1	Investigating suspended	d sediment (	dvnamics in	contrasting	agricultural	catchments	using ex	situ turbid	lity-based
-	mitesugaring suspende	a beamient	aymannes m	contrasting	agricultural	eatenniento	abing en	Situ turora	ney oused

- 2 suspended sediment monitoring
- 3

4 Sherriff, S.C.<sup>1,2</sup>, Rowan, J.S.<sup>2</sup>, Melland, A.R.<sup>3</sup>, Jordan, P.<sup>4,5</sup>, Fenton, O.<sup>1</sup>, Ó hUallacháin, D.<sup>1</sup>

5

6 Addresses/affiliations:

- 7 8 <sup>1</sup>Johnstown Castle Research Centre, Teagasc, Wexford, Ireland
- <sup>2</sup>School of the Environment, University of Dundee, Dundee, DD1 4HN, Scotland, UK
- <sup>9</sup> <sup>3</sup>National Centre for Engineering in Agriculture, University of Southern Queensland, Toowoomba, Australia
- <sup>4</sup>School of Environmental Sciences, Ulster University, Coleraine, Co. Derry, BT52 1SA, UK
- <sup>5</sup>Agricultural Catchments Programme, Johnstown Castle Research Centre, Teagasc, Wexford, Ireland
- 12
- 13 Correspondence: <u>sophie.sherriff@teagasc.ie</u>

#### 14 Abstract

15 Soil erosion and suspended sediment (SS) pose risks to chemical and ecological water quality. Agricultural 16 activities may accelerate erosional fluxes from bare, poached or compacted soils, and enhance connectivity 17 through modified channels and artificial drainage networks. Storm-event fluxes dominate SS transport in 18 agricultural catchments; therefore, high temporal-resolution monitoring approaches are required but can be 19 expensive and technically challenging. Here, the performance of in situ turbidity-sensors, conventionally 20 installed submerged at the river bankside, is compared with installations where river water is delivered to 21 sensors ex situ, i.e. within instrument kiosks on the riverbank, at two experimental catchments (Grassland B and 22 Arable B). The in-situ and ex-situ installations gave comparable results when calibrated against storm-period, 23 depth-integrated SS data, with total loads at Grassland B estimated at 12800 t and 15400 t, and 22600 t and 24 24900 t at Arable B, respectively. The absence of spurious turbidity readings relating to bankside debris around 25 the in situ sensor and its greater security, make the ex situ sensor more robust. The ex situ approach was then 26 used to characterise SS dynamics and fluxes in five intensively managed agricultural catchments in Ireland which feature a range of landscape characteristics and land use pressures. Average annual suspended sediment 27 28 concentration (SSC) was below the Freshwater Fish Directive (78/659/EEC) guideline of 25 mg  $L^{-1}$ , and the 29 continuous hourly record demonstrated that exceedance occurred less than 12% of the observation year. Soil 30 drainage class and proportion of arable land were key controls determining flux rates, but all catchments 31 reported a high degree of inter-annual variability associated with variable precipitation patterns compared to the 32 long-term average. Poorly-drained soils had greater sensitivity to runoff and soil erosion, particularly in 33 catchments with periods of bare soils. Well drained soils were less sensitive to erosion even on arable land; 34 however, under extreme rainfall conditions, all bare soils remain a high sediment loss risk. Analysis of storm-35 period and seasonal dynamics (over the long term) using high resolution monitoring would be beneficial to 36 further explore the impact of landscape, climate and land use characteristics on SS export.

- 37
- 38 Keywords: Suspended sediment; stage-discharge; turbidity calibration; flux determinations; agricultural land use

## 39 1 Introduction

40 Excessive supply of fine sediments (<125  $\mu$ m) and sediment-associated pollutants are detrimental to aquatic 41 ecosystems (Wood and Armitage, 1997; Collins et al., 2011; Kemp et al., 2011). Elevated suspended sediment 42 (SS) concentrations decrease light penetration and can reduce primary productivity. Deposition of sediments 43 onto river channel beds also degrades habitat quality for benthic species and spawning fish (Bilotta and Brazier, 44 2008). In the European Union, the Water Framework Directive (WFD - OJEU, 2000) requires that water quality 45 meet a "good" standard, but no binding environmental standards yet exist for SS across Member States (Brils, 46 2008; Collins and Anthony, 2008). In rivers, the EU Freshwater Fish Directive (FFD - OJEU, 2006) introduced 47 a mean annual threshold of 25 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, but this was subsequently repealed. Phosphorus (P) targets are, however, 48 binding and because of its strong affinity for particulate transport, catchment sediment fluxes are an essential 49 area of research.

50

51 Agriculture is commonly linked with elevated rates of soil erosion (Foster et al., 2011; Glendell and Brazier, 52 2014), but the degree to which sediment exports from catchments can be attributed to specific land-management 53 practices is challenging to measure (Rowan et al., 2012). Catchments exhibit complex responses to different 54 land uses, (e.g. arable or grazing practices) which are further influenced by climate, landscape setting and 55 topographic controls (Wass and Leeks, 1999). A comprehensive evaluation of the extent of erosion and elevated 56 sediment supply, therefore, requires a robust determination of sediment flux (Navratil et al., 2011), knowledge 57 of the sources and fate of fine sediments within the system (Walling, 2005), and an appreciation of the risks that 58 elevated concentrations present to aquatic ecosystems (Bilotta and Brazier, 2008). This evidence base can be 59 used to better inform integrated land, water and sediment management strategies.

60

61 Sediment losses from agricultural areas are commonly attributed to arable practices (Walling et al., 1999; Wass 62 and Leeks, 1999; Freebairn et al., 2009; Van Oost et al., 2009; Duvert et al., 2010), especially where bare or 63 freshly tilled soils are exposed to rainfall-runoff processes (Regan et al., 2012). Arable farming typically 64 involves the mechanical redistribution of soil through ploughing and seed bed preparation, and via erosion from 65 compacted and/or bare fields and down-slope tramlines (Chambers and Garwood, 2000; Withers et al., 2006; 66 Boardman et al., 2009; Silgram et al., 2010; Regan et al., 2012; Soane et al., 2012). Over-grazed grassland soils 67 are also an important sediment source (Bilotta et al., 2010) and critical to the transport of particle-bound 68 pollutants, such as P (Haygarth et al., 2006). Poaching of soils by livestock, particularly cattle wintered outside,

results in loss of soil structure and compaction around gates, drinking troughs and, where access is not
restricted, channel banks (Trimble and Mendel, 1995; Evans et al., 2006).

71

72 Erosion risk is conditioned by physical catchment characteristics (soil type and hydrology), and erodibility 73 determined by physiography (slope length, steepness and shape, ground cover and soil management). Soil 74 drainage class, for example, is dictated by landscape position whereby well-drained soils, such as Brown Earths 75 and Podzols commonly located on hillslopes, contribute sediment predominantly through sub-surface pathways 76 such as relocation of fine surface sediments vertically and/or horizontally through the soil profile, preferential 77 flow through macropores (Chapman et al., 2001; Deasy et al., 2009). Conversely, poorly-drained soils, such as 78 Gleys (surface and groundwater) and silt and clay dominated alluviual soils in proximity to watercourses, are at 79 greater risk of overland-flow generation and surface soil erosion due to reduced infiltration capacity. The 80 installation of surface and sub-surface drains can also alter natural flow pathways (Ibrahim et al., 2013). 81 Drainage installation and maintenance, for example, can result in faster quick-flow, resulting in an increased 82 likelihood of more frequent, higher magnitude and short duration sediment transfers associated with storm 83 runoff (Wiskow and van der Ploeg, 2003; Deasy et al., 2009; Florsheim et al., 2011).

84

To accurately quantify sediment fluxes from complex catchments, field monitoring programmes require three considerations. Firstly, robust flow and sediment concentration data capable of accurately describing short-term fluxes (Navratil et al., 2011). Secondly, the duration of the measurements must be sufficiently long to be 'representative' of either stationary long-term averages (inclusive of natural variability), or to reveal temporal trends of increasing or decreasing loads or concentrations. Capturing crucial high magnitude, low frequency events is, therefore, vital to generating meaningful flux determinations (Walling and Webb, 1988; Wass and Leeks, 1999). Thirdly, monitoring programmes need to be operationally cost-effective.

92

93 Sediment load estimation based on SSC -discharge rating curves has been widely superseded by catchment 94 outlet, near-continuous turbidity monitoring (Lewis, 2003; Jarstram et al., 2010; Melland et al., 2012a). The 95 latter requires turbidity sensors, loggers and infrastructure that cope with issues such as debris interference, bio-96 fouling, power outages and equipment/data security (Wass and Leeks, 1999; Jordan et al., 2007; Owen et al., 97 2012). Assessment of new monitoring strategies, compared to traditional in situ turbidity-SSC monitoring 98 programmes, is essential to assess improvements, limitations, and validate their implementation.

