



Supplement of

Hydrological, chemical and isotopic budgets of Lake Chad: a quantitative assessment of evaporation, transpiration and infiltration fluxes

C. Bouchez et al.

Correspondence to: C. Bouchez (bouchez@cerege.fr)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the CC-BY 3.0 licence.

Supplementary material

References	Data	Localization	Monitored period	Number of data	Lake state	data processing	strategy of use in our study	
LAKE CHAD (Fig.2) MODEL CALIBRATION AND VALIDATION								
Bader et al. 2011	lake levels	Southern pool, Northern	1956 - 2008	1132	Normal and Small	unchanged	calibration	
Leblanc et al. 2011	surface estimations	pool, Archipelagos Northern Pool	1986-2001	105	Small	unchanged	calibration	
Carmouze, 1976 Chantraine and Lemoalle	[Na+]	Southern pool - distributed	04/68-01/73	12	Normal	averaged for the Southern pool averaged for the Southern pool and	calibration	
(1976a, 1976b, 1977),	[Na+]	Southern pool - distributed	10/73-03/77	23	Transition	corrected	calibration	
Carmouze, 1976, 1979 Chaptraine and Lemoalle	[Na+]	Northern pool - distributed	04/68-01/73	13	Normal	averaged for the Northern pool	calibration	
(1976a, 1976b, 1977),	[Na+]	Northern pool - distributed	09/73-04/76	8	Transition	averaged for the Northern pool and corrected	calibration	
Chantraine (1978) Carmouze, 1976	[Na+]	Archipelagos - distributed	04/68-01/73	12	Normal	averaged for the Archipelagos	calibration	
Chantraine and Lemoalle	[Nin+1	Archinologoo distributod	00/72 01/77	19	Transition	averaged for the Archipelagos and	colibration	
Chantraine (1978)	[Nd+]	Archipelagos - distributed	09/13-01/11	10	Transition	corrected	calibration	
This study	[Na+]	Southern pool - localized	2008-2011	15	Small	unchanged	validation	
Carmouze (1979)	[Na+]	Northern pool - localized	24-mai	2	Normal	unchanged	validation	
(1976a, 1976b, 1977),	[Na+]	Northern pool - localized	1974-1975	7	Transition	unchanged	validation	
Chantraine (1978)	[Nia+1	Northern nool - localized	1000-2001	2	Small	unchanged	validation	
Zairi, 2008	[Na+]	Northern pool - localized	2003-2005	37	Small	unchanged	validation	
This study	[Na+]	Northern pool - localized	2008	1	Small	unchanged	validation	
This study	[INd+]	Archipelagos - localized	2012	0	Smail	unchanged	not used	
Roche, 1980	δ ¹⁸ 0	Southern pool - distributed	09/68-12/69	4	Normal	averaged for the Southern pool	calibration	
Roche, 1980	⊼ ™0 ⊼ ™0	Archipelagos - distributed	09/68-12/69	4	Normal	averaged for the Archipelagos	calibration	
Dioret 2000	T 180	Southern nool - localized	1071	2	Transition	localized	validation	
