1	Estimation of temporal and spatial variations in
2	groundwater recharge in unconfined sand aquifers using
3	Scots pine inventories
4	
5	P. Ala-aho ¹ , P.M. Rossi ¹ and B. Kløve ¹
6	
7	[1] Water Resources and Environmental Engineering, Faculty of Technology,
8	University of Oulu, P.O. Box 4300, 90014 University of Oulu, Finland
9	Correspondence to: P. Ala-aho (pertti.ala-aho@oulu.fi)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

26 Abstract

27 Climate change and land use are rapidly changing the amount and temporal distribution of recharge in northern aquifers. This paper presents a novel method for distributing Monte 28 29 Carlo simulations of 1-D soil profile spatially to estimate transient recharge in an unconfined 30 esker aquifer. The modeling approach uses data-based estimates for the most important 31 parameters controlling the total amount (canopy cover) and timing (depth of the unsaturated 32 zone) of groundwater recharge. Scots pine canopy was parameterized to leaf area index (LAI) 33 using forestry inventory data. Uncertainty in the parameters controlling soil hydraulic 34 properties and evapotranspiration was carried over from the Monte Carlo runs to the final recharge estimates. Different mechanisms for lake, soil, and snow evaporation and 35 transpiration were used in the model set-up. Finally, the model output was validated with 36 independent recharge estimates using the water table fluctuation method and baseflow 37 38 estimation. The results indicated that LAI is important in controlling total recharge amount, 39 and the modeling approach successfully reduced model uncertainty by allocating the LAI 40 parameter spatially in the model. Soil evaporation compensated for transpiration for areas 41 with low LAI values, which may be significant in optimal management of forestry and 42 recharge. Different forest management scenarios tested with the model showed differences in annual recharge of up to 100 mm. The uncertainty in recharge estimates arising from the 43 44 simulation parameters was lower than the interannual variation caused by climate conditions. It proved important to take unsaturated depth and vegetation cover into account when 45 46 estimating spatially and temporally distributed recharge in sandy unconfined aquifers.

47

48

49

- 50
- 51
- 52
- 54

55 **1** Introduction

56 Eskers are permeable, unconfined sand and gravel aquifers (Banerjee, 1975). In addition to 57 water supply, they support groundwater-dependent ecosystems and provide recreational 58 services (Kløve et al., 2011). Esker hydrology is important as eskers and other glaciofluvial 59 aquifer types cover large areas of the North and are among the dominant aquifer types in the boreal zone. Management of these complex aquifers has gained recent attention (Bolduc et al., 60 61 2005, Karjalainen et al., 2013, Koundouri et al., 2012, Kurki et al., 2013). The European 62 Groundwater Directive requires such systems to be characterized in order to determine their 63 quality status, so knowledge of how to estimate groundwater recharge is becoming 64 increasingly important (EC, 2006). Esker aquifers are commonly covered with managed pine forests, where the forest canopy is likely to influence recharge amounts. The soil surface 65 profile of eskers is complex and highly variable, consisting of kettle holes and sand dunes, 66 resulting in variable depth of the unsaturated zone (Aartolahti, 1973), a factor which also 67 needs to be accounted for in recharge estimation. 68

69 Computational methods to estimate groundwater recharge vary from simple water balance 70 models, where water stores and fluxes are represented conceptually and related with 71 adjustable parameters (Jyrkama et al., 2002), to physically-based models using the Richards 72 equation (Assefa and Woodbury, 2013, Okkonen and Kløve, 2011) to solve water fluxes 73 through unsaturated zone. Computational methods solving the Richards equation are often 74 limited to small-scale areal simulations (Scanlon et al., 2002) and shallow unsaturated zones, and they commonly lack the soil freeze, thaw, and snow storage sub-routines relevant at 75 76 higher northerly latitudes (Okkonen, 2011). However, computational approaches can be 77 employed to produce the values on spatial and temporal variability in recharge often needed 78 in groundwater modeling (Dripps and Bradbury, 2010). The methods developed so far 79 commonly rely on a GIS platform for spatial representation and calculation approaches based 80 on water balance to create the temporal dimension of recharge (Croteau et al., 2010, Dripps 81 and Bradbury, 2007, Jyrkama et al., 2002, Sophocleous, 2000, Westenbroeck et al., 2010). Neglecting variations in depth of the unsaturated zone is common practice in many water 82 83 balance models used in recharge estimations. However, the residence time in the unsaturated zone may play an important role, especially in the timing of recharge in deep unsaturated 84 85 zones (Hunt et al., 2008), as acknowledged in recent work (Assefa and Woodbury, 2013, Jyrkama and Sykes, 2007, Scibek and Allen, 2006, Smerdon et al., 2008). 86

87 In numerical recharge models, actual evapotranspiration (ET) is a difficult variable to estimate accurately from climate, soil, and land use data. The vegetation is commonly parameterized 88 from land use or land cover maps (Assefa and Woodbury, 2013, Jyrkama et al., 2002, 89 90 Jyrkama and Sykes, 2007, Keese et al., 2005), where the vegetation characteristics and leaf 91 area index (LAI) are estimated based solely on vegetation type. In addition to tree canopy transpiration, understorey evaporation can constitute a large proportion of total ET. Soil 92 93 evaporation from the forest floor is generally reported to range from 3 to 40% of total ET 94 (Kelliher et al., 1993), although values as high as 92% have been recorded (Kelliher et al., 95 1998). For conifer forest canopies, understorey evaporation can largely compensate for low 96 transpiration in areas with lower LAI (Ohta et al., 2001, Vesala et al., 2005). Data on canopy-97 scale evaporation rates at latitudes above 60°N are rare (Kelliher et al., 1993). A few studies 98 have estimated ET from pine tree stands at patch scale (Kelliher et al., 1998, Lindroth, 1985), 99 but none has extended this analysis to spatially distributed groundwater recharge. Forest management practices have the potential to affect the transpiration characteristics of 100 101 coniferous forests, which typically leads to increased groundwater recharge (Bent, 2001, 102 Lagergren et al., 2008, Rothacher, 1970).

103 This study sought to expand the application of physically-based 1-D unsaturated water flow 104 modeling to simulate spatial and temporal variations in groundwater recharge, while taking 105 into account detailed information on vegetation (pine, lichen), unsaturated soil depth, cold 106 climate, and simulation parameter uncertainty. CoupModel (Jansson and Karlberg, 2004) was 107 used in simulations because of its ability to represent the full soil-plant-atmosphere continuum 108 adequately and to include snow processes in the simulations (Okkonen and Kløve, 2011). The 109 modeling set-up developed here uses spatially detailed information on tree canopy properties 110 and concentrates on simulating different components of evapotranspiration. Furthermore, it 111 considers the effect that forestry land use has on vegetation parameters and how this is 112 reflected in groundwater recharge. The simulation approach takes into account the variability 113 in the unsaturated depth throughout the model domain. Parameter uncertainty, often neglected in recharge simulations, is considered by using multiple random Monte Carlo simulation runs 114 115 in the process of distributing the 1-D simulations spatially. The overall aim of the study was to provide novel information on groundwater recharge rates and factors contributing to the 116 117 amount, timing, and uncertainty of groundwater recharge in unconfined sandy eskers aquifers.

118 2 Materials and Methods

119 **2.1** Study site

120 Groundwater recharge was estimated for the case of the Rokua esker aquifer in northern 121 Finland (Fig. 1). The climate at the Rokua aquifer is characterized by precipitation exceeding evaporation on an annual basis and statistics of the annual climate for the study period 1961 -**1**22 2010 in terms of precipitation, air temperature and FAO reference evapotranspiration 123 according to Allen et al. (1998) is presented in Table 1. Another important feature of the 124 125 climate is annually recurring winter periods when most precipitation is accumulated as snow. Groundwater recharge was estimated for a model domain of 82.3 km², 3.6 % of which is 126 127 covered by lakes.

2.1.1 Quantifying leaf area index from forestry inventories

Forestry inventory data from the Finnish Forest Administration (Metsähallitus, MH) and Finnish Forest Centre (Metsäkeskus, MK) were used to estimate LAI for the Rokua esker groundwater recharge area. The available data consisted of 2786 individual plots covering an area of 52.4 km² (62.4% of the model domain). The forestry inventories, performed mainly during 2000-2011, showed that Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris*) is the dominant tree in the model area (94.2% of plots). The forest inventory data include a number of data attributes and the following data fields, included in both the MH and MK datasets, were used in the analysis:

- 136 Plot area (p_A); [ha]
- 137 Main canopy type
- Average tree stand height (h); [m]
- Average stand diameter at breast height (d_{bh}); [cm]
- 140 Number of stems (n_{stm}); [1 ha⁻¹]
- 141 Stand base area (b_A); $[m^2 ha^{-1}]$
- 142 Stand total volume (V); [m³]

143 Inventory plots were excluded from the analysis if: (1) main canopy type was not pine forest, 144 (2) data were missing for d_{bh} and h or n_{stm} , or (3) the MH and MK datasets overlapped, in 145 which case MH was retained. However, several plots in the MH dataset were lacking n_{stm} data, which would have created a large gap in data coverage. Therefore the n_{stm} variable was estimated with a log-transformed regression equation using data on d_{bh} , p_A , and V as independent variables. This regression equation was built from 280 plots ($R^2 = 0.88$) and used to estimate n_{stm} for 288 plots. LAI was estimated as described by *Koivusalo et al.* (2008). Needle mass for an average tree in stand/plot was estimated from h and d_{bh} using empirical equations presented by Repola et al. (2007). LAI for a stand was calculated as:

$$152 \quad LAI = Nm_t * n_{stm} * S_{LA} \tag{1}$$

where Nm_t = needle mass per average tree in stand [kg], n_{stm} = number of stems per hectare 154 [1/ha], and S_{LA} = specific leaf area = 4.43 m² kg⁻¹ = 4.43*10⁻⁴ ha kg⁻¹ (Xiao et al., 2006).

155 Detailed information on LAI was used to obtain an estimate of how different land use 156 management options, already actively in operation in the area, could potentially affect 157 groundwater recharge. Clear-cutting is an intensive land use form in which the entire tree 158 stand is removed, and it is carried out in some parts of the study area. The first scenario 159 simulated the impact of clear-cutting by not resorting to the estimated LAI pattern at the site (Fig. 2), but by using an LAI value of 0-0.2 for the whole simulated area. In the second 160 161 scenario, which was the opposite of clear-cutting, the mature stand was assumed to have high 162 LAI values of 3.2-3.5 found at the study site and reported in the literature (Koivusalo et al., 163 2008, Rautiainen et al., 2012, Vincke and Thiry, 2008, Wang et al., 2004).

