. ~~Three-Five~~ reviewers ~~and an editor of an earlier draft of the manuscript~~ are thanked for their constructive comments on earlier drafts of the manuscript.

## References

- Acworth, R.I., and Timms, W.: Evidence for connected water processes through smectite-dominated clays at Breeza, New South Wales. *Aust. J. Earth Sci.*, 56(1), 81-96, 2009.
- Acworth, R.I., Timms, W.A., Kelly, B.F.J., McGeeney, D., Rau, G.C., Ralph, T.J., Larkin, Z.T.: Late Cenozoic Palaeovalley fill sequence from the Southern Liverpool Plains, New South Wales – implications for groundwater resource evaluation. ~~Submitted revised manuscript to~~ *Aust. J. Earth Sci.*, ~~July 2015-in press, 2015~~.
- Ahn, H.S., and Young Jo, H.Y.: Influence of exchangeable cations on hydraulic conductivity of compacted bentonite, *Applied Clay Science*, 44 (1–2), 144-150, 2009.



- 1 API.: Recommended Practices for Core Analysis. Recommended Practice 40, 2nd  
2 ed. Washington, D.C., American Petroleum Institute Publishing Services, 1998.
- 3 APLNG: Groundwater Assessment, Australia Pacific LNG Upstream Project Phase 1, Q-  
4 LNG01-15-TR-1801, Australia Pacific LNG, 266 pp., 2013.
- 5 AS: Methods of testing soil for engineering purposes. Standard methods 1289 2.1.1; 5.1.1;  
6 5.3.2 and 6.7.3 Australian Standards, Sydney, 1991.
- 7  
8 ASTM: Standard practice for thin-walled tube sampling of soils for geotechnical purposes.  
9 American Society for Testing and Materials International, ASTM D1587-08, 2008.
- 10 ASTM: Standard test method for determining unsaturated and saturated hydraulic  
11 conductivity in porous media by steady state centrifugation, American Society for Testing  
12 and Materials International, D 6527-08, 2008.
- 13 ASTM: Standard test methods for laboratory determination of density (unit weight) of soil  
14 specimens. American Society for Testing and Materials International, ASTM D7263-09,  
15 2009.
- 16 ASTM: Standard test method for measurement of hydraulic conductivity of unsaturated soils.  
17 American Society for Testing and Materials International, D 7664-10, 2010.
- 18 Badenhop, A.M., and Timms, W.A.: Long-term Salinity Changes in an Inland Aquifer,  
19 NSW, Australia, in Proceedings of the 34th Hydrology & Water Resources Symposium,  
20 Engineers Australia, Sydney, NSW, 19-22 November, 2012.
- 21 Barnett, B., Townley, L.R., Post, V., Evans, R.E., Hunt, R.J., Peeters, L., Richardson, S.,  
22 Werner, A.D., Knapton, A., and Boronkay, A.: Australian groundwater modelling guidelines,  
23 Published by the National Water Commission, Australia, 2012.
- 24 Broadbent: Operating Manual for Modular Geotechnical Centrifuge with GT2/0.65  
25 Permeameter And GT6/0.75 Beam Environments, Broadbent and Sons Ltd., Huddersfield,  
26 UK, 2011.
- 27 Boldt-Leppin, B.E.J., and Hendry, J.M.: Application of harmonic analysis of water levels to  
28 determine vertical hydraulic conductivities in clay-rich aquitards. Ground Water ,41 (4), 514–  
29 522, 2003.
- 30 Bouzalakos, S., Timms, W., Rahman, P., McGeeney, D., and Whelan, M.: Geotechnical  
31 centrifuge permeater for characterizing the hydraulic integrity of partially saturated confining  
32 strata for CSG operations. In: Brown A, Figueroa L, Wolkersdorfer Ch (eds) Reliable Mine  
33 Water Technology (Vol I). – 1–778; Denver, Colorado, USA (Publication  
34 Printers). Proceedings of the International Mine Water Congress, Colorado School of Mines,  
35 August 5-9, 2013.
- 36 Bouzalakos S; Crane R; Liu H; Timms WA, 2014, 'Geotechnical and modelling studies of  
37 low permeability barriers to limit subsurface mine water seepage', in , presented at 4th

