

1 Dear Editor Seibert,

2

3 We would like to thank You and the reviewer for comments. Detailed comments addressing all
4 questions/comments/suggestions of the reviewer #2 are listed below. We have also made additional
5 changes to the manuscript, these changes with justifications are listed below the reviewer #2
6 comments. We hope that these additional changes improve the manuscript. We have added the
7 tracked manuscript below the all corrections. The page and line numbers given below are correct in
8 tracked version.

9 Sincerely

10 Anne Rautio

11

12 Non-public comments to the Author:

13 p3|23, were instead of was

14 Done

15 p4|11, delete the before TIR

16 Done

17 p5|21, add the before field study sites

18 Done

19 p8|13 was->were, what does T_{minr} refer to here? reformulate?

20 We still prefer the "was" and have left this as previous form. T_{minr} refers to explanation why T_{minr} was
21 selected instead of image sampling or weighted averages. We have reformulated the sentence as
22 followingly.

23 T_{minr} was selected for this study instead of the extraction methods based on image sampling or
24 weighted averages used in previous studies (Torgersen et al., 2001 Cristea and Burges, 2009) to more
25 efficiently localize the anomalously cold temperatures in the main stream channel indicating GW
26 contributions into the river flow.

27 p9,|18, add the explanation of DSi here again, your reader will appreciate this

28 We have added the dissolved silica in the sentence.

29 p13|20, please use the normal notation for ρ Pearson (I suggest, r_{Pearson} indicating subscript

30 We have used the r_{Pearson} .

31

32 The additional changes to the manuscript

33

34 P1, L18. groundwater-surface water interaction sites → groundwater discharge sites

1 AIR detects only the GW discharge sites, not the recharge sites. We think that it is more appropriate
2 to use groundwater discharge sites in the sentence.

3 P3, L28. AIR survey was → AIR surveys were

4 We suggest the plural, as the AIR surveys were conducted in 2010 and 2011.

5 P4, L2. the identified GW–SW interaction locations → the identified GW discharge locations

6 We prefer that it is more accurate to use GW discharge locations in the sentence.

7 P4, L23. from 20 to 120 meters above sea level (m a.s.l.) → from 0 to 160 meters above sea level (m
8 a.s.l.)

9 We have corrected the elevation variation in the R. Vantaa catchment.

10 P6, L 4. NW–SE → NW–SE

11 P6, L 5. intakes/supplies → supplies

12 We have deleted the excessive verb from the sentence.

13 P6, L 16. GW–RW interaction → GW discharge locations

14 We prefer that it is more accurate to use GW discharge locations in the sentence.

15 P7, L7. Fine-scale adjustments of the flight path and altitude were made visually by the pilot in
16 cooperation with the FLIR operator and attempted to capture both the rivers and a significant
17 proportion of the riparian areas on side of the channels.

18 The aforementioned sentence has been moved to this new location as it might settle better here
19 than in previous place in P7, L26.

20 P12, L 29. GW–RW exchange → GW discharge

21 We prefer that it is more accurate to use GW discharge in the sentence.

22 P14, L31. We have added the highlighted parts in the sentence for clarification.

23 There were two peaks in the River Vantaa water level during water quality monitoring period in 2012
24 when RW rise of 1 meter was observed within 7 days (Fig. 8).

25 P18, L14. at→et

26 P19, L2. We have separated the following sentences in their own paragraph.

27 The stable isotope composition of the majority of the world's main rivers falls along the global
28 meteoric water line (GMWL) (Rozanski et al., 2001). The GMWL has a d -excess value of 10 ‰
29 (Merlivat and Jouzel, 1979), and d -excess values significantly below the global average of 10 ‰
30 indicate evaporation, since falling as precipitation (Kendall and Coplen, 2001). Among the studied
31 rivers, the River Herajoki had d -excess values indicating the smallest evaporation effects. The Rivers
32 Vantaa and Lepsämäenjoki were slightly dislocated from the LMWL and the d -excess values indicated
33 some evaporation effects (Fig. 9b).

34 P19, L 11. We have added d -excess values in brackets (7.1 ‰ and 8.0 ‰). These values are not given
35 in tables and we think it could be useful for reader have these values.

- 1 P21, L 18. GW –RW interaction sites → GW discharge sites
- 2 We prefer that it is more accurate to use GW discharge sites in the sentence.
- 3 P21, L 19. GW –RW exchange → GW discharge
- 4 We prefer that it is more accurate to use GW discharge in the sentence.
- 5 P21, L 21. GW –RW interaction → GW discharge
- 6 We prefer that it is more accurate to use GW discharge in the sentence.
- 7 P21, L 26. GW –RW interaction → GW discharge
- 8 We prefer that it is more accurate to use GW discharge in the sentence.
- 9 P22, L23 groundwater → GW
- 10 P22, L 29. We have thanked the referees and the editor in the acknowledgements.
- 11 P27, L26. In Finnish with English abstract → abstract in English
- 12 We have changed this for the consistency in references.
- 13 P28, L15. (Adaption of the built environment for the flooding impacts caused by climate change)
- 14 The missing translation of report has been added.
- 15 P29, L1. (Biometry, statistics for ecologists)
- 16 The missing translation of report has been added.
- 17 P30, L3. (in Finnish) has been added
- 18 P30, L12. English summary → summary in English
- 19 We have changed this for the consistency in references.
- 20 P30, L17. In →in
- 21 P30, L30. Monitoring program of River Vantaa –
- 22 The missing part of the translation has been added.
- 23 P31, L2 (in Finnish) has been added.
- 24 P31, L4. Monitoring program of River Vantaa –
- 25 The missing part of the translation has been added.
- 26 P31, L6 (in Finnish) has been added.
- 27 Table 1. We added the missing decimals.
- 28 Table 3. Stdev → SD
- 29 We have changed this for the consistency as in Table 4 the SD abbreviation has been used. We have
- 30 also added missing decimal.
- 31 Table 4. We have added missing decimal.

1 Fig.1a We have corrected the term glacial till in legend and deleted the excessive reference in
2 figure caption.

3 Fig. 6. We have tried to clarify the figure more. We have change the Fig. 6c x-axis to Distance (m)
4 instead of W and E shores. We have change the verb is to present in figure caption.

5 Fig. 7. We have tried to clarify the figure more. We have change the Fig. 7c x-axis to Distance (m)
6 instead of W and E shores.

7 Fig. 8. We have tried improve the figure and also changed the figure caption as followingly.

8 Figure 8. (a) The GW and RW level values; and (b) the DOC and NO₃-NO₂-N concentrations during the
9 water quality monitoring in Hyvinkää study site from 12 March to 2 May 2012.

10 Figure 9b caption has been changed as followingly. ("and DSi" has been deleted.

11 the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and δD values of RW samples. The data are shown against the local meteoric water line
12 (LMWL) ($\delta\text{D} = 7.67 \delta^{18}\text{O} + 5.79\text{‰}$) defined by Kortelainen (2007).

13

14

15 **Vulnerability of groundwater resources to interaction with** 16 **river water in a boreal catchment**

17 **A. Rautio¹, A.-L. Kivimäki², K. Korkka-Niemi¹, M. Nygård³, V.-P. Salonen¹, K.**
18 **Lahti² and H. Vahtera²**

19 [1]{Department of Geosciences and Geography, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland}}

20 [2]{Water Protection Association of the River Vantaa and Helsinki Region, Helsinki,
21 Finland}

22 [3]{Pöyry Finland Oy, Vantaa Water & Environment P.O. Box 50, Vantaa, Finland}

23 Correspondence to: A. Rautio (anne.rautio@helsinki.fi)

24

25 **Abstract**

26 A low altitude aerial infrared (AIR) survey was conducted to identify hydraulic connections
27 between aquifers and rivers, and to map spatial surface temperature patterns along boreal
28 rivers. In addition, the stable isotopic compositions ($\delta^{18}\text{O}$, δD), dissolved silica (DSi)
29 concentrations and electrical conductivity of water in combination with AIR data were used
30 as tracers to verify the observed groundwater discharge into the river system in a boreal
31 catchment. Based on low temperature anomalies in the AIR survey, around 370 groundwater

1 [discharge-surface-water-interaction](#) sites were located along the main river channel and its
2 tributaries (203 km altogether). On the basis of AIR survey, the longitudinal temperature
3 patterns of the studied rivers differed noticeably. The stable isotopes and DSi composition
4 revealed major differences between the studied rivers. The interaction locations identified in
5 the proximity of 12 municipal water intake plants during the low-flow seasons should be
6 considered as potential risk areas for water intake plants during flood periods (groundwater
7 quality deterioration due to bank infiltration), and should be taken under consideration in river
8 basin management under changing climatic situations.

10 **1 Introduction**

11 Interactions between groundwater (GW) and surface water (SW) are complex, and the rates of
12 exchange are spatio-temporally highly variable (Tóth, 1963; Winter et al., 1998), depending
13 on shoreline and river-bed sediments, aquifer characteristics, topography and meteorological
14 conditions (Sebestyen and Schneider, 2001; Schneider et al., 2005; Rosenberry and LaBaugh,
15 2008). River channel interactions can be classified as gaining, losing, parallel flow and flow-
16 through (Winter, 1998; Woessner, 1998) and they can vary through time within a year
17 (Winter, 1998). Evidently, GW has an important role in maintaining stream flow, thermal
18 buffering, water quality and beneficial habitat for fish and freshwater aquatic life in rivers
19 (Hansen, 1975; Stanford and Ward, 1993; Brunke and Gonser, 1997; Boulton et al., 1998;
20 Woessner, 2000; Loheide and Gorelick, 2006).

21 A variety of temperature based methods has been used to identify the GW discharge into the
22 SW bodies in previous studies in a different scales (catchment scale, local scale). Thermal
23 infrared (TIR) has been used for identifying GW–RW interaction on the catchment scale
24 (10th km-scale) (e.g. Torgersen et al., 2001, Cristea and Burges, 2009; Tonolla et al., 2012;
25 Dugdale et al. 2015) and local scale (km-scale) (Loheide and Gorelick; 2006 Loheide and
26 Deitchman, 2009; Tonolla et al., 2012; Röper et al., 2014) Furthermore, a low altitude survey
27 (AIR) provides a method for collecting spatially continuous patterns of river temperatures in
28 an entire river over a short period of time (Faux et al., 2001; Torgersen et al., 2001; Cristea
29 and Burges, 2009; Dugdale et al. 2015) and modelling the stream water temperatures with *in-*
30 *situ* RW temperature measurements (Cristea and Burges, 2009). Conventional temperature
31 based methods like temperature data loggers, fiber optic cables and *in-situ* measurements

1 have been used to accurately detect areas where GW discharges into the SW bodies on local
2 scale (e.g. Conant, 2004; Schmidt et al., 2007; Selker, 2008; Krause et al., 2012).

