1	Chemical and U-Sr isotopic variations of stream and source waters at a small catchment scale
2	(the Strengbach case; Vosges mountains; France)
3	<sup>1</sup> Pierret M.C., <sup>1</sup> Stille P., <sup>1-2</sup> Prunier J., <sup>1</sup> Viville D. <sup>1</sup> Chabaux F.
4	
5	1- Laboratoire d'Hydrologie et de Géochimie de Strasbourg, EOST, Université de
6	Strasbourg/CNRS, 1 rue Blessig 67084 Strasbourg, France.
7	2- Present address LMTG – Université Paul Sabatier, CNRS/IRD, Observatoire Midi-
8	Pyrénées, 14, avenue Edouard Belin, 31400 Toulouse, France.
9	
10	
11	Corresponding author: marie-claire.pierret@unistra.fr
12	

## 13 Abstract

This is the first comprehensive study dealing with major and trace element data as well as <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr 14 isotope and (<sup>234</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U) activity ratios (AR) determined on the totality of springs and brooks of the 15 16 Strengbach catchment. It shows that the small and more or less monolithic catchment drains 17 different sources and streamlets with very different isotopic and geochemical signatures. Different 18 parameters control the diversity of the source characteristics. Of importance is especially the hydrothermal overprint of the graphic bedrock, which was stronger for the granite from the northern 19 20 than from the southern slope; also significant are the different meteoric alteration processes of the 21 bedrock causing the formation of 0.5 to 9 meter thick saprolite and above the formation of an up to 1m thick soil system. These processes mainly account for springs and brooks from the northern 22 slope having higher Ca/Na, Mg/Na, Sr/Na ratios but lower <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr isotopic ratios than those from 23 24 the southern slope. The chemical compositions of the source waters in the Strengbach catchment are only to a small extent the result of alteration of primary bedrock minerals and rather reflect 25 dissolution/precipitation processes of secondary mineral phases like clay minerals. 26

The (<sup>234</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U) AR, however, are decoupled from the <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr isotope system and reflect to some 27 28 extent the level of altitude of the source and, thus, the degree of alteration of the bedrock. The 29 sources emerging at high altitudes have circulated through already weathered materials (saprolite and fractured rock depleted in <sup>234</sup>U) implying (<sup>234</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U) AR <1, which is uncommon for surface 30 31 waters. Preferential flow paths along constant fractures in the bedrocks might explain the over time 32 homogeneous U AR of the different spring waters. However, the geochemical and isotopic 33 variations of stream waters at the outlet of the catchment are controlled by variable contributions of 34 different springs depending on the hydrological conditions.

It appears that the (<sup>234</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U) AR is an appropriate very important tracer for studying and deciphering the contribution of the different source fluxes at the catchment scale because this unique geochemical parameter is different for each individual spring and at the same time remains unchanged for each of the springs with changing discharge and fluctuating hydrological conditions. This study further highlights the important impact of different and independent water pathways infractured granite controlling the different geochemical and isotopic signatures of the waters.

41

42 Keywords: U activity ratios, Sr isotopes, spring and stream water chemistry, weathering,
43 Strengbach catchment.

44

# 45 **1. Introduction**

46 Large rivers carry erosion products from the different drainage areas and, therefore, are pathways of 47 continental weathering products that finally enter the oceans. Thus, they fetch the various chemical and isotopical characteristics of the different drainage basins and therefore allow to elucidate 48 49 erosion processes, derive erosion rates and to illustrate biogeochemical cycling of elements. 50 Actually many of the major world rivers are well documented with major and trace element and 51 isotope data on dissolved and suspended phases, which provide the different factors controlling 52 chemical and physical denudation (Degens et al., 1991; Dupré et al., 2003; Gaillardet et al., 1999; Martin and Meybeck, 1979; Négrel et al., 1993). At the large catchment scale, the stream waters 53 54 chemical composition is generally the result of mixing between phases derived from the different 55 main lithologies (e.g., Bickle et al., 2006; Blum et al., 1998; Chabaux et al., 2001; Millot et al., 2003; Steinmann and Stille, 2009; Tipper et al., 2006; Zakharova et al., 2007). However, especially 56 57 at the small catchment scale, the impact and the role of vegetation cover and soils on the chemical 58 or isotopical evolution of erosion signals in waters have only seldom been observed and discussed 59 (Cenki-Tok et al., 2009; Cidivini et al., 2011; Lemarchand et al., 2010; Zakharova et al., 2007). In 60 addition, determination of parameters controlling the chemical composition of superficial waters is 61 important for a correct modeling of the future evolution of the ecosystems in response to external 62 natural or anthropogenic forcing such as climate evolution and atmospheric pollution (trace metal 63 depositions, acid rain etc.). Among these parameters water/rock interactions (including secondary phases such as clays), hydrological processes and biological activities play an important role in 64

affecting mobilization, (re)cycling and fractionation of elements; their specific influences on
weathering processes at the watershed scale remains a matter of discussion (Brantley et al., 2008).

67 Because natural systems are subject to complex and multiple reactions, the combination of different 68 geochemical and isotopical tools is necessary to decipher the different natural processes. <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr isotopic ratios and (<sup>234</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U) AR have successfully been used in the discussion of hydrological and 69 70 hydrochemical processes at the catchment scale (e.g. Riotte and Chabaux, 1999; Tricca et al., 1999; 71 Aubert et al., 2002; Bagard et al., 2011; Bickle et al., 2005; Bonotto and Andrews, 2000; Chabaux 72 et al., 2011; Durand et al., 2005; Schaffhauser et al., in press). The Sr isotopic composition of e.g. a 73 source water mainly reflects its origin and, thus, is a tool to discuss water-rock interactions. Alternatively, the value of the U activity ratios can reflect a strong constraint from minerals and 74 rocks involved in the water-rock interactions. Indeed, in the case that the U system has been closed 75 76 for approximately 1 million years, minerals and rocks are in secular equilibrium and activities of all parents and daughters from <sup>238</sup>U decay chain are identical and the (<sup>234</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U) AR is equal to 1. 77 However, this ratio can fractionate during chemical weathering when <sup>234</sup>U is more easily escaping 78 into solution by the combined effects of 1) direct recoil of <sup>234</sup>Th near grain boundaries out of 79 80 mineral and 2) preferential release from crystal lattices that are damaged by energetic  $\alpha$ -decay (e.g. Bourdon et al., 2009; Chabaux et al., 2003; 2008; DePaolo et al., 2006; 2012; Osmond and 81 82 Ivanovich, 1992 and reference therein). Therefore, natural waters (stream, spring, groundwaters, seawaters) are generally in excess of  $^{234}$ U and have a ( $^{234}$ U/ $^{238}$ U) AR >1 (Andrews and Kay, 1983; 83 84 Camacho et al., 2010; Chabaux et al., 2003, 2008; Dosseto et al., 2008, 2012; Gryzmko et al., 2007; 85 Osmond and Ivanovich, 1992; Paces et al., 2002; Pierret et al., 2012; Stromman et al., 2012; Vigier et al., 2001, 2006). Consequently, (<sup>234</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U) AR in superficial waters allow to trace river- flow 86 patterns, and hydrological mixing (e;g., Chabaux et al., 2001; Durand et al., 2005; Maher et al., 87 88 2006; Osmond and 1982; Paces et al., 2002; Riotte et Chabaux, 1999).

In the present paper we focus on a small, more or less monolithic drainage basin, the experimental
Strengbach catchment (Vosges mountains, NE France). Several studies have shown that the

vegetation cover, the atmospheric deposition, the secondary minerals and the biological recycling
play an important role in controlling the geochemical signatures of soil solutions (Brioshi et al.,
2012; Lemarchand et al., 2010; Lemarchand et al., 2012; Prunier, 2008; Stille et al., 2006, 2009,
2011, 2012). However, soil solution contributions to the outlet can be variable; for instance, it
represents 5 to 20 % for the Ca, depending on the type of vegetation or soil (Cenki Tok et al.,
2009).

97 A previous U isotope study performed on waters from the Strengbach streamlet shows a decrease of the (<sup>234</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U) AR from 1.02 to 0.96 when the discharge of the stream increases (Riotte and 98 99 Chabaux, 1999). Such an isotopic evolution has been interpreted as mixing between a water body enriched in <sup>234</sup>U which weathered the granitic bed rock at secular equilibrium, and waters with a 100 (<sup>234</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U) AR below unity representing a mobilization of U from material that has already been 101 weathered. Similarly, the streamlets <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr isotope ratios collected during low flow periods have 102 103 less radiogenic Sr isotope ratios than during high water flow periods (Aubert et al., 2002). These 104 variations have been explained by important contributions of waters from the deep soil profile 105 during the recession stage but also by the relative importance of waters coming from distinct 106 distributive areas such as the opposite slopes or the saturated area of the catchment.

In order to define more precisely temporal and spatial variations of the hydrochemistry of the streamlet and the different springs and to evaluate the major and trace element sources and the processes controlling this element supply to the freshwaters, additional (<sup>234</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U) AR, <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr isotopic ratios and major and trace element concentrations were analyzed in the different source waters collected during two different hydrological seasons (2004-2006) and compared to those of the streamlet waters.