164

2.1.2 Determination of lichen water retention in soil evaporation

An organic lichen layer covers much of the sandy soil at the Rokua study site (Kumpula et al., 165 166 2000), so this lichen layer was included in soil evaporation (SE) calculations. Lichen 167 vegetation has the potential to affect SE by influencing the evaporation resistance of soil and 168 by intercepting rainfall before it enters the mineral soil surface (Kelliher et al., 1998). 169 Although lichens do not transpire water, their structural properties allow water storage in the 170 lichen matrix and capillary water uptake from the soil (Blum, 1973, Larson, 1979). The lichen 171 layer also increases soil surface roughness and thereby retards surface runoff (Rodríguez-172 Caballero et al., 2012).

173 In this study, water interception storage by the lichen layer was estimated from lichen 174 samples. In total, six samples (species *Cladonia stellaris* and *C. rangiferina*) were taken in 175 May 2011 from two locations 500 m apart, close to borehole MEA506 (see Fig. 1). These

176 samples were collected by pressing plastic cylinders (diameter 10.6 cm) through the lichen 177 layer and extracting intact cores, after which mineral soil was carefully removed from the base of the sample. Thus the final sample consisted of a lichen layer on top and a layer of <u>178</u> organic litter and decomposed lichen at the bottom, and was sealed in a plastic bag for 179 180 transportation. To obtain estimates of water retention capacity, the samples were first wetted until saturation with a sprinkler, left overnight at +4 °C to allow gravitational drainage and 181 182 weighed to determine 'field capacity'. The samples were then allowed to dry at room 183 temperature and weighed daily until stable final weight ('dry weight') was reached. The water 184 retention capacity (w_r) of the sample was calculated as:

185
$$w_r = \frac{m_{fc-}m_{dry}}{\rho_w} \cdot \frac{1}{\pi \cdot r^2}$$
(2)

186 where m_{fc} is the field capacity weight [M], m_{dry} is the final dry weight [M] at room 187 temperature, ρ_w [M L⁻³] is the density of water, and r [L] is the radius of the sampling 188 cylinder.

189 The mean water retention capacity of the lichen samples was found to be 9.85 mm (standard deviation (SD) 2.71 mm) and approximations for these values were used in model **190** 191 parameterization (Table 2). In the simulations, the lichen layer was represented as an organic 192 soil layer with similar Brooks and Corey parameterization as for mineral soil. To 193 acknowledge the lack of information about Brooks and Corey parameter estimates for lichen, 194 the parameters were included in the Monte Carlo runs (see section 2.2) with ranges which in 195 our opinion produced reasonable shape of the pressure-saturation curve allowing easy 196 drainage of the lichen.

 $\overline{}$

197

2.1.3 Geological data from soil samples

Particle size distribution was determined then 26 soil samples taken from five boreholes at 198 199 various depths (Fig. 1). 14 of the samples were analyzed also for pressure saturation curves. 200 Samples were characterized as fine or medium sand, while soil type in the other boreholes (Fig. 1) had previously been characterized as medium, fine or silty sand throughout the model 201 202 domain by the Finnish Environmental Administration. Therefore the soil samples from the five boreholes were considered to be representative of the soil type in the area. Pressure 203 204 saturation data from the samples was then used to define parameter ranges for the Brooks and 205 Corey equation used in the simulations (Table 2). Furthermore, particle size distribution values were employed to calculate the range of saturated vertical hydraulic conductivity for the samples, using empirical equations by Hazen, Kozeny-Carman, Breyer, Slitcher, and Terzaghi (Odong, 2007). The hydraulic conductivity for a given sample ranged approximately one order of magnitude between the equations. When using the five equations for the 26 samples in total, the calculated values were within $1.99*10^{-5} - 1.47*10^{-3}$ [m s⁻¹] for all but one sample. The obtained range was considered to reasonably represent the hydraulic conductivity variability in the study area and simulations (Table 2).

Water table was monitored for model validation purposes (Fig. 1) using pressure-based
dataloggers (Solinst Levelogger Gold). A measurement was made at one-hour intervals in five
boreholes screened 1-2 m below the water table. The depth of the unsaturated zone at these
boreholes varied from 1 to 15 m. The data were used to estimate groundwater recharge with
the water table fluctuation method (see section 2.5).

218 2.1.4 Climate data to drive simulations

219 Driving climate data for the model were taken from Finnish Meteorological Institute 220 databases for the modeling period 1 Jan 1961-31 Oct 2010. Daily mean temperature [°C] and 221 sum of precipitation [mm] were recorded at Pelso climate station, 6 km south of the study area (Fig. 1). The most representative long-term global radiation data [kJ m⁻² d⁻¹] for the area 222 223 were available as interpolated values in a grid covering the whole of Finland. The 224 interpolation data point was found to be at approximately the same location as borehole MEA2110 (Fig. 1). Long-term data on wind speed [m s⁻¹] and relative humidity [%] were 225 226 taken from Oulunsalo and Kajaani airports, located 60 and 40 km from the study site, 227 respectively. The data from the airports were instantaneous observations at three-hour 228 intervals, from which daily mean values were calculated. All the climate variables were recorded at reference height 2 m except for wind speed, which was measured at 10 m height. 229 230 The wind speed data were therefore recalculated to correspond to 2 m measurement height 231 according Allen et al. (1998) by multiplying daily average wind speed by 0.748. The suitability of long-term climate data for the study site conditions was verified with 232 233 observations made at a climate station established at the study site in an overlapping time period (Dec 2009-Oct 2010) and the agreement between the measurements was found to be **234** 235 satisfactory.

236 Data on long-term lake surface water temperature were needed to calculate lake evaporation 237 (see section 2.3.3), but were not available directly at the study site. However, surface water 238 temperature was recorded at Lake Oulujärvi by the Finnish environmental administration 239 (2013) 22 km from the study site in the direction of the Kajaani climate station (Fig. 1). The 240 Oulujärvi water temperature was found to be closely correlated (linear correlation coefficient 241 0.97) with daily lake water temperature recorded at Rokua during summer 2012. Daily lake 242 surface temperature data for Lake Oulujärvi starting from 21 July 1970 were used in lake 243 evaporation modeling. However, the data series had missing values for early spring and some gaps during five years in the observation period. These missing values were estimated with a 244 245 sine function, corresponding to the average annual lake temperature cycle, and a daily time 246 series was established for subsequent calculations.

It was essential to include snow accumulation in the simulations in order to represent the major spring recharge event of snowmelt. The snow accumulation routines in CoupModel were used (Jansson and Karlberg, 2004) and snowmelt was calculated with a degree-day approach model. Snow routines were calibrated separately using bi-weekly snow water equivalent (SWE) data from Vaala snowline measurements (Finnish environment administration, 2011) for the period 1960-2010 (Fig. 1). This separately calibrated snow model was used for all subsequent simulations.

254 **2.2 Modeling framework**

255 Recharge was estimated by simulating water flow through an unsaturated one-dimensional (1-256 D) soil column with the Richards equation using CoupModel (Jansson and Karlberg, 2004). 257 To distribute the simulations spatially, the recharge area was subdivided into different recharge zones, similarly to e.g. Jyrkämä et al. (2002). As each zone requires a unique 258 <mark>259</mark> simulation, the number of simulation setups rapidly increases, leading to high computational 260 demand and/or laborious manual adjustment of model set-up. In the present study, this was avoided by simulating water flow in a single unsaturated 1-D soil column multiple times with 261 different random parameterizations and distributing the results spatially to model zones. 262 263 Spatial coupling was done with the ArcGIS software (ESRI, 2011).

Zonation in the model was based on two variables: LAI and unsaturated zone depth (UZD).
The calculation of spatially distributed values for LAI and UZD is presented in detail in
sections 2.1.1 and 2.4. This produced a grid map with 20m x 20m cell size with a floating

267 point number assigned to each cell, resulting in a total of 205 708 cells for the model domain. 268 The spatially distributed data were then divided into 15 classes for LAI and 30 classes for 269 UZD (Figs. 2 and 5). The classes are primarily equal intervals, which was convenient in the 270 subsequent data processing, but in addition the frequency distributions of LAI and UZD cell 271 values were used to assign narrower classes for parameter ranges with many values (see 272 histograms in Figs. 2 and 5). Class interval for LAI was 0.2 units up to a value of 2 (class 1: 273 LAI = 0-0.2, etc.) and 0.3 to the maximum LAI value of 3.5. Class interval for UZD was 1 m 274 to 10 m depth and 2 m to the final depth of 51 m. Finally, the classified LAI and UZD data 275 were combined to a raster map with 20m x 20m cell size, producing 449 different zones with 276 unique combinations of LAI and UZD values.

277 Simulations for the unsaturated 1-D soil profile were made for the period 1970-2010, and 278 before each run 10 years of data (1960-1970) were used to spin up the model. The time 279 variable boundary condition for water flow at the top of the column was defined by driving 280 climate variables and affected by sub-routines accounting for snow processes. All water at the 281 top of the domain was assumed to be subjected to infiltration. This model simplification well 282 is justified by the permeable soil type with high infiltration capacity (as noted by Keese et al., 283 2005). Deep percolation as gravitational drainage was allowed from soil column base using 284 the unit-gradient boundary condition (see e.g. Scanlon et al., 2002). The column was 285 vertically discretized into 60 layers with increasing layer thickness deeper in the profile: 286 Layer thickness was 0.1 m until 1.6 m (the first layer lichen), 0.2 m between 1.6 and 3 m, 0.5 287 m between 3 and 10 m, 1 m between 10 and 17 m and 2 m from 17 m to the bottom of the 288 profile (51 m).

The simulation was performed as 400 Monte Carlo runs to ensure enough model runs would be available for each LAI range. Model was ran each time with different parameter values as specified in Table 2. The parameters for which values were randomly varied were chosen beforehand by trial and error model runs exploring the sensitivity of parameters with respect to cumulative recharge or evapotranspiration. The parameter ranges were specified from field data when possible; otherwise we resorted to literature estimates or in some cases used \pm 50% of the CoupModel default providing a typical parameter for the used equation.