100 There have been relatively few sediment flux investigations in Ireland (Melland et al., 2012a; Harrington and 101 Harrington, 2013; Thompson et al., 2014). Initially regulated and managed through the Nitrates Directive 102 (OJEU, 1991; 2007), the transfer of diffuse agricultural pollutants across the EU is now primarily integrated into 103 obligations under the WFD. In Ireland, soil conservation issues also fall under the Nitrates Directive regulations, 104 but the impact of SS in rivers is commonly compared to the repealed FFD target due to the absence of explicit 105 sediment targets within the WFD.

106

As part of an experiment to evaluate the Nitrates Directive in Ireland, a common experimental design across six agricultural catchments included high temporal-resolution measurements of river nutrient and sediment exports (Wall et al., 2011). Using these catchments and data, the aims of this study were, (1) to assess the efficacy of a novel ex situ SS monitoring technique in two catchments, and (2) to investigate annual average sediment concentrations and loads in relation to soil drainage class and land use in five monitored catchments. One catchment, situated in low-relief karst terrain was omitted from this study due to intermittent runoff combined with very low SS concentrations (cf. Mellander et al., 2012). 114 2 Study location

Suspended sediment monitoring was conducted in five catchments (Table 1) across Ireland (Fig. 1). Catchments were selected to represent the main intensive agricultural land use types in Ireland, dominant hydrological pathways (surface or sub-surface) at a scale where headwater to channel hydrological process were detectable (Fealy et al., 2010). The characteristics of individual catchments are summarised as follows:

119

120 Grassland A catchment (7.9 km<sup>2</sup>) is located in south-west Ireland (51°38'N 8°47'W). Catchment soils are 121 predominantly shallow well-drained Brown Earths and Podzols with loam dominating the texture of A- and B-122 horizons, and smaller areas of surface-water Gleys at the base of hillslopes. A coarse loamy drift with siliceous 123 stone subsoil is underlain by Devonian old red sandstones and mudstones from the Toe Head and Castlehaven 124 formations (Sleeman and Pracht, 1995), which form an unconfined productive aquifer (Mellander et al., 2014). 125 Sub-surface water pathways are therefore dominant. Land is predominantly grazed by cattle for intensive dairy 126 production and smaller areas of beef production with an average catchment stocking rate of 1.98 livestock units 127 (LU) ha<sup>-1</sup> additionally minor areas of arable land use are present (Table 1).

128

129 Grassland B catchment (11.0 km<sup>2</sup>) is located in south-east Ireland (52°36'N, 6°20'W). Soil type is 130 predominantly poorly-drained Groundwater Gleys in the catchment lowlands with a clay loam texture in A- and 131 B-horizons resulting from a clayey calcareous Irish Sea till subsoil. The uplands contain smaller areas of well-132 drained Brown Earths, these soils are underlain by drift deposits with siliceous stones. The underlying geology 133 is permeable, dominated by Ordovician volcanics and metasediments of the Campile formation (Tietzsch-Tyler 134 et al., 1994), which form a productive aquifer with faults (Mellander et al., 2012). Artificial drainage is a key 135 feature including open drains, defined here as ditches, and closed, sub-surface piped drains (predominantly 80 136 mm diameter). Grassland B is considered to be dominated by overland flow pathways (Mellander et al., 2012; 137 Shore et al., 2013) except for areas of well-drained soils featuring sub-surface transport pathways. Land is 138 predominantly grass-based for dairy and beef cattle grazing, and also sheep enterprises (Shore et al., 2013) with 139 stocking rate of 1.04 LU ha<sup>-1</sup>. Arable crops such as spring barley are common on the well-drained soils which 140 are unmanaged between harvest and ploughing for following crop.

Grassland C catchment (3.3 km<sup>2</sup>) is located in north-east Ireland (54°01'N, 6°51'W). Soils are mainly deep and
 moderate- to poorly-drained characterised by a loam A-horizon texture and clay loam B-horizon, and areas of

shallow well-drained soils in the upper catchment areas underlain predominately by Lower Palaeozoic shale tills. The geology is Silurian metasediments and volcanics of the Shercock Formation (Geraghty et al., 1997), which create an unproductive aquifer. Overland flow and near-surface pathways are, therefore, dominant here. Land use is principally grass-based for dairy cattle, sheep and beef cattle grazing (stocking rate 1.00 LU ha<sup>-1</sup>).

148

149 Arable A catchment (11.2 km<sup>2</sup>) is located in south-east Ireland (52°34'N, 6°36'W). Soils are predominantly 150 shallow well-drained Brown Earths with loam texture dominating the A- and B-horizons, and limited areas of 151 poorly-drained Groundwater Gleys around the stream corridor to the east of the catchment (Melland et al., 152 2012a). Subsoils predominantly comprise fine loamy drift with siliceous stones over slate and silt stones of the 153 Oaklands Formation (Tietzsch-Tyler et al., 1994), which produces a poorly-productive aquifer. The well-drained 154 soils result in below-ground hydrological transfers, particularly bedrock fissure-flow (Mellander et al., 2012). 155 Artificial drainage is limited to the poorly-drained soil areas and comprises of open ditches and sub-surface 156 piped drainage. Land use is dominated by spring barley (land is unmanaged between cropping cycles and crop 157 rotation is limited) with areas of permanent grassland for beef cattle and sheep grazing in more poorly-drained 158 areas (Melland et al., 2012a) at 0.40 LU/ha.

159

160 Arable B catchment (9.5 km<sup>2</sup>) is located in north-east Ireland (53°49'N, 6°27'W). The soil type is a complex 161 pattern of poor- to moderately-drained soils (Melland et al., 2012a). Loam soil texture dominates the A-horizon 162 and clay loams are dominant in the B-horizon. Subsoil is dominated by fine till containing siliceous stones with 163 fluvioglacial sediments located near-channel. Soils are underlain by calcareous greywacke and banded mudstone 164 geology (McConnell et al., 2001) and produce a poorly productive aquifer (Mellander et al., 2012). 165 Hydrologically, surface pathways dominate; however, below-ground pathways may also be important especially 166 during winter (Melland et al., 2012a; Mellander et al., 2012). Artificial drainage is dominant, particularly in the 167 poorly-drained catchment areas. Arable land is dominated by winter-sown cereals, but also comprises maize and 168 potatoes. These areas are unmanaged between cropping cycles; however, crop rotation is more common than at 169 Arable A due to the wider range of crop types. Additional areas of permanent grassland are utilised for dairy 170 cattle, beef cattle, and sheep grazing  $(0.77 \text{ LU ha}^{-1})$ .

171 3 Materials and methods

172 3.1 Suspended sediment monitoring

173 Monitoring for SS at catchment outlets was initiated in 2009 for Grassland B, Arable A and Arable B 174 catchments and 2010 for Grassland A and Grassland C catchments. All catchments had identical instrumentation 175 deployed for temporally high-resolution nutrient, conductivity, temperature and turbidity data capture using 176 bankside analysers mains powered at 230V (Fig. 2 - Wall et al., 2011; Jordan et al., 2012; Melland et al., 177 2012b). Turbidity (T) data were collected using a turbidity sensor (Solitax, Hach-Lange, Germany; range 0-4000 178 NTU; factory calibrated to 1000 NTU) and SC1000 controller at 10 min intervals. The sensors were located out-179 of-stream (ex situ) in a rapidly and continuously circulating header tank with river water delivered from the 180 channel by an in-stream pump (30 m<sup>3</sup> hr<sup>-1</sup>) located on the channel bed. The instrument tank was assumed well-181 mixed as no particulate deposition occurred. Turbidity probes were fitted with wipers to prevent biological 182 fouling, and checked monthly against deionised water (0 NTU) and a 20 NTU Formazin turbidity standard. 183 Synchronised discharge data (Q -  $m^3 s^{-1}$ ) were calculated from vented pressure-transducer stage measurements 184 (OTT Orpheus-mini; OTT Germany). Stage height was converted to Q using velocity-area measurements (OTT 185 Acoustic Doppler Current meter; OTT Germany)collected over non-standard flat-v weirs (custom made, Corbett 186 Concrete, Ireland) and WISKI-SKED software (Grassland A, R<sup>2</sup>=0.96, n=272; Grassland B, R<sup>2</sup>=1, n=166 (Mellander et al., 2015); Grassland C, R<sup>2</sup>=0.95 and 0.97, n=316; Arable A, R<sup>2</sup>=1, n=376 (Mellander et al., 187 188 2015); Arable B, R<sup>2</sup>=0.94 and 1, n=493). Both Grassland C and Arable B had changing controls at higher 189 discharges and WISKI-SKED provided two parts to the curves with two R<sup>2</sup> coefficients.