- 1 International Conference on Water Management in Mining, Vina del Mar, Chile, 28 - 30
- 2 May, 2014.
- 3 Bradbury K.R., and Muldoon, M.A: Hydraulic conductivity determinations in unlithified
- 4 glacial and fluvial materials. In: Nielsen, D.M. and Johnson, A.I. (eds) Ground water and
- 5 vadose zone monitoring. ASTM STP 1053. American Society for Testing and Materials,
- 6 Philadelphia, 138-151, 1990.
- 7 Bredehoeft, J.: The conceptualization model problem—surprise. *Hydrogeology J.*,13:37–46,
- 8 2005.
- 9 Cherry J.A., Parker B.L., Bradbury, K.R, Eaton, T.T., Gotkowitz, M.G., Hart, D.J., and
- 10 Borchardt, M.A.: Role of Aquitards in the Protection of Aquifers from Contamination: A
- 11 “State of the Science” Report, AWWA Research Foundation, 2004.
- 12 Conca, J.L., and Wright, J.: The UFA method for rapid, direct measurements of unsaturated
- 13 transport properties in soil, sediment and rock, *Aust. J. Soil Res.* 36, 1-25, 1998.
- 14 Crane, R.A., M. O. Cuthbert, and Timms, W.: ~~Technical Note:~~The use of an interrupted-flow
- 15 centrifugation method to characterise preferential flow in low permeability media, *Hydrol.*
- 16 *Earth Syst. Sci.* ~~Discuss.~~, 19, 3991 - 4000, doi: 10.5194/hess-19-3991-2015~~12-67-92-2015-~~
- 17 ~~doi:10.5194/hessd-12-67-2015~~, Available at: ~~www.hydrol-earth-syst-sci-~~
- 18 ~~discuss.net/12/67/2015/hessd-12-67-2015.html~~ (last access: 21 May 2015), 2015.
- 19 Farley, C.: Aquitards and groundwater sustainability: Three-dimensional mapping of aquitard
- 20 architecture. Unpublished Honours thesis, School of Civil and Environmental Engineering,
- 21 University of New South Wales, 2011.
- 22 Fogg, G.E., Noyes, C.D., and Carle, S.F.: Geologically based model of heterogeneous
- 23 hydraulic conductivity in an alluvial setting, *Hydrogeology Journal*, 6:131–143, 1998.
- 24 Fredericia J.: Saturated hydraulic conductivity of clayey tills and the role of fractures. *Nordic*
- 25 *Hydrology*, 21:119-132, 1990.
- 26 Garnier, J., Gaudin, C., Springman, S.M., Culligan, P.J., Goodings, D., Konig, D., Kutter, B.,
- 27 Phillips, R., Randolph, M.F., and Thorel, L.: Catalogue of scaling laws and similitude
- 28 questions in geotechnical centrifuge modelling. *Int. J. Physical Modelling in Geotechnics*
- 29 3:01-23, 2007.
- 30 Gerber,R.E., and Howard, K.: Recharge through a regional till aquitard: three-dimensional
- 31 flow model water balance approach. *Ground Water*, 38(3), 410-422, 2000.
- 32 Gill, D.E., Cortes, R., and Leite, M.H.: Determining the minimal number of specimens for
- 33 laboratory testing of rock properties, *Engineering Geology*, 78, 29–51, 2005.
- 34 Greve, A.K., Andersen, M.S., Acworth, R.I.: Monitoring the transition from preferential to
- 35 matrix flow in cracking clay soil through changes in electrical anisotropy. *Geoderma*, 179–
- 36 180, 46–52, 2012.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Field Code Changed