3 In the River Vantaa drainage basin, in southern Finland, there is a need for more
4 comprehensive understanding of GW–SW exchange processes with respect to the water
5 supply, water quality and characteristics of the aquatic environment under changing climatic
6 conditions. The GW–RW exchange zones may have a more significant impact on water
7 quality and quantity in the River Vantaa and its tributaries than has thus far been
8 acknowledged. Large fluctuations in the river flow rate, the low percentage cover of lakes, the
9 high relative percentage of headwater lakes, the flat topography and generally poor infiltration
10 capacity of the soils are related to the relatively high flooding sensitivity of the studied
11 catchment in southern Finland (Mäntylä and Saarelainen, 2008) (Fig. 1). Furthermore, the
12 continuous development and construction of new areas in the densely populated capital region
13 has increased the flood risk during peak flow periods (Suhonen and Rantakokko, 2006) (Fig.
14 2a). This has been acknowledged in a number of recent surveys in which riparian areas
15 vulnerable to floods in the studied river catchment have been identified (i.a. Mäntylä and
16 Saarelainen, 2008). Climate change is predicted to result in increasing annual precipitation
17 and elevated temperatures during the twenty-first century (Jylhä et al., 2004), as well as an
18 expected intensification of extreme precipitation events (Beniston et al., 2007), which will
19 significantly increase the flooding risk in some southern Finnish watersheds according to
20 Veijalainen et al. (2010). Frequency of summer floods due to the extreme precipitation and
21 winter floods due to mild winters are expected to increase in the tributaries of the River
22 Vantaa (Veijalainen et al., 2009). A summer flood in 2004 resulted in water quality problems
23 at two major GW intake plants (Suhonen and Rantakokko, 2006), as well as the
24 contamination of several GW wells (Silander et al., 2006) in the studied river catchment.

25 The water quality of the River Vantaa and its tributaries has regularly been monitored since
26 the 1970s in order to identify the incoming load of nutrients and contaminants. In the River
27 Vantaa catchment, the river water (RW) has generally high nutrient concentrations and poor
28 hygienic quality during heavy rains and the spring thaw (Vahtera et al., 2014). Flooding and
29 heavy rain have the potential to induce contamination of municipal water intake wells via
30 both overland flows entering the wells and by RW bank infiltration into the aquifer. There are
31 twenty eight GW intake plants in the studied drainage basin, 12 of which are located in the

1 proximity of main stream channels and are potentially vulnerable to RW contamination
2 during high peak flow (Fig. 1 a).

3 The assumption is that GW–RW exchange can possibly be an important factor affecting the
4 quality of water in municipal water intake plants, where a hydraulic connection between the
5 river and the aquifer exists. The main aims of this study ~~were~~ to identify the GW–SW
6 interaction sites and to gain a better understanding of ubiquity of aquifer–river channel
7 interaction and the potential vulnerability of municipal water intake plants in the studied
8 catchment. This will also improve the general understanding of GW–SW interactions in
9 boreal catchments under changing climatic conditions, potentially affecting quality of GW
10 utilized by waterworks. AIR surveys ~~were~~ carried out to identify areas of thermal anomalies
11 as potential GW discharge locations to river beds, based on the temperature contrast between
12 RW and GW (e.g. Torgersen et al., 2001; Loheide and Gorelick, 2006; Davis, 2007; Conant
13 and Mochnacz, 2009; Loheide and Deitchman, 2009) and to produce spatially continuous
14 temperature profiles of the surveyed rivers.

15 The additional objective was to assess the applicability of the used thermal method in boreal
16 catchment by verifying the identified GW ~~discharge–SW interaction~~ locations using site-
17 specific thermal and hydrogeochemical methods. More detailed field studies were performed
18 at study sites in the Rivers Vantaa and Palojoki (Fig. 2b). The stable isotopic compositions
19 ($\delta^{18}\text{O}$, δD), as well as dissolved silica (DSi) concentrations of GW and RW were used as
20 tracers to verify the observed GW discharge into the river system. In order to identify risk of
21 transport of contaminants into drinking water production wells through RW infiltration, water
22 quality ($\text{NO}_3\text{-NO}_2\text{-N}$, dissolved organic carbon (DOC), turbidity) was monitored at one hour
23 intervals to investigate the potential RW infiltration into the production wells at four study
24 sites at one hour intervals (Hyvinkäänkylä data is presented) during the springtime maximum
25 river flow period in 2012. Many previous studies have used ~~the~~ TIR to identify and classify
26 thermal anomalies as well as to model the stream water temperatures but not with the
27 hydrogeochemical variables in order to explore the connection between anomalous stream
28 water temperatures and GW–SW interaction indicative geochemical variables to assess the
29 potential vulnerability of intake plants in proximity of main stream channels.

30

1 2 Study area

2 The River Vantaa is one of the water reserves for Finland's capital region (ca. 1 million
3 inhabitants). The total catchment area of the River Vantaa is 1 685 km² and the percentage
4 cover of lakes is 2.25% (Fig. 1a), the largest lake having a total area of 6.0 km² (Seuna, 1971;
5 Ekholm, 1993). The length of the River Vantaa is 99 km, while its tributaries range in length
6 from 8 to 65 km (Tikkanen, 1989) (Table 1). The surveyed rivers were slow to moderate
7 flowing streams in a gently undulating glacial landscape that ranged in elevation from ~~20-0~~
8 +20–160 meters above sea level (m a.s.l.). The surveyed rivers contained straight and
9 meandering channel types.

10 River Vantaa catchment is characterized by strong snow-dominated seasonality, and major
11 floods can be caused by either snowmelt or heavy rain events (Veijalainen et al., 2010). The
12 highest flow rates typically occur during the spring and late autumn months due to snow melt
13 (spring thaw) and heavy rains in autumns. The mean annual precipitation at the nearest
14 weather stations, Vantaa (Helsinki-Vantaa airport) and Hyvinkää (Hyvinkäänkylä), is 682 and
15 660 mm, respectively (Pirinen et al., 2012) (Fig. 2b). Approximately 10–20% of the
16 precipitation falls as snow in southern Finland (Karlsson, 1986). The mean annual air
17 temperature varies from 4.1 °C to 5.0 °C in the study area (Finnish Meteorological Institute,
18 1991).

19 In the northern part of the study area, the elevation ranges from +100 m to +160 m a.s.l. (Fig.
20 1b), and the dominant geomorphological relief types are bedrock terrain and glacial
21 deposits forming cover-moraine sheets (glacial till in Fig. 1a) and end-moraine ridges
22 (glaciofluvial sand and gravel in Fig. 1a) (Tikkanen, 1989). The elevation decreases relatively
23 smoothly towards the south, the majority of the central and southern parts of the catchment
24 being lower than +80 m a.s.l. (Fig. 1b). In the lower areas, Quaternary deposits are dominated
25 by marine and lacustrine silt and clay, which cover 39% of the entire catchment area
26 (Helsinki-Uusimaa Region, 1997). Riverbeds only sporadically overlap glaciofluvial sand and
27 gravel formations (Fig. 1a), as they generally pass along bedrock fracture zones covered by
28 thick clay layers (Tikkanen, 1989). Major GW reserves are associated with glaciofluvial
29 eskers mainly hosting unconfined aquifers (Fig. 2b), but some part of aquifers can be semi-
30 confined or confined (Table 1). The 29 aquifers in close vicinity to river beds are classified as
31 important ones that are used by municipal water companies in the River Vantaa catchment
32 (Fig. 1a).

1 Land use is divided between forestry 51%, agriculture 26% and urban (artificial surfaces) land
2 use 20% (Fig. 2a). Land use varies between the River Vantaa and its tributaries, as the
3 headwater areas are dominated by forestry and southern areas by urban land use (Fig. 2a).

4 Detailed field measurements and water sampling was performed at selected field study sites
5 within the River Vantaa catchment. At [the](#) field study sites in both River Vantaa and River
6 Palojoki, the river bed perpendicularly cuts glaciofluvial sandy esker ridge (Figs. 2b and 3).
7 However, the bed of River Vantaa is steep sloped and bottom sediments mainly consist of
8 loam with low permeability, whereas the bed of the shallow River Palojoki is gently sloped
9 and bottom sediments consist of sand and gravel, enhancing GW discharge to the river
10 through the river bottom. The thickness of glaciofluvial material varies between 10 and 35 m
11 under the Hyvinkäänkylä study site beside the River Vantaa, and GW in the aquifer flows
12 towards the River Vantaa from both the north and south (Breilin et al., 2004) (Fig. 3a). The
13 Hyvinkäänkylä water intake plant is located in close proximity to the north bank of the River
14 Vantaa, and the three production wells are located 30–60 m from the River Vantaa channel
15 (Fig. 3a).

16 At the study site in the River Palojoki, the river bed is significantly shallower and narrower
17 than that of the River Vantaa (Table 1) and the sediments are composed of coarse-grained
18 sand and gravel. The Tuusula artificial GW plant is located on the NW side of the River
19 Palojoki channel, on the NW–SE discontinuous Tuusula esker chain (Fig. 3b), and
20 [intakes](#) supplies both natural and artificially recharged GW. The recharge of natural GW in
21 the shallow and unconfined Jäniksenlinna aquifer is approximately $4000 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$ (Hatva, 1989)
22 (classified aquifer in Fig. 3b). Water from Lake Päijänne ($9370 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$) is conducted to the
23 infiltration site through a water supply tunnel and is artificially recharged into the aquifer by
24 pond infiltration through the permeable esker deposits. This artificial GW is accounting for 70
25 % of water intake from the Jäniksenlinna aquifer (Kortelainen and Karhu, 2006). GW flows
26 towards the River Palojoki from the NW (mostly artificial GW) and SE (mostly natural GW)
27 (Helmisaari et al., 2003) (Fig. 3b).

28

1 3 Methods

2 3.1 AIR surveys and field measurements

3 AIR has proved to be a feasible method for identifying GW [discharge locations](#)–RW
4 [interaction](#) in previous hydrological studies (e.g. Torgersen et al., 2001; Conant and
5 Mochnacz, 2009). Furthermore, AIR provides a method for collecting spatially continuous
6 patterns of river temperatures in an entire river over a short period of time (Faux et al., 2001;
7 Torgersen et al., 2001; Cristea and Burges, 2009). AIR was used to identify areas of discrete
8 and diffuse discharge of GW to stream water based on temperature contrast between SW and
9 GW (Torgersen et al., 2001; Anderson, 2005). In Finland, the conditions are most favourable
10 for AIR studies from July to August, when the annual maximum contrast exists between GW
11 (4–8 °C) and RW (20–24 °C) temperatures.

12 An AIR survey was conducted over the River Vantaa and its tributaries, Herajoki, Palojoki,
13 Keravanjoki and Tuusulanjoki in July 2010 during the low-flow period (Fig. 2b). A FLIR
14 Thermo Vision A40 sensor was mounted in a pod of a side of a Raven R44 II helicopter
15 together with Nikon D1X digital camera. An AIR survey were acquired from 100 to 250
16 meters above ground surface (m a.g.s.) and the ground speed varied between 50 km h⁻¹ and
17 90 km h⁻¹ following the river courses. July 2010 was warm and had low precipitation (15
18 mm, Finnish Meteorological Institute), apart from few thunderstorms.

19 In July 2011, an AIR survey was conducted over the Rivers Vantaa, Keravanjoki and
20 Lepsämäanjoki (Fig. 2b). Altogether, the AIR surveys covered 203 km of rivers as well as the
21 riparian areas alongside the channels in 2010 and 2011 (Fig. 2b). Due to the preceding warm
22 weather conditions, which prevailed for several weeks, the conditions were ideal for detecting
23 GW discharge locations in summer 2011. A FLIR ThermoCAM P60 together with an HDR-
24 CX700 digital video camera was used, with the cameras held in a near vertical position on the
25 side of the helicopter. [Fine-scale adjustments of the flight path and altitude were made](#)
26 [visually by the pilot in cooperation with the FLIR operator and attempted to capture both the](#)
27 [rivers and a significant proportion of the riparian areas on side of the channels.](#) The flight
28 altitude of 100–300 m a.g.s. produced a ground resolution from 0.15 m to 0.5 m. Thermal
29 images were collected digitally and recorded from the sensor to the on-board computer at a
30 rate of 5 frames/s, which guaranteed full overlap between the image frames. Digital image
31 files were tagged with the acquisition time and with the position from a built-in GPS. The

1 thermal and digital video cameras synchronized data collection to the nearest second and
2 provided a means of correlating thermal and visible band imagery during postflight image
3 processing.