Variation in the LAI and UZD parameters were used to allocate the simulations spatially to the study site. To follow the example in Figure 3, a cell with a LAI value of 0.1 was assigned to cell class 1 along with all other cells in the LAI range 0-0.2. In addition to LAI, the model 299 was zoned according to unsaturated zone depth. For each model cell, a value for simulated 300 water flow was extracted from the midpoint of unsaturated soil class corresponding to the cell 301 in question. In the example in Figure 3, a cell with an UZD value of 5.2 m belongs to soil 302 class 6, representing unsaturated depth of 5-6 m. Water flow at 5.5 m depth represents the 303 groundwater recharge time series for the model cell in question. In this way, each of the 400 304 simulations of the unsaturated soil column provided a water flow time series for each UZD 305 class. When LAI class for the same example cell was considered, there were on average 27 306 simulation time series (number of total model runs [400] divided by number of LAI cell 307 classes [15]) available for the example cell with UZD 5.2 m and LAI 0.15.

308 After completing the CoupModel simulations for the unsaturated soil column, each unique 309 recharge zone (a combination of UZD and LAI class) had on average 27 recharge time series 310 produced by different random combinations of parameters (Table 2). To propagate the 311 variability in the 27 time series into the final areal recharge, a recharge value was randomly 312 selected for each time step and each recharge zone from the ensemble of 27 (on average) and 313 multiplied by the number of model cells belonging to the recharge zone in question (Eq. 3). 314 Because the recharge rates were in units of mm/day, the rate was converted to volumetric flux 315 $[m^3 d^{-1}]$ by multiplying it by the cell area (A_c) with appropriate unit transformations. Finally, 316 the volumetric flow rate from all the unique recharge zones was summarized for a given time 317 step and the sum was converted from $[m^3 d^{-1}]$ to $[mm d^{-1}]$ by dividing by the surface area of 318 the total recharge area (Atot). This procedure was carried out for all time steps and then 319 repeated a number of times (here 150 times) to ensure that all of the simulated time series for 320 each recharge zone were represented in the random selection process.

321
$$R_{i,j} = \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{449} n(l) * Rs_{i,rand(1:k)} * A_c}{A_{tot}}$$
(3)

where $R_{i,j}$ is the final sample of areal recharge [mm day⁻¹], i is the index for simulation time step (= 1:14975), j is the index for sample for a given time step (1:150), 1 is the index for unique recharge zone, n(1) is the number of cells in a given recharge zone, Rs is the recharge sample [mm/day] for a given recharge zone at time step I, k is the number of time series for a given recharge zone, A_c is the surface area of a model raster cell (=20 m * 20 m = 400 m²), and A_{tot} is the surface area of the total recharge area.

The resulting R matrix has 150 time series for areal recharge produced by simulations with different parameter realizations. The variability between the time series provides an indication of how much the simulated recharge varies due to different model parameter values. The method allows computationally efficient recharge simulations, because the different recharge zones do not all have to be simulated separately.

334 The method assumes that: (1) over the long-term, the water table remains at a constant level, 335 i.e. the unsaturated depth for each model cells stays the same. Monitoring data from 11 336 boreholes and seven lakes with more than 5 years of observation history shows level 337 variability of 1 - 1.5 m, with depressions and recoveries of the water table. This variability is 338 within the accuracy of water table estimation by interpolation, and therefore we find the 339 assumption of long term equilibrium acceptable for the study site. (2) the capillary fringe in 340 the sandy soil is thin enough not to affect the water flow before arriving at the 'imaginary' 341 water table at the center of each soil class. (3) only vertical flow takes place in the unsaturated 342 soil matrix, a typical assumption in recharge estimation techniques (Dripps and Bradbury, 2010, Jyrkama et al., 2002, Scanlon et al., 2002) (4) surface runoff is negligible primarily due 343 344 to the permeable soil type, and also due to lichen cover inhibiting runoff. The maximum observed daily rainfall for the area has been 57.4 mm. Further assuming that rain for the day 345 fell only during one hour, it would equal to 1.59*10⁻⁵ m s⁻¹ input rate of water, which is close 346 to the lower range of saturated hydraulic conductivity at the study site $(1.99*10^{-5} \text{ m s}^{-1})$. 347 Therefore rainstorms at the site very rarely exceed the theoretical infiltration capacity. As a 348 349 field verification, surface runoff has not been observed during field visits and the area lacks 350 intermittent or ephemeral stream networks. (5) uncertainties in the estimation of spatially 351 distributed LAI and UZD values justify the use of approximations (i.e. water flow at the UZD 352 class range midpoint and LAI value specified only as a range for each cell) in the cell 353 classification phase.

The model set-up used fine temporal and spatial discretization with a daily time step and 20m x 20m cell size, respectively. The short time step was chosen to fully capture the main recharge input from snowmelt and to demonstrate its impact on recharge variability at different water table depths. The small model cell size was selected to ensure full exploitation of the forest inventory plots in LAI determination. Simulation times for the current set-up were approximately 10 hours for 400 simulations of the 50 m soil profile for the period 1961-2010, and 12 hours to redistribute the simulations to the 200 000 model cells for each time 361 step and create 150 realizations of recharge time series. Where the computational capacity or 362 the length of the run times poses a problem, the modeling methodology allows different 363 spatial and temporal dimensions, which would speed up the long simulation times.

364 The sensitivity of the parameters varied in the simulations was tested with Kendall correlation 365 analysis, by testing the correlation between each model parameter and cumulative sums of 366 different evapotranspiration components and soil infiltration for the 400 model runs. 367 Individual simulation with unique parameter values did not produce a groundwater recharge 368 value due to the assembling strategy for recharge; therefore the ET components and soil 369 infiltration were selected as variables for comparison. In addition, correlations were examined 370 as scatter plots to ensure that possible sensitivity not captured by the monotonic correlation 371 coefficient was not overlooked.

372 **2.3 Estimation of Evapotranspiration**

Four different evaporation processes were considered in this study; soil, snow, and lake 373 374 evaporation and transpiration (Fig. 4). In areas with unsaturated soil zones, the first three 375 evaporation components were estimated, along with water flow simulations, using CoupModel. However, as 3.6 % of the surface area of the study site consists of lakes (Fig. 1), 376 377 lake evaporation from free water surfaces was calculated independently from the CoupModel 378 simulations. Kettle hole lakes in esker aquifers often lack surface water inlets and outlets and 379 are therefore an integral part of the groundwater system (Ala-aho et al., 2013, Winter et al., 1998), so we considered these lakes as contributors to total groundwater recharge. In other 380 381 words, rainfall per lake surface area is treated equally as addition to the aquifer water storage 382 as groundwater recharge. As a difference, lake water table is subjected to evaporation unlike 383 the groundwater table.

384 2.3.1 Transpiration

- \bigcirc 385 Transpiration from the Scots pine canopy $(L_v E_{tp})$ was calculated using Penman-Monteith (P-
 - 386 M) combination Eq. (4):

$$387 \qquad L_{\nu}E_{tp} = \frac{\Delta R_n + \rho_a \cdot c_p \frac{(\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_a)}{r_a}}{\Delta + \gamma \left(1 + \frac{r_s}{r_a}\right)} \tag{4}$$

388 where R_n is net radiation, ρ_a is air density, c_p is the specific heat of air, e_s is the vapor pressure 389 at saturation, e_a is the actual air vapor pressure, r_a is the aerodynamic resistance, Δ is the slope 390 of the saturated vapor pressure-temperature curve, γ is the psychrometer constant, and r_s is 391 surface resistance.

392 The aerodynamic resistance (r_a) for transpiration was calculated as:

$$393 r_a = \frac{ln\left(\frac{z_{ref} - a}{z_0}\right)}{k^2 \cdot u} (5)$$

394 where z_{ref} is the reference height of the measurements, d is the displacement height, z_0 is the 395 roughness length, k is von Karman's constant, and u is wind speed.

396 Surface resistance (r_s) was estimated with Eq. 6:

397
$$r_s = \frac{1}{\max(LAI \cdot g_l; 0.001)}$$
 (6)

398 where g_1 is the leaf conductance given by the Lohammar equation (see e.g. Lindroth, 1985).

399 Whenever possible, all the parameters relating to the Penman-Monteith equation were 400 estimated based on data, namely LAI of the canopy. Surface resistance and saturation vapor 401 pressure difference are the main factors controlling conifer forest evapotranspiration, while 402 the aerodynamic resistance is of less importance (Lindroth, 1985, Ohta et al., 2001). In the 403 calculation of aerodynamic resistance with the P-M equation, roughness length is related to 404 LAI and canopy height, according to Shaw and Pereira (1982). Other parameters governing 405 the aerodynamic resistance, except for LAI, were treated as constant. The surface resistance 406 of the pine canopy was estimated with the Lohammar equation (see e.g. Lindroth, 1985), 407 accounting for effects of solar radiation and air moisture deficit in tree canopy gas exchange. 408 Because LAI values have a strong influence in the surface resistance Lohammar equation, the 409 other parameters governing the surface resistance were excluded from the Monte Carlo runs. 410 Distribution of root biomass with respect to depth from the soil surface was presented with an 411 exponential function, because most Scots pine roots are concentrated in the shallow soil zone. 412 A root depth of 1 m was used for the entire canopy (Kalliokoski, 2011, Kelliher et al., 1998, 413 Vincke and Thiry, 2008).

414 2.3.2 Soil evaporation with lichen cover

415 Soil evaporation was calculated using an empirical approach (Eq. 7) based on the P-M 416 equation, as described in detail in *Jansson and Karlberg* (2004). In this approach, soil 417 evaporation $(L_v E_{tp})$ is calculated for the snow-free fraction of the soil surface, and the snow 418 evaporation is solved separately as a part of snow pack water balance:

419
$$L_{v}E_{tp} = \frac{\Delta(R_{n}-q_{h})+\rho_{a}\cdot c_{p}\frac{(e_{s}-e_{a})}{r_{as}}}{\Delta+\gamma\left(1+\frac{r_{ss}}{r_{as}}\right)}$$
(7)

420 where q_h is the soil surface heat flux, r_{as} is the aerodynamic resistance of soil, and r_{ss} is the 421 surface resistance of soil.

422 The aerodynamic resistance of the soil (r_{as}) is calculated as Eq (8):

423
$$r_{as} = r_{alai} \cdot LAI + \frac{1}{k^2 \cdot u} \cdot ln\left(\frac{z_{ref} - d}{z_{0M}}\right) \cdot ln\left(\frac{z_{ref} - d}{z_{0H}}\right) \cdot f(R_{ib})$$
(8)

424 where r_{alai} is an empirical parameter, z_{0M} and z_{0H} are surface roughness lengths for momentum 425 and heat, respectively, and $f(R_{ib})$ is a function governing the influence of atmospheric 426 stability.