- 1 Grisak, G.E., and Cherry, J.A.: Hydrologic characteristics and response of fractured till and  
2 clay confining a shallow aquifer. *Can. Geotech J.*, 12:23-43, 1975.
- 3 Head, K.H. :*Manual of Soil Laboratory Testing*. London,Pentech Press, 1988.
- 4 Husain M.M., Cherry, J.A., Fidler, S., and Frape, S.K.: On the long term hydraulic gradient in  
5 the thick clayey aquitard in the Sarnia region, Ontario, *Can Geotech J.*, 35:986-1003, 1998.
- 6 Jiang, Z., Mariethoz, G., Taulis, M., and Cox, M.:Determination of vertical hydraulic  
7 conductivity of aquitards in a multilayered leaky system using water-level signals in adjacent  
8 aquifers. *J. Hydrol.*, 500,170–182, 2013.
- 9 Jiang, Z., Mariethoz, G., Raiber, M., Cox, M., and Timms, W.: Application of 1D paleo-  
10 fluvial process modelling at basin-scale to augment sparse borehole data: example of a  
11 Permian formation in the Galilee Basin, Australia. Submitted July 2015 to *Hydrological*  
12 *Processes.*, in review. Josh, M., Esteban, L., Delle Piane, C., Sarout, J., Dewhurst, D.N., and  
13 Clenell, M.B.: Laboratory characterisation of shale properties. *J. Petroleum Science and*  
14 *Engineering*. doi:10.1016/j.petrol.2012.01.023, 2012.
- 15 Jougnot, D., Revil, A., Lu, N., and Wayllace, A.: Transport properties of the Callovo-  
16 Oxfodrian clay rock under partially saturated conditions. *Water Resour. Res.*  
17 doi:10.1029/2009WR008552, 2010.
- 18 Kelly, B.F.J., Timms, W. A, Andersen S.M., Ludowici, K., Blakers, R., Badenhop, A.,  
19 McCallum, A.M., Rau, G.C., and Acworth, R.I.: Aquifer heterogeneity and response time:  
20 the challenge for groundwater management, *Crop & Pasture Science*, 64, 1141-1154, 2013.
- 21 Kelly, B.F.J., Timms, W., Ralph, T., Giambastini, B., Comunian, A., McCallum, A.M.,  
22 Andersen, M.S., Acworth, R.I., and Baker A.: A reassessment of the Lower Namoi  
23 Catchment aquifer architecture and hydraulic connectivity with reference to climate drivers.  
24 *Aust. J. Earth Sci.*, 61, 501-511, 2014.
- 25 Larue, D. K., and Hovadik, J.: Connectivity of channelized reservoirs: A modelling approach,  
26 *Petroleum Geoscience* 12, 291–308, 2006.
- 27 Larroque F., Cabaret, O., Atteia, O., Dupuy, A., Franceschi, M.: Vertical heterogeneities of  
28 hydraulic aquitard parameters: preliminary results from laboratory and in situ monitoring.  
29 *Hydrological Sciences J.*, 58, 5, 2013.
- 30 McCartney, J.S., and Zornberg, J.G.: Centrifuge permeameter for unsaturated soils II:  
31 measurement of the hydraulic characteristics of an unsaturated clay. *J. Geotechnical and*  
32 *Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 136 (8), 1064-1076, 2010.
- 33 McNeilage, C.: Upper Namoi Groundwater Flow Model. NSW Department of Natural  
34 Resources, New South Wales, Parramatta, 2006.
- 35 Nakajima H., and Stadler, A.T.: Centrifuge modeling of one-step outflow tests for unsaturated  
36 parameter estimations. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 10, 715–729, 2006.