113

# 114 **2. Site description**

115 The Strengbach catchment is a small granitic watershed (80ha,) where meteorological, hydrological 116 and geochemical data are recorded since 1986 (Observatoire Hydro-Géochimique de l'Environnement; OHGE ; <u>http://ohge.u-strasbg.fr</u>). The first studies were performed in order to
understand the impact of acid rain on the forested ecosystem (Dambrine et al. 1991, 1992; Probst et
al. 1990, 1992a, b;). The catchment is situated in the Vosges Mountains (NE France) at altitudes
between 880 and 1150 m (amsl) and has strongly inclined slopes (mean 15°; Fig. 1).

The climate is temperate oceanic mountainous (mean annual temperature of 6°C; mean monthly temperature range from -2 to 14°C) with an average rainfall of 1400 mm/yr (ranging between 890 and 1630 mm/yr over the period 1986-2006) and with snowfall during 2-4 month/yr (Probst and Viville, 1997; Viville et al., 2012; OHGE Data). The mean annual runoff for the same period is of 853 mm (26.9 Ls<sup>-1</sup>km<sup>-2</sup>) and ranges from 525 to 1147 mm over 1986-2006 (Ladouche et al., 2001; Probst and Vivile, 1997; OHGE data). The evapotranspiration (ETP) has been evaluated to be about 40% on the site (Aubert, 2001; Probst et al., 1992).

128 The bedrock is mainly composed of a Hercynian base-poor granite (332±2 Ma) (Boutin et al., 129 1995), with low Ca and Mg contents (less than 1% for both); it suffered different degrees of 130 hydrothermal alteration some 180 Ma ago (Fichter et al., 1998). In addition to the granite, which is 131 strongly hydrothermally altered on the northern slope and comparatively weakly altered on the 132 southern slope, small microgranite and gneiss bodies outcrop at the southern and northern slopes 133 (Fig.1) (El Gh'mari, 1995; Fichter et al. 1998). The gneiss is enriched in Mg mainly because of the 134 presence of biotite and chlorite (El Gh'mari, 1995; Fichter, 1997). Hydrothermal processes caused 135 the alteration and transformation of albite, K-feldspar and muscovite into fine-grained illite and 136 quartz; biotite and albite disappeared to a large extend. The strongly altered granite (on the northern slope) is characterized by larger amounts of quartz, clays and Fe-oxydes, small amounts of apatite 137 138 (<1%), and by higher Mg but lower Ca, K and Na contents than the less altered granite at the southern slope (El Gh'mari, 1995; Fichter et al., 1998). The soils are brown acidic to ochreous 139 140 brown podzolic and are generally about 1 meter thick. They are very coarse grained, sandy and rich 141 in gravel (Fichter et al., 1998). The brown acidic soils are mainly located on the northern slope and 142 are characterized by higher clay contents, lower K-feldspar, lower albite, higher cation exchange 143 capacity (CEC), lower pH and lower organic matter content than the ochreous brown podzolic soils, 144 which are mainly located on the southern slope (Fichter 1997; Fichter et al., 1998). A sandy 145 saprolite separates soil and granite. Its thickness varies between 1 and 9 meters; on the southern 146 slope it is generally thicker (El Gh'Mari, 1995) with the most important thickness in the depression 147 zone near the four springs CS1 to CS4. The forest covers 90% of the area and corresponds to about 148 80% spruce (mainly *Piceas Abies L.*) and 20% beech (*Fagus Sylvatica*). The catchment contains 10 149 different springs feeding the Strengbach streamlet (Fig.1).

The catchment is situated in a remote area lacking direct industrial activities. Nevertheless, atmospheric pollution occurs in many forms (acidic deposition,  $O_3$  pollution or as atmospheric dust deposition). The forestry has increased the proportion of spruce with especially dense spruce plots planted between 1890 and 1960. The site is well equipped for sampling of atmospheric depositions and spring and stream waters at the whole catchment scale.

155 For this study, the stream and the different springs of the catchment were collected at various hydrological periods with high and low water levels during a two years period (2004-200) n order 156 157 to obtain a precise chemical and isotopic signature of the different sources in this hydrological 158 system (Fig. 1). Four springs were collected at the southern slope ( $CS_1$ ,  $CS_2$ ,  $CS_3$  and  $CS_4$ ).  $CS_1$  and 159  $CS_3$  are the deepest (up to 4 meters depth) whereas  $CS_2$  and  $CS_4$  are both superficial springs. The 160 springs SG, ARG, RH, BH, CS<sub>3</sub> and CS<sub>4</sub> are located on the northern slope and the springs CS<sub>1</sub>, CS<sub>2</sub>, 161 SH and RUZS emerge at the southern slope. The spring RUZS is situated in the humid zone at the 162 bottom of the catchment near the outlet (saturated area, Fig.1) and covered by dense grass 163 vegetation. In addition rain (bulk precipitation) and throughfalls were collected using rain collectors 164 and gutters, respectively.

165

# 166 **3. Analytical procedures**

167 The different spring waters were collected every 6 weeks during 2 years unless the springs were dry 168 or under snow. The waters were collected in clean polyethylene (HDPE) bottles (250 ml for major 169 element analysis and 1 litter for isotope and trace element analysis) and filtered the same day 170 through a 0.45  $\mu$ m pore diameter membrane (Millipore ester cellulose, 142 mm diameter). Before, 171 the HDPE bottles were washed with HCl 10% (24h contact) and then rinsed with MilliQ deionised 172 water. The filtrated waters for trace element and U-Sr isotopic composition determinations were 173 acidified with 250  $\mu$ l of ultrapure HNO<sub>3</sub> 13M and then stored in a cold room at 5°C.

The pH were measured just after filtration using a pHM210 MeterLab (Radiometer analytical) with an Mettler HA405-DXKS8 electrode and calibrated with standard buffer solutions (pH 4.00 and 7.00 at 25°C). The precision of the pH measurement was  $\pm$  0.02 units. The electrical conductivity and the alkalinity were determined respectively using a CDM210 MeterLab (radiometer analytical) with an CDC 745-9 electrode (precision 0.1  $\mu$ S/cm) and with 716DMS Titrino (Metrohm ; precision of 0.01 meq/l – Acid/base titration, Gran method).

180 The major element contents were determined by Ionic chromatography, atomic absorption, 181 colorimetry and ICP-AES and the trace element concentrations were determined by ICP-MS 182 (Pierret et al., 2010, Chabaux et al., 2011). The analytical uncertainty of the determinations of the 183 major cations and anions in solution (by atomic absorption and ionic chromatography Dionex, 4000 184 I) is ± 2 %. The uncertainty on the major element concentrations such as Fe, Al, Mn and Si (by ICP-185 EAS, Jobin Yvon 124, at a precision of  $\pm 2\%$ ) is 5%, and that of the trace element concentrations 186 (by ICP-MS, VG Plasma Quad; Thermo Electron) is ±5%. The dissolved organic carbon (DOC) was determined using an organic carbon analyser (Shimatzu TOC-5000A) with an uncertainty of 5 187 188 to 10 %. The accuracy of the analysis was assessed by regular analysis of the SLRS-4 riverine 189 standards.

The Sr isotopic ratios were determined by thermo-ionisation mass spectrometry on a multi-collector VG-Sector mass spectrometer. Sr was extracted by standard procedures (Steinmann et Stille, 1997; Lhad Geagea et al., 2008; Clauer et al., 2009; Pierret et al., 2010). The routinely measured NBS 987 standard yield an average  ${}^{87}$ Sr/ ${}^{86}$ Sr ratio of 0.71026 ± 0.00002 (2s) for 10 determinations during the course of this study. The U isotope ratios were analysed on a TRITON Thermofinnigan mass 195 spectrometer after separation and purification of U by anionic exchange chromatography (resin 196 AG1X8, 200-400 mesh) following the classical technique used in the lab (e.g. Chabaux et al., 1997; 197 Pelt et al., 2008, Pierret et al., 2012). During this study (2006-2008) the reproducibility of the U 198 isotopic analyses was tested with the HU1 standard which yield an average value of 0.999  $\pm$  0.004 199 (2 $\sigma$ ) (n=27). The analytical error for the (<sup>234</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U) activity ratio is  $\pm$  0.5% (2 $\sigma$ ).

200

## **4. Results**

Previous studies performed on the Strengbach watershed mainly focused on the geochemical and isotopic variations of dissolved loads of the stream waters collected at its outlet. The new results (Tables 1 and 2) yield a first complete dataset of the spatial variability of major and trace element concentrations as well as Sr and U isotope ratios of the spring and streamlet waters emerging on the Strengbach watershed. The data also allow us to present the geochemical variability of the source as well as stream waters at the outlet of the watershed over the period 2004-2006, that is to say during two hydrologic cycles.