427 The surface resistance for soil (r_{ss}) is given by:

428
$$r_{ss} = \frac{r_{\Psi} \cdot log(\Psi_s - 1 - \delta_{surf}); \quad \Psi_s > 100}{r_{\Psi}(1 - \delta_{surf}); \quad \Psi_s \le 100}$$
(9)

429 where r_{Ψ} is an empirical coefficient, Ψ_s is the water tension in the uppermost soil layer, and 430 δ_{surf} is the mass balance at the soil surface (see Jansson and Karlberg, 2004).

431 In areas where the water table is close to the soil surface, the water table can provide an 432 additional source of water for evapotranspiration (Smerdon et al., 2008). Simulations with a 433 water table fixed at different depths in the soil profile would have been possible in the 434 CoupModel setup. However, it would have doubled the amount of model runs for each 435 considered water table depth and water table was not explicitly simulated for computational 436 efficiency. Upward fluxes were not excluded from the recharge time series and negative 437 fluxes were considered as "negative recharge" at any depth. Only the simplification is made that water available for upward fluxes comes only form the soil moisture storage, not from thewater table.

440 To take into account the decreased recharge for areas with near surface water tables, the 441 recharge for cells with an unsaturated zone of <1 m was estimated with a water balance 442 approach. We assumed that for areas with a shallow water table, soil water content was not a 443 limiting factor for transpiration. Therefore an additional water source for transpiration was 444 considered by making the transpiration rate equal to simulated potential transpiration (T) 445 during times when the actual transpiration was simulated (T >0.05 mm). Increasing effect of 446 the water table located at 1 m depth on soil evaporation was tested with simulations and found 447 to be 5-10% higher with than without a water table. Therefore a 7% addition was made to the 448 simulated actual soil evaporation for cells with a shallow water table. Daily recharge (R_{1m}, L T^{-1}) for cells with unsaturated depth below 1 m was estimated as: 449

450
$$R_{1m} = I - T_{adj} - ES_{adj}$$
 (10)

451 where I is infiltration water arriving to lake/soil surface, including both meltwater from the 452 snowpack and precipitation [L T⁻¹], T_{adj} [mm d⁻¹] is adjusted transpiration, and ES_{adj} [mm d⁻¹ 453 ¹] is adjusted soil evaporation.

454 2.3.3 Lake evaporation

455 Lake cells were identified according from a base map and the daily lake recharge (R_{lake} , [L T⁻ 456 ¹]) per unit area was then calculated with a water balance approach as:

$$457 \qquad \mathbf{R}_{\text{lake}} = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{E}_{\text{lake}} \tag{11}$$

458 where E_{lake} [L T⁻¹] is lake evaporation.

459 Lake evaporation (E_{lake}) was estimated with the mass transfer approach (see e.g. Dingman,
460 2008) according to Eq. (12).

461 $E_{lake} = K_E \cdot v_a \cdot (e_s - e_a)$ (12)

462 where K_E is mass transfer coefficient $[ML^{-1}T^{-2}]$, v_a is wind speed $[L T^{-1}]$, $e_s [ML^{-1}T^{-2}]$ is 463 saturated vapor pressure at lake water surface temperature, and $e_a [ML^{-1}T^{-2}]$ is air vapor 464 pressure. The mass transfer coefficient (K_E) represents the efficiency of vertical water 465 transport from the evaporating surface and it can be treated as a function of lake size:

466
$$K_E = 1.69 \times 10^{-5} \cdot A_L^{-0.05}$$
 (13)

467 where A_L is lake surface area [km²]

468 The groundwater recharge study area has lakes of variable size, from less than 1 ha to 25 ha 469 (Fig. 1). Lake size variability was included in the total recharge calculation by randomly 470 selecting a K_E value (from the range 1-25 ha) in Eq. (13) when calculating lake evaporation, 471 and thereby groundwater recharge in model cells with lakes (see section 2.2). The mass 472 transfer method was selected because of its simplicity, daily output resolution, low data 473 requirement, and physically-based approach. However various calculation methods could 474 easily be used in the modelling framework, depending on the data availability (see e.g. 475 Rosenberry et al., 2007). If lake percentage in the area of interest is high, more sophisticated 476 methods may be required to better represent the system. However, for the Rokua site the bias 477 introduced by a simplistic approach was considered minor.

478 **2.4** Estimation of unsaturated layer depth

The depth of the unsaturated layer at each model cell was estimated by subtracting interpolated water table level from digital elevation model (DEM) topography calculated based on LiDAR data (*National land survey of Finland*, 2012). The water table elevation was estimated with the ordinary Kriging interpolation method from four types of observations: water table boreholes, stages of kettle hole lakes, elevation of wetlands located in landscape depressions, and land surface elevation at the model domain (Fig. 5).

Water table borehole observations give the most accurate and reliable estimate of the water table position because they provide direct measurements on the water table. The water table elevation in a given piezometer was estimated here as the average value of the entire measurement history of each piezometer.

Kettle hole lakes in the area are imbedded in the aquifer and thus reflect the level of the regional water table (Ala-aho et al., 2013). The lake stage was extracted as the DEM elevation for a given lake, while for large lakes several interpolation points were scattered around the lake shore to better steer the interpolation locally.

Wetland elevation was used as a proxy for the water table elevation in locations where more certain observations (piezometers, lake levels) were lacking. If a wetland was present in the topographical depression, the water table was considered to lie at the depression bottom, in 496 order to sustain the conditions needed for wetland formation. Wetlands were detected from497 the base map and the value for water table proxy was assigned from the DEM.

498 Finally, the land surface elevation was considered to give a reasonable estimate of the water 499 table position in the transition zone between recharge and discharge areas. The Rokua aquifer 500 is phreatic in the recharge area and Rossi et al. (2012) demonstrated that the peatlands 501 partially confine the aquifer and can create artesian conditions in the discharge area. Even 502 though some local overestimation of the water table may have resulted from the 503 approximation method at the transition zone, it was found to be important to have some points 504 to guide the interpolation at the model domain boundary in order to acknowledge the 505 characteristics of the sloping water table towards the discharge area. The proxy used for water 506 table was extracted from the DEM to points approximately 250 m apart at the boundary of the 507 model domain.

508 **2.5 Model validation**

509 Model performance was tested by comparing the simulated recharge values with two 510 independent recharge estimates in local and regional scale; the water table fluctuation (WTF) 511 method and base flow estimation, respectively. The WTF method is routinely used to estimate 512 groundwater recharge because of its simplicity and ease of use, and assumes that any rise in 513 water level in an unconfined aquifer is caused by recharge arriving at the water table. For a 514 detailed description of the method and its limitations, see e.g. Healy and Cook (2002). The recharge amount (R, L T⁻¹) is calculated based on the water level prior to and after the 515 516 recharge event and the specific yield of the soil:

517
$$R = S_y \frac{\Delta h}{\Delta t}$$
(14)

518 where S_y is the specific yield, h is the water table height [L], and t is the time of water table 519 rise [T].

The WTF method requires groundwater level data with adequate resolution for both time and water level, to identify periods of rising and falling water table. Such data, with hourly interval water level recordings were available for the study site from six water table wells with average unsaturated zone depths of 1.2, 1.6, 5.0, 8.0, 9.3, and 14.7 m (Fig. 1). Wells where the water table was <2 m from the ground surface responded to major precipitation events. In the deeper wells, only the recharge from snowmelt was seen as water table rise. Estimates of the soil specific yield are required for the calculations (Eq. 14), but no soil samples were available from the wells used in water table monitoring. Drilling records for these wells reported fine and medium sand, which was consistent with the particle size distribution for other wells in the area. Therefore an estimated value of 0.20-0.25 for the specific yield of all wells was used, according to typical values for fine and medium sand (Johnson, 1967).

532

The recharge estimated with the WTF method was compared with the simulated recharge during the recorded water level rise in the well. For each well, the cumulative sum of simulated water flow was extracted from soil profile depth corresponding to well water table depth. As an example, the simulated recharge in well ROK1 (unsaturated depth on average 14.7 m) was extracted from soil class 12, corresponding to recharge for unsaturated thickness of 14-16 m. All 400 model runs were used, providing 400 estimates for recharge for each time period of recorded water level rise.

540 A regional estimate of groundwater recharge was estimated as baseflow of streams originating 541 at the groundwater discharge area. Because the Rokua esker aquifer acts as a regional water 542 divide, stream flow was monitored around the esker, in total of 18 locations (Fig. 1). The 543 flows were measured total of 8 times between 6 July 2009 and 3 August 2010 (see Rossi et 544 al., 2014). The lowest total outflow during 9-10 February 2010 was recorded after three 545 months of snow cover period, when water contribution to streams from surface runoff was 546 minimal. The minimum outflow was considered as baseflow from the aquifer reflecting long term groundwater recharge in the area. However, some groundwater discharges to larger 547 548 regional lakes and rivers traveling underneath the measured small streams (Rossi et al., 2014), 549 and thereby the baseflow to the small streams was expected to be lower than the total 550 recharge.

551

553 **3 Results**

3.1 Model validation with the WTF and baseflow methods

555 The model showed reasonable performance and consistency against independent recharge 556 estimates obtained with both WTF and baseflow methods (Fig. 6 and Table 3, respectively). 557 The WTF method agreed well with the simulated values, with overlapping estimates between the methods for all but two boreholes. Also the median value of simulations was close to 558 WTF method, with some bias to higher estimates from the simulations. The order of 559 560 magnitude for regional estimate of recharge, stream baseflow, corresponded well to simulated 561 recharge, level of match depending on the examined simulation period (Table 3). The measured baseflow was 70 500 m³ s⁻¹, or 312.7 mm a⁻¹ when related to the recharge area. 562 563 When comparing to the simulated long term average recharge and recharge for previous year, 564 the measured baseflow was lower than the simulated recharge. Then again, when extracting 565 the recharge data for the exact stream discharge measurements dates 9-10 February 2010, 566 stream baseflow exceeded the simulated recharge.

567

568 **3.2** Recharge and evapotranspiration time series

The dynamics of water flow time series responded to snowmelt and rain storm events rapidly at 1.5 m depth, but because of permeable sandy soils a clear signal of annual snowmelt was evident throughout the depth of the aquifer (Fig. 7). The data showed a delay in response to wet seasons when moving down in the soil profile, as expected. For example, snowmelt in the beginning of May 2008, gave the highest flow rate at 11 m in 19 May 2008, at 23 m in 29 June 2008 and at 49 m in 5 April 2009. Temporal variability is pronounced higher in the soil profile showing larger variability between maximimum and minimum flow.

