

Interactive comment on “Evaluating digital terrain indices for soil wetness mapping – a Swedish case study” by A. M. Ågren et al.

J. Seibert (Editor)

jan.seibert@geo.uzh.ch

Received and published: 21 June 2014

Thanks to the two reviewers and the authors for a constructive discussion. Th

I agree with reviewer #2 on the concerns re fig 2. There are actually two points in his/her critic: 1) classification of something which already is classified, 2) value of this statistical analysis. In your response you focus on the latter, but the first would also deserve attention. Regarding the second point, I have to admit that I also found this part of your manuscript difficult to understand, and I am looking forward to the revised version, which hopefully helps me to better understand this analysis/results.

One important issue, which has been raised by reviewer #2, is the issue on maps versus (blind) statistics to evaluate the indices against ground truth. I can see both his/her

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



point and the authors' point, that one wants to be able to provide some 'objective' evaluation. Improved statistical evaluation approaches, taking patterns, distances etc. into account, might be the way forward. Please see, for instance, the paper by Guntner et al., 2004, which you already cite, for a number of possible evaluation statistics. (Some of) these might be a useful way to quantify, what ones eye easily would see (but subjectively) from a map.

Interactive comment on Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 11, 4103, 2014.

HESSD

11, C1947–C1948, 2014

Interactive
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