209

# 210 **4.1. The major and trace element data**

211 Among the spring and stream waters the pH, alkalinity, DOC, TDSw (total dissolved solids, table 1), TDS-Ca (total dissolved solids-cation; table 1) and conductivity are highly variable and range 212 213 respectively from 5 to 6.85, from 0 to 0.16 meg/L, from 0.42 to 11.6 ppm, from 10.3 to 26.8 mg/L, 214 from 3.87 to 9.05 mg/L, and from 13.2 to 60.3 µS/cm (Table 1). The pH is well correlated with 215 alkalinity and TDS-Ca, Ca/Na and relatively well with Mg/Na (Fig. 2). The range of variations of 216 the major element concentrations at the watershed scale can be important but clearly depends on the 217 chemical elements and the physico-chemical parameters. For the cation concentrations the variation 218 at the watershed scale reaches about one order of magnitude for Mg, but only 20 to 30% for Na 219 concentrations. At the watershed scale, the most discriminating cation is Mg. SH and CS<sub>1</sub> sources 220 are marked by weakest Mg (and Ca) and the SG source by highest concentrations (Table 1). In addition, as illustrated by Ca/Na, Mg/Na, K/Na, Sr/Na and  $H_4SiO_4/Ca$  concentration ratios, the different springs are not only marked by different mean major element concentrations (2004-2006 period) but also by different elemental ratios (Fig.3).

224 The data points of the different sources define linear trends with slopes different from each other (Fig.3). The variation of the Ca/Na and Mg/Na ratios are much larger at the watershed scale than at 225 the scale of a single spring. On the basis of the above data a clear distinction is possible between the 226 spring waters from the northern slope (SG, RH, ARG, CS<sub>3</sub>, CS<sub>4</sub> and BH) and those from the 227 228 southern slope (CS<sub>1</sub>, CS<sub>2</sub>, SH and RUZS), the former being characterized by higher pH, alkalinity, 229 conductivity TDSw and Ca/Na, K/Na and Mg/Na ratios than the latter (Fig.2; Fig. 3). In addition to 230 the spatial variations, the chemical signatures of waters also show temporal variations. These are strongest for the most DOC enriched sources (RUZS, SH) and for the stream at the outlet (RS). 231

The Fe, U and DOC concentrations vary strongly in the different spring waters (Fig.4). For some springs with high DOC concentrations (SH, BH, RUZS), U concentrations are correlated with dissolved organic carbon and Fe concentrations, whereas for the others (CS1, CS2, CS3, CS4, RH, ARG) no clear correlation are recognizable. Nevertheless, the later are characterized by large variations of U and Fe concentrations despite their low DOC contents (Fig.4).

237

#### 238 **4.2. Sr and U isotope data**

The <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr isotopic composition values of the different spring waters are highly variable and range between 0.72206 (RH) and 0.72801 (SH) with an average Sr isotopic composition for the stream at the outlet of 0.72573 (Fig.5, Table 1). The data show a clear relationship between the Sr isotopic signature and the geographical location in the watershed; the springs from the northern slope are characterized by lower <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr ratios and higher Sr concentration (Fig 5).

As shown in Fig.6, the variation range of  $(^{234}U/^{238}U)$  AR in the source waters is much larger than that of the streamlets waters at the outlet. The U AR range from 1.112 (BH) to 0.819 (CS3); the average  $(^{234}U/^{238}U)$  AR for the stream at the outlet is 1.104. Among the 9 springs analyzed, 8 of them have unusual low ( $^{234}$ U/ $^{238}$ U) AR <1. In addition, and to the best of our knowledge, these values are the lowest ever published before for superficial waters. Indeed, the U AR measured in world surface rivers or groundwaters have generally ( $^{234}$ U/ $^{238}$ U) >1 (see introduction and citations therein).

In contrast to Sr isotopic compositions (Fig. 7) or chemical concentrations (Fig. 3)  $(^{234}U/^{238}U)$  AR 251 of a single source do not significantly vary over the period 2004-2006 (Fig 7). Finally, <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr and 252  $^{234}$ U/ $^{238}$ U AR of the source waters are not correlated with each other and in contrast to the Sr 253 254 isotopic compositions or chemical concentrations (Fig 6) there is no clear distinction between the U 255 AR of the springs from the southern and northern slope. In the Strengbach watershed there is a clear 256 increase of the U activity ratio of the source waters when the altitude of the spring decreases in the watershed, as also observed in another small granitic watershed in the Vosges Mountain, the 257 258 Ringelbach watershed (Schaffhauser et al., submitted). But at the difference of the Ringelbach 259 catchment, where the U activity ratios in the spring waters are above 1, in the Strengbach cachtment 260 the U activity ratios of spring waters are  $\leq 1$  for all sources but one (i.e., BH).

261

#### 262 **5. Discussion**

263

# 264 5.1 Geochemical and Sr isotopic characteristics of the spring waters:

As shown in the result section, the chemical characteristics of the sources are marked by an 265 important spatial variation with in particular a clear distinction between the springs from the 266 267 northern and the southern slope (Fig.3). It appears that the Ca/Na, Mg/Na, K/Na and Sr/Na 268 concentration ratios are neither rainwater nor throughfall controlled. Indeed rainwater and throughfall show rather large variations of their Ca/Na, Mg/Na, K/Na or Sr/Na ratios (throughfall: 269 270 Ca/Na: 0.9-2.1; Mg/Na: 0.3-0.6; K/Na: 0.6-0.9; Sr/Na: 0.02-0.07) and do not plot at one of the 271 extremities of the correlations. Mass balance calculations show that the atmospheric input 272 (including rain and througfalls) corresponds to various proportion of the exportation flux at the

watershed scale, depending on type of element, as for example 2%, 8% or 19 % for Si, U or Sr
respectively (Table 3).

Similarly, the observation of a clear increase of the Sr isotope ratios with increasing discharge towards values different from those of rainwater and/or throughfall Sr isotopic composition values (<sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr ratios of 0.71110, 0.71327 and 0.71293 for rain, throughfall under spruces and throughfall under beeches respectively) implies that rainwater or throughfall cannot be a significant source of cation fluxes in the spring waters (Fig.7a,c; Fig. 13).

280 Therefore, chemical differences among the sources of the Strengbach watershed have to be 281 interpreted in terms of variations in the nature or in the intensity of water-rock interactions 282 occurring from one source to another or in the intensity of the interactions between different water 283 reservoirs. This interpretation is entirely consistent with the correlations observed for the spring 284 waters at the scale of the watershed between the alkalinity, TDSw and their pH, since consumption 285 of H<sup>+</sup> during silicate weathering increases pH and alkalinity. Thus, from these data it appears, that 286 the spring waters from the northern slope with higher total dissolved solid contents, higher alkalinity and pH values (SG, CS4, CS3, RH with BH having the highest values) are more involved 287 288 in weathering reactions, or are subject to more intense weathering processes than spring waters 289 from the southern slope (especially SH, RUZS and CS1).

290 The variation in the nature and/or intensity of the weathering fluxes carried by the different springs can be linked to specific lithological and mineralogical differences existing for the two hillsides of 291 292 the Strengbach catchment. This is particularly obvious for the SG spring, which emerges near the top of the catchment, just under the banded gneiss, whereas the other sources emerge within the 293 294 granitic environment (Fig. 1). In comparison with the granite, the gneiss has 4 to 5 times higher Mg 295 concentrations due to important occurrences of biotite and chlorite (El Gh'mari, 1995). The Mg/Na 296 and Mg/Ca elemental ratios are about 30 respectively 6 for the gneiss and range from 0.1 to 0.2 297 respectively 0.5 to 1 for the granite (El Gh'Mari, 1995; Fichter, 1997) (Table 1). Mg is also more 298 concentrated in the gneiss-derived soils (0.60 to 0.81 wt.%), than in other soil profiles of the 299 catchment (0.11 to 0.41 wt.%) (El Gh'Mari, 1995; Lefèvre, 1988). Similarly, the Ca/Na ratios of the 300 gneiss (4.8) and the corresponding soils (0.3 to 4.6) are higher than those of the granite (0.19 to 301 0.25) or of the corresponding soils (0.06 to 0.4) (El Gh'Mari, 1995; Fichter, 1997) (Table 1). All these lithological and pedological characteristics easily explain why the SG spring waters are more 302 303 concentrated in Mg and have higher Mg/Ca, Mg/Na and Ca/Na ratios than the other springs (Fig. 3). 304 The variation of the chemical data of the other spring waters emerging within the granitic 305 environment might result from the specific characteristics of the two hillsides, which show different 306 types and thicknesses of soils and saprolite and different degrees of hydrothermal alteration of the 307 granitic bedrock (Lefèvre, 1988; Fichter, 1997, El Gh'Mari, 1998; see also geological setting). 308 Indeed, the study of 13 weathering profiles from the whole Strengbach catchment point to important 309 variations of the mineralogical composition of soils and bedrocks at the catchment scale (El 310 Gh'Mari, 1995; Fichter, 1997; Aubert, 2001; Stille et al, 2009; Prunier 2008). The soils from the 311 northern slope are brown acidic and overlay a 0.5 to 4 m thick saprolite. At the southern slope, 312 however, an ochreous podzolic soil type overlays a much thicker 4 to 9m deep saprolite (El 313 Gh'mari, 1995; Fichter et al., 1998). The bedrock from the northern slope was subjected to stronger 314 hydrothermal alteration, which caused disappearance of albite and biotite, diminution of K-feldspar 315 but an increase of quartz, clays and white mica contents and the occurrence of hematite (Bonneau, 1994; Fichter, 1997; El Gh'Mari, 1995). The hydrothermally strongly altered granite on the 316 317 northern slope is characterized by generally higher Mg and lower Ca and Na contents than observed 318 for the less altered granite on the southern slope (Fichter et al., 1998, El Gh'Mari, 1995). This could 319 account for the comparatively higher Mg concentrations and Mg/Na ratios of the sources from the 320 northern slope, but not for e.g. the higher Ca or K concentrations.