Average land surface ET components remained relatively constant between years, but the simulated ET displayed a wide spread between simulations (Fig. 8). Estimated evaporation from the land surface (mean 237.6 mm) was somewhat lower than previous regional estimates of total ET (300 mm; (Mustonen, 1986)). Lake evaporation rates were generally higher than evapotranspiration from the land surface due to the different method for estimating lake evaporation. The variation in simulated lake evaporation was considerably lower than that in ET, as a different approach was used to account for uncertainty in the simulations. 583 Transpiration showed greater variation between simulations than soil evaporation and total 584 land surface ET. On average, transpiration also comprised a slightly larger share of total 585 evaporation than soil evaporation. Simulated snow evaporation was a small, yet not 586 insignificant, component in the total ET from land surface.

When recharge simulation time series were summarized to annual values (1 Oct-30 Sept), recharge rates co-varied with annual infiltration (Fig. 9). Both annual recharge and infiltration displayed an increasing trend. The plot also showed the level of uncertainty in annual recharge values introduced by differences in model parameterization (see Table 2). The difference between minimum and maximum value for simulated annual recharge was on average 23.0 mm. Thus the maximum variablity in recharge estimates was 6.3 % of mean annual recharge 362.8 mm.

594 Annual recharge was strongly correlated with annual sum of precipitation (linear correlation 595 coefficient 0.89) as expected based on previous work in humid climate and sandy soils (Keese 596 et al., 2005, Lemmelä, 1990). According to the simulations, the effective rainfall, i.e. the 597 percentage of corrected rainfall resulting in groundwater recharge annually, was on average 598 59.3%. This is in agreement with previous studies on unconfined esker aquifers at northerly 599 latitudes, in which the proportion of annual precipitation percolating to recharge is reported to 600 be 50-70% (71% by Zaitsoff (1984), 54% by Lemmelä and Tattari (1986) and 56% by 601 Lemmelä (1990)). The percentage of effective rainfall varied considerably, by almost 30 %-602 units, between different hydrological years, from 44.8% in some years up to 73.1% in others. Tests on whether the interannual variation in effective rainfall percentage could be explained 603 604 by sum of annual precipitation or maximum snow water equivalent showed no correlation 605 between either of these variables and effective recharge coefficient for a given year.

606 **3.3 Spatial distribution of groundwater recharge**

The spatial distribution of groundwater recharge was mostly due to variations in LAI originating from forestry data, distance to water table, and distribution of lakes (Fig. 10). Higher evaporation rates from lakes led to lower recharge in lakes (see red spots in Fig. 10). Similarly, large LAI led to high ET and resulted in low recharge in plots with high LAI. Other areas of low recharge, although not as obvious at the larger spatial scales shown in Fig. 10, were cells with a shallow water table (section 2.3.2). The effect of high ET at locations with a shallow water table can best be seen in south-east parts of the aquifer.

 \bigcirc

614 **3.4** Influence of simulation parameters on groundwater recharge

Kendall correlation analysis of simulation parameters and annual average model outputs identified LAI as the most important parameter controlling evapotranspiration and infiltration (Table 4). Parameters related to soil hydraulics and evaporation showed some sensitivity to simulation results, while the parameters for lichen vegetation were only slightly sensitive or insensitive to simulation output variables.

620 The LAI parameter governed the level of evaporation for different ET components (Fig. 11).

621 Evaporation from soil (and snow) compensated for mean annual ET for LAI values up to 622 around 1.0, after which total ET increased as a function of LAI.

The scenarios for low (0 ... 0.2) and high (3.2 ... 3.5) LAI would change the groundwater recharge rates compared to the current LAI distribution (Fig. 9). In the high LAI scenario the annual recharge was on average 101.7 mm lower than in the low LAI situation. These results suggest that management of the Scots pine canopy has a significant control on the total recharge rates in unconfined esker aquifers.

628

629 4 Discussion

The modeling approach developed here used forestry inventory data to simulate spatial and temporal variations in recharge. The Richards equation-based 1-D simulations were spatially distributed using Monte Carlo runs for an unsaturated soil column. Within the Monte Carlo process, residence time in the unsaturated zone was accounted for, while uncertainty in selected model parameters was propagated to the final recharge time series.

635 Model validation showed that the modeling approach could reasonably reproduce (1) the main groundwater recharge events when compared to the WTF method and (2) the regional level of 636 637 recharge compared to stream baseflow. The WTF estimates for recharge agreed with the 638 simulations, with a slight tendency for higher estimates by the simulations. The discrepancy 639 can be due to different assumptions behind the methods and uncertainty in local 640 parameterization; in the WTF method for the specific yield and for simulations mainly the 641 hydraulic conductivity which dictates the timing of recharge. However, there were overlapping estimates for almost every recharge event which shows consistency between the 642 methods. The stream baseflow was lower than the long term average recharge, which was 643 644 expected because of the site hydrogeology. All of the outflow from the aquifer was likely not

645 captured by the baseflow measurements as some of the water discharges to larger streams and 646 lakes outside of the stream catchments (Rossi et al., 2014). When simulated recharge was extracted specifically for the baseflow measurements dates, the lower values for simulated 647 648 recharge were also anticipated. The recharge displayed strong seasonal variability (see Fig. 7), 649 but the discharge to streams is in general more stable because of the stabilizing effect of the 650 groundwater storage. In conclusion, the order of magnitudes in the regional baseflow estimate 651 and the simulation results were consistent. Despite the very different assumptions on which 652 the modelling and field based methods were based, all provided similar estimates for 653 groundwater recharge at the study site.

654 There were different water flow rates at different depths (Fig. 7), demonstrating the role of the unsaturated zone in recharge. The high fluctuation in water flow at 1.5 m revealed the 655 656 recharge dynamics in aquifers with a shallow water table. Such aquifers would be highly 657 sensitive to annual fluctuations in recharge and respond rapidly to dry periods. On the other 658 hand, rainy years would most likely replenish the aquifer water stores very quickly. Deeper in 659 the soil profile, the response to wet and dry seasons was more modest, but still exhibited a 660 clear seasonal signal. The water flow appeared to have dry and wet cycles of 5-10 years. 661 Considering this, aquifers with unsaturated zones measuring tens of meters are likely to 662 respond only to wet and dry cycles in climate patterns, rather than the weather in individual 663 years. The temporal availability of the groundwater resource is most likely different for 664 aquifers with different unsaturated zone geometry, as suggested by e.g. Hunt et al. (2008) and 665 Smerdon et al. (2008).

666 According to the simulations, the percentage of precipitation forming groundwater recharge 667 varied considerably between years, as also reported in previous studies on transient recharge 668 (Assefa and Woodbury, 2013, Dripps and Bradbury, 2010). Even though annual recharge was 669 correlated with annual precipitation, and therefore years with high precipitation resulted in higher absolute recharge (Fig. 9), the percentage of effective rainfall did not increase as a 670 671 function of annual sum of precipitation. This is somewhat surprising, because the rather 672 constant evaporation potential between years (Fig. 8) and high soil hydraulic conductivity 673 could be expected to result in a higher percentage of rainfall reaching the water table in rainy 674 years. Some studies (Dripps and Bradbury, 2010, Okkonen and Kløve, 2010) have suggested 675 that when the main annual water input arrives as snowmelt during the low evaporation season, 676 it is likely to result in higher percentage recharge than in a year with little snow storage and 677 precipitation distributed evenly throughout summer and autumn, which may contribute to the 678 variability in the recharge coefficient. However, when the maximum annual SWE value was 679 used as a proxy for annual snowfall, there was no evidence of snow amount explaining the 680 interannual variability in the recharge coefficient. Other factors contributing to recharge 681 coefficient variability may be related to soil moisture conditions prior to snowfall, or the 682 intensity of summer precipitation events (Smerdon et al., 2008, Stähli et al., 1999). 683 Furthermore, the variability can to some extent be an effect of annual summation for the 684 period 1 Oct-30 Sept, usually considered the hydrological year in the Nordic climate. 685 Therefore the rainy autumn season is cut in 'half', and because recharge event comes with 686 some delay from precipitation, the rainfall considered for a given year may not be reflected in 687 the recharge for the year.

688 The above-mentioned reasons make the concept of effective rainfall, which is currently 689 routinely used to estimate groundwater recharge for groundwater management in e.g. Finland 690 (Britschgi et al., 2009), susceptible to over- or under-estimation of actual annual recharge. 691 This applies especially for aquifers with a thick unsaturated zone, where rainy years produce higher average recharge with some delay and for a longer duration (see Fig. 7). Therefore, if 692 693 allocated water abstraction permits e.g. 50% effective rainfall coefficient to be assumed for 694 each year, it potentially allows overuse of the resource during dry seasons. While aquifer 695 storage can buffer occasional over-extraction, the lowering of the water table may diminish 696 groundwater discharge to surface water bodies, depending on the geometry of the aquifer 697 (Zhou, 2009).

698 The method used here to estimate LAI from forestry inventories introduces a new approach 699 for incorporating large spatial coverage of detailed conifer canopy data into groundwater 700 recharge estimations. LAI values reported for conifer forests in Nordic conditions similar to 701 the study site are in the range 1-3, depending on canopy density and other attributes 702 (Koivusalo et al., 2008, Rautiainen et al., 2012, Vincke and Thiry, 2008, Wang et al., 2004). 703 The LAI values obtained for the study site (mean 1.25) were at the lower end of this range. 704 Furthermore, the data showed a bimodal distribution, with many model cells with low LAI (< 705 0.4) lowering the mean LAI. The low LAI values were not considered to be an error in data or 706 calculations, but were in fact expected because of active logging and clearcutting activities in 707 the study area. Although the equations to estimate LAI are empirical in nature and based on 708 simplified assumptions, the method can outline spatial differences in canopy structure. However, the LAI estimation method could be further validated with field measurements orLidar techniques (Chasmer et al., 2012, Riaño et al., 2004).

711 Plant cover, represented as LAI, proved to be the most important model parameter 712 determining the total recharge amount. This has been reported in earlier studies estimating groundwater recharge (Dripps, 2012, Keese et al., 2005, Sophocleous, 2000), but here the 713 714 vegetation was represented with more spatially detailed patterns and a field data-based 715 approach for LAI. According to previous studies, average ET from boreal conifer forests is around 2 mm d⁻¹ during the growing season (Kelliher et al., 1998), which is in similar to our 716 average value of 1.6 mm d⁻¹ for the period 1 May-31 Oct. Some earlier studies have claimed 717 718 that the influence of LAI on total ET rates from boreal conifer canopies is minor (Kelliher et 719 al., 1993, Ohta et al., 2001, Vesala et al., 2005), but our simulation results indicate that higher 720 LAI values lead to higher total ET values. While soil evaporation partly compensated for the 721 lower transpiration with low LAI values, the total annual ET values progressively increased as 722 a function of LAI (Fig. 11). Interestingly, the simulations suggested that ET remains constant 723 in the LAI range 0-1, potentially due to the sparse canopy changing the aerodynamic 724 resistance and partitioning of radiation limiting soil evaporation, while still not contributing 725 much to transpiration in total ET. This suggests that the maximum groundwater recharge for 726 boreal Scots pine remains rather constant up to a threshold LAI value of around 1. This 727 knowledge can be used when co-managing forest and groundwater resources in order to 728 optimize both.