- 1 Neuman, S.P., and Witherspoon, P.A.: Theory of flow in aquicludes adjacent to slightly leaky  
2 aquifers. *Water Resour. Res.*, 4, (1):103-112, 1968.
- 3 Neuman, S.P., and Witherspoon, P.A.: Field determination of the hydraulic properties of  
4 leaky multiple aquifer systems. *Water Resour. Res.*, 8(5):1284-1298, 1972.
- 5 Neuzil, C.E.: Groundwater flow in low permeability environments. *Water Resour. Res.*, 22, 8,  
6 1163-1195, 1986.
- 7 Neuzil C.E.: How permeable are clays and shales? *Water Resour. Res.*, 30, (2):145-150,  
8 1994.
- 9 Nimmo, J.R., and K.A. Mello, K.A.: Centrifugal techniques for measuring saturated hydraulic  
10 conductivity. *Water Resour. Res.* 27 (6), 1263–1269, 1991.
- 11 Parks, J., Stewart M., and McCartney J.S.: Validation of a Centrifuge Permeameter for  
12 Investigation of Transient Infiltration and Drainage Flow Processes in Unsaturated Soils.  
13 *Geotechnical Testing J.*, 35, 1, Paper ID GTJ103625, 2012.
- 14 Potter, P.E., Maynard, J.B., and Pryor, W.A.: *Sedimentology of shale – study guide and*  
15 *reference source*. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1980.
- 16 Rowe, R.K., Quigley, R.M., and Booker, J.R: 1995. *Clayey Barrier Systems for Waste*  
17 *Disposal Facilities*. London: E & FN Spon, 1995.
- 18 ~~Schulze-Makuch, D., Carlson, D.A., Cherkauer, D.S., and Malik, P.: Scale Dependency of~~  
19 ~~Hydraulic Conductivity in Heterogeneous Media. *Ground Water*, 37(6), 904-919, 1999.~~
- 20 Shackelford, C.D., Sevick, G.W., and Eykholt, G.R.: Hydraulic conductivity of geosynthetic  
21 clay liners to tailings impoundment solutions *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*, 28(2), 149-  
22 162, 2010.
- 23 Smith, L.A., van der Kamp, G., and Hendry, M.J.: A new technique for obtaining high-  
24 resolution pore pressure records in thick claystone aquitards and its use to determine in situ  
25 compressibility. *Water Resour. Res.*, 9, 732–743, 2013.
- 26 Sundaram, B., Feitz, A., Caritat, P. de., Plazinska, A., Brodie, R., Coram, J., and Ransley, T.:  
27 *Groundwater Sampling and Analysis – A Field Guide*. Geoscience Australia, Record 2009/27  
28 95 pp, 2009.
- 29 Tan, T. S., and Scott, R. F.: Centrifuge Scaling Considerations for Fluid-Particle Systems:  
30 Discussion by R.N. Taylor and Response,” *Geotechnique*, 37(1), 131–133, 1987.
- 31 Timms, W., and Acworth, R.I.: Propagation of porewater pressure change through thick clay  
32 sequences: an example from the Yarramanbah site, Liverpool Plains, New South Wales.  
33 *Hydrogeology J.*, 13: 858-870, DOI: 10.1007/s10040-005-0436-7, 2005.
- 34 Timms, W., and Acworth, R.I.: Rethinking a conceptual model: advective versus diffusive  
35 chloride flux in a low permeability clay sequence, *International Association of*