4 Both thermal cameras used in AIR surveys had a pixel resolution of 320×240 , a spectral
5 range of $7.5\text{--}13\ \mu\text{m}$ and a field of view of 24×18 degrees. The FLIR system was capable of
6 detecting temperature differences of $\pm 0.08\ ^\circ\text{C}$ with an accuracy of $\pm 2.0\ ^\circ\text{C}$ or $\pm 2.0\ \%$ of the
7 reading, as reported by the manufacturer. The ground speed varied between $50\ \text{km h}^{-1}$ and 90
8 km h^{-1} during the AIR surveys in 2010 and 2011, depending on the stream width and intensity
9 of meandering. Ground speed was maintained at $50\ \text{km h}^{-1}$ over narrow, meandering streams
10 and increased to $90\ \text{km h}^{-1}$ over wide, straight rivers sections. The canopy cover from riparian
11 vegetation ranged from nearly completely closed to wide open and varied within and between
12 the rivers surveyed.

13 ~~Fine scale adjustments of the flight path and altitude were made visually by the pilot in~~
14 ~~cooperation with the FLIR operator and attempted to capture both the rivers and a significant~~
15 ~~proportion of the riparian areas on side of the channels.~~ Aerial surveys were mainly conducted
16 in an upstream direction during the early afternoon hours in calm and cloudless weather
17 conditions. The upstream direction was used due to the facility to follow main stream in
18 upstream direction, the exceptions were mainly due to the logistical and economic reasons to
19 save flight time. Meteorological data on air temperature and relative humidity during the
20 aerial surveys were obtained from the two nearest weather stations (Fig. 2b).

21 Reference measurements were collected simultaneously with AIR survey to compare the
22 kinetic water temperature (T_k) measured 5 cm below the water surface with a thermometer to
23 the radiant water temperature (T_r) measured remotely with a thermal sensor from skin layer of
24 RW in 2010 and 2011. The T_k were compared to T_r to define the average absolute temperature
25 difference between the reference measurements and remotely measured with TIR sensor. The
26 reference measurements were collected by discrete manual measurements with a YSI 600
27 XLM-V2-M multiparameter probe (accuracy $\pm 0.15\ ^\circ\text{C}$) at River Keravanjoki study site in
28 2010 and the River Lepsämäenjoki in 2011.

29 The T_r values were adjusted for the emissivity of natural water (0.96) and with inputs of air
30 temperature, relative humidity and path length in post-processing. In producing the spatially
31 continuous profiles of minimum radiant water temperature (T_{minr}), thermal images were
32 individually analysed and T_{minr} was manually sampled from each thermal image of the main

1 stream channel, and the lowest value for T_{minr} was selected for each second. ~~As the one of the~~
2 ~~study target was to identify the GW contributions into the river flow and lower temperature~~
3 ~~zones in the main stream channel T_{minr} was selected for this study to more efficiently localize~~
4 ~~the anomalously cold temperatures in the main stream channel than with extraction methods~~
5 ~~based on image sampling or weighted averages used in previous studies (Torgersen et al.,~~
6 ~~2001 Cristea and Burges, 2009)).~~ T_{minr} was selected for this study instead of the extraction
7 ~~methods based on image sampling or weighted averages used in previous studies (Torgersen~~
8 ~~et al., 2001 Cristea and Burges, 2009) to more efficiently localize the anomalously cold~~
9 ~~temperatures in the main stream channel indicating GW contributions into the river flow.~~ The
10 image sampling and weighted averages methods are both based on the median values of
11 selected points or the histogram of contiguous water pixel temperatures (Cristea and Burges,
12 2009) and therefore missing or averaging the T_{minr} values. The thermal anomalies in the
13 proximity of the main stream channel were examined and compared with the base map and
14 visible band imagery to exclude artificial cold anomalies such as roads or electrical power
15 lines.

16 Detailed field studies were performed at Hyvinkäänkylä and River Palojoki study sites in
17 2010 (Figs. 2b, 3 and Table 1). 19 cross-sections of the RW temperature and electrical
18 conductivity (EC) near the sediment–water interface and sediment temperature at intervals of
19 1 to 2 m were measured at study sites representing different hydrogeological and hydrological
20 settings. In addition, two longitudinal profiles of RW temperature near the sediment–water
21 interface were collected (Figs. 6a, 7a). All RW temperature and EC measurements were
22 collected with a YSI 600 XLM-V2-M multiparameter probe and the sediment temperature
23 measurements with a stainless steel sediment temperature probe (Therma Plus, Electronic
24 Temperature instruments Ltd, Worthing, West Sussex, UK, accuracy ± 0.10 °C). Moreover, at
25 the River Vantaa study site, RW temperature and EC were measured at one-hour intervals 0.3
26 m below the water surface and 0.3 m above the river bottom, the total depth of the water
27 being 1.6 m. At the shallow River Palojoki study site, similar continuous measurements were
28 performed 0.2 m above the river bottom, the total depth of the RW in the low-flow season
29 being at most measuring points only 0.7–1.0 m.

30 Water quality ($\text{NO}_3\text{-NO}_2\text{-N}$, DOC, turbidity) of drinking water production wells was
31 monitored at one hour intervals with S::can sensors (UV-VIS spectrometers) at
32 Hyvinkäänkylä study site during the springtime maximum river flow period in 2012 (Fig. 8).

1 3.2 Stable isotopes and DSi

2 Stable isotopic compositions of water have widely been applied as tracers in hydrological
3 research (Gibson et al., 2005). Precipitation and GW are the main components of water in
4 most rivers, and the relative proportions of these sources differ in each watershed, depending
5 on the physical settings and climatic variables, as well as human activities in the watershed
6 (Kendall and Coplen, 2001). As the basin size increases, the isotopic compositions of rivers
7 are increasingly affected by subsequent alterations of the different runoff components and
8 precipitation, mixing with GW, and by evaporation (Kendall and Coplen, 2001). When GW
9 and SW have different chemical signatures, spatial variation in the tracer concentration of SW
10 can be used to verify GW inflow into the SW body (Gat and Gonfiantini, 1981; Kendall et al.,
11 1995).

12 Precipitation contains little or no DSi ([dissolved silica](#)) (Asano, 2003), whereas the arithmetic
13 mean concentration of DSi in GW for Finnish dug wells is 6.5 ppm (Lahermo et al., 2002).
14 The DSi concentrations are dependent on the GW residence time and the grain size of aquifer
15 media (Sandborg, 1993, Soveri et al., 2001). Streams show systematic variation in the DSi
16 concentration as a function of flow, with higher concentrations under baseflow conditions and
17 the lowest concentrations under high flow (Neal et al., 2005). Therefore, DSi could serve as a
18 potential tracer to estimate the contribution of GW to river flow, as earlier observed by Hinton
19 et al. (1994).

20 Water sampling of RW and GW under low-flow conditions was performed at six study sites
21 in order to examine the impacts of GW discharge on RW chemistry at the GW discharge
22 locations identified with AIR in 2010 (Nygård, 2011; Korkka-Niemi et al., 2011) and 2011
23 (Fig. 1a). Samples for δD , $\delta^{18}O$ and DSi analysis were collected from RW (n = 36) (R.
24 Herajoki, n = 4; R. Lepsämäjoki, n = 7; R. Vantaa, n = 6; R. Palojoki, n = 6; R.
25 Tuusulanjoki, n = 5; R. Kerava, n = 8) and GW (n = 26) (R. Herajoki, n = 8; R. Lepsämäjoki,
26 n = 2; R. Vantaa, n = 8; R. Palojoki, n = 2; R. Tuusulanjoki, n = 4; R. Kerava, n = 2) in June,
27 July and August 2011.

28 The sample locations at each study sites were selected in order to detect changes in RW
29 chemistry: 1) upstream sample sites above potential GW discharge to the river, 2) GW
30 discharge sites based on geological location (riverbeds overlaps glacial sediments) and 3)
31 sample sites downstream of GW discharge.

1 The RW samples were collected from the river channel using a Limnos sampler or bottle
2 sampler, depending the channel width and depth at the sampling location. The spring water
3 samples were collected from discharging and flowing GW directly into sampling bottles
4 representing the natural GW. GW samples from observations wells were taken with a GW
5 pump (Tempest/Twister) after purging the water volume three times. The GW samples from
6 water intake wells were taken from the well tap after approximately 5 minutes of running.
7 Samples for isotopic and DSi analysis were collected into HDPE bottles and analysed with a
8 Picarro analyser and ICP-MS, respectively, at the Department of Geosciences and Geography,
9 University of Helsinki. The isotope results are reported as δ values, representing the deviation
10 in per mill (‰) from the isotopic composition of Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water
11 (VSMOW), such that $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ or $\delta\text{D} = [(R_{\text{sample}}/R_{\text{standard}})-1] \times 1000$, where R refers to the $^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$
12 or D/H ratios in both the sample and standard. The accuracy for a single analysis was $\leq \pm 0.5$
13 ‰ for δD and $\leq \pm 0.1$ ‰ for $\delta^{18}\text{O}$. Samples for DSi concentration measurements were
14 preserved in a refrigerator until analysis, prefiltered (0.45 μm) and analysed according to the
15 ISO 17294-2 standard. The analytical error was approximately ± 2 % for DSi.

16 The deuterium excess (d -excess) was calculated as an index of the evaporation effect for each
17 sample using the following equation (Dansgaard, 1964)

$$18 \quad d\text{-excess} = \delta_{\text{D}} - 8\delta_{18}. \quad (1)$$

19 where δ_{D} is the δD and δ_{18} is the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$.

20 **3.3 Statistics**

21 To test if the GW input could also be seen in RW quality inside the classified aquifers, the
22 non-parametric Mann-Whitney U-test for two unrelated or independent populations (Rock,
23 1988; Ranta et al., 1991) were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics 22 on RW samples ($n =$
24 36) in order to assess the GW component in RW. RW sampling points were grouped
25 according to their relationship with the aquifers. If a sampling point was inside the mapped
26 GW area (classified aquifer), the sampling point was classified into the group “GW effect” (n
27 = 17). Otherwise, the sampling point was classed as “no GW effect” ($n = 19$).

28

1 4 Results

2 4.1 AIR

3 Almost 10 000 thermal images were acquired during the AIR survey in 2010 (Korkka-Niemi
4 et al., 2012). Based on the AIR surveys and site-specific field measurements, thermal
5 anomalies were classified as discrete or multiple springs, cold creeks discharging into a river,
6 diffuse sources by the shoreline, and diffuse and wide seepage areas (Korkka-Niemi et al.,
7 2012).

8 Approximately 30 000 thermal images were acquired during the AIR survey in 2011, and the
9 anomalies were classified into three categories. Two discrete categories (springs and cold
10 creeks) were the same as in 2010, and a thermal anomaly was defined as a difference of at
11 least 0.5 °C between the T_{minr} in the main channel and the observed anomaly. In this paper,
12 the two previously presented diffuse categories were merged to form one diffuse category
13 (wetlands), because both contribute to the diffuse discharge of GW in the riparian zone.
14 Category three, diffuse anomalies, was located in riparian areas and had a variable areal
15 coverage ranging from separate small diffuse anomalies to wetlands with a large areal
16 coverage. Altogether, 374 thermal anomalies were identified along the 203-km course of the
17 studied rivers in 2010 and 2011 using AIR (Fig. 4a and Table 2). The observed anomalies in
18 category one were mostly connected to Quaternary deposits, whereas anomalies in categories
19 two and three were not directly connected to them (Fig. 4).