729 The method allowed different land use scenarios in forestry management to be tested. The 730 simulations showed that variable intensity of forestry, from low canopy coverage (LAI = 0-731 0.2) to dense coverage (LAI = 3.2-3.5) resulted in a difference of over 100 mm in annual 732 recharge (Fig. 9). It can be argued that the scenarios are unrealistic, because high LAI values, 733 covering the whole study site, may not be achieved even with a complete absence of forestry 734 operations. Nevertheless, the result demonstrates a substantial impact of forestry operations 735 on esker aquifer groundwater resources. Wider use of this method in Finland is practically 736 possible, as active forestry operations in Finland have yielded an extensive database on 737 canopy coverage, which could be used in groundwater management.

The lichen layer covering the soil surface was explicitly accounted for in the simulation setup, which to our knowledge is a novel modification. *Kelliher et al.* (1998) concluded that precipitation intercepted by lichen was an important source of understorey evaporation, 741 especially directly after rain events. In addition, Bello and Arama (1989) reported that lichen 742 could intercept light rain showers completely and that only intense rain events caused 743 drainage from lichen canopy to mineral soil. While the lichen layer might have an increasing 744 effect on soil evaporation through 'interception storage', Fitzjarrald and Moore (1992) 745 suggest that a lichen cover may in fact have an insulating influence on heat and vapor 746 exchange between soil and atmosphere, therefore impeding evaporation from the mineral soil. 747 In the present study, the lichen layer appeared to have minor influence on total evaporation, 748 soil evaporation and infiltration, as these variables showed some sensitivity to lichen Brooks 749 and Corey parameters (Table 4). However, more intensive laboratory measurement of lichen 750 water retention and conduction properties is required to clarify the role of lichen in soil 751 evaporation, and thereby groundwater recharge.

752 Stochastic variation of selected model parameters illustrated the uncertainties relating to 753 numerical recharge estimation using the Richards equation in one dimension. The capability 754 and robustness of the Richards equation to reproduce soil water content and water fluxes have 755 been demonstrated extensively in various studies (Assefa and Woodbury, 2013, Scanlon et al., 2002, Stähli et al., 1999, Wierenga et al., 1991). However, we considered that model 756 757 calibration and validation with point observations of variables such as soil volumetric water content or soil temperature would not provide novel insights into water flow in unsaturated 758 759 soils. Instead, we incorporated the parameter uncertainty ranges, usually used in model 760 calibration, to the final recharge simulation output. An important outcome was that the 761 uncertainty in the model output caused by different model parameterizations was small in 762 comparison with the interannual variation in recharge. The error caused by uncertainty in the 763 model assumptions or driving climate data was not addressed in this study. We presume that 764 for the given case study, the uncertainty and suitability of the driving climate data would 765 introduce more uncertainty into the model output than model parameterization.

While it can be argued that all relevant parameters were not included and parameter ranges could be more carefully determined, the parameter set used was able to provide information on parameter sensitivity. LAI was the most important parameters controlling total ET, and thereby the amount of groundwater recharge (Table 4, Fig. 11). The LAI parameter was included in the equations controlling both transpiration and soil evaporation, and therefore the sensitivity of the parameter is not surprising. However, LAI is a measurable parameter in the otherwise semi-empirical equations used to simulate evaporation, and physically-based parameters are preferable to empirical-fitting parameters in deterministic simulation approaches. Thus the ability of the approach to reduce a large part of model variability by allocating the LAI parameter spatially is a substantial advantage in reducing the model uncertainty.

777 The sensitivity analysis performed focused on total cumulative values of flux variables and 778 did not address the temporal variations in the variables. Therefore the soil hydraulic 779 parameters showed only minor sensitivity, perhaps misleadingly. Soil hydraulic parameters 780 mainly influenced the timing of recharge through residence time in the soil, not so much the 781 total amount. It should be noted that vertical heterogeneity in the soil profile hydraulic parameters can reduce the total recharge rates (Keese et al., 2005). However, vertical <mark>782</mark> 783 heterogeneities were ignored in this study not only to simplify the model, but also because the 784 drilling logs showed only little variation in the area. Work of Wierenga et al. (1991) supports 785 the simplification by showing that excluding moderate vertical heterogeneities does not 786 significantly affect the performance of water flow simulations with the Richards equation. 787 Spatial differences in hydraulic parameters could be more accurately implemented in the modeling approach by creating a third zonation based on soil type, in addition to LAI and 788 789 UZD. This would require the parameter ranges for hydraulic conductivity and Brooks and Corey parameters to be expanded to cover the properties of different soil types. Even then, the <mark>790</mark> 791 model is applicable only in situations where the soil type is permeable enough to allow rapid 792 infiltration, so that surface runoff can be assumed to be of minor importance.

793

794 **5** Conclusions

795 A physically-based approach to simulate groundwater recharge for sandy unconfined aquifers in cold climates was developed. The method accounts for the influence of vegetation, 796 797 unsaturated zone depth, presence of lakes, and uncertainty in simulation parameters in the 798 recharge estimate. It is capable of producing spatially and temporally distributed groundwater 799 recharge values with uncertainty margins, which are generally lacking in recharge estimates, 800 despite understanding of uncertainty related to recharge estimates being potentially crucial for 801 groundwater resource management. However, the parameter uncertainty defined for the study 802 area was of minor significance compared with interannual variations in the recharge rates 803 introduced by climate variations. The uncertainty caused by model parameterization was 804 decreased by allocating the LAI parameter spatially in the model area.

805 The simulations showed that Scots pine canopy, parameterized as leaf area index (LAI), was 806 important in controlling the total amount of groundwater recharge. Forestry inventory 807 databases were used to estimate and spatially allocate the LAI and the results showed that 808 such inventories could be better utilized in groundwater resource management. A sensitivity 809 analysis on the parameters used showed that understorey evaporation could compensate for 810 low LAI-related transpiration up to a LAI value of approximately 1, which may be important 811 in finding the optimal level for forest management in groundwater resource areas. The 812 concept of effective rainfall gave inconsistent estimates of recharge in annual timescales, 813 showing the importance of using physically-based recharge estimation methods for 814 sustainable groundwater recharge management.

815

816 Author contribution

P. Ala-aho and P.M Rossi collected and analyzed the field data. P.Ala-aho designed the
simulation set-up, performed the simulations and interpreted the results. P.Ala-aho prepared
the manuscript with contributions from all co-authors.

820

821 Acknowledgements

822 This study was made possible by the funding from EU 7th Framework programme GENESIS (Contract Number 226536), Academy of Finland AKVA research program, the Renlund 823 824 Foundation, VALUE doctoral school and Maa- ja vesitekniikantuki ry. We would like to 825 express our gratitude to Geological survey of Finland, Finnish Forest Administration 826 (Metsähallitus) and Finnish Forest Centre (Metsäkeskus), Finnish meteorological institute, 827 Finnish environmental admisitration and National land survey of Finland for providing 828 datasets and expert knowledge that made this study possible in its current extent. To 829 reproduce the research in the paper, data from above mentioned agencies can be made 830 available for purchase on request from the corresponding agency, other data can be provided 831 by the corresponding author upon request.

832

833

835 References

- Aartolahti, T.: Morphology, vegetation and development of Rokuanvaara, an esker and dune
 complex in Finland, Societas geographica Fenniae, Helsinki, 1973.
- Ala-aho, P., Rossi, P. M. and Kløve, B.: Interaction of esker groundwater with headwater
 lakes and streams, J. Hydrol., 500, 144-156, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2013.07.014, 2013.

Allen, R., Pereira, L., Raes, D. and Smith, M.: Crop evapotranspiration - Guidelines for
computing crop water requirements, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United
Nations, Rome, 1998.

- Assefa, K. A. and Woodbury, A. D.: Transient, spatially- varied groundwater recharge
 modelling, Water Resour. Res., 49, 1-14, doi:10.1002/wrcr.20332, 2013.
- 845 Banerjee, I.: Nature of esker sedimentation, in: Glaciofluvial and glaciolacustrine
- sedimentation, Jopling, A. V. and McDonald, B. C. (Eds.), Soc. Econ. Paleontol. Mineral.,
 Special Publication 24, 1975.
- Bello, R. and Arama, A.: Rainfall interception in lichen canopies, Climatol. Bull, 23, 74-78,1989.
- Bent, G. C.: Effects of forest-management activities on runoff components and ground-water
 recharge to Quabbin Reservoir, central Massachusetts, For. Ecol. Manage., 143, 115-129,
- 852 2001.
- Blum, O. B.: Water relations, in: The lichens, Ahmadjian, V. and Hale, M. E. (Eds.),
 Academic Press Inc., USA, 381-397, 1973.
- 855 Bolduc, A., Paradis, S. J., Riverin, M., Lefebvre, R. and Michaud, Y.: A 3D esker geomodel
- 856 for groundwater research: the case of the Saint-Mathieu–Berry esker, Abitibi, Quebec,
- 857 Canada, in: Three-Dimensional Geologic Mapping for Groundwater Applications: workshop
 858 extended abstracts, Salt Lake City, Utah, 15 Oct, 2005.
- 859 Britschgi, R., Antikainen, M., Ekholm-Peltonen, M., Hyvärinen, V., Nylander, E., Siiro, P.
- and Suomela, T.: Mapping and classification of groundwater areas, The Finnish Environment
 Institute, Sastamala, Finland, 75 pp., 2009.
- 862 Chasmer, L., Pertrone, R., Brown, S., Hopkinson, C., Mendoza, C., Diiwu, J., Quinton, W.
- 863 and Devito, K.: Sensitivity of modelled evapotranspiration to canopy characteristics within
- the Western Boreal Plain, Alberta, in: Remote Sensing and Hydrology, Proceedings of a
- 865 Symposiom at Jackson Hole, Wyoming, USA, September 2010, 2012.
- 866 Croteau, A., Nastev, M. and Lefebvre, R.: Groundwater recharge assessment in the
- 867 Chateauguay River watershed, Canadian Water Resources Journal, 35, 451-468, 2010.
- 868 Dingman, S. L.: Physical hydrology, Waveland Press Inc, Long Grove, IL, 2008.

- 869 Dripps, W.: An Integrated Field Assessment of Groundwater Recharge, Open Hydrology
- 870 Journal, 6, 15-22, 2012.
- B71 Dripps, W. and Bradbury, K.: The spatial and temporal variability of groundwater recharge in
 a forested basin in northern Wisconsin, Hydrol. Process., 24, 383-392, doi:10.1002/hyp.7497,
 2010.
- 874 Dripps, W. and Bradbury, K.: A simple daily soil–water balance model for estimating the 875 spatial and temporal distribution of groundwater recharge in temperate humid areas,
- 876 Hydrogeol. J., 15, 433-444, doi:10.1007/s10040-007-0160-6, 2007.
- EC: Directive 2006/118/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council on the protection
 of groundwater against pollution and deterioration, 2006.
- 879 ESRI: ArcGIS Desktop: Release 10, Environmental Systems research institute, Redlands,
 880 Texas, 2011.
- 881 Finnish environmental administration: Oiva the environmental and geographical
- information service. Observation station number 5903320. Data extracted 27 June 2013,
 2013.
- 884 Finnish environmental administration: Oiva the environmental and geographical
- information service. Observation station number 1592101. Data extracted 11 Feb 2011, 2011.
- Fitzjarrald, D. R. and Moore, K. E.: Turbulent transports over tundra, J. Geophys. Res., 97,
 16717-16729, 1992.
- Healy, R. W. and Cook, P. G.: Using groundwater levels to estimate recharge, Hydrogeol. J.,
 10, 91-109, 2002.
- Hunt, R. J., Prudic, D. E., Walker, J. F. and Anderson, M. P.: Importance of unsaturated zone
 flow for simulating recharge in a humid climate, Ground Water, 46, 551-560, 2008.
- 892 Jansson, P. and Karlberg, L.: Coupled heat and mass transfer model for soil-plant-atmosphere
- systems, Royal Institute of Technolgy, Dept of Civl and Environmental Engineering,
 Stockholm, 435 pp., 2004.
- Johnson, A. I.: Specific yield: compilation of specific yields for various materials, US
 Government Printing Office, Washington, 1967.
- Jyrkama, M. I. and Sykes, J. F.: The impact of climate change on spatially varying
 groundwater recharge in the grand river watershed (Ontario), J. Hydrol., 338, 237-250, 2007.
- Jyrkama, M. I., Sykes, J. F. and Normani, S. D.: Recharge estimation for transient ground
 water modeling, Ground Water, 40, 638-648, 2002.
- Kalliokoski, T.: Root system traits of Norway spruce, Scots pine, and silver birch in mixed
 boreal forests: an analysis of root architecture, morphology, and anatomy, Ph.D. thesis,

- 903 Department of Forest Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry, University of Helsinki,904 2011.
- 905 Karjalainen, T., Rossi, P., Ala-aho, P., Eskelinen, R., Reinikainen, K., Kløve, B., Pulido-
- Velazquez, M. and Yang, H.: A decision analysis framework for stakeholder involvement and
 learning in groundwater management., Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 10, 8747-8780,
 doi:10.5194/hessd-10-8747-2013, 2013.
- 909 Keese, K. E., Scanlon, B. R. and Reedy, R. C.: Assessing controls on diffuse groundwater
- 910 recharge using unsaturated flow modeling, Water Resour. Res., 41, W06010,
- 911 doi:10.1029/2004WR003841, 2005.
- Kelliher, F. M., Leuning, R. and Schulze, E. D.: Evaporation and canopy characteristics of
 coniferous forests and grasslands, Oecologia, 95, 153-163, 1993.
- 914 Kelliher, F. M., Lloyd, J., Arneth, A., Byers, J. N., McSeveny, T. M., Milukova, I., Grigoriev,
- 915 S., Panfyorov, M., Sogatchev, A., Varlargin, A., Ziegler, W., Bauer, G. and Schulze, E. -.:
- 916 Evaporation from a central Siberian pine forest, J. Hydrol., 205, 279-296,
- 917 doi:10.1016/S0022-1694(98)00082-1, 1998.
- 918 Kløve, B., Ala-aho, P., Bertrand, G., Boukalova, Z., Ertürk, A., Goldscheider, N., Ilmonen, J.,
- 919 Karakaya, N., Kupfersberger, H., Kværner, J., Lundberg, A., Mileusnić, M., Moszczynska,
- A., Muotka, T., Preda, E., Rossi, P., Siergieiev, D., Šimek, J., Wachniew, P., Angheluta, V.
- and Widerlund, A.: Groundwater dependent ecosystems. Part I: Hydroecological status and
- 922 trends, Environ. Sci. & Policy, 14, 770-781, doi:10.1016/j.envsci.2011.04.002, 2011.
- 923 Koivusalo, H., Ahti, E., Laurén, A., Kokkonen, T., Karvonen, T., Nevalainen, R. and Finér,
- L.: Impacts of ditch cleaning on hydrological processes in a drained peatland forest,
- 925 Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 12, 1211-1227, 2008.
- 926 Koundouri, P., Kougea, E., Stithou, M., Ala-Aho, P., Eskelinen, R., Karjalainen, T. P., Klove,
- 927 B., Pulido-Velazquez, M., Reinikainen, K. and Rossi, P. M.: The value of scientific
- 928 information on climate change: a choice experiment on Rokua esker, Finland, Journal of
- 929 Environmental Economics and Policy, 1, 85-102, 2012.
- 930 Kumpula, J., Colpaert, A. and Nieminen, M.: Condition, potential recovery rate, and
- productivity of lichen (Cladonia spp.) ranges in the Finnish reindeer management area, Arctic,152-160, 2000.
- Kurki, V., Lipponen, A. and Katko, T.: Managed aquifer recharge in community water
 supply: the Finnish experience and some international comparisons, Water Int., 38, 774-789,
 2013.
- 936 Lagergren, F., Lankreijer, H., Kučera, J., Cienciala, E., Mölder, M. and Lindroth, A.:
- Thinning effects on pine-spruce forest transpiration in central Sweden, For. Ecol. Manage.,
- 938 255, 2312-2323, 2008.
- Larson, D. W.: Lichen water relations under drying conditions, New Phytol., 82, 713-731,
 doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.1979.tb01666.x, 1979.

- 941 Lemmelä, R. and Tattari, S.: Infiltration and variation of soil moisture in a sandy aquifer,
- 942 Geophysica, 22, 59-70, 1986.
- Lemmelä, R.: Water balance of sandy aquifer at Hyrylä in southern Finland, Ph.D. thesis,University of Turku, Turku, 1990.
- Lindroth, A.: Canopy Conductance of Coniferous Forests Related to Climate, Water Resour.
 Res., 21, 297-304, doi:10.1029/WR021i003p00297, 1985.
- 947 Mustonen, S.: Sovellettu hydrologia, Vesiyhdistys, Helsinki, 1986.
- National Land Survey of Finland: NLS file service of open data, Laser scanning point cloud(LiDAR), 2012.
- 950 Odong, J.: Evaluation of empirical formulae for determination of hydraulic conductivity
- based on grain-size analysis, Journal of American Science, 3, 54-60, 2007.
- 952 Ohta, T., Hiyama, T., Tanaka, H., Kuwada, T., Maximov, T. C., Ohata, T. and Fukushima, Y.:
- 953 Seasonal variation in the energy and water exchanges above and below a larch forest in
- 954 eastern Siberia, Hydrol. Process., 15, 1459-1476, doi:10.1002/hyp.219, 2001.
- 955 Okkonen, J.: Groundwater and its response to climate variability and change in cold snow 956 dominated regions in Finland: methods and estimations, Ph.D. thesis, University of Oulu,
- 957 Oulu, Finland, 78 pp., 2011.
- Okkonen, J. and Kløve, B.: A conceptual and statistical approach for the analysis of climate
 impact on ground water table fluctuation patterns in cold conditions, J. Hydrol., 388, 1-12,
 doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2010.02.015, 2010.
- Okkonen, J. and Kløve, B.: A sequential modelling approach to assess groundwater–surface
 water resources in a snow dominated region of Finland, Journal of Hydrology, 411, 91-107,
 doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2011.09.038, 2011.
- Rautiainen, M., Heiskanen, J. and Korhonen, L.: Seasonal changes in canopy leaf area index
 and moDis vegetation products for a boreal forest site in central Finland, Boreal
 Environ. Res., 17, 72-84, 2012.
- Repola, J., Ojansuu, R. and Kukkola, M.: Biomass functions for Scots pine, Norway spruce
 and birch in Finland, Finnish Forest Research Institute (METLA), Helsinki, 28 pp., 2007.
- 969 Riaño, D., Valladares, F., Condés, S. and Chuvieco, E.: Estimation of leaf area index and
- 970 covered ground from airborne laser scanner (Lidar) in two contrasting forests, Agric. For.
- 971 Meteorol., 124, 269-275, 2004.
- 972 Rodríguez-Caballero, E., Cantón, Y., Chamizo, S., Afana, A. and Solé-Benet, A.: Effects of
- 973 biological soil crusts on surface roughness and implications for runoff and erosion,
- 974 Geomorphology, 145, 81-89, 2012.