190

191 Turbidity units (NTU) were field-calibrated to SSC (mg L<sup>-1</sup>) using a combination of regular low-flow samples 192 (at least fortnightly since programme initiation) and intensive sampling during high magnitude flow events with 193 elevated SSCs. In all cases, water samples were collected from the instrument tank either manually, or using a 194 programmable automatic water sampler (ISCO 6712; ISCO Inc. USA) with 1 m pumping tube (pump capacity 195 ~0.9 m s<sup>-1</sup>) at predefined intervals of 30- or 60-mins according to the specific storm characteristics. High SSC 196 data capture was further targeted in Grassland B and Arable B using a turbidity-stratified sampling programme, 197 whereby collection of 1000 ml samples were triggered when T measurements were within threshold turbidity 198 bands of 140 to 160 NTU, 240 to 260 NTU, 480 to 530 NTU and 700 to 800 NTU. This circumvented the need 199 to pre-set water samplers according to forecasted event characteristics. Water samples were stored at 4°C on 200 return to the laboratory before a sub-sample (minimum 100 ml) was processed for SSC. Whatman GF/C glassfibre filter papers (1.2  $\mu$ m) were pre-dried at 105°C for 1 hr, cooled in a desiccator and weighed before being used for vacuum filtration. Sediment concentrations were calculated from the weight of residue retained on the filter post-filtration once dried >12 hr at 105°C and cooled in a desiccator.

204

205 3.2 Method comparison

206 In order to compare the ex situ sampling methodology described above with the conventional in situ monitoring 207 approach, additional instrumentation to measure T was installed in Grassland B and Arable B from September to 208 December 2012, and December 2012 to March 2013 respectively. A turbidimeter (T<sub>IN</sub>) (Analite, McVan, 209 Australia, range 0-1000 NTU) fitted with a wiper blade to prevent biological fouling and automatic pumping 210 sampler (ISCO<sub>IN</sub>) intake were positioned in situ, adjacent to the channel edge, in proximity to the bankside 211 analyser pump intake (1 m and 4 m upstream, respectively in both catchments), but sufficiently distant not to 212 affect, or to be affected by the ex situ instrumentation. The turbidity sensor  $T_{IN}$  and the ISCO<sub>IN</sub> intake at 213 Grassland B were approximately 20 cm above the channel bed and 15 cm from the bank edge. At Arable B,  $T_{IN}$ 214 and the ISCO<sub>IN</sub> intake were positioned approximately 10 cm from the bank edge and 10 cm above the channel 215 bed. T<sub>IN</sub> and ISCO<sub>IN</sub> sample collection was synchronised to replicate the ex situ turbidity sensor (T<sub>OUT</sub>) and 216 pumping sampler (ISCO<sub>OUT</sub>) programme as described above. T-SSC rating curves were developed for each 217 sensor using water samples collected at the respective positions (ISCO<sub>OUT</sub> and ISCO<sub>IN</sub>) and applied to the raw 218 turbidity set. Low quality data capture attributed to spurious readings (a short-term increase in T output not 219 associated with a known environmental process such as accompanying rise in Q or equipment maintenance), 220 saturation of the T<sub>IN</sub> sensor or missing data at T<sub>OUT</sub> due to delivery system blockages did not undergo correction 221 such that comparisons between methodologies could be made. Five storm-flow events were captured in 222 Grassland B and two in Arable B for T-SSC calibration. Due to the location settings, the in situ automatic water 223 sampler was fitted with a 7 m long intake tube in both catchments.

224

Depth integrated water samples were manually collected (n=171) from a bridge over each investigated channel during flood events, using a depth-integrating SS sampler (US DH-48, Rickly Hydrological; USA). These samples were used firstly to investigate the cross-sectional variability in sediment transportation, and secondly to provide a validation dataset to assess and compare the efficacy of estimated SSC using at in situ and ex situ T sensors. Samples were collected using two strategies; 1) depth-integrated samples taken at 20 cm intervals across the channel width in rapid succession, and 2) samples taken at coarser widths roughly 1 m intervals. All samples were processed for SSC as described above. Due to the sampling approach used, consecutive depthintegrated samples reflected the event trend (either the rising or falling sedigraph limb) plus the cross-sectional trend. The event effect was de-trended using SSC estimated from the ex situ turbidimeter. The average change in SSC during transect sampling at  $T_{OUT}$ , or the event trend, was 9% (range 1% at 175 mg/l to 19% at 442 mg/l), average transect time was 22 mins.

236

237 Where sufficient sample volume and sediment concentration existed, samples were analysed for particle size 238 distribution using laser diffraction (Malvern Mastersizer 2000G, Malvern, UK). Samples were circulated for 2 239 min (pump speed 2000 rpm, stirrer speed 800 rpm) before analysis with no pre-treatment, i.e., physical or 240 chemical dispersant, to broadly replicate the 'effective particle size' measured by the turbidity sensor. To assess 241 the effect of automatic sampler tube length, laboratory prepared SSC samples were collected using the two 242 intake pump lengths (1 m and 7 m) used in-field. Ten 500 ml sub-samples (at 5-, 10-, 25-, 50-, 100-, 250-, 500-, 243 750- and 1000-mg  $L^{-1}$ ) were collected from homogenised 10 litre mixtures using each pump length and 244 processed for SSC. A non-parametric Mann-Whitney U-test was conducted to compare SSC values collected at 245 ISCO<sub>IN</sub> (SSC ISCO<sub>IN</sub>) and ISCO<sub>OUT</sub> (SSC ISCO<sub>OUT</sub>), and particle size characteristics at the two study sites.

246

## 247 2.3 Suspended sediment rating curve construction

Data pairs for T-SSC calibration for each individual site (each catchment outlet over complete time series) and method comparison investigations were statistically assessed using SAS 9.3 (SAS Institute Inc., USA). Two regression equations; power (Eq. 1) and two-section linear split at a threshold T' (Eq. 2), were assessed using the mean square error (MSE) of the SSC predictions.

252 Power  $SSC = aT^b$  Eq. 1 253 Split linear SSC = aT Where T < T' Eq. 2  $SSC = c(b_1 - b_2) + b_2T$  Where T > T'

The intercept was set at zero for all regressions and was considered not to compromise fit at the upper end of the dataset (cf. Thompson et al., 2014). Power relationships provided the best fit in Grassland A, Grassland B, Grassland C and Arable A, whereas the split linear relationship considerably improved fit at Arable B (Table 2). Using the selected curves, continuous turbidity measurements were computed to SSC and, using discharge data, were converted to instantaneous sediment load (SSL – t s<sup>-1</sup>) and yield (SSY – t km<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>).

259 4 Results and discussion

260 4.1 Method comparison

261 Dataset completeness was similar in both T records (98-99%); however, the timing and nature of spurious 262 and/or missing T data were dissimilar (Fig 3). Spurious data at T<sub>IN</sub> coincided with random peaks possibly 263 relating to local debris interference around the sensor which is a frequent problem in T analysis (Lewis and 264 Eads, 2001). This effect was not recorded at  $T_{OUT}$ , suggesting that the ex situ approach was less vulnerable to 265 local in-stream debris interference (Jansson, 2002). Missing data at T<sub>IN</sub> during periods of high sediment 266 concentration was attributed to sensor saturation at Arable B. The T<sub>OUT</sub> probe estimated 5% of the total sediment 267 load was delivered whilst T<sub>IN</sub> was saturated. Sporadically, pump blockages occurred in T<sub>OUT</sub> at Arable B due to 268 extreme debris transport in the channel (Melland et al., 2012b), data collection was ordinarily restored in less 269 than 2 hr. At  $T_{IN}$  6% of the total load was delivered during this period. The ex situ turbidity monitoring may be 270 at greater risk of delivery system blockages, especially during key periods of elevated turbidity and sediment 271 transfer. These short periods are critical for sediment transport as they are responsible for the majority of the 272 annual sediment load (Walling and Webb, 1988; Lawler et al., 2006; Estrany et al., 2009; Navratil et al., 2011). 273 Other key issues such as bio-fouling trends were not found in either dataset, reflecting the sub-weekly frequency 274 of maintenance at these sites.

275

276 Estimated sediment metrics (Table 3) during both monitoring periods showed discrepancies between the two 277 measurement locations. Suspended sediment load estimated by ex situ equipment was 83% and 91% of in situ at 278 Grassland B and Arable B, respectively, and mean SSC at SSC<sub>OUT</sub> was 85% of SSC<sub>IN</sub> at both locations. 279 Differences in raw T output between the sensors were negated by calibration with SSC; however, the SSC of 280 water samples from in situ (SSC ISCO<sub>IN</sub>) and ex situ (SSC ISCO<sub>OUT</sub>) measurement locations showed consistent 281 differences. Samples at SSC ISCO<sub>OUT</sub> were 90% and 94% of SSC ISCO<sub>IN</sub> at Grassland B and Arable B 282 catchments respectively. The differences in SSC and loads between the two approaches was not statistically 283 significant, as confirmed by the non-parametric Mann-Whitney between SSC ISCO<sub>OUT</sub> and SSC ISCO<sub>IN</sub> 284 (p>0.05).

285

Particle size analysis of event samples showed that the proportion of silt and sand particles changed through the events, whereas clay remained consistent. The greater density of sand particles compared to silts and clays can impact SSC and be oversampled by pumped samples such as the ISCO<sub>IN</sub> approach (Horowitz, 2008). The percentage of sand (or sand-sized aggregates) between SSC  $ISCO_{IN}$  and SSC  $ISCO_{OUT}$  did not differ significantly (p>0.05). Additionally, the ratio of the sand-sized fraction between simultaneous samples at  $ISCO_{IN}$  and  $ISCO_{OUT}$  showed no consistent evidence of over- or under-collection by either collection method. The hypothesis that inadequate sample collection could affect the differences between SSCs at  $ISCO_{IN}$  and  $ISCO_{OUT}$  is unlikely, as contrasts between the sand-sized fractions seemed to be event specific.