20 There was significant variation in the longitudinal profiles of T_{minr} between the studied rivers
21 (Figs. 4b and 5). The revealed patterns of spatial variability in T_{minr} provided a means to
22 characterize the thermal signatures of the individual rivers like the patterns of warming and
23 cooling in relation to distance from the stream mouth or series peaks and troughs as earlier
24 described by Torgersen et al. (2001). The most notable tributary confluences, rapids, springs,
25 wetlands, dams and geomorphological features of the channel are marked on the profiles in
26 Figure 5.

27 The Rivers Herajoki and Vantaa showed a downstream warming trend and the headwater
28 springs could be observed as T_{minr} lows in thermal longitudinal profiles (Fig. 5a, b). The River
29 Vantaa showed large variability in T_{minr} of values (16 °C) over a 64-km length from the
30 headwaters (Fig. 5a). The narrow river channel and the riparian vegetation hampered the
31 reliable acquisition of thermal imagery over the headwater area of the River Herajoki.

1 The Rivers Keravanjoki and Tuusulanjoki originate at the outflow of a lake, which could be
2 observed as a high T_{minr} in the headwaters (Fig. 5c, d). In the River Keravanjoki, a series of
3 peaks and troughs were recorded in T_{minr} in the downstream direction, and the downstream
4 temperatures were close the headwater temperatures (Figs. 4b and 5c). The T_{minr} varied by
5 approximately 3 °C in the River Tuusulanjoki, and lower temperatures in the upstream part of
6 the river were connected to a dam and small springs in the esker area (Fig. 5d).

7 The T_{minr} of the River Lepsämäenjoki increased approximately 8 °C along the first 11 km from
8 the headwaters, reached the maximum values at around 11 km, and after this the T_{minr}
9 remained between 19–21 °C (Figs. 4b and 5e). In the River Lepsämäenjoki, the narrowness of
10 the channel and riparian vegetation limited thermal imaging of the headwater stream. Large
11 springs were identified in the more distal headwater area.

12 The River Palojoki displayed a general downstream cooling pattern and rather constant T_{minr}
13 values before crossing the esker aquifer area close to the artificial GW plant, where the
14 temperatures dropped in a distinct way as the artificial and natural GW discharged into the
15 river (Fig. 5f). The T_{minr} temperatures slowly increased after a major drop until the river
16 entered a second esker aquifer area and temperatures started to decrease due to the influence
17 of GW [discharge=RW-exchange](#) (Fig. 5f).

18 The observed smaller peaks and troughs in longitudinal temperature profiles, with 1–2 °C
19 fluctuations in T_{minr} , were connected to the inputs from tributaries, dams, rapids, narrowing of
20 the channel and meandering bends (Fig. 5).

21 The profiles were not corrected with respect to the increased RW temperatures during the
22 flights. During mid-afternoon surveys in July, the RW temperature changed at rates of 0.2–0.7
23 °C h⁻¹ according to the continuous water temperature monitoring in the River Vantaa. The
24 flight times over the Rivers Palojoki, Herajoki and Tuusulanjoki were around or less than 15
25 minutes, and downstream warming therefore had only a minor effect.

26 The values of T_r were within ± 0.6 °C of the reference measurements of T_k ($n = 29$) in
27 subsequent years. The average absolute temperature difference between T_r and T_k was 0.22
28 °C. In this study, the focus was more on the relative temperature differences than the absolute
29 temperature values.

1 4.2 Field measurements

2 Variable temperature anomalies in the lower RW layer, not detectable with AIR, could be
3 characterized (Figs 6 and 7). It is a well-known limitation of the thermal infrared (TIR)
4 technique to detect the surficial temperatures (“skin” layer < 0.1 mm), and only substantial
5 subsurface GW contributions to SW bodies that reach the surface can therefore be detected
6 (Torgersen et al. 2001). At the River Vantaa study site, where a series of springs was
7 observed near the eastern shoreline prior to the major GW discharge location (Korkka-Niemi
8 et al., 2012), the longitudinal profile (A-AA’) of RW temperatures near the sediment–water
9 interface revealed the lower cold water regime in the river (Fig. 6a).

10 The bottom RW temperatures were mainly relatively equal and constant during the
11 continuous water temperature monitoring period (Fig. 6b). However, a significant difference
12 was observed at the end of the monitoring period, when the temperature and EC values of RW
13 at the bottom simultaneously dropped several times (Fig. 6b). The RW level declined by 0.1
14 m during the monitoring period due to the low precipitation in July, resulting GW discharge
15 from the springs near the eastern shoreline to the river bottom. The lower EC values had a
16 statistically significant ($p < 0.01$) and very strong positive correlation ($r_{\text{Pearson}} = 0.92$, $n =$
17 261) with the lower temperatures on the river bottom (Fig. 6b). EC values of western GW and
18 RW were similar, respectively 22 mS m^{-1} and 21 mS m^{-1} , whereas the mean EC value of
19 spring water on the more pristine eastern river bank was 17 mS m^{-1} . The lower EC values of
20 RW had a statistically significant ($p < 0.01$) and strong positive correlation ($r_{\text{Pearson}} = 0.85$, $n =$
21 145) with the lower temperatures of RW (Fig. 6c).

22 The temperature of RW was $22\text{--}25 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ in the cross-sections B–BB’, C–CC’ and J–JJ (Fig.
23 6c). From cross-sections D–DD’ and E–EE’ (Fig. 6c), the temperature and EC values
24 decreased more and the thermal stratification appeared more pronounced in the cross-section
25 from F–FF’ to I–II’ (Figs. 6c, d). Further downstream, where the river bed perpendicularly
26 cuts an unconfined glaciofluvial esker, the temperature in both sediment and RW near the
27 sediment–water interface in the middle of river bed was $7\text{--}13 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ (cross-sections from G–GG’
28 to I–II’) (Fig. 6c).

29 At the study site in the River Palojoki, where river bed is significantly shallower and narrower
30 than the River Vantaa (Table 1) and the sediments are composed of coarse-grained sand and
31 gravel, similar temperature and EC value patterns were recorded in the RW and river bed
32 sediment (Fig. 7). The longitudinal profile (M–MM’) of temperature and EC values of RW

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Subscript

1 near the sediment–water interface showed first the decline in values and later the increase to a
2 constant level in a downstream direction (Fig. 7a).

3 The EC values measured from upstream RW (0.22 mS m^{-1}) (cross-section W–WW') and
4 natural GW (0.22 mS m^{-1}) (observation well, not shown in Fig. 7) were close to each other,
5 whereas infiltration water ($\text{EC} = 0.072 \text{ mS m}^{-1}$) used for artificial GW recharge deviated from
6 these values. The lower EC values, which were similar to the infiltration water, were observed
7 concurrently with cold RW temperatures ($r_{\text{Pearson}} = 0.86, p < 0.01, n = 133$) (Fig. 7c, from
8 P–PP' to S–SS', U–UU', V–VV'). The lower RW temperatures occurred simultaneously with
9 the lower EC values near the bottom during the continuous water temperature-monitoring
10 period (Fig. 7b), and had a statistically significant ($p < 0.01$) and strong positive correlation
11 ($r_{\text{Pearson}} = 0.78, n = 261$).

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Subscript

12 In the upstream cross-sections, the RW temperature was $7.2 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ at the lowest near the
13 sediment–water interface and the low temperatures were observed in the river bed where the
14 water depth was at the maximum (V–VV', whereas the RW temperature at most measurement
15 points was $5\text{--}6 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ in cross-sections from Q–QQ' to T–TT' (Fig. 7c). Further downstream, the
16 water depth in the river was $0.4\text{--}0.8 \text{ m}$ with a temperature range from 6 to $18 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ near the
17 sediment–water interface in cross sections from N–NN' to P–PP' (Fig. 7c). The water
18 temperature throughout the entire river bed at the River Palojoki study site was generally
19 lower than in the River Vantaa study site (Figs 6 and 7).

20 There were two peaks in the River Vantaa water level during [water quality](#) monitoring period
21 [in 2012](#) when RW rise of 1 meter was observed within 7 days (Fig. 8). Slight increase in DOC
22 levels from 1.5 to 1.8 ppm and second increase from 1.7 to 2.4 ppm was detected in a
23 production well within 2–5 days after RW level rise above the GW level (Fig. 8). GW nitrate
24 concentration was diluted by RW and turbidity remained under 0.6 NTU throughout the
25 monitoring period.

26 4.3 Stable isotopes and DSi

27 The measured mean $\delta^{18}\text{O}$, δD , DSi and d -excess values of water samples are presented in
28 Tables 3 and 4. There were significant differences in stable isotope composition between the
29 studied rivers (Table 3). The ranked order of the mean $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and δD values of rivers from the
30 most enriched to the least enriched were River Keravanjoki, River Palojoki, River
31 Tuusulanjoki, River Lepsämäenjoki, River Vantaa and River Herajoki (Table 3).

1 Significant variation in DSi concentrations was observed between the studied rivers (Table 4).
2 Comparing the six rivers, the mean DSi concentrations were highest in the Rivers
3 Lepsämäenjoki and Herajoki (Table 4). The ranked order of the mean DSi concentrations of
4 rivers from the lowest to the highest were River Keravanjoki, River Tuusulanjoki, River
5 Vantaa, River Palojoki, River Lepsämäenjoki and River Herajoki (Table 4).

6

7 **5 Discussion**

8 **5.1 AIR**

9 There were some variations in the observed anomalies between consecutive years, possibly
10 related to annual differences in the hydraulic head. Some minor springs identified in the AIR
11 survey in July 2010 were not detectable in July 2011, because the hydraulic heads of the
12 aquifers in study area were generally at a higher level in July 2010 than in July 2011 (Finnish
13 Environmental Administration, 2015). This illustrates the temporal as well as the spatial
14 variation in GW–RW interaction in the studied rivers. The differences observed between
15 years are partially related to the different method of image acquisition (mounted versus hand-
16 held) and missing some short sections of the strongly meandering study rivers.

17 The differences in thermal anomalies among the studied streams can partly be explained by
18 the shape of the river beds and composition of the river bed sediments. For instance, most
19 parts of the River Herajoki have a fine-grained stream bed, and because no preferential flow
20 paths are available for GW, the number of observed anomalies was lower. Conversely, in the
21 River Palojoki, several sections have an influx of GW through the bottom and shoreline
22 slopes of the coarse-grained gravelly and sandy stream bed.

23 The lower temperatures in the rapid zones were generally due to the increase in the stream
24 velocity, mixing of the water layers and disappearance of stratification. Moreover, the rapids
25 appear in areas of coarse-grained sediments and possibly enhanced GW discharging into the
26 river. The meander bends and narrowing of the stream channel had a similar effect on the
27 mixing of the RW. According to Torgersen et al. (2001), large-scale patterns such as gradual
28 warming trends covering 5–10 km are related physical geomorphic and hydrological
29 processes at the watershed scale. These types of patterns were seen, for example, in the River
30 Keravanjoki, where gradual decreasing and increasing trends were connected to the wetland
31 and notable widening of the main channel, respectively (Fig. 5c).