This study	ο ~0 δ ¹⁸ 0 δ ² Η	Southern pool - localized	2008-2011	13	Small	localized	validation	
Djoret, 2000	δ ¹⁸ 0	Northern pool - localized	1971	10	Transition	localized	validation	
Gaultier, 2004	δ ¹⁸ 0 δ ² H	Northern pool - localized	1999-2001	2	Small	localized	validation	
Djoret, 2000	Δ ¹⁸ 0	Archipelagos - localized	1971	1	Transition	localized	validation	
		RIVERS (Fig.3)			MODEL INPUT VARIABLE			
Bader et al., 2011	daily discharge	Chari-Logone and KY	1956-2011	reconstructed data		unchanged		
				on all the time period				
Carmouze, 1976	[Na+]	Chari-Logone	1976	12				
Olivry 1996	[Na+]	Chari-Logone Chari-Logone	1969 mean	12	٦	monthly averages >> 1-year monthly	spline interpolation to daily	
Djoret, 2000	[Na+]	Chari-Logone	1995-1996	6	}	scenario	values	
Zairi, 2008	[Na+]	Chari-Logone	2008-2009	5	,			
This study	[INd+]	Chan-Logone	2008-2011	12				
Roche, 1969	[Na+]	Komadougou-Yobé	1970	10	•	manifely and an and the second second	aaliaa internalatian ta dail	
Zairi, 2004	[Na+]	Komadougou-Yobé	2007-2002	12	}	scenario	values	
This study	[Na+]	Komadougou-Yobé	2008	1	,			
Fontes, 1970a	δ ¹⁸ 0	Chari-Logone	1967-1969	56			antina internalation to dail.	
Djoret, 2000	δ ¹⁸ 0 / δ ² H	Chari-Logone	1971-1973 et	46/10 07. japy	}	scenario	values	
This study	⊼ '°0 / ⊼²H	Chan-Logone	2008-2012	07-janv				
Gaultier, 2004 This study	δ ¹⁸ 0 / δ ² H δ ¹⁸ 0 / δ ² H	Komadougou-Yobé Komadougou-Yobé	2001-2002 2008	21/21 1	}	monthly averages >> 1-year monthly scenario	spline interpolation to dail values	
		RAINFALL (Fig.4)			MODEL INPUT VARIABLE			
Bader et al., 2011	daily rainfall rates	Southern Pool, Northern Pool, Archinelagos	1956-2011	reconstructed data		unchanged		
		· •••, · •••, ••••, ••••, ••••, ••••,					anline intermelation to daily	
Roche, 1980	monthly [Na+]	N'Djaména	1969	12		1-year monthly scenario	values	
GNIP IAFA	A ¹⁸ 0	N'Diaména	1964-1995	86		interannual monthly values	spline interpolation to dail	
	00					reconstructed interannual monthly values	values spline interpolation to dail	
GNIP, IAEA	δ²H	N'Djaména	1964-1995	74		reconstructed	values	
		ATMOSPHERIC VAPOR	(Fig.4)			MODEL INPUT VARIABLE		
Tremoy et al., 2012	delta 180	Niamey	June 2010 - May 2011	hourly and daily measurements		1-year monthly scenario	spline interpolation to daily values	
CLIMATIC VARIABLES					MODEL INPUT VARIABLE			
Harris et al., 2014, CRU	Temperature	Southern Pool, Northern Pool Archinelagos	1956-2011	monthly		interannual monthly values	spline interpolation to daily	
Harris et al., 2014, CRU	Relative Humidity	Southern Pool, Northern Pool Archinelagos	1956-2011	monthly		interannual monthly values	spline interpolation to daily	

 Table 1. Details on the data set from the literature used in this study.

Table 2. Details on t	the new data set	provided in this	study
-----------------------	------------------	------------------	-------

Location	Date of sampling	$[Na^+] (mmol.L^{-1})$	$\delta^{18} { m O} \left(\% ight)$	$\delta^2 \mathrm{H} (\%)$
Lake Chad, Archipels	04/08/12	0,75		
Lake Chad, Archipels	04/08/12	0,70		
Lake Chad, Archipels	04/08/12	0,61		
Lake Chad, Archipels	04/08/12	0,72		
Lake Chad, Archipels	05/08/12	1,71		
Lake Chad, Archipels	06/08/12	0,74		
Lake Chad, Archipels	06/08/12	0,87		
Lake Chad, Southern pool	17/10/08	0,14	-3,38	-26,6
Lake Chad, Southern pool	02/11/10	0,13	-2,67	-15,9
Lake Chad, Southern pool	03/11/10	0,14	-2,63	-16,8
Lake Chad, Southern pool	03/11/10	0,14	-2,71	-17,2
Lake Chad, Southern pool	03/11/10	0,15	-2,68	-17,3
Lake Chad, Southern pool	02/12/11	0,15		
Lake Chad, Southern pool	03/12/11	0,16	-1,61	-9
Lake Chad, Southern pool	04/12/11	0,15	-1,6	-9,7
Lake Chad, Southern pool	06/12/11	0,15	-1,53	-9,7
Lake Chad, Southern pool	07/12/11	0,15	-1,38	-9,1
Lake Chad, Southern pool	07/12/11	0,15	-1,53	-9,2
Lake Chad, Southern pool	09/12/11	0,16	-1,42	-8,3
Lake Chad, Southern pool	09/12/11	0,15	-1,58	-8,2
Lake Chad, Southern pool	10/12/11	0,15	-1,44	-9
Lake Chad, Southern pool	11/12/11	0,21		
Lake Chad, Northern pool	11/10/08	0,88	9,93	38,60
Chari-Logone	19/10/08	0,13	-3,03	-20,7
Chari-Logone	19/11/11	0,11	-2,18	
Chari-Logone	19/11/11	0,15		
Chari-Logone	20/11/11	0,14	-2,77	
Chari-Logone	20/11/11	0,09	-3,26	
Chari-Logone	20/11/11	0,14		
Chari-Logone	21/11/11	0,19	-2,62	
Chari-Logone	27/11/11	0,14	-2,58	
Chari-Logone	01/12/11	0,15	-1,61	
Chari-Logone	22/07/12	0,13		
Chari-Logone	05/12/12	0,19		
Chari-Logone	04/12/12	0,15		
Komadougou-Yobe	12/10/08	0,21	-3,44	-25,8

Metropolis algorithm steps

This algorithm can be summarized by the following steps :

1. A parameter set is defined by the value of the likelihood function :

5
$$L(m_i) = k \cdot \exp\left(\frac{-S(\mathbf{m_i})}{li^2}\right)$$
 (1)

where where k is an appropriate normalization constant and li refers to the total noise variance.

2. At the inversion stage i+1, from a parameter set \mathbf{m}_i , a new parameter set \mathbf{m}_{i+1} is randomly created by a perturbation of \mathbf{m}_i within the a priori probability density function. The coefficient of perturbation corresponds to the local exploration of the neighborhood of the current value

- 10 of the Markov Chain process. It is chosen as 1/30 of the total range of a priori PDF to ensure exploration of all the parameter space.
 - 3. The direct problem is solved using the model and the parameter set \mathbf{m}_{i+1} and the new misfit $S(\mathbf{m}_{i+1})$ is calculated. The probability to accept the displacement from \mathbf{m}_i to \mathbf{m}_{i+1} is calculated using:

15
$$p = 1$$
 $if S(\mathbf{m}_{i+1}) < S(\mathbf{m}_i)$ (2)

$$p = \exp\left(\frac{-(S(\mathbf{m}_{i+1}) - S(\mathbf{m}_i))}{li^2}\right) \qquad \qquad if S(\mathbf{m}_{i+1}) > S(\mathbf{m}_i) \tag{3}$$

In the second unfavorable case, in practice, a number n is sorted in a uniform distribution between 0 and 1. It n is lower than the p value in Eq. 3, which has the probability p of occuring, then the unfavorable displacement to \mathbf{m}_{i+1} is accepted. The probability to accept a displacement that increases the error between the model and the data is designed to leave local minima of the misfit function (Eq ??). The li value influences the probability to accept an unfavorable case and must be chosen as a trade-off between avoidance of local minima and divergence of the misfit function. Several values of li were tested and a value of 0.03 was used.

- 4. Those steps are repeated until convergence is reached.
- 5. At the end, this method yields many parameter sets that were used during the walk. Only those that match a convergence criteria are kept (Fig. 1). The values of the parameters kept are analyzed to obtain the marginal *a posteriori* PDF of each parameter.



Figure 1. Representation of the misfit function as a function of the accepted samples during the Metropolis random walk algorithm.

20