- 1 Hydrogeologists Congress on Aquifer Systems Management, Dijon, France, 30 May - 1 June,  
2 2006.
- 3 Timms, W.A., and Hendry, M.J.: Long term reactive solute transport in an aquitard using a  
4 centrifuge model. *Ground Water*, 46(4): 616-628, doi: 10.1111/j.1745-6584.2008.00441,  
5 2008.
- 6 Timms, W., Hendry, J., Muise J., and Kerrich, R.: Coupling Centrifuge Modeling and Laser  
7 Ablation ICP-MS to determine contaminant retardation in clays. *Environ. Sci. and Technol.*,  
8 43, 1153–1159, 2009.
- 9 Timms, W., Kelly, B.F.J., Blakers, R., Farley, C., Regmi, G., Larsen, J., and Bowling, A.:  
10 Implications of 3D geological architecture for surface-groundwater connectivity in the Mooki  
11 catchment. In: McLean, W., and Milne-Holme B., NSW International Association of  
12 Hydrogeologists Symposium 2011 - Uncertainty in Hydrogeology, Sydney, 4-5<sup>th</sup> September,  
13 2011. Available at: [www.3pillarsnetwork.com.au/kb/iah-nsw-symposium-timms-.pdf](http://www.3pillarsnetwork.com.au/kb/iah-nsw-symposium-timms-.pdf) (last  
14 access: 21 May 2015), 2011.
- 15 Timms, W., Acworth, I., Hartland, A., and Laurence D.: Leading practices for assessing the  
16 integrity of confining strata: application to mining and coal seam gas extraction. In: Clint D.  
17 McCullough, CD, Lund MA, Wyse L. International Water and Mining Association  
18 Symposium Proceedings, 139-148, Bunbury, Western Australia, September 29 to October 4,  
19 2012.
- 20 Timms, W., Whelan, M., Acworth, I., McGeeney, D., Bouzalakos, S., Crane, R., McCartney,  
21 J. and Hartland, A.: A novel centrifuge permeameter to characterize flow through low  
22 permeability strata. In proceedings of International Congress on Physical Modelling in  
23 Geotechnics (ICPMG), Perth, Balkema, 14-17 January, 2014.
- 24  
25 Timms, W., and Anderson, D.: Geotechnical centrifuge technology for characterising the  
26 interaction of tailings and pore water over decadal time periods, Australian Institute of  
27 Mining and Metallurgy, Conference Proceedings: Tailings and Mine Waste Management for  
28 the 21<sup>st</sup> Century, pp. 247-262, 2015.
- 29 US EPA, Requirement for hazardous waste landfill design, construction and closure.  
30 EPA/625/4-89/022, 1989.
- 31 Van der Kamp, G.: Methods for determining the in situ hydraulic conductivity of shallow  
32 aquitards – an overview. *J. Hydrol.*, 9:5-6, 2001.
- 33 Van der Kamp, G.: Determining the hydraulic properties of aquitards. 2<sup>nd</sup> Canadian  
34 Symposium on Aquitard Hydrogeology, University of Ottawa, Canada, 21-23 June, 2011.
- 35 Vargas C., and Ortega-Guerrero, A.: Fracture hydraulic conductivity in the Mexico City  
36 clayey aquitard: field piezometer rising-head tests, *Hydrogeology J.*, 12, 336-344, 2004.
- 37 Wiesner, T., and Acworth, R.I.: Groundwater contamination investigation using CCPTs.  
38 Water 99 Joint Congress, Brisbane, Australia, 6-8 July, 1999.

- 1 Wright, M., Dillon, P., Pavelic, P., Peter, P., and Nefiodovas, A.: Measurement of 3-D  
2 hydraulic conductivity in aquifer cores at In situ Effective Stress. *Ground Water*, 40(5):509-  
3 517, 2002.
- 4 Zornberg, J.G., and McCartney, J.S.: Centrifuge Permeameter for Unsaturated Soils. I:  
5 Theoretical Basis and Experimental Developments. *J. Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental*  
6 *Engineering*, 136, 8, 1051-1063, 2010.
- 7 Znidarčić, D., Miller, R., van Zyl, D., Fredlund, M., and Wells, S.: Consolidation Testing of  
8 Oil Sand Fine Tailings, *Proceedings Tailings and Mine Waste 2011*, Vancouver, BC,  
9 November 6 to 9, 2011.

10

## Tables

**Table 1.** Specifications and performance details of the Broadbent GT-18 centrifuge permeameter (CP) system as constructed by Broadbent (2011).