1 The longitudinal thermal profiles of the Rivers Keravanjoki and Vantaa in consecutive
2 summers revealed similar overall thermal patterns and amplitudes, although the absolute
3 temperatures differed, being lower in 2011 (Figs. 5a, c). In 2010, July was exceptionally
4 warm and the SW temperatures were close to the record level (Korhonen and Haavalampi,
5 2012), which possibly explains the observed higher T_{minr} . Correspondingly, the differences in
6 headwater conditions, precipitation, and main channel and tributary flow rates influenced the
7 magnitude of the longitudinal thermal profile as described by Cristea and Burges (2009). The
8 longitudinal thermal patterns indicated that the cool and warm water sources mainly had
9 spatially fixed locations (Figs. 5a, c), as also earlier demonstrated by Faux et al. (2001).
10 Longitudinal thermal patterns are a result of the combination of natural environmental and
11 anthropogenic factors (Faux et al., 2001). The stream temperature is an important parameter
12 in aquatic management (Poole and Berman, 2001), and thermal profiles can provide valuable
13 insights into the causative factors behind the observed stream temperatures. AIR was found to
14 be an applicable method to identify thermal anomalies and possible areas of GW discharge
15 across the river basin and to collect spatially continuous patterns of RW temperatures in entire
16 river sections over a short period of time. Furthermore, AIR can also direct water sampling
17 and further investigations to the relevant GW–RW interaction locations.

18 **5.2 Field measurements**

19 The GW discharge locations identified using AIR were confirmed with RW and sediment
20 temperature measurements in 2010, as reported in Nygård (2011). EC values have in earlier
21 studies on GW–lake water interactions proved to be a good indicator of the GW influence on
22 surface waters, the average EC value in GW normally being significantly higher than in lake
23 water (Lee, 1985; Vanek and Lee, 1991; Harvey et al., 1997; Korkka-Niemi et al., 2009;
24 Korkka-Niemi et al., 2011). However, in the river systems EC values range widely both
25 temporally and spatially due to variable load from sewage treatment plants and urban areas,
26 including residues of purified waste water and deicing chemicals (Vahtera et al., 2014). Hence
27 the use of EC as an indicator of the GW influence is not as straightforward as in GW-lake
28 water studies.

29 The GW discharging into the River Vantaa appeared as lower temperature and EC values in
30 cross-sections from D–DD' to I–II' (Fig. 6c). The sediment temperatures were also low (6–12
31 °C) along the river banks due to the continuous discharge of GW through the sandy shoreline
32 deposits. The river flow rate measurements by Brander (2013) with a RiverSurveyor M9

1 Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler (SonTek) demonstrated that in the low-flow period, the
2 river flow within this major GW discharge location increased by approximately $0.1 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$, i.e.
3 $8\,640 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$. The cross-sections B–BB', C–CC' and J–JJ represent conditions before and
4 after GW discharge into the river (Fig. 6c).

5 At the River Palojoki study site, the AIR survey revealed a lowering of several degrees in
6 surface temperatures of RW downstream from the esker formation (Fig. 5f), indicating that a
7 significant proportion of the water in the River Palojoki originates from the semi-confined
8 glaciofluvial aquifer. The river flow measurements reported by Brander (2013) also indicated
9 an increase of $0.044 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ($3800 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$) in river flow from a water intake plant to a location
10 downstream. The fluctuations of RW temperature and EC values during the continuous water
11 temperature-monitoring period (Fig. 7) can be an outcome of the river level fluctuations and
12 pumping from the production wells, resulting in surges of artificial GW. The observed
13 differences in thermal surveys between the Rivers Vantaa and Palojoki are related to the
14 geomorphological properties and flow conditions of the river channels.

15 The invisibility of GW discharge in thermal images of the River Vantaa study site is related to
16 the thermal stratification of RW. The highest volumes of GW were observed to discharge to
17 the river at the point where the river bed perpendicularly cuts the esker ridge. At this point,
18 the temperature differences between the surface and bottom RW temperatures were as great as
19 $17 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$. Thermal stratification is an outcome of both the influx of cold water and retention of
20 cold and dense water at the bottom of the river channel pools, as reported by Nielsen et al.
21 (1994). The cold and dense water originates from both GW sinking down by the river bank
22 and possibly through subsurface preferential GW flow paths into the lower part of the river
23 channel (Fig. 6d). This cold and less turbulent lower water regime can be isolated from
24 mixing with the warm and more turbulent upper water regime as long as the inflow of cold
25 water is sufficient or the river flow rate is slow enough, according to Nielsen et al. (1994).
26 Matthews et al. (1994) suggested that thermal stratification is possible if cold water enters the
27 river at locations with a very low flow rate.

28 Considerable thermal stratification was also observed at the Palojoki study site, as the
29 maximum difference between surface and bottom RW was $13.4 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$. The thermal stratification
30 was strongest at the pool and decreased (with decreasing water depth) in a downstream
31 direction (Fig. 7c). As Torgersen [et al.](#) (2001) pointed out, thermal remote sensing can be
32 biased by thermal stratification in channels with subsurface cold water inputs during low river

1 flow rates. In the AIR survey results, the potential existence of these 'hidden' GW–RW
2 interaction sites should especially be noted during periods with low river flow rates.

3 Water quality measurements revealed the light increase in DOC concentration indicating
4 impact of RW was detected during the maximum river flow period in the production wells
5 located in highly permeable deposits close to the river bank (Fig. 8). DOC concentrations
6 stayed at elevated level for a couple of days at the maximum, and soon after RW level fell
7 below GW level water quality in the production wells recovered (Fig. 8). Changes of water
8 quality in production wells may be so rapid that they cannot be detected with conventional
9 discrete sampling.

10

11 **5.3 Stable isotopes and DSI**

12 The isotopic composition of shallow GW does not differ significantly from the mean
13 weighted annual composition of precipitation in temperate climates (Clark and Fritz, 1997).
14 According to Kortelainen and Karhu (2004), the isotope composition of shallow GW follows
15 the local meteoric water line (LMWL) in Finland. The measured mean $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and δD of
16 springs, GW and well water are mainly in close agreement with the previous studies of
17 Kortelainen and Karhu (2004) (Table 3).

18 The stable isotope composition of the majority of the world's main rivers falls along the
19 global meteoric water line (GMWL) (Rozanski et al., 2001). The GMWL has a d -excess value
20 of 10 ‰ (Merlivat and Jouzel, 1979), and d -excess values significantly below the global
21 average of 10 ‰ indicate evaporation, since falling as precipitation (Kendall and Coplen,
22 2001). Among the studied rivers, the River Herajoki had d -excess values indicating the
23 smallest evaporation effects. The Rivers Vantaa and Lepsämäenjoki were slightly dislocated
24 from the LMWL and the d -excess values indicated some evaporation effects (Fig. 9b).

25 The $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and δD values of the observation well close to the Tuusula water intake plant had
26 slightly evaporated d -excess values (7.1 ‰ and 8.0 ‰), which could be related to evaporation
27 effects (Fig. 9a). These more evaporated $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and δD values from the observation well can be
28 related RW recharging the aquifer. Brander (2013) demonstrated with river flow rate
29 measurements that the river flow decreased by approximately 8 % ($0.07 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$, i.e. 6 300 m^3
30 d^{-1}) due to RW recharging the aquifer in the low-flow period.

1 The stable isotope composition of River Herajoki plotted along the LMWL, with a stable
2 isotope composition close to the GW composition, indicating GW as a source component
3 (Fig. 9b). Brander (2013) observed from river flow measurements that the RW recharged the
4 underlying aquifer in the proximity of a water intake plant. However, in this study, RW
5 infiltration into the wells could not be observed due to the similarity of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and δD values
6 in GW and RW.

7 The $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and δD values of Rivers Keravanjoki and Tuusulanjoki were significantly displaced
8 to the right of the LMWL, which was related to evaporation effects in open water bodies
9 (Table 3, Fig. 9b). The RW sample taken from River Tuusulanjoki (esker aquifer area) in
10 August 2011 deviated from other RW samples in having a stable isotope composition close to
11 that of the GW (Fig. 9b). The more evaporated $\delta^{18}\text{O}$, δD and d -excess values of Rivers
12 Keravanjoki and Tuusulanjoki could be due to the existence of headwater lakes and the dams
13 along the river path. Additionally, supplementary water (Lake Päijänne water) is released into
14 River Keravanjoki via the headwater Lake Ridasjärvi to sustain a sufficient river flow and
15 water quality in river channel during the summer months (Vahtera et al., 2012) (Fig. 1a).
16 Altogether, $3.9 \cdot 10^6 \text{ m}^3$ of Lake Päijänne water was released, with an average discharge of 0.50
17 $\text{m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ from 25 May 2011 to 22 August 2011 (Vahtera et al., 2012). Supplementary water
18 (Lake Päijänne water) was also released into the upstream lake of the River Tuusulanjoki to
19 improve the water quality. Lake Päijänne water has significantly evaporated $\delta^{18}\text{O}$, δD and d -
20 excess values of -8.96 ‰ , -71.5 ‰ and -0.1 ‰ , respectively, and a low DSi concentration
21 (1.2 ppm). This can have a considerable effect on the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$, δD , d -excess and DSi values of
22 the River Keravanjoki and some effect on the respective values of the River Tuusulanjoki.
23 The $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and δD values of the River Palojoki were a spatiotemporally varying complex
24 mixture of precipitation, runoff, and natural and artificial GW. More detailed sampling is
25 needed in order to specify the different contributions to the river flow.

26 The measured mean DSi values of springs, GW and well water were slightly higher than in
27 Finnish dug wells in general (Lahermo et al., 2002) (Table 3). In Rivers Lepsämäenjoki and
28 Herajoki, the observed DSi concentrations were somewhat higher than in Finnish streams
29 generally (Lahermo et al., 1996; range from 0.80 to 6.86 ppm , mean 3.62 ppm , $n = 1162$),
30 suggesting a greater GW component than typically. The mean DSi concentrations of the
31 Rivers Vantaa and Palojoki were close to the DSi in Finnish streams generally. The low DSi

1 concentrations of the Rivers Keravanjoki and Tuusulanjoki can be related to the
2 supplementary addition of Lake Päijänne water.

3 The DSi concentrations of RW are an outcome of the relative proportions of different water
4 types (GW, soil water, runoff, direct channel precipitation), the residence times of water in the
5 soil matrix, land use, geology, weathering intensity, climatic variation and diatom production
6 (Scanlon et al., 2001, Conley, 1997). Consequently, these multifarious and overlapping
7 factors can complicate the use of DSi as a GW tracer in the riverine environment. However, in
8 this study, the results for stable isotope compositions were mainly consistent with the DSi
9 concentrations, as higher DSi concentrations appeared coincident with the stable isotope
10 composition typical to the GW. The usability of DSi as a GW tracer was limited by the
11 variability in the GW end member concentrations, and use of the DSi as a GW tracer would
12 benefit from the spatiotemporally denser sampling of GW end members.