321 The <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr ratios of springs from the southern slope (SH, CS<sub>2</sub>, CS<sub>1</sub>, RUZS) are, like the 322 corresponding rocks and soils (Aubert et al. 2002) (Fig. 8), more radiogenic with lower Sr 323 concentrations than those from the northern slope (BH, RH, SG, CS<sub>3</sub>, CS<sub>4</sub>) (Fig. 5). Thus, the Sr 324 isotopic compositions of springs can be directly related to the signatures of the weathering profile

325 and their geographical localization. But the mineral phases involved in the weathering processes and causing the geochemical characteristics of these superficial waters are still matter of discussion. 326 327 Based on Sr and Nd isotope ratios, Aubert et al. (2001) explained the isotopic signature of the 328 Strengbach stream water by mixing of two isotopically different end-members: apatite and 329 plagioclase. However, the Mg/Sr and Mg/Ca ratios of the waters cannot simply be explained by 330 dissolution of apatite and plagioclase (Fig. 9a,b). In addition, biotite and muscovite have far too 331 high Sr isotopic ratios (respectively 5.8 and 5.4; Aubert et al., 2001) and thus their contribution can 332 be ignored. The clay fractions, extracted from the two weathering profiles at sites HP and VP 333 (Prunnier, 2008) can represent an end-member able to explain the Sr isotopic composition as well as 334 the Mg/Ca and Mg/Sr ratios of springs. In addition, the northern slope contains twice as much clay and especially more Mg-bearing smectite than those of the southern slope (Fichter et al., 1998; this 335 336 study) which can explained why the springs for the northern slope are more radiogenic (Fig 5a,b) with comparatively higher Mg/Ca and Mg/Sr ratios (Fig 9 a,b) than those from southern slopes, 337 338 confirming the role play by clays.

339 Such an interpretation is consistent with results of numerical modeling, which indicates that 340 precipitation/dissolution of more or less crystallized clay minerals (such as smectite) control the Mg concentrations and possibly the high Mg/Ca ratios in the source waters of the Strengbach watershed 341 (Godderis et al. 2006; 2009). The same authors proposed that Mg<sup>2+</sup> is controlled by smectites, Ca<sup>2+</sup> 342 343 by the dissolution of apatite and by smectite, and K<sup>+</sup> by smectite/illite precipitation and dissolution 344 of K-feldspar. Interaction with clays might occur all along the circulation pathway of waters in 345 soils, saprolite and in bedrock fractures. Recent studies in Mackenzie basin and Damma Glacier 346 catchment confirm the importance of secondary mineral formation, especially montmorillonite in 347 the control of chemical composition of stream at the watershed scale (Beaulieu et al., 2011; 348 Hindshaw et al., 2011).

349

350 Thus, the variation of the current chemical compositions of the source waters in the Strengbach 351 catchment possibly reflects dissolution/precipitation processes of secondary mineral phases like clay minerals. In such a model the generally low apatite-like Sr isotopic composition values of the 352 353 source waters and comparatively high and not apatite-like Mg/Ca ratios can be explained by the fact 354 that the Sr has not been remobilized by alteration of primary apatite but by weathering of secondary 355 mineral phases, which integrated during an earlier stage of alteration and crystallization apatitederived Sr. At this point we therefore propose that the alteration flux controlling the <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr and 356 Mg/Ca (resp Mg/Sr) variation in the sources is imposed by secondary minerals. 357

358

359

# 5.2. <sup>234</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U AR in spring waters

Observation of  $(^{234}U/^{238}U)$  AR < 1 in most of the spring and stream waters of the Strengbach 360 catchment is unusual as river waters exhibit generally <sup>234</sup>U excess (e.g., Chabaux et al., 2003). Such 361 U AR < 1 have already observed before for waters from the outlet of the Strengbach catchment 362 (0.963 to 1.023) with a decrease of the U AR in the dissolved load when the discharge increases 363 364 (Riotte et al., 1999). The authors explained this variation by the involvement of at least two different weathered end-members: a water body enriched in <sup>234</sup>U which weathered the granitic 365 366 bedrock at secular equilibrium and a water with a U AR below unity representing mobilization of U 367 from material that has already been weathered. Our study shows an even larger range of variation of 368 the U AR among the different springs ranging from 0.819 (CS3) to 1.112 (BH) (table 2).

The lack of correlation between (<sup>234</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U) AR and <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr isotopic composition or chemical 369 370 values (Fig 6a, 6b) show that AR are not simply lithology controlled.

The mechanisms classically involved to explain  $(^{234}U/^{238}U)$  AR >1 in natural waters are linked to the 371 372 recoil process associated to the decay of <sup>238</sup>U: 1) due to alpha recoil when <sup>238</sup>U decays to <sup>234</sup>Th, it can be ejected out of a grain into the fluid if the distance to the grain boundary is smaller than the recoil 373 range of <sup>234</sup>Th (~30 nm; DePaolo et al., 2006); the <sup>234</sup>Th decays then rapidly to <sup>234</sup>U (<sup>234</sup>Th half-life is 374 24 days); (2)  $\alpha$  - particles emitted during radioactive decay damage the crystal lattice of mineral 375

376 grains and the recoil nuclide is subsequently easily mobilized out of the damaged site. As a 377 consequence, the daughter nuclide  ${}^{234}$ U is preferentially leached relative to the parent  ${}^{238}$ U during 378 weathering. Thus, natural waters with ( ${}^{234}$ U/ ${}^{238}$ U) AR < 1 most likely correspond to environments, 379 which have already experienced a loss of  ${}^{234}$ U.

In a first and simple approach one might interpret the U AR <1 in the Strengbach source waters by 380 381 circulation through already weathered soils. However, chemical flux balance calculations show that 382 the annual U fluxes from the soils under spruces or beeches represent at maximum about 8% or 383 22%, respectively, of the annual U flux at the outlet (Table 3). At the same time, the U 384 concentrations in the different springs can reach in average 0.345 ppm whereas it ranges only between 0.011 to 0.023 ppm (factor of 30 to 15 lower) in the deep soil solutions of the two 385 experimental plots (Table 2). These two observations indicate that the U in the springs originates 386 387 from circulations and interactions, which occurred below the soil horizons.

388 The relationship between the U AR and the altitude of the springs (Fig. 10) indicates that the 389 springs from both slopes with the lower U AR (CS1, CS2, CS3, CS4) are located at higher altitude 390 and circulate in zones where the saprolite reaches 7 to 9 m depth (El'Ghmari, 1995) than springs 391 with high U AR. The spring BH, with the highest U AR is located at the bottom of the watershed 392 where the saprolite layer reaches less than 1.5 m thickness (Fig. 1). Also RUZS was taken at low 393 altitude (950masl), but drains the whole wetland and, therefore, integrated an intermediate U AR. Thus, a possible scenario explaining the  $(^{234}U/^{238}U)$  AR of the spring waters is that BH like sources 394 395 are closer to the "fresh" granite and reflect meteoric alteration of fresher rock material at secular 396 equilibrium. CS<sub>1</sub>, CS<sub>2</sub>, CS<sub>2</sub>, CS<sub>4</sub> and SH sources, by contrast, drain thicker saprolite profiles and/or less fresh granite and, therefore, their low AR may point to the mobilization of U from mineral 397 phases whose outermost surfaces have already been depleted in <sup>234</sup>U due to previous water-rock 398 interactions (old saprolite where the pool of excess <sup>234</sup>U has been exhausted). We therefore propose 399 400 that the <sup>234</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U AR in the catchments spring waters can be interpreted as a function of water 401 pathways. The sources emerging at high altitude, with AR<1, have circulated through already

16

 $\mathcal{O}$ 

 $\mathcal{O}$ 

402 weathered horizons (saprolite, fractured bedrock depleted in <sup>234</sup>U, i.e., with U AR <<1), whereas the 403 springs emerging at the bottom of the watershed have U AR>1 because of the interaction with 404 fresher mineral phases. Therefore, U disequilibrium ratios can be a powerful tool to study the water 405 pathways. These preferential flow paths cross more or less weathered materials implying various 406 ( $^{234}U/^{238}U$ ) AR for the corresponding springs.

407 This interpretation is in agreement with a granite leaching experiment under continuous flow 408 through a reactor (Andersen et al., 2009). It is indeed shown that during the experiment (1200 409 hours) there is a clear trend of variation of the U activity ratios in the outflowing waters, with  $(^{234}\text{U}/^{238}\text{U})$  AR >1 at the beginning of the experiment and a minimal value of 0.9 after 650 to 700 410 411 hours; then the AR increased up to 0.95. The values suggest that at the beginning of the experiment high exposure of fresh material promotes direct recoil of <sup>234</sup>U into water and potentially enhances 412 preferential release of <sup>234</sup>U from damaged lattice sites. However, since there was no renewal of 413 material, because the excess <sup>234</sup>U constitutes a finite pool of easy leachable <sup>234</sup>U, the (<sup>234</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U) 414 415 values become lower than unity when this pool is used up.