294

295 Differences between SSC ISCO<sub>IN</sub> and SSC ISCO<sub>OUT</sub> could not be directly attributed to diverging particle-size of 296 the collected samples (p>0.05), the pump length of the water sample collection (p>0.05- Fig 4), or the position 297 of the sample intake within the cross section (Fig 5). It is possible that the proximity of the ISCO<sub>IN</sub> pump intake 298 to the channel bank could influence the relationship; however, differences could additionally result from 299 methodological dissimilarities which could not be tested in isolation, i.e. the piped-delivery of river water to the 300 ex situ instrument tank. The impact of elevated SSCs from ISCO<sub>IN</sub>, compared to ISCO<sub>OUT</sub> on the calibration of 301 turbidity sensors T<sub>IN</sub> and T<sub>OUT</sub>, and the consequential prediction of high-resolution turbidity-based SSC record is 302 discussed below.

303

#### 304 3.2 Method validation

305 Samples collected from the channel cross-section were used to test the accuracy of predicted SSC using 306 calibrated turbidity sensors at in situ and ex situ locations. The average SSC from each cross-sectional, depth-307 integrated set of measurements was plotted onto the rating curve over the method comparison monitoring period 308 (Fig. 6). At Grassland B, measured SSCs plot within the 95% confidence intervals of predicted SSC using both 309 methodologies using the simultaneous T values. This trend is repeated for the majority of samples at Arable B; 310 however, some data points plot outside of the 95% confidence intervals for both in situ and ex situ method 311 datasets. In the case that these out of range values were consistently higher or lower than the predicted values, 312 this may suggest a systematic error due to sampling strategy; however, both upper and lower confidence limits 313 were exceeded by the SSC values (Fig. 6c and 6d). Therefore, the error associated with the measurement 314 method was generally less than that encapsulated within the 95% prediction intervals of the T to SSC calibration 315 curve and consequently, both measurement approaches can be accepted as accurate for the estimation of SS 316 metrics in these catchments. The suitability of ex situ water monitoring equipment installation must consider 317 programme specific research objectives. Melland et al. (2012b) stated that for policy evaluation studies 318 including multiple water quality parameters in addition to SSC, the improved resolution, accuracy and precision,

319 in particular for hydrologically dynamic catchments, justified the increased financial costs of initial installation

320 of ex situ instrumentation.

321

322 3.3 Suspended sediment metrics in five agricultural catchments

323 High magnitude SSCs were of short duration in all five catchments (e.g. Fig 3 for Grassland B and Arable B), 324 but such periods are typically critical to cumulative annual SSY (Fig. 7b - Walling and Webb, 1988; Navratil et 325 al., 2011). Grassland B and Arable B had a large proportion (80% of the monitoring period) of sediment transported at SSCs between 1 and 10 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, and shorter periods of concentrations  $\geq 10$  mg L<sup>-1</sup> for 15% and 326 327 20% of the monitoring period respectively (Fig. 7). In the remaining catchments, low concentrations of <1 mg 328  $L^{-1}$  were more common and occurred between 25 and 40% of the time. High concentrations ( $\geq 10 \text{ mg } L^{-1}$ ) were limited to less than 10% of the monitoring period. Overall, however, the FFD average annual SSC guideline was 329 330 not exceeded in any monitoring year in any of the catchments (Table 4). The highest mean SSCs were recorded 331 at Grassland B (up to 14 mg/l) and Arable B (up to 17 mg/l) and the remaining catchments reported very low 332 values of  $<6 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ . Accordingly, the instantaneous exceedance of the FFD guideline (Table 4) occurred during 333 extremely short time periods (1-11% of sampled time per year). The values here are similar to those reported by 334 Thompson et al. (2014) in two other intensively managed grassland catchments in Ireland; 8% exceedance was 335 reported in a moderately-drained catchment in Co. Down and 18% exceedance in a poorly-drained catchment in 336 Co. Louth. Although the instantaneous exceedance of the FFD metric have been reported in other sediment 337 studies (Glendell et al., 2014; Peukert et al., 2014; Thompson et al., 2014), the transferability of this coarse 338 threshold (compliance to which requires an undefined annual sample number) to high-resolution SS data is 339 questionable.

340

Average SSYs in the five catchments were 9, 25, 12, 12 and 24 t km<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> at Grassland A, Grassland B, 341 342 Grassland C, Arable A and Arable B respectively. Figure 8 illustrates average annual SSYs from Ireland, the 343 United Kingdom (UK) and the wider Atlantic climatic region of Europe (Vanmaercke et al. 2011). The 344 variability of average SSYs may be partly described by catchment size (x axis) but furthermore according to 345 physical attributes such as soil type which controls soil erodibility. Values from catchments assessed in this 346 study align with existing data on SSY in Ireland (cf. Huang and O'Connell, 2000; Jordan et al., 2002; 347 Harrington and Harrington, 2013; Thompson et al., 2014), and are consistently low compared with the UK and 348 Europe. Considering the agricultural intensity of these catchment, (for example, Grassland A is within the highest region of milk yield in Ireland (Läppe and Hennessy, 2012), and crop yields across Ireland areinternationally high (Melland et al., 2012a)), these values are particularly low.

351

352 Catchment observations suggest high landscape complexity, comprising small and irregularly shaped fields, 353 separated by a dense network of hedgerows and vegetated ditches (Table 1) reduced water and sediment 354 connectivity potential between hillslopes and the channel network. Efficient drainage can be considered to 355 reduce the spatial extent and temporal stability of connected areas and, considering the over-engineered nature 356 of these ditch networks, encouraged sediment deposition (Shore et al., 2014). Furthermore, lower slope-lengths 357 reduce the hillslope erosion potential (Lal, 1988), and sediment trapping and soil erosion prevention by root 358 binding of hedgerows was observed. However, at the catchment scale, greater efficiency of hillslope drainage 359 can increase the erosivity of streams in turn accelerating erosion from in-channel sources such as channel banks 360 (Belmont et al., 2011; Massoudieh et al., 2013).

361

In the UK, Cooper et al. (2008) suggested annual average 'target' and threshold 'investigation' SSY values be based upon drainage class and catchment terrain characteristics. Grassland A and Arable A qualify as lowland well-drained catchments and, on average, fall well below target and investigation SSY of 20 and 50 t km<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> respectively. Grassland B, Grassland C and Arable B, categorised as lowland predominantly poorly-drained catchments, on average, fall below target and investigation thresholds of 40 and 70 t km<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Total SSY data for individual years (Table 4), however, indicate variability and exceeded respective SSY target values at Grassland B in 2009, Arable A in 2012 and Arable B in 2012.

369

370 Higher average SSC, intra-annual period of FFD exceedance, and average SSY in catchments Grassland B and 371 Arable B are suggested to result from poorer soil drainage. During rainfall events, soils are rapidly saturated and 372 critical overland flow pathways established, and consequently, eroded particles within these connected areas are 373 transported through the catchment (Mellander et al., 2012; Shore et al., 2013). The SSC responses here suggest, 374 as in other catchments with impeded drainage, that high overland-flow potential is also associated with a notable 375 proportion of sediment delivered at lower concentrations over a longer period, through surface and sub-surface 376 flow pathways such as through macropores and tile drains (e.g., Deasy et al., 2009; Melland et al., 2012a; 377 Ibrahaim et al., 2013 Mellander et al., 2015) resulting in increased average SSCs. In catchments Grassland A 378 and Arable A, sub-surface flow pathways dominate, due to well-drained soils reducing the likelihood of 379 overland flow and consequently surface soil losses. Furthermore, at Arable A, Mellander et al., (2015) found 380 weather bedrock formed groundwater pathways further decreasing surface pathway initiation. Consequently, 381 SSCs, intra-annual period of FFD exceedance, and SSYs were low. Conversely, Grassland C more accurately 382 reflects the sediment characteristics of the well-drained catchments despite the moderate- to poorly-drained 383 soils. Near complete cover of permanent pasture here was considered to sufficiently reduce sediment source 384 availability and transport of sediment to the watercourse.

385

386 Generalisations can be made in relation to the overriding controls on SSY across the monitored catchments (Fig. 387 9). Inter-catchment comparisons here used data from hydrological years 2010 to 2013, where data were 388 available for all five catchments. Sediment delivery was enhanced by the combined effect of an overland-flow 389 dominated transport system (poorly-drained soils) and, to a lesser extent, source availability (arable soils with 390 potentially lengthy periods of bare ground cover (Regan et al., 2012) or seasonally thinly vegetated grassland 391 soils (cf. Bilotta et al., 2010)). Catchments that possess better drainage characteristics and/or permanent crop 392 cover have greater resilience to extreme sediment losses. In catchments such as Arable A, where good-drainage 393 is combined with high source availability, the risk associated with sediment transport during extreme rainfall 394 events and years was, nevertheless, high. Similarly, poorly-drained soils stabilised by permanent pasture should 395 be maintained and periods of bare cover should be avoided.