13 Mean $\delta^{18}\text{O}$, δD , d -excess and DSi values for RW impacted by aquifers were -10.05‰ , -75.5
14 ‰ , 4.9‰ and 4.6 ppm , respectively, while the respective values for RW not so clearly related
15 to aquifers were -9.49‰ , -73.1‰ , 2.9‰ and 2.9 ppm . According to the non-parametric
16 Man-Whitney U-test, there was a statistically significant difference ($p < 0.05$) between the
17 “GW effect” sites and “no GW effect” sites in the measured DSi and d -excess values. This
18 indicates that the GW input could also be seen in RW quality at the observed interaction sites.
19 Therefore, these interaction sites could be more important for water quality and quantity than
20 has thus far been acknowledged. GW discharge can have a positive effect on a river by
21 increasing the river flow and improving water quality in the low-flow season. Alternatively,
22 river channels hydraulically connected to aquifers can have a negative effect on water intake
23 plants in the high-flow season. Nutrients (nitrate and phosphate) and faecal contamination
24 (human sewage or animal sources) are the main causes of lowered water quality of the River
25 Vantaa and its tributaries. These sources induce risks to GW in the high-flow season. In the
26 studied river sections, there are 12 municipal water intake plants in close proximity to the
27 river channel and located close to the GW–RW interaction sites identified in this study (Fig.
28 4a). These water intake plants can pose a potential risk of water quality deterioration due to
29 RW infiltration into the aquifer during floods. The identification and localization of GW–RW
30 interaction sites (as potential risks sites) would enable water management activities (e.g.
31 reducing the water volume pumped from production wells nearest the river channel in the

1 most critical period when the RW level is high) to prevent a deterioration in GW quality at
2 pumping wells.

3

4 **6 Conclusions**

5 In the River Vantaa and its tributaries, around 370 GW ~~discharge–RW–interaction~~ sites could
6 be located with AIR along the studied rivers. GW ~~discharge–RW–exchange~~ was notable and
7 influenced the main stream temperatures in some river sections. AIR revealed some temporal
8 variation in GW ~~discharge–RW–interaction~~ in the Rivers Keravanjoki and Vantaa. The
9 longitudinal $T_{\min r}$ profiles displayed considerable spatial variability both within and among
10 the rivers.

11 The GW discharge locations identified with AIR were confirmed with RW and sediment
12 temperature measurements. The observed thermal stratification can bias the AIR results,
13 leading to an underestimation of the extent and magnitude of the GW ~~discharge–RW~~
14 ~~interaction~~, as only surficial temperature anomalies can be detected, and should be taken
15 account in AIR surveys during low-flow conditions in the summer.

16 In addition to temperature, stable isotopic compositions, EC and DSi concentrations of RW
17 were applied as tracers in the River Vantaa and its tributaries in order to verify the observed
18 GW discharge into the river system or RW recharge into the aquifer. The cold RW
19 temperatures observed with AIR, stable isotopes and DSi revealed that in smaller tributaries,
20 the water flowing in the streams is predominantly GW originating from the headwater
21 aquifers in the low-flow period. The results of this study support the use of several methods
22 simultaneously to survey and confirm the GW–RW interaction.–

23 Results of water quality monitoring revealed that in the GR–RW interaction areas transport of
24 pathogens or recalcitrant contaminants from river beds to aquifers pose a risk to safe drinking
25 water production during the maximum river flow periods. During the maximum river flow
26 periods, the RW can recharge the adjacent aquifer, and risk management activities targeted at
27 controlling bank infiltration are needed at several sites utilized by water works. The
28 interaction locations identified during the low-flow season in July 2010 and 2011 should be
29 considered as potential risk areas for the 12 water intake plants during floods, and should be
30 taken under consideration in RW basin management under changing climatic situations.
31 Climate change is predicted to result in increasing floods, which could increase the

1 vulnerability to contamination of water intake plants located in proximity to main stream
2 channels due to the RW. Moreover, to quantify the volumes of GW discharge into the river
3 beds as well as the bank infiltration from streams to the aquifers, river flow rate
4 measurements are recommended.

5 This research provided new insights for water management, and the results could be used in
6 evaluating the possible effects of GW and RW exchange on water quality in the identified
7 exchange zones. Based on the results of this research potential GW quality deterioration
8 during peak-flow periods has been acknowledged at several waterworks. Infiltration of RW
9 through permeable strata was observed to affect GW quality in some water intake wells
10 installed into sand and gravel deposits in the vicinity of river bed. In order to avoid disruption
11 in the drinking water supply new locations of ~~groundwater~~-GW intake wells and intensified
12 monitoring of hydraulic heads as well as quality of GW between river bed and wells have
13 been considered at these water intake areas.

14

15 **Acknowledgements**

16 Petri Pellikka, Tuuli Toivonen and Pasi Valkama assisted in the fieldwork during the
17 summers of 2010 and/or 2011. [Two anonymous referees and the editor provided constructive](#)
18 [comments and suggestions that helped to improve the manuscript.](#) The research was funded
19 by the Maa- ja Vesitekniiikan Tuki Foundation, the K.H. Renlund Foundation, Hyvinkää
20 Water, Nurmijärvi Water, Riihimäki Water, the Water Supply Company of the Tuusula
21 Region, Uusimaa Centre for Economic Development, Transport and the Environment, the
22 Water Protection Association of the River Vantaa and Helsinki Region and the Department of
23 Geosciences and Geography, University of Helsinki.

24

1 **References**

- 2 Asano, Y., Uchida, T., and Ohte, N.: Hydrologic and geochemical influences on the dissolved
3 silica concentration in natural water in a steep headwater catchment, *Geochim. et cosmochim.*
4 *Ac.*, 67, 1973–1989, doi: 10.1016/S0016-7037(02)01342-X, 2003.
- 5 Beniston, M., Stephenson, D. B., Christensen, O. B., Ferro, C. A. T., Frei, C., Goyette, S.,
6 Halsnaes, K., Holt, T., Jylhä, K., Koffi, B., Palutikof, J., Schöll, R., Semmler, T, and Woth,
7 K.: Future extreme events in European climate: an exploration of regional climate model
8 projections, *Clim. Change*, 81, 71–95, 2007.
- 9 Boulton, A. J., Findlay, S., Marmonier, P., Stanley, E. H., and Valett, H. M.: The functional
10 significance of the hyporheic zone in streams and rivers, *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.*, 29, 59–81,
11 1998.
- 12 Brander, M.: Virtaamamittaukset tutkimusmenetelmänä pohjaveden purkautumismäärien sekä
13 jokiveden ja pohjaveden sekoittumissuhteiden arvioinnissa Vantaanjoen valuma-alueen
14 jokiuomissa, MSc thesis, University of Helsinki, Finland, 2013.
- 15 Breilin, O., Paalijärvi, M., and Valjus, T.: Pohjavesialueen geologisen rakenteen selvitys I
16 Salpausselällä Hyvinkään kaupungissa Nummenjärki-Suomiehensuo alueella (Geological
17 structure of groundwater area on Nummenjärki-Suomiehensuo area in Salpausselkä I), *Geol.*
18 *Surv. of Finland*, Espoo, Arch. Rep.17/2014, 89 pp., 2004. (in Finnish)
- 19 Brunke, M. and Gonser, T.: The ecological significance of exchange processes between rivers
20 and groundwater, *Freshwater Biol.*, 37, 1–33, 1997.
- 21 Clark, I. D. and Fritz, P.: *Environmental Isotopes in Hydrogeology*. Lewis Publishers, Boca
22 Raton, FL, 328 pp, 1997.
- 23 Conant, B. Delineating and quantifying ground water discharge zones using streambed
24 temperatures. *Ground Water* 42: 243–257, 2004.
- 25 Conant, B. and Mochnacz, N. J.: Low-altitude and land-based infrared thermography to
26 identify types of groundwater discharge in NWT streams, in: 2009 Joint Assembly, American
27 Geophysical Union, Toronto, Ontario, 24–27 May, H72A-02, 2009.
- 28 Conley, D. J.: Riverine Contribution of Biogenic Silica to the Oceanic Silica Budget, *Limnol.*
29 *Oceanogr.*, 42, 774–777, 1997.

1 Cristea, N. C. and Burges, S. J.: Use of thermal infrared imagery to complement monitoring
2 and modeling of spatial stream temperature, *J. Hydraul. Eng.*, 14, 1080–1090, 2009.

3 Dansgaard, W.: Stable isotopes in precipitation, *Tellus*, 16, 436–468, 1964.

4 Davis, J. B.: Aerial thermography surveys to detect groundwater discharge in the St. Johns
5 river water management district, Northeast Florida, in: *ASPRS 2007 Annual Conference*
6 Tampa, Florida, 7–11 May, 174–182, 2007.

7 Dugdale, S., Bergeron, N., and St-Hilaire, A.: Temporal variability of thermal refuges and
8 water temperature patterns in an Atlantic salmon river, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 136, 358–373,
9 2015.

10 Ekholm, M.: Suomen vesistöalueet, National Board of Waters and the Environment, Helsinki:
11 Publ. Serie A 126, 166 pp., 1993.

12 Faux, R. N., Lachowski, H., Maus, P., Torgersen, C. E., and Boyd, M. S.: New approaches for
13 monitoring stream temperature: Airborne thermal infrared remote sensing, *Remote Sensing*
14 *Applications Laboratory*, USDA Forest Service, Salt Lake City, Utah, Project Rep., 32 pp.,
15 2001.

16 Finnish environmental administration: Oiva – the environmental and geographical
17 information service, Helsinki, Finland, Observation station number 0469451, Data extracted
18 24 Feb 2015, 2015.

19 Finnish environmental administration: Oiva – the environmental and geographical
20 information service, Helsinki, Finland, Observation station number 0110651, Data extracted
21 24 Feb 2015, 2015.

22 Finnish environmental administration: Oiva – the environmental and geographical
23 information service, Helsinki, Finland, Observation station number 0154302, Data extracted
24 24 Feb 2015, 2015.

25 Gat, J.R. and Gonfiantini, R.: Stable isotope hydrology: Deuterium and oxygen-18 in the
26 water cycle, IAEA, IAEA Tech. Rep. Series No. 210, Vienna, 339 pp., 1981.

27 Gibson, J. J., Edwards, T. W., Birks, S. J., St Amour, N. A., Buhay, W. M., McEachern, P.,
28 Wolfe, B. B., and Peters, D. L.: Progress in isotope tracer hydrology in Canada, *Hydrol.*
29 *Process.*, 19, 303–327, 2005.

1 Hansen, E. A.: Some effects of groundwater on brown trout, *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.*, 104,
2 100–110, 1975.

3 Harvey, F. E., Lee, D. R., Rudolph, D. L., and Frape, S. K.: Locating groundwater discharge in
4 large lakes using bottom sediment electrical conductivity mapping, *Water Resour. Res.*, 33,
5 2609–2615, 1997.

6 Hatva, T.: Iron and manganese in groundwater in Finland: occurrence in glaciofluvial aquifers
7 and removal by biofiltration, National Board of Waters and the Environment, Publ. of the
8 Water and Environmental Institute 4, Helsinki, 1989.

9 Helmisaari, H.-S., Illmer, K., Hatva, T., Lindroos, A.-J., Miettinen, I., Pääkkönen, J., and
10 Reijonen, R.: Tekopohjaveden muodostaminen: imeytystekniikka, maaperäprosessit ja veden
11 laatu (Artificial recharge of groundwater: infiltration technique, soil processes and water
12 quality), METLA, TEMU Final Rep., Research Papers 902, Vantaa, 2003. (in Finnish).

13 Helsinki-Uusimaa Region: Vantaanjoen kehittämissuunnitelma, Helsinki, Publ. B18, 1997. (in
14 Finnish)

15 Hinton, M. J., Schiff, S. L., and English, M. C.: Examining the contributions of glacial till
16 water to storm runoff using two- and three-component hydrograph separations. *Water Resour.*
17 *Res.*, 30, 983–993, 1994.

18 Jylhä, K., Tuomenvirta, H. and Ruosteenoja, K.: Climate change projections for Finland
19 during the 21st century. *Boreal Env. Res.*, 9, 127–152, 2004.

20 Karlsson, K.-P.: Atlas of Finland, folio 132, Water, National Board of Survey and
21 Geographical Society of Finland, Helsinki, 31 pp., 1986.