- 975 Rosenberry, D. O., Winter, T. C., Buso, D. C. and Likens, G. E.: Comparison of 15
- evaporation methods applied to a small mountain lake in the northeastern USA, Journal ofHydrology, 340, 149-166, 2007.
- Rossi, P. M., Ala-aho, P., Ronkanen, A. and Kløve, B.: Groundwater surface water
 interacion between an esker aquifer and a drained fen, J. Hydrol, 432-433, 52-60,
 doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.026, 2012.
- 981 Rossi, P. M., Ala-aho, P., Doherty, J. and Kløve, B.: Impact of peatland drainage and
- restoration on esker groundwater resources: modeling future scenarios for management,
 Hydrogeol. J., 1-15, 2014.
- Rothacher, J.: Increases in water yield following clear-cut logging in the Pacific Northwest,
 Water Resour. Res., 6, 653-658, 1970.
- Scanlon, B. R., Healy, R. and Cook, P.: Choosing appropriate techniques for quantifying
 groundwater recharge, Hydrogeol. J., 10, 91-109, 2002.
- 988 Scanlon, B. R., Christman, M., Reedy, R. C., Porro, I., Simunek, J. and Flerchinger, G. N.:
- 989 Intercode comparisons for simulating water balance of surficial sediments in semiarid regions,
- 990 Water Resour. Res., 38, 59-1-59-16, doi:10.1029/2001WR001233, 2002.
- Scibek, J. and Allen, D.: Modeled impacts of predicted climate change on recharge and
 groundwater levels, Water Resour. Res., 42, W11405, doi:10.1029/2005WR004742, 2006.
- Shaw, R. H. and Pereira, A. R.: Aerodynamic roughness of a plant canopy: A numerical
 experiment, Agricultural Meteorology, 26, 51-65, doi:10.1016/0002-1571(82)90057-7, 1982.
- Smerdon, B., Mendoza, C. and Devito, K.: Influence of subhumid climate and water table
 depth on groundwater recharge in shallow outwash aquifers, Water Resour. Res., 44,
 W08427, doi:10.1020/2007WB005050.2008
- 997 W08427, doi:10.1029/2007WR005950, 2008.
- 998 Sophocleous, M.: Quantification and regionalization of ground-water recharge in south-
- central Kansas: integrating field characterization, statistical analysis, and GIS, Spec Issue,Compass, 75, 101-115, 2000.
- Stähli, M., Jansson, P. and Lundin, L. C.: Soil moisture redistribution and infiltration infrozen sandy soils, Water Resour. Res., 35, 95-103, 1999.
- Vesala, T., Suni, T., Rannik, Ü, Keronen, P., Markkanen, T., Sevanto, S., Grönholm, T.,
 Smolander, S., Kulmala, M. and Ilvesniemi, H.: Effect of thinning on surface fluxes in a
 boreal forest, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 19, 2005.
- 1006 Vincke, C. and Thiry, Y.: Water table is a relevant source for water uptake by a Scots pine
 1007 (Pinus sylvestris L.) stand: Evidences from continuous evapotranspiration and water table
 1008 monitoring, Agric. For. Meteorol., 148, 1419-1432, doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2008.04.009,
 1009 2008.

- 1010 Wang, Y., Woodcock, C. E., Buermann, W., Stenberg, P., Voipio, P., Smolander, H., Häme,
- 1011 T., Tian, Y., Hu, J., Knyazikhin, Y. and Myneni, R. B.: Evaluation of the MODIS LAI
- algorithm at a coniferous forest site in Finland, Remote Sens. Environ., 91, 114-127,
- 1013 doi:10.1016/j.rse.2004.02.007, 2004.
- 1014 Westenbroeck, S. M., Kelson, V. A., Hunt, R. J. and Branbury, K., R.: A modified
- 1015 Thornthwaite-Mather Soil-Water-Balance code for estimating groundwater recharge, USGS,1016 Reston, Virginia, USA, 2010.
- 1017 Wierenga, P., Hills, R. and Hudson, D.: The Las Cruces Trench Site: Characterization,
- Experimental Results, and One-Dimensional Flow Predictions, Water Resour. Res., 27, 2695-2705, 1991.
- Winter, T. C., Harvey, J. W., Franke, O. L. and Alley, W. M.: Ground water and surface
 water; a single resource, USGS, Denver, Colorado, 79 pp., 1998.
- 1022 Xiao, C., Janssens, I. A., Yuste, J. and Ceulemans, R.: Variation of specific leaf area and
- 1023 upscaling to leaf area index in mature Scots pine, Trees, 20, 304-310, doi:10.1007/s00468-1024 005-0039-x 2006
- 1024 005-0039-x, 2006.
- 1025 Zaitsoff, O.: Groundwater balance in the Oripää esker, National Board of Waters, Finland,
 1026 Helsinki, 54-73 pp., 1984.
- 1027 Zhou, Y.: A critical review of groundwater budget myth, safe yield and sustainability,
- 1028 J. Hydrol., 370, 207-213, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2009.03.009, 2009.
- 1029
- 1030
- 1031
- 1032
- 1033
- 1034
-
- 1035
- 1036
- 1037
- 1038
- 1039
- 1040

1041 Tables

1042 **Table 1.** Characteristics of the study site annual climate.

VARIABLE	MEAN	STD
Precipitation [mm]	591	91
Air Temperature [°C]	-0.7	1.1
Reference ET [mm]	426	26

Table 2. Randomly varied parameters, related equations and parameter ranges included in the
model runs. For full description of parameters and equations, see Jansson and Karlberg
(2004).

Parameter	Part of the model affected	Range	Unit s	Source
LAI (leaf area index)	Transpiration	0 3.5	-	Data, see section 2.1.1
h (canopy height)	Transpiration	5 15	m	Data
r _{alai} (increase in aerodynamic resistance with LAI)	Soil evaporation	25 75	-	$\pm 50\%$, estimate
r_{Ψ} (soil surface resistance control)	Soil evaporation	100300	-	$\pm 50\%$ approximately to cover the surface resistance reported 150-1000 (Kelliher et al., 1998)
λ_L (pore size distribution index)	Soil evaporation, lichen	0.4 1	-	Estimate, to cover an easily drainable range of pressure- saturation curves
Ψ_L (air entry)	Soil evaporation, lichen	1.5 20	-	Estimate, to cover a easily drainable range of pressure- saturation curves
θ _L (porosity)	Soil evaporation, lichen	(7.512.5)	<mark>%</mark>	Data, lichen mean water retention ±SD from samples

k _{mat,L} (matrix saturated hydraulic conductivity)	Soil evaporation, lichen	$5 10^4 \dots 5 10^7$	mm d ⁻¹	Estimate, high K values assumed
t _{WD} (coefficient in the soil temperature response function)	Water uptake	10 20	-	±50%, estimate
Ψ_c (critical pressure head for water uptake reduction)	Water uptake	200600	-	±50%, estimate
k _{mat,S} (matrix saturated hydraulic conductivity)	Soil profile	1.707 10 ³ 127.2 10 ³	mm d ⁻¹	Data from soil sample particle size analysis
k _{minuc} (minimum unsaturated hydraulic conductivity	Soil profile	$1 10^{-4} \dots 1$ 10^{-1}	mm d ⁻¹	Estimate k _{mat} * 1E-5
λ_s (pore size distribution index)	Soil profile	0.4 1	-	Range to cover measured pressure-saturation curves
$\Psi_{\rm s}$ (air entry)	Soil profile	20 40	-	Range to cover measured pressure-saturation curves
θ_s (porosity)	Soil profile	0.250.36	%	Range from soil samples
θ_r (residual water content)	Soil profile	0.010.05	%	Range to cover measured pressure-saturation curves

- **Table 3.** Stream baseflow estimates compared to simulated recharge outputs calculated for
- 1051 different timeperiods

Baseflow for	Long term	Recharge for	Simulated	
9-10 February	average recharge	preceding year	recharge for 9-10	
2010 [mm a ⁻¹]	$[mm a^{-1}]$	2009 [mm a ⁻¹]	February 2010	
212.7	262.9	421.8 (min)	110.0 (min)	
512.7	302.8	439.5 (max)	135.8 (max)	

Parameter	Total ET	Transpiratio n	Soil E	Snow E	Infiltration
LAI	0.59*	0.84*	-0.73*	-0.37*	0.18*
h	0.59*	0.84*	-0.73*	-0.37*	0.18*
ľ Ψ	-0.11*	-0.03	-0.03	-0.61*	0.58*
r _{alai}	-0.13*	-0.02	-0.11*	0.03	-0.05
λ_L	-0.09*	-0.01	-0.11*	0.01	-0.03
$\Psi_{\rm L}$	0.01	-0.04	0.11*	-0.04	0.06
θ_L	0.06	0.03	0.01	-0.00	0.09*
k _{mat,L}	-0.01	0.02	-0.04	-0.00	-0.00
k _{mat,S}	-0.10*	-0.04	-0.07*	0.02	0.01
kminuc	-0.10*	-0.04	-0.07*	0.02	0.01
t _{WD}	-0.05	-0.02	-0.03	-0.05	0.03
Ψ_{c}	0.18*	0.12*	-0.02	-0.04	0.05
λ_{s}	0.13*	0.06	0.06	-0.00	-0.23*
$\Psi_{\rm s}$	-0.11*	-0.05	-0.04	-0.05	0.04
θ_s	0.02	-0.01	0.03	0.10*	-0.18*
θ_{r}	0.07*	0.05	-0.01	0.01	0.16*

Table 4. Kendall correlation coefficient for simulation parameters and average annual sum of1053simulation output variables. ET = evapotranspiration, E = evaporation, for other symbols see1054Table 2.

1055 *Significant correlation, p<0.05

1057 Figures



1058

Figure 1. Recharge area of the Rokua esker aquifer. Boreholes in the area were used for
model validation and soil type characterization. Baseflow was measured from streams
originating outside the groundwater recharge area.

 \mathcal{O}



1062

Figure 2. Spatial distribution of leaf area index (LAI) and a 20m x 20m cell-based histogram
of LAI values. In areas where forestry inventory data were lacking, a weighted average value

1065 of 1.25 was used in simulations.



Figure 3. Example of selection of water flow simulation data for a random cell in the model
domain for which LAI = 0.1 and UZD = 5.2 m.









1072 Figure 5. Estimated depth of the unsaturated zone in the model area and interpolation points1073 for estimation of water table elevation.



Figure 6. Assemblage of simulated recharge for individual recharge events, shown as boxplots where circles represent the median, bold lines $25-75^{\text{th}}$ percentiles of the simulations, thin lines the remaining upper and lower 25^{th} percentiles and crosses are outliers. The location of the boxplots on the x-axis is the WTF estimate for a given recharge event using a specific yield value of 0.225. The dashed lines indicate the uncertainty in the WTF estimates caused by the selection of specific yield. The two estimates would agree perfectly (given the uncertainty in S_y) if all simulations shown as boxplots fell between the dashed lines.



Figure 7. Average water flow outputs at different soil profile depths with LAI range [1.2 ... 1084 1.4]. Y-axis is limited to 5 mm d⁻¹ to highlight the flow dynamics in the deeper layers, even 1085 though peak signal at 1.5 m reaches a value of several cm annually.



1087 Figure 8. Values of different evapotranspiration (ET) components (mean and standard
1088 deviation) simulated for the study period.

1089

 \mathcal{D}



Figure 9. Annual recharge time series from simulations where the black area covers the and minimum and maximum values for different recharge samples. The annual recharge pattern closely followed trends in infiltration. Effects of different land use management practices over time on annual recharge rates are shown as high and low leaf area index (LAI) scenarios.



Figure 10. Spatial distribution of mean annual recharge, which was influenced mainly by the
Scots pine canopy (LAI), the presence of lakes and, to some extent, areas with a shallow
water table.



Figure 11. Example of scatter plots with the mean annual ET components are plotted as a function of the variable leaf area index (LAI), showing clear dependence of all ET components on LAI.