Similarly, the observed  $(^{234}\text{U}/^{238}\text{U})$  AR <1 in Strengbach springs might indicate that the rate of production of  $^{234}\text{U}$  excess (by direct recoil and preferential release) is lower than the rate of renewal of material. This can be explained by continuous preferential water circulation along fractures (Le Borgne et al., 2007), in an old weathered mineral surface where the production of  $^{234}\text{U}$  excess is supposed to be low.



424 At this point it is interesting to note that in a neighbored granite catchment (Ringelbach watershed) 425 all the sources only display U AR >1 (Schaffhauser, 2013; Schaffhauser et al., in press). This small 426 catchment located in the Vosges massif at altitudes between 750 and 1100m (0.36km2) also 427 consists of Hercynian granite capped in its upper part by residual Triassic sandstones (Schaffauser428 et al., in press).

429 Plotting the U AR of springs of the both watersheds versus alkalinity and pH (Fig 11) one observes 430 a pretty good correlation where springs with highest U AR are characterized by highest alkalinity and pH values. These two parameters can be considered to reflect the intensity of weathering and 431 432 water/rock interactions, meaning that the waters from the Ringelbach watershed are characterized 433 by more intense weathering. Only SG spring from the Strengbach catchment shows a slightly 434 different behavior because it originates from a gneiss and not a granite body (see section 5.1). The 435 modeling of chemical composition of the waters from the Ringelbach catchment implies dissolution 436 of primary minerals of granite and precipitation of secondary phases such as clays but no 437 dissolution of clays (Schaffauser, 2013); this is in contrast to Strengbach catchment. Thus, we 438 suggest that the waters with the lowest U AR correspond to less intense weathering in an already 439 rock altered system with only few fresh primary mineral phases whereas higher U AR correspond to more intense weathering for waters circulating for example in fresher bedrock. In this way, the 440 441 weathering history can be older in the Strengbach watershed than in Ringelbach watershed, which is 442 consistent with the fact that Triassic sandstones still cover the granite in the Ringelbach catchment.

443 It is striking that the BH waters from Strengbach watershed plot in between the data from the 444 Strengbach and Ringelbach watershed (Fig 11a and b) and are characterized by the highest pH (6.7) and alkalinity despite the relatively high DOC content (2.27 ppm), which usually increases the 445 446 acidity of solution. If we consider that the proton inputs due to atmospheric deposition or biological activity are homogeneous at the watershed scale, then the variation of pH in the different springs 447 448 only reflects water/rock interactions and the consumption of protons by dissolution reactions. The 449 high pH and alkalinity observed for the BH source are in this case consistent with the fact that its 450 water has interacted with fresher bedrock; this further implies a stronger weathering intensity and higher dissolution rate of smectite along the pathway of this source water. 451

 $\mathcal{O}$ 

In such a scenario, the relationship observed between  $(^{234}U/^{238}U)$  AR and Mg/Ca ratios (Fig. 12) 452 would indicate that the intensity or the nature of water reactions controlling the Ca-Mg budget of 453 these waters, namely the dissolution/precipitation reactions of Mg- or Ca-smectites (see discussion 454 455 in 5.1), would be clearly dependent on the weathering level of the saprolite/bedrock system. This is 456 consistent with the fact that 1) smectite occurs along the weathering profile and even in deep 457 weathering horizons (Fichter et al., 1998) and 2) the reactivity of secondary phases like smectite 458 control the chemistry of Mg and Ca in streamwater (this study, Godderis et al., 2006, 2009). In 459 addition, dissolution of clays implies an increase of Mg/Ca ratios in water (Fig 9b). Thus, the 460 relation between U AR and Ca/Mg ratios for the Strengbach springs reflects nothing else than the 461 degree of alteration of the source rock being in contact with the waters: at low altitude the material is fresher, the weathering intensity is more important (higher pH and alkalinity) and thus causes 462 463 higher Ca/Mg and U AR ratios in the waters than at higher altitudes.

464

465

### 466 **5.3. Temporal variations of spring waters**

The data obtained during 2 hydrological years allow for the analysis of the temporal variations of the springs (Fig. 3). The spring RUZS shows the largest variations, which can be explained by the fact that this spring, drains wetland (10 to 15 % of the whole catchment area) with fluctuations in the groundwater level and contributions.

The Sr isotopic compositions of single springs are correlated with discharge (Fig. 7a). In previous studies these variations have been interpreted by mixing of superficial (soil solution type) and deep (groundwater type) waters (Aubert et al., 2002). But, at the same time, the U AR show no temporal variation and, therefore, no relation with discharge (Fig. 7b).

475 Consequently, the U AR and Sr isotopic compositions are not correlated. Similarly, there is no 476 correlation between U AR and geographical location and lithology (discussed in section 5.2). In 477 addition, the lack of temporal U AR variations indicates that the single springs are probably not the 478 result of mixing of different waters. In the same way, the lack of correlation between discharge and 479 DOC or NO<sub>3</sub>, but also the majority of major and trace element concentrations suggests that the 480 variation of chemical composition of spring waters cannot be explained by a simple variation of the 481 contribution between different types of waters or as mixing between superficial waters (with high 482 DOC, NO<sub>3</sub> concentrations for instance) and deep waters. At the same time, the lack of correlation 483 between Sr isotopic compositions and concentrations for individual springs (Fig 5a) confirms that 484 the temporal variations of spring waters cannot simply be explained by mixing between two end-485 members (e.g. superficial and deep waters). The lack of variation of U AR of the individual springs 486 with changing discharge (figure 7) during 2 years further suggests that the water pathways are the same whatever the hydrological conditions. Under these conditions, the water did not interact with 487 new fresh material but rather with minerals having experienced at their surface a prior loss of <sup>234</sup>U 488 489 from damaged lattice sites (Andersen et al., 2009). In such a fractured bedrock system, the water 490 flow is often reduced to only a few main flow paths that control most of the hydrological response 491 of the aquifer (Le Borgne et al., 2007). These preferential flow paths along constant fractures in the bedrocks might explain the over time homogeneous  $(^{234}U/^{238}U)$  AR of the different analyzed spring 492 493 waters.

In contrast, there is a correlation between discharge and <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr ratios for each single spring (Fig.
7b). When increasing discharge the Sr isotopic composition increases as well, whereas the Si
concentrations and alkalinity decrease (Fig. 13).

Different Si concentration-discharge relationships have been observed in several catchments and three different types have been identified: type 1 when Si concentration decreases with discharge; type 2 when Si concentration remains constant and type 3 when Si concentration remains constant until a threshold in discharge is exceeded (Godsey et al., 2009; Maher 2011). The springs from the Strengbach watershed belong to the type 1 which are explained by average residence times shorter than required to approach chemical equilibrium. Thus, the chemistry of waters could vary entirely as a function of the nature of subsurface flow paths and the global solute fluxes depend strongly on the geometry, relief, runoff and permeability of basins (Maher, 2011). In addition, the variation of the Sr isotopic compositions with discharge suggests that the source of Sr changes with changing hydrological condition; this confirms again that the temporal variation cannot be explained by a mixing process but possibly also by changing residence times of fluid and/or flow rate which according to Maher (2010) have an important impact on the weathering rates. This is in accordance with the hypothesis of preferential flow pathways through fractures for the water circulation in the basin.

511 In addition, modeling studies have shown that precipitation/dissolution process of secondary phases 512 control the dissolved Si export in stream waters (Godderis et al., 2006; Beaulieu et al., 2011). Thus, 513 the decrease of Si concentration with increasing discharge can be explained by a change in the ratio 514 between dissolution and precipitation of clays (see also chapter 5.2). We propose that at high 515 discharge the water is undersaturated for clay precipitation (lower Si concentration) causing a more 516 important contribution by dissolution of clays as implied by the higher Mg/Ca (see chapter 5.1) and 517 Sr isotopic ratios (Fig 12c). Thus, in agreement with Maher (2011), our study confirms that 518 hydrological properties limit the solute fluxes carried by rivers and physic-chemical conditions.

519

520

### 521 5.4. The chemical and isotopic signatures of the waters at the Strengbach outlet

522 The stream at the catchments outlet shows with increasing discharge increasing <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr and decreasing alkalinity, pH,  $H_4SiO_4$ , and  $(^{234}U/^{238}U)$  AR (Fig. 14a-e). The important point is that the 523 variation of U AR observed at the outlet (Fig. 14e) can only be explained by a change in the 524 525 discharge contribution of the different springs because the U AR of single springs are constant with time (Fig. 7). When the discharge increases, the U AR values tend towards 0.95, which is close to 526 the (<sup>234</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U) AR of the spring from the saturated area (RUZS) (Fig. 6; Fig. 14). Previous papers 527 528 proposed that during storm event, the contribution of the small saturated zone could reach up to 30 529 % of the runoff (Idir et al., 1999; Ladouche et al., 2001). Similarly, the increase of the Sr isotopic composition with increasing discharge points to the important contribution of RUZS to the
streamlet during high discharge events (Fig. 14d)

532 However, during the lowest discharge, the U AR of the stream at the outlet is > 1 (max. 1.023). 533 These higher values can only be explained by a more important contribution of the spring BH from 534 the northern slope which is the only one with a U AR>1 (average: 1.103; Table 1; Fig.14). Other 535 parameters such as H<sub>4</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>, pH and alkalinity confirm the important contribution of the BH spring 536 to the streamlet during low discharge (Fig. 14). Similarly, the position of the RUZS spring with the low pH, alkalinity and silica concentrations (Fig. 14) confirms its important contribution during 537 538 high discharge. But also the fact that the Sr isotopic composition of the stream at the outlet 539 decreases with decreasing discharge is in accordance with a more important contribution of the less 540 radiogenic springs from the northern (e.g. BH) (Fig. 14) than from the southern slope (Fig. 5).

541

#### **6.** Conclusion :

543

The study shows that the small and more or less "monolithic" Strengbach catchment drains different sources and streams with very different isotopic and geochemical signatures. This heterogeneity is mainly linked up with :

547 - the nature of the bedrock (gneiss, more or less altered granite),

548 - the specific mineralogical composition and thickness of the substratum (soil, saprolite, bedrock),

549 - the degree of hydrothermal alteration: the bedrock granite from the northern slope is 550 hydrothermally much more altered (less biotite, apatite and albite, more clays) and, therefore, the 551 sources draining this slope have higher TDSw-, pH values, higher Ca, K, Mg concentrations and 552 lower <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr ratios than sources draining the southern slope,

- the water flow is probably controlled by pathways through main fractures, as it is generally thecase in fractured granite systems.

555 This study has also shown, that there is an important decoupling between chemical composition on the one hand and the <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr ratios and (<sup>234</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U) AR on the other hand. The Sr isotopic 556 557 compositions of the source waters are generally thought to be the result of alteration of primary 558 mineral phases such as apatite. However, the low apatite-like Sr isotopic composition but 559 comparatively high and not apatite-like Mg/Ca ratio cannot simply be derived from apatite 560 dissolution; nevertheless, they might originate from alteration of a secondary mineral phase like clay minerals, which integrated during their formation an apatite-derived Sr isotopic composition 561 562 from the solution. The dissolution and precipitation dynamics of secondary phases, especially clays 563 such as montmorillonite, seem to control the mobility of Si, Ca or Mg and, therefore, emphasize the kev role of the clavs reactivity in the biogeochemical transfer of especially nutrient elements like Ca 564 565 and Mg.

566 Different processes control the variation of the U AR. Springs at high altitude with U AR<1, which 567 is uncommon for surface waters, have circulated through already weathered bedrock (thick saprolite 568 and fractured rock) and have interacted with already weathered surface minerals. These values are 569 due to strong  $^{234}$ U depletion during predating alteration processes of the bedrock granite. At the 570 opposite, springs emerging at the bottom of the watershed have U AR >1 because of interaction 571 with fresher materials.

572 The lack of variation of U AR of the individual springs with changing discharge during 2 years 573 suggests that the water pathways are the same whatever the hydrological conditions and that there is 574 no interaction between the different source waters.

It appears that the (<sup>234</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U) AR is a very important tracer for studying and deciphering the contribution of the different source fluxes at the catchment scale because this unique geochemical parameter is different for each individual spring and at the same time remains unchanged for each of the springs with changing discharge and fluctuating hydrological conditions. Without this parameter it would not have been possible to decipher the real contribution of the different water masses, especially that of the BH spring at low discharge conditions. 581 Thus all these observations converge toward the same functioning:

- The proportion of the contributions of the different springs to the stream at the outlet varies in
function of the hydrological conditions; the variable contributions of the different sources carrying
different geochemical signatures define the signature of the waters at the Strengbach outlet.

585 - During high flow events, the contribution of the saturated area (RUZS) to the streamlet increases.

586 - At low discharge, the contributions of springs from the northern slope become important (e.g.

587 BH).

The U-Sr isotope study, combined with physico-chemical investigations of the waters offered the opportunity to better understand the processes causing the hydrochemical signature and its temporal variation in each of the individual springs and in the stream waters at the outlet of the small catchment. Indeed, this work points not only to the importance of investigate larger time intervals including one total or even two hydrological cycles but also the interest of geographically enlarged studies including several springs; punctual or only outlet observations will not allow for understanding of the complex functionning of a watershed.

595 The study further highlights the important impact of different and independent water pathways in596 fractured granite controlling the different geochemical and isotopic signatures of the waters.

597

- 598
- 599

## 600 Acknowledgements

We thank Daniel Million, Sophie Gangloff, Sylvain Bénarioumlil and René Boutin for technical assistance. The Observatoire Hydro-Géochimique de l'Environnement OHGE is financially supported by INSU-CNRS, as well as by the REALISE network. This work has been funding by EC2CO INSU CNRS program, and by 7<sup>th</sup> PCRD EU program (SoilTree program). This is an EOST contribution.

606

607

# 608 **References:**609

- 610 Andersen M.B., Erel Y. and Bourdon B.: Experimental evidence for  $^{234}U^{-238}U$  fractionation during 611 granite weatherin with implications for  $^{234}U/^{238}U$  in natural waters. Geochimica et Cosmochimica 612 Acta 73, 4124-4141, 2009.
- 613 Andrews J.N. and Kay R.L.F.: The U contents and <sup>234</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U activity ratios of dissolved uranium in
- 614 groundwaters from some Triassic sandstones in England. Isotope Geoscience 1, 101-117, 1983.
- Aubert D., Stille P., and Probst A.: REE fractionation during granite weathering and removal by
  waters and suspended loads: Sr and Nd isotopic evidence. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 65, 387-406.
  2001.
- Aubert D., Probst A., Stille P., and Viville D.: Evidence of hydrological control of Sr behavior in
  stream water (Strengbach catchment, Vosges mountains, France. Appl. Geochem. 17, 285-300.
  2002.
- Bagard M.L., Chabaux F., Pokrovsky O.S., Viers J., Prokuhskin A.A., Stille P., Rihs S., Schmitt
  A.D. and Dupré B.: Seasonal variability of element fluxes in two Central Siberian rivers draining
  high latitude permafrost dominated areas. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 75, 3335-3357. 2011.
- 624 Berger T.W., Untersteiner H., Schume H. and Jost G.: Througfall fluxes in a secondary spruce
- 625 (Picea abies), a beech (Fagus sylvatica) and a mixed spruce-beech stand. Forest Ecology and 626 Management. 255, 605-618, 2008.
- 627 Beaulieu E., Godderis Y., Labat D., Roelandt C., Calmels D. and Gaillardet J.: Modeling of water-
- rock interaction in the Mackenzie basin: Competition between sulfuric and carbonic acids.
  Chemical Geology, 289, 114-123, 2011.
- 630 Bickle M.J., Chapman H.J., Bunbury J., Harris N.B.W., Fairchild I.J., Ahmad T., and Pomies C.:
- 631 Relative contributions of silicate and carbonate rocks to riverine Sr fluxes in the headwaters of the
- 632 Ganges. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 69, 2221–2240, 2005.

- 633 Blum J.D., Carey A.G., Jacobson A.D., and Chamberlain P.: Carbonate versus silicate weathering
- 634 in the Raikhot watershed within the High Himalayan Crystalline Series. Geology 26, 411–414,635 1998.
- 636 Blundy J. and Wood B.: Mineral-melt partitioning of uranium, thorium and their daughters. In :
- 637 Unranium-Series Geochemistry, 52, 59-123, 2003.
- 638 Bonotto D. M. and Andrews J. N.: The mechanism of  $U^{-234}/U^{-238}$  activity ratio enhancement in
- 639 karstic limestone groundwater. Chem. Geol. 103, 193–206, 1993.
- 640 Bonotto D.M., Andrews J.N.: The transfer of uranium isotopes <sup>234</sup>U and <sup>238</sup>U to the waters 641 interacting with carbonates from Mendip Hills area (England). Applied Radiation and Isotopes 52.
- 642 965-983, 2000.
- Bourdon B., Bureau S., Andersen M.B., Pili E. and Hubert E.: Weathering rates from up to bottom
  in carbonate environment. Chemical Geology. 258, 275-287, 2009.
- Boutin R., Montigny R. et Thuizat R.: Chronologie K-Ar et <sup>39</sup>Ar/<sup>40</sup>Ar du métamorphisme et du
   magmatisme des Vosges. Comparaison avec les massifs varisques avoisinants et determination de
- 647 l'âge de la limite Viséen inférieur viséen supérieur, Geologie de la France 1, 3-25, 1995.
- Brantley S.L., Goldhaber M.B. and Ragnarsdottir V. K.: Crossing disciplines and scales to
  understand the Critical Zone. Elements 3, 307-314, 2008.
- Brioshi L., Steinmann M., Lucot E., Pierret M.C., Stille P., Prunier J. and Badot P.M.: Transfer of
  rare earth elements (REE) from natural soil to plant systems: implications for the environmental
  availability of anthropogenic REE. Plant Soil DOI 10.1007/s11104-012-1407-0, 2012.
- 653 Camacho A., Devesa R., Vallés I., Serrano I., Soler J., Blasquez S., Ortega X. and Matia L.:
- 654 Distribution of uranium isotopes in surface water of the Llobregat river basin (Northeast Spain).
- 55 Journal of Environmental Radioactivity 101, 1048-1054, 2010
- 656 Cenki Tok B., Chabaux F., Lemarchand D., Schmitt A.-D., Pierret M.-C., Viville D., Bagard M.-
- 657 L., and Stille P.: The impact of water-rock interaction and vegetation on calcium isotope

- 658 fractionation in soil- and stream waters of a small, forested catchment (the Strengbach case).
- 659 Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 73, 2215-2228, 2009.
- 660 Chabaux, F., O'Nions, R.K., Cohen, A.S., Hein J.R.: <sup>238</sup>U-<sup>234</sup>U-<sup>230</sup>Th disequilibrium in Fe-Mn crusts :
- 661 Palaeoceanographic record or diagenetic alteration? Geochim. Cosmoch. Acta 61, 3619-3632, 1997.
- 662 Chabaux, F., Riotte, J., Clauer, N. and France-Lanord, C.: Isotopic tracing of the dissolved U
- 663 fluxes in Himalayan rivers: implications for present and past U budgets of the Ganges-Brahmaputra
- 664 system Geochim. Cosmoch. Acta , 65, 3201-3217, 2001.
- 665 Chabaux F., Riotte J., Dequincey O.: U-Th-Ra fractionation during weathering and river transport,
  666 Rev Mineral Geochem, 52, 533-576, 2003.
- 667 Chabaux, F., Riotte, J., Schmitt, A.-D., Carignan, J., Herckes, P., Pierret, M.-C.: Variations of U
- and Sr isotope ratios in Alsace and Luxembourg rain waters: origin and hydrogeochemical
  implications. CR Geosci. 337, 1447-1456, 2005.
- 670 Chabaux, F., Bourdon, B., Riotte, J.: U-series Geochemistry in weathering profiles, river waters and
- 671 lakes. In : S. Krishnaswami and J.K. Cochran (Eds.), U/Th Series Radionuclides in Aquatic
- 672 Systems, Elsevier, Radioactivity in the Environment, 13, 49-104, 2008.
- 673 Chabaux F., Granet M., Larque P., Riotte J., Skliarov E.V., Skliarova O., Alexeieva L., Risacher F.:
- 674 Geochemical and isotopic (Sr, U) variations of lake waters in the Ol'khon Region, Siberia, Russia:
- 675 Origin and paleoenvironmental implications. Comptes Rendus Geoscience, 343, 462-470, 2011.
- 676 Chen J.H., Edwarts G.J. Wasserburg R.L.: <sup>238</sup>U-<sup>234</sup>U-<sup>232</sup>Th in seawater. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 80,
  677 241-251, 1986.
- 678 Cividini D., Lemarchand D., Boutin R., Pierret M-C., and Chabaux F.: From biological to
- 679 lithological control of the B geochemical cycle in a forested watershed (Strengbach, Vosges).
- 680 Geochemica et Cosmochimica Acta. 74, 3143-3163, 2010.
- 681 Clauer N., Pierret M.C., Chauduri S.: Role of subsurface brines in salt balance: the case of the
- 682 Caspian Sea and Kara Bogaz Bay. Aquatic Geochemistry. 15, 237-261, 2009.

- Dambrine E., Le Goaster S., and Ranger J.: Croissance et nutrition minérale d'un peuplement
  d'épicéa sur sol pauvre. II Prélèvement racinaire et transferts internes d'éléments minéraux au cours
  de la croissance. Acta Œcologica, 12, 791-808, 1991.
- 686 Dambrine E., Carisey N., Pollier B., and Granier A.: Effects of drought on the yellowing status and
- the dynamic of mineral elements in the xylem sap of a declining spruce stand (Picea abies Karst.).
  Plant Soil 150, 303-306, 1992.
- Dambrine E., Pollier, Poszwa A., Ranger J., Probst A., Viville D., Biron P. and Granier A.:
  Evidence of current soil acidification in spruce (Strengbach catchment, Vosges mountains, NorthEastern France). Water, Air and Soil Poll. 105, 43-52, 1992.
- 692 Degens E.T., Kempe S. and Richey J.E.: Biogeochemistry of Major World Rivers. Wiley, New
  693 York, 356 pp., 1991.
- DePaolo D., Maher K., Christensen J.N. and McManus J.: Sediment transport time measured with
  U-series isotopes: Results from ODP North Atlantic drift site 984. Earth and Planetary Science
  Letters, 248, 394-410. 2006.
- 697 DePaolo D., Lee V.E., Christensen J.N., and Maher K.: Uranium comminution ages: Sediment
  698 transport and deposition time scales. Comptes Rendus Geoscience, 344, 678-687, 2012.
- 699 Dosseto A., Bourdon B. and Turner S. P.: Uranium-series isotopes in river materials: insights into
- the timescales of erosion and sediment transport. Earth Planet. Sci Lett. 265(1–2), 1–17, 2008.
- 701 Dosseto A., Buss H., Suresh P.O. : Rapid regolith formation over volcanic bedrock and implications
- for landscape evolution. Earth and Planetary Science Letters. 337-338, 47-55, 2012.
- Dupré B., Dessert C., Oliva P., Goddéris Y., Viers J., François L., Millot R. and Gaillardet J.:
  Rivers, chemical weathering and Earth's climate. C.R. Geosciences 335, 1141-1160, 2003.
- 705 Durand S., Chabaux F., Rihs S., Duringer P. and Elsass P.: U isotope ratios as tracers of
- 706 groundwater inputs into surface waters: example of the Upper Rhine hydrosystem. Chem. Geol.
- 707 220, 1-19, 2005.

El Gh'Mari A.: Etude minéralogique, pétrophysique et géochimique de la dynamique d'altération
d'un granite soumis au dépôts atmosphériques acides (Bassin versant du Strengbach, Vosges.
France) mécanismes, bilans et modélisations. PhD Thesis, University Strasbourg, 202 p., 1995.

711 Fichter J.: Minéralogie quantitative et flux d'éléments minéraux libéré par altération des minéraux

- 712 des sols dans deux écosystèmes sur granite (Bassin versant du Strengbach, Vosges). PhD thesis,
- 713 Univ. Henri Poincaré, Nancy I, 284 p., 1997.
- Fichter J., Turpault M.P., Dambrine E., and Ranger J.: Mineral evolution of acid forest soils in the
  Strengbach catchment (Vosges mountains, N-E France). Geoderma 82, 315-340., 1998.
- Gaillardet J., Dupré B., Louvat P., and Allègre C.J.: Global silicate weathering and CO<sub>2</sub>
  consumption rates deduced from the chemistry of the large rivers, Chem. Geol. 159, 3-30, 1999.
- 718 Goddéris Y., François L.M., Probst A., Schott J., Moncoulon D., Labat D. and Viville D.:
- Modelling weathering processes at the catchment scale: The WITCH numerical model. Geochim.
  Cosmochim. Acta 70, 1128-1147., 2006.
- 721 Godderis Y., Roelandt C., Schott J., Pierret M.C. and François L.: Towards an integrated model of
- weathering, climate, and biospheric processes. Reviews in Mineralogy & Geochemistry 70, 411434., 2009.
- Godsey S.E., Kirchner J.W., Clow D.W.: Concentration-discharge relationships reflect chemostatic
  characteristics of US catchments. Hydrol. Process. 23, 1844-1864, 2009.
- 726 Grzymko T.J., Marcantonio F., McKee B.A. and Stewart C.M.: Temporal variability of uranium
- 727 concentrations and <sup>234</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U activity ratios in the Mississippi river and its tributaries. Chemical
  728 Geology 243, 344–356, 2007.
- 729 Hindshaw R.S., Tipper E.T., Reynolds B.C., Lemarchand E., Wiederhold J.G., Magnusson J.,
- 730 Bernasconi S.M., Kertzschmar R., and Bourdon B.: Hydrological control of stream water chemistry
- in a glacial catchment (Damma Glacier, Switzerland). Chemical Geology 285, 215–230, 2011.
- 732 Idir S., Probst A., Viville D. and Probst J.L.: Contribution des surfaces saturées et des versants aux
- 733 flux d'eau et d'élèments exportè en période de crue : tracage à l'aide du carbone organique dissous

- et de la silice. Cas du petit bassin versant du Strengbach (Vosges, France). C.R. Acad Sci Paris.
  328, 89-96, 1999.
- Ladouche B., Probst A., Viville D., Idir S., Baqué D., Loubet M., Probst J.-L., and Bariac T.:
  Hydrograph separation using isotopic, chemical and hydrological approaches (Strengbach catchment, France). J. Hydrol. 242, 255-274, 2001.
- 739 Lahd Geagea M., Stille P., Gauthier-Lafaye F., and Millet M.: Tracing of industrial aerosol sources
- in an urban environment using Pb, Sr andNd isotopes. Env. Sci. and Technol. 42, 692-698, 2008.
- 741 Le Borgne T., Bour O., Riley M.S., Gouze P., Pezard P., Belghoul A., Lods G., Le Provost R.,
- 742 Greswell R.B., Ellis P.A., Isakov E. and Last B.J.: Comparison of alternative methodologies for
- 743 identifying and characterizing preferential flow paths in heterogeneous aquifers. Journal of
- 744 Hydrology. 345, 134-148, 2007.
- 745 Lefèvre Y.: Les sols du bassin d'Aubure. (Haut-Rhin): caractérisation et facteurs de répartition.
  746 Ann. Sci. For. 45, 417-422, 1988.
- 747 Lemarchand E., Chabaux F., Vigier N., Millot R., Pierret M.C.: Lithium isotopic behaviour in a
- 748 forested granitic catchment (Strengbach, Vosges Mountains, France). Geochemica et
  749 Cosmochimica Acta. 74. 4612-4628, 2010.
- 750 Lemarchand D., Cividini D., Turpault M.P., Chabaux F.: Boron isotopes in different grain size
- 751 fractions : Exploring past and present water-rock interaction from two soilm profiles (Strengbach,
- 752 Vosges Mountain). Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 98, 78-93, 2012.
- 753 Maher K., Steefel C.I., DePaolo D.J. and Viani B.E.: The mineral dissolution rate conundrum:
- Insights from reactive transport modeling of U isotopes and pore fluid chemistry in marine
  sediments, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 70, 337–363, 2006.
- Maher K.: The dependance of chemical weathering rates on fluid residence time. Earth andPlanetary Science Letters. 294, 101-110, 2010.
- 758 Maher K.: The role of fluid residence time and topographic scale in determining chemical fluxes
- from landscapes. Earth and Planetary Science Letters. 312, 48-58, 2011.