Dimensions/mass	
Diameter (lower rotary stack) 200.0 cm	
Radius to top sample chamber 45.0 cm*	
Radius to base sample chamber 65.0 cm**	
Total mass	4800 kg
Performance	
Rotational speed	10 – 875 RPM
Maximum sample length	20.0 cm
Maximum sample diameter	10.0 cm
Maximum sample mass	4.7 kg
Maximum sample density	SG 3.0
Maximum effluent reservoir capacity 1000 mL	
Maximum payload	18.11 kg

\* 385 G at 875 RPM; \*\* 556 G at 875 RPM; \*\*\* 471 G at 875 RPM;

1 **Table 2.** Core descriptions and index properties

Core ID	BF	BF	CL	CL	NR
	C2.8	C2.16 & C2.15	C4.8a	C4.20a	C3.23
Depth (m BG)	11.00-11.35/ 11.35-11.68	22.50-22.90/ 21.93-22.18	11.27-11.47	28.50-28.70	33.00- 33.35/ 33.35- 33.68
Description	Sandy clay - brown	Clayey silt - brown	Silty clay - brown	Silty clay – pale brown	Clayey Silt - Brown
Moisture (% wt.)	24.7	28.2	45.7	36.4	-
$D_{50}$ (mm)	0.025	0.0068	-	-	<0.0013
Bulk wet density (g cm <sup>-3</sup> )	1.88	1.81	1.71	1.77	1.72
Particle density (g cm <sup>-3</sup> )	2.52	2.47	2.58	2.50	2.58
Initial void ratio	0.67	0.75	1.20	0.93	0.89
Initial degree of saturation (%)	93	95	96	99	74

2

3



**Table 3.**  $K_v$  results from CP tests indicating  $g$ -level maximum and testing time. The influent source column identifies the site (NR, CL, BF) and depth (P20 is piezometer screen at 20 m depth) of groundwater sampling. Calculations were based on Eq. (3) for in situ stress.

Site	Depth (m BG)	$K_v$ ( $\text{ms}^{-1}$ )	$g$ -level maximum	Estimated in situ stress (kPa)	Testing time (hrs)	Influent source
NR	33.8	$4 \times 10^{-9}$	10	615	~144	NR P30
NR	33.90	$2 \times 10^{-9}$	10	615	~144	NR P30
NR	34.68	$2.4 \times 10^{-7}$	10	646	2.6	NR P30
CL	11.75	$3.5 \times 10^{-9}$	80	219	24	CL P15
CL	14.00	$2.2 \times 10^{-9}$	80	261	1	CL P15
CL	19.25	$2.0 \times 10^{-9}$	80	359	24	CL P20
CL	21.70	$5.1 \times 10^{-9}$	80	404	1	CL P20
CL	26.01	$2.4 \times 10^{-9}$	80	485	21	CL P40
CL	26.10	$1.1 \times 10^{-10}$	80	486	21	CL P40
CL	28.33	$2.0 \times 10^{-9}$	10	526	24	CL P40
CL	28.52	$2.7 \times 10^{-9}$	80	532	1	CL P25
CL	31.36	$1.6 \times 10^{-9}$	40	585	24	CL P40
BF	24.07	$5.9 \times 10^{-9}$	40	449	3	BF CP25
BF	24.14	$3.4 \times 10^{-8}$	40	450	3	BF CP25
BF	31.40	$1.3 \times 10^{-9}$	30	585	11	BF CP40
BF	36.46	$3.5 \times 10^{-7}$	10	680	2.5	BF CP40
BF	40.00	$1.5 \times 10^{-9}$	30	746	23	BF CP40
BF	40.10	$4.3 \times 10^{-8}$	30	746	23	BF CP40

**Table 4** Geometric mean, standard deviation ( $s_n$ ) and confidence limits (C.L. %) analysis for  $K_{i,K}$  data using the CP method to test core from the clayey-silt formation at the CL, BF and NR sites.