22 Kendall, C., Sklash, M. G., and Bullen, T. D.: Isotope Tracers of Water and Solute Sources in
23 Catchments, in: *Solute Modelling in Catchment Systems*, John Wiley and Sons, New York,
24 261–303, 1995.

25 Kendall, C. and Coplen T. B.: Distribution of Oxygen-18 and deuterium in river waters across
26 the United States, *Hydrol. Process.*, 15, 1363–1393, 2001.

27 Korhonen, J., and Haavanlammi, E.: *Hydrological Yearbook 2006–2010*, Finnish
28 Environmental Institute, Helsinki, *The Finnish Environment* 8/2012, 2012.

29 Korkka-Niemi, K., Rautio, A., and Wiebe, A.: Methods for investigating groundwater surface
30 water interaction at Lake Pyhäjärvi, SW Finland, in: *6th National Geological Colloquium*

- 1 program and abstracts, Publications of the Department of Geology Series A 3, Helsinki,
2 Finland, 4–6. March 2009, 2, 2009.
- 3 Korkka-Niemi, K., Rautio, A., Niemistö, P., and Karhu, J.: Hydrogeochemical and isotopic
4 indications of ground water–surface water interaction at Lake Pyhäjärvi, SW Finland, in:
5 GQ2010: groundwater quality management in a rapidly changing world, (eds.) Schirmer, M.,
6 Hoehn, E., and Vogt, T., IAHS Publ. 342, 423–426, 2011.
- 7 Korkka-Niemi, K., Kivimäki, A.-L., Lahti, K., Nygård, M., Rautio, A., Salonen, V.-P., and
8 Pellikka, P.: Observations on groundwater–surface water interaction, River Vantaa, Finland,
9 Management of Env. Quality, 23, 222–231. 2012.
- 10 Kortelainen, N.: Isotopic Fingerprints In Surficial Waters: Stable Isotope Methods Applied In
11 Hydrogeological Studies; Geol. Surv. of Finland 41, Espoo, 55 pp.,
12 <http://arkisto.gtk.fi/ej/ej66synopsis.pdf> (last access: December 2014), 2007.
- 13 Kortelainen, N. M. and Karhu, J. A.: Regional and seasonal trends in the oxygen and
14 hydrogen isotope ratios of Finnish groundwaters: a key for mean annual precipitation, J.
15 Hydrol., 285, 143–157, 2004.
- 16 Kortelainen, N. M. and Karhu, J. A.: Tracing the decomposition of dissolved organic carbon
17 in artificial groundwater recharge using carbon isotope ratios, Appl. Geochem., 21, 547–562,
18 2006.
- 19 Krause, S., Blume, T., and Cassidy, N. J.: Investigating patterns and controls of groundwater
20 up-welling in a lowland river by combining Fibre-optic Distributed Temperature Sensing with
21 observations of vertical hydraulic gradients, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 16, 1775–1792, 2012.
- 22 Lahermo, P., Tarvainen, T., Hatakka, T., Backman, B., Juntunen, R., Kortelainen, N.,
23 Lakomaa, T., Nikkarinen, M., Vesterbacka, P., Väisänen, U., and Suomela, P. Tuhat kaivoa –
24 Suomen kaivovesien fysikaalis- kemiallinen laatu vuonna 1999 (One thousand wells – the
25 physical-chemical quality of Finnish well waters in 1999), Geol. Surv. of Finland, Espoo,
26 Rep. of Investigation 155, 2002. ([In Finnish with English abstract in English](#))
- 27 Lahermo, P., Väänänen, P., Tarvainen, T., and Salminen, R.; Geochemical atlas of Finland,
28 Part 3: Environmental geochemistry - stream waters and sediments, Geol. Surv. of Finland,
29 Espoo, Finland, 1996.

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: Justified

- 1 Lee, D.R.: Method for locating sediment anomalies in lakebeds that can be caused by
2 groundwater flow, *J. Hydrol.*, 79, 187–193, 1985.
- 3 Loheide, S. P. and Gorelick, S. M.: Quantifying Stream–aquifer Interactions through the
4 Analysis of Remotely Sensed Thermographic Profiles and In Situ Temperature Histories,
5 *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 40, 3336–3341, 2006.
- 6 Loheide, S.P. and Deitchman, R.S.: A thermal remote sensing tool for mapping spring and
7 diffuse groundwater discharge to streams, Water Resources Institute, University of
8 Wisconsin, Final Rep. WR07R005, 2009.
- 9 Matthews, K. R., Berg, N. H., Azuma, D. L., and Lambert, T. R.: Cool water formation and
10 trout habitat use in a deep pool in the Sierra Nevada, California, *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.*, 123,
11 549–564, 1994.
- 12 Merlivat, L. and Jouzel, J.: Global climatic interpretation of the deuterium-oxygen 18
13 relationship for precipitation, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 84, 5029–5033, 1979.
- 14 [Mäntylä, K. and Saarelainen, S.: Rakennetun ympäristön sopeutuminen ilmastonmuutoksen](#)
15 [aiheuttamille tulvavaikutuksille, Tutkimuskohteena Vantaanjoki \(Adaption of the built](#)
16 [environment for the flooding impacts caused by climate change\)](#), VTT Technical Research
17 Centre of Finland, Res. Rep. VVT-R-04429-08, 180 pp., 2008. (in Finnish)
- 18 Neal, C., Neal, M., Reynolds, B., Maberly, S. C., May, L., Ferrier, R. C. Smith, J., and Parker,
19 J. E.: Silicon concentrations in UK surface waters. *J. of Hydrol.*, 304, 75–93, 2005.
- 20 Nielsen, J. L., Lisle, T. E., and Ozaki V.: Thermally stratified pools and their use by steelhead
21 in northern California streams, *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.*, 123, 613–626, 1994.
- 22 Nygård, M.: Jokien hydrauliset yhteydet pohjavesimuodostumiin Vantaanjoen valuma-
23 alueella lämpötila- ja vedenlaatuaineistojen perusteella, MSc thesis, University of Helsinki,
24 Finland, 2011.
- 25 Pirinen, P., Simola, H., Aalto, J., Kaukoranta, J.-P., Karlsson, P., Ruuhela, R.: Climatological
26 statistics of Finland 1981–2010. Finnish Meteorological Institute, Helsinki, Rep. No. 2012:1,
27 2012.
- 28 Poole, G. C. and Berman. C. H.: An ecological perspective on in-stream temperature: Natural
29 heat dynamics and mechanisms of human-caused thermal degradation, *Environ. Manage.*, 27,
30 787–802, 2001.

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

- 1 Ranta, E., Rita, H., and Kouki, J.: Biometria, tilastotiedettä ekologeille ([Biometry, statistics](#)
2 [for ecologists](#)), Yliopistopaino. Helsinki, 569 pp., 1991. (in Finnish)
- 3 Rock, N. M. S.: Numerical Geology: A Source Guide, Glossary and Selective Bibliography to
4 Geological Uses of Computers and Statistics Numerical Geology, Lecture Notes in Earth
5 Sciences, Vol 18, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 427 pp., 1988.
- 6 Rosenberry, D.O. and LaBaugh, J.W.: Field techniques for estimating water fluxes between
7 surface water and ground water, US Geol. Surv., Techniques and Methods 4-D2, Reston,
8 Virginia, US Geol. Surv., 128 pp., 2008.
- 9 Rozanski, K., Froehlich, K., and Mook, W. G.: Surface water, Vol. III, in: Environmental
10 isotopes in the hydrological cycle: principles and applications, (Ed.): W.G. Mook, IHP-V,
11 Technical Documents in Hydrology No. 39, UNESCO, Paris, 2001.
- 12 Röper, T., Greskowiak, J., and Massmann G.: Detecting Small Groundwater Discharge
13 Springs Using Handheld Thermal Infrared Imagery, *Groundwater*, 52, 936–942, 2014.
- 14 Sandborg, M.: Soranoton vaikutus pohjaveteen. Tutkimusraportti I: Pohjaveden laatuun
15 vaikuttavien aineiden geokemiallisia ominaisuuksia (Effect of gravel extraction on
16 groundwater Report 1: Geochemical properties of substances affecting groundwater quality),
17 National Board of Waters and the Environment, Helsinki, Serie 328, 1993. (in Finnish)
- 18 Scanlon, T. Scanlon, T. M., Raffensperger, J. P., and Hornberger, G. M.: Modeling transport
19 of dissolved silica in a forested headwater catchment: Implications for defining the
20 hydrochemical response of observed flowpathways, *Water Resour. Res.*, 37, 1071–1082,
21 2001.
- 22 Schmidt, C., Conant, B., Bayer-Raich, M., and Schirmer, M.: Evaluation and field-scale
23 application of an analytical method to quantify groundwater discharge using mapped
24 streambed temperatures, *J. Hydrol.*, 347, 292–307, 2007.
- 25 Selker, J. S.: Taking the temperature of ecological systems with fibre-optics, *EOS. Trans.*
26 *AGU*, 89, 187, doi:10.1029/2008EO200007, 2008.
- 27 Schneider, R. L., Negley, T. L., and Wafer, C.: Factors influencing groundwater seepage in a
28 large, mesotrophic lake in New York, *J. Hydrol.*, 310, 1–16, 2005.
- 29 Sebestyen, S. D. and Schneider, R. L.: Dynamic temporal patterns of nearshore seepage flux
30 in a headwater Adirondack lake, *J. Hydrol.*, 247, 137–150, 2001.

Formatted: Justified

1 Seuna, P.: Suomen vesistöalueet: Ehdotus vesistöalueiden yleisjaoksi ja vesistötunnukseksi
2 (Main drainage areas in Finland: subdivision of watersheds and river systems), National
3 Board of Waters, Helsinki, Report 10, 1971. [\(in Finnish\)](#)

4 Silander, J., Vehviläinen, B., Niemi, J., Arosilta, A., Dubrovin, T., Jormola, J., Keskisarja, V.,
5 Keto, A., Lepistö, A., Mäkinen, R., Ollila, M., Pajula, H., Pitkänen, H., Sammalkorpi, I.,
6 Suomalainen, M., and Veijalainen, N.: Climate change adaptation for hydrology and water
7 resources, Finnish Environment Institute, Helsinki, FINADAPT WorkingPaper 6, Finnish
8 Environment Institute Mimeographs 335, 2006.

9 Soveri, J., Mäkinen, R., and Peltonen, K.: Pohjaveden korkeuden ja laadun vaihtelusta
10 Suomessa 1975–1999 (Changes in groundwater levels and quality in Finland in 1975–1999),
11 The Finnish Environment 420, Finnish Environmental Institute, Helsinki, 350 pp., 2001.
12 [\(English-summary in English\)](#)

13 Stanford, J. A. and Ward, J. V.: An ecosystem perspective of alluvial rivers: connectivity and
14 the hyporheic corridor, J. North Am. Benthol. Soc., 12, 48–60, 1993.

15 Suhonen, V. and Rantakokko, K.: Vantaanjoen tulvatorjunnan toimintasuunnitelma (The
16 strategy for preventing floods in River Vantaa), Centre for Economic Development, Transport
17 and the Environment for Uusimaa, Helsinki, Rep.1/2006, 2006. [\(In Finnish\)](#)

18 Tikkanen, M.: Geomorphology of the Vantaanjoki drainage basin southern Finland, Fennia,
19 167, 19–72, 1989.

20 Tonolla, D., Wolter, C., Ruthz, T., and Tockner, K.: Linking fish assemblages and
21 spatiotemporal thermal heterogeneity in a river-floodplain landscape using high-resolution
22 airborne thermal infrared remote sensing and in-situ measurements, Remote Sens. Environ.,
23 125, 134–146, 2012.