396

397 High inter-annual variability was evident, particularly with regard to SSY (Table 4). The annual SSY coefficient 398 of variation (CV%) were 67%, 76%, 79%, 83% and 50% in Grassland A, Grassland B, Grassland C, Arable A 399 and Arable B, respectively. Notably, in Grassland B and Arable B catchments, the inter-annual SSY ranges of 400 42, and 26 t km<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, were greater than average annual inter-catchments SSY of approximately 24 t km<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> for both sites. The variability found within each of the five monitoring catchment was comparable to 401 402 the results of Vanmaercke et al., (2012) who reported CV% ranging from 6-313% (median 75%) in 726 403 catchments worldwide. The catchment with the lowest inter-annual SSY (11 t km<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>), Grassland A, received 404 the least variable rainfall input and total discharge.

405

Inter-annual SSY variability results from strong seasonality due to the timing and character of rainfall events,
soil moisture deficit and land management which conditions sediment availability in critical source areas.
Analysis of shorter term sediment losses i.e., at seasonal, monthly and event scales would also provide empirical

409 evidence to inform both high level policy considerations and local decision making. Additionally, assessment of 410 seasonal transfers are likely to have greater ecological significance as mean annual thresholds such as SSC 411 (through the FFD), and SSY may underestimate the seasonal fluctuations of risk of sediments to aquatic 412 ecosystems (Thompson et al., 2014). Sensitivity to sediment is species-specific and dependent upon life stage 413 (Collins et al., 2011); therefore, shorter-term metrics such as the timing, magnitude, duration and frequency of 414 sediment transfers are important concepts to consider. Existing static thresholds may, therefore, be considered 415 ecologically irrelevant, particularly when utilised as an instantaneous threshold for high-resolution data. Future 416 discussion regarding sediment targets requires an assessment of multiple species and habitat quality. This task is 417 particularly complicated where ecological condition is subject to multiple-stressors such as nutrients (Bilotta and 418 Brazier, 2008), bed substrate quality (Kemp et al., 2011) and time lag of water quality response to pollutant 419 mitigation measures (Fenton et al., 2011; Vero et al., 2014).

420

421 Overall, annual average sediment metrics from small catchments (~10 km<sup>2</sup>) with dominant land uses 422 representative of main land use types in Ireland reported here are internationally low. Considering the spatial 423 dominance and intensity of agricultural land use and high effective rainfall in the study catchments, this is 424 perhaps unexpected particularly considering the small scale of study. As previously discussed the complexity of 425 landscape features (e.g., fields, hedgerows, ditches) which are representative of the wider Irish agricultural 426 landscape (Deverell et al., 2009) can be expected to decrease the likelihood of field-scale soil erosion, and/or 427 increase the opportunity for interception and deposition of mobile particles on land or within the hydrological 428 network. The Irish landscape may, therefore, improve the resilience of agricultural soils to soil loss. However, 429 even with modest SSY, the potential for other specific risks to ecologically sensitive habitats, from SS 430 deposition in rivers for example, will need a cautionary approach. Therefore, identification of the specific 431 mechanisms promoting soil conservation or sediment retention in multiple catchments with contrasting physical 432 and land use characteristics is important. This is particularly relevant for water and agricultural policy, as the 433 prevention of environmental degradation and maintenance and/or sustainable intensification of agricultural 434 production are simultaneously considered. Furthermore, other sediment sources, for example, from channel 435 banks and road networks may contribute significant proportions of the annual load (Rowan et al., 2012; Collins 436 et al., 2013; Sherriff et al., 2014) particularly where strategies to reduce sediment loss on the hillslope scale such 437 as sub-surface drainage may accelerate losses from channel sediment sources at the catchment scale.

- 438 Assessment of such sources could be a useful insight to prioritise sediment management strategies (Wilson et
- 439 al., 2008).

440 5 Conclusions

This study assessed the accuracy and reliability of an ex situ, turbidity-based methodology to estimate suspended sediment fluxes in multiple monitored catchments. Applying the method, annual SSC, FFD exceedance and SSY data in five catchments were further investigated in relation to physical catchment characteristics and land management. The key findings are:

- Suspended sediment metrics between in situ and ex situ methodologies were not significantly different
   from in-stream cross-sectional, depth-integrated samples in two monitoring catchments.
- The ex situ methodology reported less sensitivity to spurious data peaks; however, periods of extreme
   large debris transport increased the sensitivity of the ex situ instrumentation to short-term blockages.
- All catchments reported mean annual SSCs of less than the FFD threshold of 25 mg L<sup>-1</sup> and short-term
   exceedance of 1-11% of sampled time.
- Inter-annual variability of SSY was strong due to the timing and character of rainfall events in relation
   to land management.
- Average annual SSYs in all five Irish catchments reported here were low in comparison to similar
   catchment and landscape settings elsewhere in Europe. Farming practices favouring relatively small
   fields, a high density of field boundaries including ditches, with low consequent connectivity are likely
   to explain this.
- Within the study catchments, SSY was higher in catchments dominated by poorly-drained soils than
   those with well-drained soils. Furthermore, on poorly-drained soils, catchments with a greater
   proportion of arable land use reported the highest annual average SSY.
- Well drained soils dominated by arable crops did, however, show the potential to supply significant
   quantities of sediment.
- Complexity of landscape features (hedgerows, drainage ditches and irregular field sizes) may provide
   resilience to hillslope soil erosion and/or sediment transport despite spatial dominance and intensity of
   agriculture and these will be important considerations for future management (such as sustainable
   intensification) and/or SS mitigation in Ireland and elsewhere.

466

These findings illustrate that interactions between climate, landscape and land use regulate the supply of sediments from Irish agricultural catchments. Whilst the current SSYs are low by international standards, key questions still remain regarding the impact of land use on the magnitude and frequency characteristics of 470 sediment transfers at shorter timescales. Seasonal and storm-event scale sediment transfers may better inform 471 erosion risk due to better detection of sediment pulses moving into the channel network particularly within 472 ecologically sensitive periods. Further to this, seasonal sediment provenance and field-scale soil loss 473 assessments within this land management and landscape framework are crucial to quantify the contributions 474 made from specific agricultural and other sediment sources.

475

476 Acknowledgements

This study was funded by the Walsh Fellowship Programme, Teagasc, Ireland allied to the University of Dundee, UK, and the Teagasc Agricultural Catchments Programme (funded by the Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine, Ireland). We thank Hugo McGrogan (Ulster University) for supplying and programming additional turbidity and pump-sampling equipment and Agricultural Catchments Programme colleagues for technical support. We finally acknowledge support from the farmers and landowners of the study catchments and two anonymous reviewers for comments on the manuscript.

- 484 References
- 485 Belmont, P., Gran, K.B., Schottler, S.P., Wilcock, P.R., Day, S.S., Jennings, C., Lauer, J.W., Viparelli, E.,
- 486 Willenbring, J.K., Engstrom, D.R., Parker, G.: Large shift in source of fine sediment in the Upper Mississippi
- 487 River, Environ. Sci. Technol., 45, 8804-8810, 2011.
- 488 Bilotta, G. S., and Brazier, R. E.: Understanding the influence of suspended solids on water quality and aquatic
- 489 biota, Water Res., 42, 2849-2861, 2008.
- 490 Bilotta, G. S., Krueger, T., Brazier, R. E., Butler, P., Freer, J., Hawkins, J. M. B., Haygarth, P. M., Macleod, C.
- 491 J. A., and Quinton, J. N.: Assessing catchment-scale erosion and yields of suspended solids from improved
- 492 temperate grassland, J. Environ. Monit., 12, 731-739, 2010.
- 493 Boardman, J., Shepheard, M. L., Walker, E., and Foster, I. D. L.: Soil erosion and risk-assessment for on- and
- 494 off-farm impacts: A test case using the Midhurst area, West Sussex, UK, J. Environ. Manage., 90, 2578-2588,
  495 2009.
- Borselli, L., Cassi, P., and Torri, D.: Prolegomena to sediment and flow connectivity in the landscape: A GIS
  and field numerical experiment, Catena, 75, 268-277, 2008.
- Brils, J.: Sediment Monitoring and the European Water Framework Directive, Annali dell'Istituto Superiore di
  Sanita 44, 218-223, 2008.
- 500 Chambers, B. J., and Garwood, T. W. D.: Monitoring of water erosion on arable farms in England and Wales.
  501 1990–94, Soil Use Manag., 16, 93-99, 2000.
- 502 Collins, A. L., and Anthony, S. G.: Assessing the likelihood of catchments across England and Wales meeting
- 503 'good ecological status' due to sediment contributions from agricultural sources, Environ. Sci. Policy, 11, 163504 170, 2008.
- 505 Collins, A. L., Naden, P. S., Sear, D. A., Jones, J. I., Foster, I. D. L., and Morrow, K.: Sediment targets for
- 506 informing river catchment management: international experience and prospects, Hydrol. Process., 25, 2112-
- 507 2129, 2011.
- 508 Collins, A. L., Williams, L. J., Zhang, Y. S., Marius, M., Dungait, J. A. J., Smallman, D. J., Dixon, E. R.,
- 509 Stringfellow, A., Sear, D. A., Jones, J. I., and Naden, P. S.: Catchment source contributions to the sediment-
- 510 bound organic matter degrading salmonid spawning gravels in a lowland river, southern England, Sci. Total
- 511 Environ., 456–457, 181-195, 2013.
- 512 Cooper, D., Naden, P., Old, G., Laizé, C.: Development of guideline sediment targets to support
- 513 management of sediment inputs into aquatic systems, Natural England Research Report NERR008,

- 514 CEH Wallingford, pp. 96, 2008.
- 515 Deasy, C., Brazier, R. E., Heathwaite, A. L., and Hodgkinson, R.: Pathways of runoff and sediment transfer in
- 516 small agricultural catchments, Hydrol. Process., 23, 1349-1358, 2009.
- 517 Deverell, R., McDonnell, K., Devlin, G.: The impact of field size on the environment and energy crop
- 518 production efficiency for a sustainable indigenous bioenergy supply chain in the Republic of Ireland,
- 519 Sustainability, 1, 994-1011, 2009.
- 520 Duvert, C., Gratiot, N., Evrard, O., Navratil, O., Némery, J., Prat, C., and Esteves, M.: Drivers of erosion and
- 521 suspended sediment transport in three headwater catchments of the Mexican Central Highlands, Geomorphol.,
- 522 123, 243-256, 2010.
- 523 Estrany, J., Garcia, C., and Batalla, R. J.: Suspended sediment transport in a small Mediterranean agricultural
- 524 catchment, Earth Surf. Process. Landf., 34, 929-940, 2009.
- 525 Evans, D. J., Gibson, C. E., and Rossell, R. S.: Sediment loads and sources in heavily modified Irish
- 526 catchments: A move towards informed management strategies, Geomorphol., 79, 93-113, 2006.
- 527 Fealy, R.M., Buckley, C., Mechan, S., Melland, A.R., Mellander, P.-E., Shortle, G., Wall, D., Jordan, P.: The
- 528 Irish Agricultural Catchment Programme: catchment selection using spatial multi-criteria decision analysis, Soil
- 529 Use Manage., 26, 225-236, 2010.
- 530 Fenton, O., Schulte, R.P.O., Jordan, P., Lalor, S.T.J., Richards, K.G.: Time lag: a methodology for estimation of
- 531 vertical and horizontal travel and flushing timescales to nitrate threshold concentrations in Irish aquifers,
- 532 Environ. Sci. Policy, 14, 419-431, 2011.
- 533 Florsheim, J. L., Pellerin, B. A., Oh, N. H., Ohara, N., Bachand, P. A. M., Bachand, S. M., Bergamaschi, B. A.,
- 534 Hernes, P. J., and Kavvas, M. L.: From deposition to erosion: Spatial and temporal variability of sediment
- sources, storage, and transport in a small agricultural watershed, Geomorphol., 132, 272-286, 2011.
- 536 Foster, I.D.L., Dearing, J.A., and Appleby, P.G.: Historical trends in catchment sediment yields: a case study
- from lake-sediment records in Warwickshire, UK, Hydrolog. Sci. J., 31, 3, 427-443, 1986.
- 538 Foster, I., Collins, A., Naden, P., Sear, D., Jones, J., and Zhang, Y.: The potential for paleolimnology to
- determine historic sediment delivery to rivers, J. Paleolimnol., 45, 287-306, 2011.
- 540 Freebairn, D.M., Wockner, G.H., Hamilton, N.A., and Rowland, P.: Impact of soil conditions on hydrology and
- 541 water quality for a brown clay in the north-eastern cereal zone of Australia, Aust. J. Soil Res., 47, 389-402,
- 542 2009.

- 543 Gay, A., Cerdan, O., Delmas, M., and Desmet, M.: Variability of suspended sediment yields within the Loire
- 544 river basin (France), J. Hydrol., 519, 1225-1237, 2014.
- 545 Geraghty, M., Farrelly, I., Claringbold, K., Jordan, C., Meehan, R., and Hudson, M.: Geology of Monaghan-
- 546 Carlingford. A geological description to accompany the Bedrock Geology 1:100,000 Scale Map Series, Sheet
- 547 8/9, Monaghan-Carlingford, Geological Survey of Ireland: Dublin, Ireland, 1997.
- 548 Glendell, M., and Brazier, R. E.: Accelerated export of sediment and carbon from a landscape under intensive
- 549 agriculture, Sci. Total Environ., 476–477, 643-656, 2014.
- 550 Glendell, M., Extence, C., Chadd, R., and Brazier, R.E.: Testing the pressure-specific invertebrate index (PSI)
- as a tool for determining ecologically relevant targets for reducing sedimentation in streams, Freshwater Biol.,
- 552 59, 353-367, 2014.
- 553 Grangeon, T., Legout, C., Esteves, M., Gratiot, N., and Navratil, O.: Variability of the particle size of suspended
- sediment during highly concentrated flood events in a small mountainous catchment, J. Soils Sed., 12, 1549-
- 555 1558, 2012.
- 556 Harlow, A., Webb, B. W., and Walling, D. E.: Sediment yields in the Exe Basin: a longer-term perspective,
- 557 Sediment Dynamics and the Hydromorphology of Fluvial Systems, Dundee, UK, 2006.
- 558 Harrington, S. T., and Harrington, J. R.: An assessment of the suspended sediment rating curve approach for
- load estimation on the Rivers Bandon and Owenabue, Ireland, Geomorphology, 185, 27-38, 2013.
- 560 Haygarth, P. M., Bilotta, G. S., Bol, R., Brazier, R. E., Butler, P. J., Freer, J., Gimbert, L. J., Granger, S. J.,
- 561 Krueger, T., Macleod, C. J. A., Naden, P., Old, G., Quinton, J. N., Smith, B., and Worsfold, P.: Processes
- 562 affecting transfer of sediment and colloids, with associated phosphorus, from intensively farmed grasslands: an
- overview of key issues, Hydrol. Process., 20, 4407-4413, 2006.
- 564 Horowitz, A. J.: Determining annual suspended sediment and sediment-associated trace element and nutrient
- 565 fluxes, Sci. Total Environ., 400, 315-343, 2008.
- 566 Huang, C. C., and O'Connell, M.: Recent land-use and soil-erosion history within a small catchment in
- 567 Connemara, western Ireland: evidence from lake sediments and documentary sources, Catena, 41, 293-335,568 2000.
- 569 Ibrahim, T. G., Fenton, O., Richards, K. G., Fealy, R. M., and Healy, M. G.: Spatial and temporal variations of
- 570 nutrient loads in overland flow and subsurface drainage from a marginal land site in south-east Ireland, Biol.
- 571 Environ., 113B, 169-186, 2013.

- Jansson, M. B.: Determining sediment source areas in a tropical river basin, Costa Rica, Catena, 47, 63-84,
- 573 2002.
- 574 Jastram, J. D., Zipper, C. E., Zelazny, L. W., and Hyer, K. E.: Increasing Precision of Turbidity-Based
- 575 Suspended Sediment Concentration and Load Estimates, J. Environ. Qual., 39, 1306-1316, 2010.
- 576 Jordan, P., Rippey, B., and John A.N.: The 20th century whole-basin trophic history of an inter-drumlin lake in
- an agricultural catchment, Sci. Total Environ., 297, 161-173, 2002.
- 578 Jordan, P., Arnscheidt, A., McGrogan, H., and McCormick, S.: Characterising phosphorus transfers in rural
- 579 catchments using a continuous bank-side analyser, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 11, 372-381, 2007.
- 580 Jordan, P., Melland, A. R., Mellander, P. E., Shortle, G., and Wall, D.: The seasonality of phosphorus transfers
- from land to water: Implications for trophic impacts and policy evaluation, Sci. Total Environ., 434, 101-109,
- 582 2012.
- Kemp, P., Sear, D., Collins, A., Naden, P., and Jones, I.: The impacts of fine sediment on riverine fish, Hydrol.
  Process., 25, 1800-1821, 2011.
- 585 Lal, R.: Effects of slope length, slope gradient, tillage methods and cropping systems on runoff and soil erosion
- 586 on a tropical Alfisol: preliminary results, Proceedings of the Porto Alegre Symposium, December 1988, edited
- 587 by Bordas, M.P. and Walling, D.E., IAHS Publ., 174, 79-88, 1988.
- Läppe, D., and Hennessy, T.: The capacity to expand milk production in Ireland following the removal of milk
  quotas, Irish J. Agr. Food Res., 51, 1-11, 2012.
- 590 Lawler, D. M., Petts, G. E., Foster, I. D. L., and Harper, S.: Turbidity dynamics during spring storm events in an
- urban headwater river system: The Upper Tame, West Midlands, UK, Sci. Total Environ., 360, 109-126, 2006.
- 592 Lewis, J.: Turbidity-controlled sampling for suspended sediment load estimation, edited by: Bogen, J., Fergus,
- 593 T., and Walling, D.E., Proceedings of the Oslo Symposium, Jun 2002, IAHS Publication 337, 13-20, 2003.
- 594 Lewis, J., and Eads, R.: Turbidity threshold sampling for suspended sediment load estimation, in: Proceedings
- 595 of the seventh federal interagency sedimentation conference, Technical committee of the subcommittee on
- 596 sedimentation, Reno, 25-29<sup>th</sup> March 2001, 8 pp., 2001.
- 597 Massoudieh, A., Gellis, A., Banks, W.S., Wieczorek, M.E.: Suspended sediment source apportionment in
- 598 Chesapeake Bay watershed using Bayesian chemical mass balance receptor modeling, Hydrol. Process., 27,
- 599 3363-3374, 2013.
- 600 McManus, J., and Duck, R.W.: Regional variations of fluvial sediment yield in eastern Scotland, edited
- by: Walling, D.E., and Webb, B.W., Proceedings of the Exeter Symposium, Jul 1996, IAHS Publication

- 602 236, 157-161, 1996.
- 603 McConnell B., Philcox, M., and Geraghty, M.:: Geology of Meath: A geological description to accompany the
- bedrock geology 1:100,000 scale map series, Sheet 13, Meath. Geological Survey of Ireland: Dublin, Ireland,
  2001.
- 606 Melland, A. R., Mellander, P. E., Murphy, P. N. C., Wall, D. P., Mechan, S., Shine, O., Shortle, G., and Jordan,
- 607 P.: Stream water quality in intensive cereal cropping catchments with regulated nutrient management, Environ.
- 608 Sci. Policy, 24, 58-70, 2012a.
- 609 Melland, A.R., Ryan, D., Shortle, G., and Jordan, P.: A cost:benefit evaluation of in-situ high temporal
- 610 resolution stream nutrient monitoring, World Congress on Water, Climate and Energy, Dublin,
- 611 2012b.
- 612 Mellander, P.-E., Melland, A. R., Jordan, P., Wall, D. P., Murphy, P. N. C., and Shortle, G.: Quantifying
- 613 nutrient transfer pathways in agricultural catchments using high temporal resolution data, Env. Sci. Policy, 24,
- 614 44-57, 2012.
- 615 Mellander, P.-E., Melland, A. R., Murphy, P. N. C., Wall, D. P., Shortle, G., and Jordan, P.: Coupling of surface
- water and groundwater nitrate-N dynamics in two permeable agricultural catchments, J. Agr. Sci., 152, 107-124,
  2014.
- 618 Milliman, J.D., and Syvitski, J.P.M.: Geomorphic/Tectonic control of sediment discharge to the ocean: the
- 619 importance of small mountainous rivers, J. Geol., 100, 5, 525-544, 1992.
- 620 Navratil, O., Esteves, M., Legout, C., Gratiot, N., Nemery, J., Willmore, S., and Grangeon, T.: Global
- 621 uncertainty analysis of suspended sediment monitoring using turbidimeter in a small mountainous river
- 622 catchment, J. Hydrol., 398, 246-259, 2011.
- 623 Oeurng, C., Sauvage, S., and Sánchez-Pérez, J.-M.: Dynamics of suspended sediment transport and yield in a
- 624 large agricultural catchment, southwest France, Earth Surf. Process. Landf., 35, 1289-1301, 2010.
- 625 OJEU: Council Directive 91/676/EEC of 12 December 1991 concerning the protection of waters against
- 626 pollution caused by nitrates from agricultural sources, EU, Brussels, 1991.
- 627 OJEU: Establishing a Framework for Community Action in the Field of Water Policy (Water Framework
- 628 Directive), 2000/60/EC, EU, Brussels, 2000.
- 629 OJEU: Council Directive 2006/44/EC of the 6 September 2006 on the quality of fresh waters needing protection
- 630 or improvement in order to support fish life, EU, Brussels, 2006.

- 631 OJEU: Commission Decision 2007/697/EC of 22 October 2007 granting a derogation requested by Ireland
- by pursuant to Council Directive 91/676/EEC concerning the protection of water against pollution caused by
- 633 nitrates from agricultural sources, EU, Brussels, 2007.
- 634 Owen, G. J., Perks, M. T., Benskin, C. M. H., Wilkinson, M. E., Jonczyk, J., and Quinn, P. F.: Monitoring
- 635 agricultural diffuse pollution through a dense monitoring network in the River Eden Demonstration Test
- 636 Catchment, Cumbria, UK, Area, 44, 443-453, 2012.
- 637 Peukert, S., Griffith, B.A., Murray, P.J., Macleod, C.J.A., and Brazier, R.E.: Intensive Management in
- 638 Grasslands Causes Diffuse Water Pollution at the Farm Scale, J. Environ. Qual., 43, 2009-2023, 2014.
- 639 Regan, J.T., Fenton, O., and Healy, M. G.: A review of phosphorus and sediment release from Irish tillage soils,
- the methods used to quantify losses and the current state of mitigation practice, Biol. Environ., 112B, 1-25,
- 641 2012.
- 642 Rowan, J. S., Black, S., and Franks, S. W.: Sediment fingerprinting as an environmental forensics tool
- 643 explaining cyanobacteria blooms in lakes, Appl. Geogr., 32, 832-843, 2012.
- 644 Sherriff, S.C., Rowan, J.R., Franks, S.W., Walden, J., Melland, A.R., Jordan, P., Ó hUallacháin, D.:
- 645 Sediment Fingerprinting, TResearch, Summer 2014, 40-41, 2014.
- 646 Shore, M., Murphy, P. N. C., Jordan, P., Mellander, P. E., Kelly-Quinn, M., Cushen, M., Mechan, S., Shine, O.,
- 647 and Melland, A. R.: Evaluation of a surface hydrological connectivity index in agricultural catchments, Environ.
- 648 Model. Software, 47, 7-15, 2013.
- 649 Shore, M., Jordan, P., Mellander, P.-E., Kelly-Quinn, M., Melland, A.R.: An agricultural drainage channel
- 650 classification system for phosphorus management, Agr. Ecosystems Environ., 199, 207-215, 2014.
- 651 Silgram, M., Jackson, D. R., Bailey, A., Quinton, J., and Stevens, C.: Hillslope scale surface runoff, sediment
- and nutrient losses associated with tramline wheelings, Earth Surf. Process. Landf., 35, 699-706, 2010.
- 653 Sleeman, A.G., and Pracht, M.: Geology of South Cork, Sheet 25. Geological Survey of Ireland: Dublin,
- 654 Ireland, 1995.
- 655 Soane, B. D., Ball, B. C., Arvidsson, J., Basch, G., Moreno, F., and Roger-Estrade, J.: No-till in northern,
- 656 western and south-western Europe: A review of problems and opportunities for crop production and the
- 657 environment, Soil Till. Res., 118, 66-87, 2012.
- Thompson, J., Cassidy, R., Doody, D. G., and Flynn, R.: Assessing suspended sediment dynamics in relation to
- ecological thresholds and sampling strategies in two Irish headwater catchments, Sci. Total Environ., 468–469,
- 660 345-357, 2014.

- Tietzsch-Tyler, D., Sleeman, A.G., McConnell, B.J., Daly, E.P., Flegg A.M., O'Connor P.J., Warren W.P.:
- 662 Geology of Carlow-Wexford, Sheet 19. Geological Survey of Ireland: Dublin, Ireland, 1994.
- Trimble, S. W., and Mendel, A. C.: The cow as a geomorphic agent A critical review, Geomorphol., 13, 233253, 1995.
- Van Oost, K., Cerdan, O., and Quine, T. A.: Accelerated sediment fluxes by water and tillage erosion on
- 666 European agricultural land, Earth Surf. Process. Landf., 34, 1625-1634, 2009.
- 667 Vanmaercke, M., Poesen, J., Verstraeten, G., de Vente, J., and Ocakoglu, F.: Sediment yield in Europe: Spatial
- patterns and scale dependency, Geomorphol., 130, 142-161, 2011.
- Vanmaercke, M., Poesen, J., Radoane, M., Govers, G., Ocakoglu, F., and Arabkhedri, M.: How long should we
- 670 measure? An exploration of factors controlling the inter-annual variation of catchment sediment yield, J. Soils
- 671 Sed., 12, 603-619, 2012.
- 672 Vero, S.E., Ibrahim, T.G., Creamer, R.E., Grant, J., Healy, M.G., Henry, T., Kramers, G., Richards, K.G.,
- Fenton, O.: Consequences of varied soil hydraulic and meteorological complexity on unsaturated zone time lag
- 674 estimates, J. Contam. Hydrol., 170, 53-67, 2014.
- 675 Verstraeten, G., and Poesen, J.: Factors controlling sediment yield from small intensively cultivated catchments
- 676 in a temperate humid climate, Geomorphol., 40, 123-144, 2001.
- Wall, D., Jordan, P., Melland, A. R., Mellander, P. E., Buckley, C., Reaney, S. M., and Shortle, G.: Using the
- 678 nutrient transfer continuum concept to evaluate the European Union Nitrates Directive National Action
- 679 Programme, Env. Sci. Policy, 14, 664-674, 2011.
- Walling, D. E.: Tracing suspended sediment sources in catchments and river systems, Sci. Total Environ., 344,
  159-184, 2005.
- 682 Walling, D. E., and Webb, B. W.: The reliability of rating curve estimates of suspended sediment yield: some
- further comments, Sediment budgets, Porto Alegre, 337-350, 1988.
- 684 Walling, D. E., Owens, P. N., and Leeks, G. J. L.: Fingerprinting suspended sediment sources in the catchment
- of the River Ouse, Yorkshire, UK, Hydrol. Process., 13, 955-975, 1999.
- 686 Walling, D. E., Russell, M. A., Hodgkinson, R. A., and Zhang, Y.: Establishing sediment budgets for two small
- 687 lowland agricultural catchments in the UK, Catena, 47, 323-353, 2002.
- Wass, P. D., and Leeks, G. J. L.: Suspended sediment fluxes in the Humber catchment, UK, Hydrol. Process.,
- 689 13, 935-953, 1999.

- 690 Wilson, C.G., Kuhnle, R.A., Bosch, D.D., Steiner, J.L., Starks, P.J., Tomer, M.D., and Wilson, G.V.:
- 691 Quantifying relative contributions from sediment sources in Conservation Effects Assessment Project
- 692 watersheds, J. Soil Water Conserv, 63, 523-532, 2008.
- 693 Wiskow, E., and van der Ploeg, R. R.: Calculation of drain spacings for optimal rainstorm flood control, J.
- 694 Hydrol., 272, 163-174, 2003.
- 695 Withers, P. J. A., Hodgkinson, R. A., Bates, A., and Withers, C. M.: Some effects of tramlines on surface
- runoff, sediment and phosphorus mobilization on an erosion-prone soil, Soil Use Manage., 22, 245-255, 2006.
- 697 Wood, P. J., and Armitage, P. D.: Biological effects of fine sediment in the lotic environment, Environ.
- 698 Manage., 21, 203-217, 1997.
- 699 Zabaleta, A., Martínez, M., Uriarte, J. A., and Antigüedad, I.: Factors controlling suspended sediment yield
- during runoff events in small headwater catchments of the Basque Country, Catena, 71, 179-190, 2007.

# 701 Tables

# Table 1. Summary of study catchments.

Catchment	Size	30-year	Median	Dominant soil	Land-use	Landsca			
$(km^2)$ average slope (°) drainage cla		drainage class/ flow		Field	Maximum	Hedgerow	Ditch		
	rainfall" <i>pathway</i>			size	down-slope	density	density		
		$($ mm yr $^{1})$				(ha)	length (m)	(km/km²)	(km/km²)
Grassland A	7.9	1228	4	Well-drained Sub-surface	89% grassland predominantly for dairy cattle; 5% arable	2.00	170	0.061	1.7
Grassland B	11.5	906	3	Poorly-drained <i>Surface</i>	77% grassland for dairy cattle, beef cattle and sheep; 12% spring crops 2% winter crops	3.04	189	0.011	5.7 <sup>b</sup>
Grassland C	3.3	960	6	Moderately- to poorly- drained <i>Surface</i>	94% grassland for beef cattle, dairy cattle and sheep	1.12	114	0.044	2.6
Arable A	11.2	906	3	Well-drained Sub-surface	54% arable predominantly spring crops; 39% grassland mainly for beef cattle and sheep	3.32	194	0.011	1.3 <sup>b</sup>
Arable B	9.4	758	3	Poorly- drained <i>Surface</i>	42% arable crops; 29% grazing for beef cattle and sheep; 19% dairy cattle grazing	2.70	200	0.011	2.3

<sup>a</sup>1981-2010 mean annual rainfall

<sup>b</sup>from Shore et al., (2013)

Table 2: Turbidity- Suspended sediment calibration dataset summary and rating curve equations and fit
 parameters

parameters					
Catchment	Data points	Calibrated turbidity range (NTU)	Maximum measured turbidity in NTU (number of data points outside calibrated range) <sup>a</sup>	Calibration equation	MSE
Grassland A	247	0-725	1074 (n=7)	SSC=0.6636T <sup>1.1045</sup>	495
Grassland B	443	1-577	1179 (n=37)	SSC=0.5657T <sup>1.1109</sup>	580
Grassland C	339	1-154	1225 (n=207)	SSC=0.4341T <sup>1.2148</sup>	38
Arable A	231	1-767	2730 (n=30)	SSC=0.4119T <sup>1.1456</sup>	891
Arable B	242	1-1853	1853 (n=0)	Where T<432.2	1335
				SSC=1.1320T	
				Where T>432.2	
				SSC=0.5288+0.6032T	
<sup>a</sup> Num	ber of data	a points at 10 n	nin resolution		

Table 3. Suspended sediment metrics estimated using in situ and ex situ turbidity based SSC estimationmethods.

/ 1 1	methous.								
	Catchment	Total l	oad $(t)^a$	Mean		Max concentration			
				concentra	ation				
				$(mg L^{-1})$		$(mg L^{-1})$			
		SSLOUT	SSL <sub>IN</sub>	SSC <sub>OUT</sub>	SSC <sub>IN</sub>	SSC <sub>OUT</sub>	SSC <sub>IN</sub>		
	Grassland B	128±28	154±35	14	16	1010	1188		
	Arable B	225±54	$248 \pm 52$	29	34	2043	823 <sup>b</sup>		

712 Note: <sup>a</sup> confidence intervals are the coefficient of variance of the mean prediction, <sup>b</sup>  $T_{IN}$  sensor saturated at 1000 713 NTU

714

0	Table 4. Allituar Tar	man, ui	senarge	and susp	chucu set	annent n	un summ	ary 101 1	ve caten	menus. w	Ionnorm	ig years e	onespor	iu to nye	nonogie y			eptember	.).
	Grassland A					Grassland B			Grassland C			Arable A				Arable B			
	Year	2010	2011	2012	2009	2010	2011	2012	2010	2011	2012	2009	2010	2011	2012	2009	2010	2011	2012
	Rainfall (mm yr <sup>-1</sup> )	1045	1139	1097	1278	800	1155	920	965	1234	969	1240	763	1102	827	896	742	1049	844
	Runoff (mm yr <sup>-1</sup> )	443	633	608	643	330	504	382	424	727	575	750	366	517	473	383	319	521	542
	$\frac{\text{Mean SSC}}{(\text{mg } \text{L}^{-1})}$	5	4	5	14	5	8	12	4	4	3	6	3	4	6	9	10	10	18
	$\begin{array}{c} Max \ SSC \\ (mg \ L^{-1}) \end{array}$	707	467	966	1020	426	882	707	419	813	462	773	224	737	2141	494	707	688	1120
	>25 mg L <sup>-1</sup> (% of ST*)	3	2	3	11	5	6	8	2	2	2	4	1	2	3	6	6	6	11
-	SSY (tonnes km <sup>-2</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> )	3.95	6.61	14.92	48.39	6.65	13.46	30.08	6.07	22.28	6.52	17.44	2.11	5.22	23.10	15.59	15.97	24.20	41.81

716 Table 4. Annual rainfall, discharge and suspended sediment flux summary for five catchments. Monitoring years correspond to hydrologic years (October to September).

717 \*ST=sampled time





**Figure 1.** Map of catchment monitoring locations and study catchments with topographic and field size information.









Figure 3: Raw turbidity output of T<sub>IN</sub> and T<sub>OUT</sub> sensors (converted to SSC) and discharge at a) Grassland B and, b) Arable B. Periods of missing data are annotated by dashed
 lines.



728 Figure 4: Suspended sediment concentration of samples collected from known concentration mixtures (SSC<sub>true</sub>)

vising ISCO water samplers with 1m and 7m tube lengths.





comapred to the mean transect SSC a US DH-48 sediment sampler at a) Grassland B and, b) Arable B.



Figure 6. Turbidity-suspended sediment concentration rating curves, confidence intervals, calibration data and
 cross-section depth-integrated suspended sediment concentration samples for, a) Grassland B T<sub>OUT</sub>, b)

 $738 \qquad \mbox{Grassland B } T_{\rm IN}, \mbox{c}) \mbox{ Arable B } T_{\rm OUT}, \mbox{d}) \mbox{ Arable B } T_{\rm IN}.$ 



Figure 7. Frequency-duration graphs of, a) suspended sediment concentration exceedance with time and, b)
 Cumulative percentage of suspended sediment yield with exceedance of suspended sediment concentration.



Figure 8. Catchment size and suspended sediment yield of European river catchments, study catchments
displayed with inter-annual range. Sources: Foster et al. (1986); Milliman and Syvitski (1992); McManus and
Duck (1996); Wass and Leeks (1999); Huang and O'Connell (2000); Verstraeten and Poesen (2001); Jordan et
al. (2002); Walling et al. (2002); Harlow et al. (2006); Oeurng et al. (2010); Zabaleta et al. (2007); Gay et al.
(2014).



Figure 9. Conceptual diagram of suspended sediment yield as represented by iso-lines according to land use and
 dominant soil drainage class. Catchment abbreviations: GA- Grassland A, GB- Grassland B, GC- Grassland C,

AA- Arable A, AB- Arable B.