

**Manuscript Details:**

Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 11, 1529–1554, 2014

Attribution of satellite observed vegetation trends in a hyper-arid region of the Heihe River Basin, Central Asia

Authors:

Y. Wang, M. L. Roderick, Y. Shen, F. Sun

**Response to Referee Comments by Referee 2**

Referee comments in Italics

**General comments**

1. *"Attribution of satellite observed vegetation trends in a hyper-arid region of the Heihe River Basin, Central Asia" by Wang et al. used satellite based vegetation index and rainfall data to differentiate climate vs. human activity impact on vegetation greening up in an arid region in China. This is a very interesting study and the research is carefully conducted. I generally support a publication of this manuscript but the following two comments should be considered during the revision stage.*

**We thank the reviewer for the positive comments.**

2. *First, the separation of irrigation and non-irrigation is a key step in this study. According to the authors, they used NDVI of 0.1 as a cutoff value to differentiate these two areas (Page 1535 Line 10-15). How to validate the 0.1 threshold? This needs elaboration and validation. Furthermore, the authors mentioned that it's difficult to distinguish agricultural vegetation from native vegetation. I was wondering whether harvesting time would be useful here. For example, if there's a common harvesting period in this region, the authors could do an analysis after harvesting and use spectral difference in the crop residuals and natural vegetation to distinguish them.*

**Good point.** Reviewer 1 also made a similar comment. We have the detailed information describing how we decided on the  $NDVI > 0.1$  threshold. We can incorporate that into the revision, perhaps in an appendix. We also have a complete uncertainty analysis that we will add as well.

*Second, the authors used an analytic framework to demonstrate their method foundation, which is very helpful. At the same time, I think it would be useful to comment on the uncertainty in the method in terms of both their analytic framework and datasets used. For example, the spatial resolution of MODIS and rainfall data are not the same, is there any consequence?*

**Very good point.** The rainfall data were used to show that the greenness

**measured over the desert made sense in terms of rainfall. Otherwise the rainfall is not used in the attribution. On the other hand, the runoff data is central to being able to use the method to predict the irrigation area. We will highlight and then incorporate a discussion on this important point in the revision. More uncertainties from datasets will be incorporated into the revision. Thank you.**

Y. Wang, M. L. Roderick, Y. Shen, F. Sun

27/3/2014