24 Torgersen, C. E., Faux, R. N., McIntosh, B. A., Poage, N. J., and Norton, D. J.: Airborne
25 thermal remote sensing for water temperature assessment in rivers and streams, Remote Sens.
26 Environ., 76, 386–398, 2001.

27 Tóth, J.: A Theoretical Analysis of Groundwater Flow in Small Drainage Basins, J. of
28 Geophysical Res., 68, 4795–4812, 1963.

29 Vahtera, H., Männynsalo J., and Lahti K.: Vantaanjoen yhteistarkkailu - Vedenlaatu vuonna
30 2011 ([Monitoring program of River Vantaa - Water quality in 2011](#)), The Water Protection

1 Association of the River Vantaa and Helsinki Region, Helsinki, Publ. of 67/2012, 94 pp.,
2 2012. [\(in Finnish\)](#)

3 Vahtera, H., Männynsalu, J., and Lahti. K.: Vantaanjoen yhteistarkkailu - Vedenlaatu vuosina
4 2011 – 2013 ([Monitoring program of River Vantaa - Water quality 2011 – 2013](#)), The Water
5 Protection Association of the River Vantaa and Helsinki Region, Helsinki, Publ. of 72/2014.
6 93 pp., 2014. [\(in Finnish\)](#)

7 Vanek, V, and Lee, D. R.; Mapping submarine groundwater discharge areas—An example
8 from Laholm Bay, southwest Sweden. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 36, 1250–1262, 1991.

9 Veijalainen, N., Sippel, K., and Vehviläinen, B.: Tulvien muuttuminen Vantaanjoella ja
10 Espoonjoella. In, *Climate is Changing in The Helsinki Metropolitan Area Background Studies*
11 *for the Adaptation Strategy*, Helsinki Region Environmental Services Authority, Helsinki,
12 Publ. 3/2010, 37–53. 2009. (abstract in English)

13 Veijalainen, N., Lotsari, E., Alho, P., Vehviläinen, B., and Käyhkö, J.: National scale
14 assessment of climate change impacts on flooding in Finland, *J. Hydrol.*, 391, 333–50, 2010.

15 Winter, T. C., Harvey, J. W, Franke, O. L., and Alley, W. M.: *Ground Water and Surface*
16 *Water A Single Resource*, U.S Geol. Surv. circular 1139, Denver, Colorado, US Geol. Surv.,
17 87 pp., 1998.

18 Woessner, W. W.: Changing views of stream-ground-water interaction. *Proceedings of*
19 *American Institute of Hydrology/International Association of Hydrologists XXVIII Congress:*
20 *Gambling with Groundwater, Physical, Chemical and Biological Aspects of Aquifer-Stream*
21 *Relationships* St. Paul, MN, September 1998, 1–6, 1998.

22 Woessner, W. W.: *Stream and Fluvial Plain Ground Water Interactions: Rescaling*
23 *Hydrogeologic Thought*, *Ground Water*, 38, 423–429, 2000.

24

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

1 Table 1. Field measurement study sites and their characteristics. Data on river flow rates,
 2 mean flow discharge (NQ) and mean high discharge (HQ) based on 2002–2012 data from the
 3 HERTTA database, except for the Rivers Palojoki and Herajoki, which are based on flow
 4 measurements in 2011–2012*.

River	Aquifer Type	River width (m) ^a	River depth (m)	NQ-HQ m ³ s ⁻¹
Vantaa	Glaciofluvial esker, unconfined	14–17	1.5–2.5	0.64–51.00
Keravanjoki	Littoral sand, semi-confined	15–25	1.0–2.7	0.07–48.00
Tuusulanjoki	Glaciofluvial esker + delta, unconfined	4–8	0.2–0.7	0.02–7.83
Palojoki	Glaciofluvial esker, semi-confined	3–9	0.1–1.1	0.02–2.44*
Lepsämäenjoki	Glaciofluvial esker, confined	3–5	0.5–1.4	0.08–19.80
Herajoki	Glaciofluvial esker, confined	2–3	0.3–0.5	0.02–0.95*

5 ^a River width from side to side

6 ^b River water depth range from minimum to maximum stage

7

8

1 Table 2. Discrete and diffuse groundwater discharge areas identified in the AIR surveys
 2 conducted in 2010 and 2011 classified into three categories.

River	Category 1 Spring /Discrete	Category 2 Creek/ Discrete	Category 3 Diffuse	Total
Herajoki	1	1	2	4
Lepsämäenjoki	25	11	19	55
Keravanjoki	40	32	53	125
Palojoki	17	6	21	44
Tuusulanjoki	6	4	14	24
Vantaa	41	23	58	122
Total	130	77	167	374

3

1 Table 3. The mean, range and standard deviation of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$, δD and d -excess values of water
 2 samples in summer 2011.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Water type	$\delta^{18}\text{O}$ ‰, VSMOW			δD ‰, VSMOW			d -excess			
	n ^a	Mean ^b	Range	SD ^c	Mean ^b	Range	SD ^c	Mean ^b	Range	SD ^c
Spring	6	-11.70	0.70	0.23	-83.8	2.8	0.95	9.8	3.3	1.06
GW	6	-11.57	1.49	0.63	-83.1	9.2	3.84	9.3	3.6	1.29
Well	14	-12.10	0.55	0.14	-86.9	2.8	0.80	9.9	1.9	0.53
R. Herajoki	4	-11.18	1.33	0.54	-80.8	10.2	3.89	8.6	1.6	0.66
R. Vantaa	6	-10.12	0.98	0.38	-75.6	7.7	3.35	5.4	1.8	0.62
R. Lepsämäenjoki	7	-10.08	0.85	0.28	-75.5	4.0	1.32	5.2	3.0	1.05
R. Tuusulanjoki	6	-9.70	3.19	1.06	-75.9	13.7	4.62	1.7	11.8	3.90
R. Palojoki	6	-9.63	2.52	0.96	-71.1	22.2	8.87	5.9	3.4	1.28
R. Keravanjoki	8	-8.84	2.59	1.15	-70.9	14.4	6.59	-0.2	6.4	2.65

3 ^a Number of analyses

4 ^b Arithmetic mean

5 ^c Standard deviation (1 σ)

6

1 Table 4. The mean, range and standard deviation of DSi values of water samples in summer
 2 2011.

Water type	DSi, ppm			
	n ^a	Mean ^b	Range	SD ^c
Spring	6	9.8	3.3	1.14
GW	6	8.9	4.3	1.38
Well	14	8.9	4.9	1.52
R. Herajoki	4	6.9	2.8	1.14
R. Lepsämäenjoki	7	6.2	2.1	0.72
R. Palojoki	6	3.4	0.5	0.16
R. Vantaa	6	3.0	2.3	1.00
R. Tuusulanjoki	6	2.1	6.6	2.32
R. Keravanjoki	8	2.1	0.7	0.23

3 ^a Number of analyses

4 ^b Arithmetic mean

5 ^c Standard deviation (1σ)

6

1 Figure 1. (a) Quaternary deposits and study sites in the River Vantaa catchment. End-moraine
2 ridges are included into glaciofluvial sand and gravel, and cover-moraine sheets into
3 glacial till, respectively. (b) Elevation model of the River Vantaa catchment. (Basemap
4 Database © National Land Survey of Finland 2010; Quaternary Deposit Database ©
5 Geological Survey of Finland 2008; Watershed Database © SYKE 2010; Topographic
6 Database © National Land Survey of Finland 2010; ~~Watershed Database © SYKE 2010~~).

7
8 Figure 2. (a) Land use in the River Vantaa catchment. (b) Location of the classified aquifers
9 of River Vantaa catchment and AIR flights over the Rivers Vantaa, Herajoki, Palojoki,
10 Keravanjoki, Tuusulanjoki and Lepsämäenjoki in 2010 and 2011. In Finland, mapped aquifers
11 are classified into three classes according to their priority. (Corine land cover © National
12 Land Survey of Finland 2010; Basemap Database © National Land Survey of Finland 2010;
13 Quaternary Deposit Database © Geological Survey of Finland 2008; Groundwater Database
14 © SYKE 2010; Watershed Database © SYKE 2010).

15
16 Figure 3. Schematic diagrams of the field study sites: (a) Hyvinkäänkylä field study site
17 (bedrock elevation data from Breilin et al., 2004); and (b) River Palojoki field study sites
18 (modified from Kortelainen and Karhu, 2006). (Basemap Database © National Land Survey
19 of Finland 2010; Quaternary Deposit Database © Geological Survey of Finland 2008;
20 Groundwater Database © SYKE 2010; Watershed Database © SYKE 2010).

21
22 Figure 4. (a) Thermal anomalies identified in the AIR surveys in 2010 and 2011. (b) The
23 longitudinal profiles of $T_{\min r}$ of the Rivers Vantaa, Keravanjoki and Lepsämäenjoki in 2011
24 (Basemap Database © National Land Survey of Finland 2010; Quaternary Deposit Database
25 © Geological Survey of Finland 2008; Groundwater Database © SYKE 2010; Watershed
26 Database © SYKE 2010).

27
28 Figure 5. Longitudinal profiles of $T_{\min r}$ of the Rivers Vantaa (a), Herajoki (b), Keravanjoki
29 (c), Tuusulanjoki (d), Lepsämäenjoki (e) and Palojoki (f) in 2010 (grey line) and 2011 (black

1 line). Notable tributary confluences, GW-SW exchange, dams, rapids and channel
2 morphology changes are marked in profiles.

3
4 Figure 6. Field studies at the Hyvinkäänkylä study site in the low-flow period in July 2010:
5 (a) longitudinal profile of RW temperatures (A-AA') near the sediment-water interface; (b)
6 continuous measurements of temperature and EC in RW 0.3 m above the river bottom
7 (monitoring period from 22 July to 2 August 2010); (c) cross-sectional profiles (from B-BB'
8 to J-JJ') of temperature and EC in RW near the sediment water interface and temperature in
9 the sediment (water depth ranging from 0.10 to 2.0 m) and (d) schematic figure of
10 stratification and the vertical RW temperature profile in the middle of the River Vantaa at
11 cross-section F-FF'. The grey array ~~is present~~ the GW sinking down by the river bank and the
12 dashed lines ~~present~~ the subsurface preferential GW flow paths.

13
14 Figure 7. Field studies at the River Palojoki study site during the low-flow period in July
15 2010: (a) longitudinal profile of RW temperatures (M-MM') near the sediment-water
16 interface; (b) continuous measurements of temperature and EC in RW 0.2 m above the river
17 bottom (monitoring period from 22 July to 2 August 2010) and (c) cross-sectional profiles
18 (from N-NN' to W-WW') of temperature and EC in RW near the sediment-water interface
19 and temperature in the sediment (water depth ranging from 0.10 to 1.62 m).

20
21 Figure 8. ~~(a) The GW and RW level values; and (b) the DOC and NO₃-NO₂-N concentrations~~
22 ~~, GW and RW level values~~ during the water quality monitoring ~~in~~ Hyvinkäänkylä study site
23 from 12 March to 2 May 2012.

24
25 Figure 9. The $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and δD values in the studied rivers: (a) the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and δD values of GW
26 samples and (b) the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ ~~and~~; δD ~~and~~ δSi values of RW samples. The data are shown against
27 the local meteoric water line (LMWL) ($\delta\text{D} = 7.67 \delta^{18}\text{O} + 5.79\text{‰}$) defined by Kortelainen
28 (2007).

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript