Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 11, C4808–C4810, 2014 www.hydrol-earth-syst-sci-discuss.net/11/C4808/2014/

© Author(s) 2014. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



HESSD

11, C4808-C4810, 2014

Interactive Comment

Interactive comment on "Hydrological drought typology: temperature-related drought types and associated societal impacts" by A. F. Van Loon et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 2 November 2014

General comments:

The paper includes two studies, a quantitative one analyzing the newly introduced "snowmelt droughts" and "glaciermelt droughts" and their meteorological causing factors as well as a qualitative one investigating socio-economic impacts of "temperature-related" droughts. The topics of the paper are interesting and the paper is well written. I also highly appreciate the combination of the two approaches in one article.

However, in my opinion two points should be addressed, before publishing the article: First, the authors make use of a conceptual model to derive SWE and state that glacier

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



was not explicitly modeled. However, some of the catchments are to a considerable percentage glaciated (up to 75%). The models are calibrated to observed streamflow and hence parameter that are sensitive to temperature - as can be found in the snow routine - are likely to be affected to compensate for a missing glacier concept. This could lead to questionable SWE estimations and affect the follow up analysis. Secondly, I have some difficulties talking about "drought" during a peak flow. I see that the definition of below average water availability includes these cases as well. The authors conclude from their qualitative analysis regarding "glaciermelt droughts" that socio-economic impacts were not found. I could see also only socio-economic impacts of "snowmelt droughts" in combination with another drought type. With this lack of impacts connected to the new drought types, I am not quite convinced of the usefulness of their introduction.

While I am very happy to see the attempt to connect the qualitative and quantitative studies, I see potential to improve the connection between the two. The newly introduced drought types do not receive much attention in the second part of the study, while the other temperature-related droughts are not analyzed in the first part (which would be probably beyond the scope of the study).

Specific comments:

10472 L22: how was corrected for the elevation differences?

10473 L6: To which objective function was the model calibrated? I wonder a bit about the meaning of the Nash-Sutcliffe values when comparing glaciated with non-glaciated catchments see Schaefli (2007)

10479 L15 in this section Pfister (2006) could be cited that also used historical sources to reconstruct (winter) droughts

Technical corrections:

10470 L17: remove first "normal"

HESSD

11, C4808-C4810, 2014

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



10476 L11: break into two sentences

10477 L15: "was not as well visible" – rephrase; 50-50% meaning?

10477 L21f: maybe influenced by SWE estimations?

10487 L7: Sentence unclear

10487 L26: What is intended to state here?; the USA

10499 Table1: the authors could add season durations

10507 Figure4: for clarity could be zoomed into the regions

10512 Figure9: the dots are not distinguishable in a b&w print

10513 Figure 10: the dots are not distinguishable in a b&w print

10514 Figure 11: red-green blind persons might have difficulties distinguishing between B and D. Please, explain the meaning of the dashed event in 1920 in the caption.

References: Schaefli, B., & Gupta, H. V. (2007). Do Nash values have value?. Hydrological Processes, 21(15), 2075-2080.

Pfister, C., Weingartner, R., & Luterbacher, J. (2006). Hydrological winter droughts over the last 450 years in the Upper Rhine basin: a methodological approach. Hydrological sciences journal, 51(5), 966-985.

Interactive comment on Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 11, 10465, 2014.

HESSD

11, C4808-C4810, 2014

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

