Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 11, C6307–C6308, 2015 www.hydrol-earth-syst-sci-discuss.net/11/C6307/2015/

© Author(s) 2015. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



HESSD

11, C6307-C6308, 2015

Interactive Comment

Interactive comment on "Evaluation of an extreme-condition-inverse calibration remote sensing model for mapping energy balance fluxes in arid riparian areas" by S.-H. Hong et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 4 February 2015

The authors present an application and evaluation of SEBALfor the semi-arid riparian regions in thee Rio Grande Basin of New Mexico. This is interesting repetitive application and evaluation of SEBAL model in the riparian areas.

Major Comments page 13483-line 1: Unlike NLDAS and LIS, SEBAL and METRIC do not require land cover maps: Please could you discuss how you will estimate the surface roughness without landuse and land cover maps. I don't see any relation of LIS and NLDAS with this paper. Author added unnecessary NLDAS and LIS in this paper. Methodology, application and science behind NLDAS and LIS is way different than SEBAL and METRIC.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



Section 3.2 closure forcing Please could you put reference or criteria for 65%-110% energy balance closure. or maybe refer this paper http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0168192302001090

Section 3.4 page 13495-line 4-5: There are several types of footprint models. Initially, simple two-dimensional analytical footprint models for neutral atmospheric conditions were developed. developed? Have you developed or used in this study?

Therefore, the use the average H and LE values of the 25 pixels surrounding the EC tower pixel is considered to be the best option for the comparison of daily ground measurements and SEBAL estimates. Please could you discuss how you come up with exact 25 pixels surrounding the EC tower?

There are major problems in the paper. 1. Energy balance closure 2. Comparison of Energy balance parameters consistently shows underestimation and overestimation of parameters (Rn, G, H, and LE) what should reader believe 65% energy balance closure or the remote sensing model (15% error)

Interactive comment on Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 11, 13479, 2014.

HESSD

11, C6307–C6308, 2015

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