$K_{i,K}$ confidence intervals (m/s)						
Site	n	$K_{i,K}$ geometric mean (m/s)	$s_n$ log $K_{i,K}$	C.L. %	Lower bound	Upper bound
CL	5	$1.3 \times 10^{-9}$	0.21	99	$4.8 \times 10^{-10}$	$2.4 \times 10^{-9}$
CL	9	$1.6 \times 10^{-9}$	0.14	99	$1.1 \times 10^{-9}$	$2.0 \times 10^{-9}$
BF	6	$1.3 \times 10^{-8}$	0.19	99	$6.5 \times 10^{-9}$	$2.1 \times 10^{-8}$
NR	3	$1.2 \times 10^{-8}$	0.34	99	$1.5 \times 10^{-10}$	$8.5 \times 10^{-8}$
				90	$3.4 \times 10^{-9}$	$4.6 \times 10^{-8}$

**Table 5.** Linear flow velocity at natural gradient, unit gradient and for various centrifuge permeameter setups

	Natural gradient	Unit gradient	Centrifuge permeameter		
Vertical hydraulic conductivity ( $\text{ms}^{-1}$ )			$1.0 \times 10^{-8}$		
Core type		C core - long	C core - short	HQ core - short	
Core length $\times$ diameter (mm)		$200 \times 100$	$30 \times 100$	$30 \times 65$	
<i>RPM</i>	n/a	n/a	202	202	310
<i>g</i> -level	1	1	30	30	70
Vertical fluid head gradient ( $\text{m m}^{-1}$ )	0.5	1	$\sim 0.2^{\#}$	$\sim 0.5^{\#}$	$\sim 0.5^{\#}$
Flow ( $\text{mL hour}^{-1}$ )	0.3	0.6	8.5	8.5	8.5
Linear flow velocity ( $\text{ms}^{-1}$ )	$1.7 \times 10^{-8}$	$3.3 \times 10^{-8}$	$1.0 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.0 \times 10^{-6}$	$2.4 \times 10^{-6}$
Time for 1 pore volume (hours)	3333	1667	55.4	8.3	3.5
Normalised					
Increased linear flow velocity			30	30	71
Reduced time for 1 PV			30	200	474

<sup>#</sup> Fluid head gradient depends on the depth of influent on the core, and the length of the core

## Figures

Fig. 1 Location of study sites in Eastern Australia, state of NSW. The Norman's Road (NR), Breeza Farm (BF) and Cattle Lane (CL) sites are shown within the Namoi catchment.

Fig. 2 Cross-sectional diagram of a core sample subjected to centrifugal force, with a free drainage boundary condition at the base of the core.


Fig. 3 Centrifuge permeameter testing at low stresses of a semi-consolidated clayey-silt core sample (CL 26.1 m depth, Test 39-1) showing variation of  $g$ -level,  $K_v$  and influent and effluent flow rate during the test (after Timms et al., 2014).

Fig. 4 Vertical hydraulic conductivity ( $K_v$ ) measurements by centrifuge permeameter and column permeameter compared with in situ  $K_v$  derived from pore pressure data at 6 hourly intervals over 45 years interpreted with harmonic analysis (after Timms and Acworth, 2005) for the Cattle Lane site with massive clayey-silt from the surface to 35 m depth.


**Fig. 1.** Location of study sites in Eastern Australia, state of NSW. The Norman's Road (NR), Breeza Farm (BF) and Cattle Lane (CL) sites are shown within the Namoi catchment.



1 **Fig. 2.** Cross-sectional diagram of a core sample subjected to centrifugal force, with a free drainage  
2 boundary condition at the base of the core.




1 **Fig. 3.** Centrifuge permeameter testing at low stresses of a semi-consolidated clayey-silt core sample  
2 (CL 26.1 m depth, Test 39-1) showing variation of  $g$ -level,  $K_v$  and influent and effluent flow rate  
3 during the test (after Timms et al., 2014).



4  
5  
6

1 **Fig. 4.** Vertical hydraulic conductivity ( $K_v$ ) measurements by centrifuge permeameter and column  
 2 permeameter compared with in situ  $K_v$  derived from pore pressure data at 6 hourly intervals over 45  
 3 years interpreted with harmonic analysis (after Timms and Acworth, 2005) for the Cattle Lane site  
 4 with massive clayey-silt from the surface to 35 m depth.

5



6

7

8

Fig4 K vs depth summary HESS.grf