- Martin J.M. and Meybeck M. : Element mass-balance of material carried by major world rivers.
  Mar. Chem. 7, 173-206, 1979.
- Millot R., Gaillardet J., Dupré B. and Allègre C.J.: Northern latitude chemical weathering rates:
  Clues from the Mackenzie River Basin, Canada. Geochim. et Cosmochim. Acta 67, 1305–1329,
  2003.
- Négrel P., Allègre C.J., Dupré B., and Lewin E.: Erosion sources determined by inversion of major
  and trace element ratios in river water: the Congo Basin case. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 20, 59-76,
  1993.
- Oliva P., Viers J., and Dupré B.: Chemical weathering in granitic environments. Chemical Geology
  202, 225-256, 2003.
- 770 Paces J.B., Ludwig K.R., Peterman Z.E., Neymark L.A.: <sup>234</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U evidence for local recharge and
- patterns of ground-water flow in the vicinity of Yucca Mountain, Nevada, USA. AppliedGeochemistry, 17, 751-779, 2002.
- Osmond J.K. and Cowart J.B.: The theory and uses of natural uranium isotopic variations in
  hydrology. Atomic Energy Rev 14:621-679, 1976.
- 775 Osmond J.K., Cowart J.B.: Groundwater. In: Uranium series disequilibrium Applications to
- environmental problems. Ivanovich M, Harmon RS (eds) Oxford Science Publications, Oxford, p
  202-245, 1982.
- 778 Osmond, J.K., Ivanovich, M.: Uranium-series mobilisation and surface hydrology. In: Ivanovich,
- 779 M., Harmon, R.S. (Eds.), Uranium-series Disequilib- rium: Applications to Earth, Marine and
- 780 Environmental Sciences, second ed. Clarendon Press Oxford, 1992.
- Oster J.L., Ibarra D.E., Harris C.R. and Maher K.: Influence of eolian deposition and rainfall
  amounts on the U-isotopic composition of soil water and soil minerals. Geochimica et
  Cosmochimica Acta. 88, 146-166, 2012.

- Pelt E., Chabaux F., Innocent C., Navarre-Sitchler A.L., Sak P.B. and Brantley S.L.: Uraniumthorium chronometry of weathering rinds: Rock alteration rate and paleo-isotopic record of
  weathering fluids. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 276, 98-105, 2008.
- Pierret M.C., Chabaux F., Leroy S. and Causse C.: A record of Late Quaternary continental
  weathering in the sediment of the Caspian Sea: evidence from U-Th, Sr isotopes, trace element and
  palynological data. Quaternary Science Reviews. 51, 40-55. DOI 10. 1016/j.quascirev.2012.07.020,
  2012.
- Pierret M.C., Bosch D., Clauer N. and Blanc G.: Formation of metal-rich sediments in the Thetis
  Deep (Red Sea) in the absence of brines : Implications for the genetic model. Journal of
  Geochemical Exploration. 104, 12-26. DOI 10.1016/j/gexplo, 2009.
- Probst A., Dambrine E., Viville D. and Fritz B.: Influence of acid atmospheric inputs on surface
  water chemistry and mineral fluxes in a declining spruce stand within a small granitic catchment
  (vosges massif- France). J. of Hydrology 116, 101-124, 1990.
- Probst A, Viville D, Fritz B, Ambroise B, and Dambrine E.: Hydrochemical budgets of a small
  forested catchment exposed to acid deposition : the Strengbach catchment case study (Vosges
  massif, France) W.A.S.P. 62, 337-347, 1992a.
- Probst A., Fritz B., and Stille P.: Consequence of acid deposition on natural weathering processes:
  field studies and modelling. In: Water Rock Interaction (Eds.Kharaka Y.K.and Maest A.S.)
  Balkema/Rotterdam/ Brookfield, 581-584, 1992b.
- 803 Prunier J.: Etude du fonctionnement d'un écosystème forestier en climat tempéré, par l'apport de la
- 804 géochimie élémentaire et isotopique (Sr, U-Th-Ra). Cas du bassin versant du Strengbach (Vosges,
- 805 France). Thesis, Uni. de Strasbourg, 303pp., 2008.
- Riotte J. and Chabaux F.: (<sup>234</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U) activity ratios in freshwaters as tracers of hydrological
  processes: the Strengbach watershed, Vosges, France. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 63, 1263-1275,
  1999.

- Riotte J., Chabaux F., Benedetti M., Dia A., Gérard M., Boulègue J., and Etamé J.: U colloidal
  transport and origin of the <sup>234</sup>U-<sup>238</sup>U fractionation in surface waters : new insights from Mount
  Cameroon. Chem. Geol. 202, 365-381, 2003.
- 812 Schaffhauser T.: Traçage et modélisation des processus d'altération à l'échelle d'un petit basin
  813 versant, le Ringelbach (Vosges, France). Thesis, Université de Strasbourg, 343pp, 2013.
- 814 Schaffhauser T., Chabaux F., Ambroise B., Lucas Y., Stille P., Perronne T., Fritz B., in press.
- 815 Geochemical and isotopic (U, Sr) tracing of water pathways in the small granitic Ringelbach
  816 research catchment (Vosges Mountains, France).
- Steinmann M. and Stille P.: Controls on transport and fractionation of the rare earth elements in
  stream water of a mixed basaltic-granitic catchment basin (Massif Central, France) Chem.Geol.
  254,1-18, 2009.
- 820 Stille P., Steinmann M., Pierret M.-C., Gauthier-Lafaye F., Chabaux F., Viville D., Pourcelot L.,
- 821 Matera V., Aouad G., and Aubert D.: The impact of vegetation on REE fractionation in stream
- waters of a small forested catchment (the Strengbach case). Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 70, 32173230, 2006.
- Stille P., Pierret M.-C., Steinmann M., Chabaux F., Boutin R., Aubert D., Pourcelot L., and Morvan
  G.: Impact of atmospheric deposition, biogeochemical cycling and water-mineral interaction on
  REE fractionation in acidic surface soils and soil water (the; Strengbach case). Chem. Geol. 264,
  173-186, 2009.
- Stille P., Pourcelot L., Granet M., Pierret M.-C., Perrone Th, Morvan G. and Chabaux F.:
  Deposition and migration of atmospheric Pb in soils from a forested silicate catchment today and in
  the past (Strengbach case; Vosges mountains); evidence from <sup>210</sup>Pb activities and Pb isotope
  ratios. Chem. Geol., 289, 140-153, 2011.
- Stille P., Schmitt A.-D., Labolle F., Gangloff S., Cobert F., Lucot E., Pierret M.-C., Guéguen F.,
  Brioschi L., Steinmann M., Chabaux F. : The suitability of annual growth rings as environmental

- 834 archives: Evidence from Sr, Nd, Pb and Ca isotopes in spruce growth rings (Strengbach case;
- 835 Vosges mountains, France). CR Geoscience, 344, 297-311, 2012.
- Stromman G., Rosseland B.O., Skipperud L., Burbkitbaev L.M., Uralbekov B., Heier L.S., Salbu
  B.: Uranium activity ratio in water and fish from pit lakes in Kurday, Kazakhstan and Taboshar,
  Tajikistan. Journal of Environmental Radioactivity. 1, 11, 2012.
- Tipper E.T., Bickle M.J., Galy A., West A. J., Pomies C., and Chapman H.J.: The short term
  climatic sensitivity of carbonate and silicate weathering fluxes: Insight from seasonal variations in
  river chemistry. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 70, 2337-2754, 2006.
- 842 Tricca A., Stille P., Steinmann M., Kiefel B, Samuel, J., and Eikenberg J. : Rare earth elements and
- 843 Sr and Nd isotopic compositions of dissolved and suspended loads from small river systems in the
- 844 Vosges mountains (France), the river Rhine and the groundwater. Chem. Geol. 160, 139-158, 1999.
- Thimonier A. Schmitt M., Waldner P. and Schleppi P.: Seasonality of the Na/Cl ratio in precipitation and implication of canopy leaching in validating chemical analyes of througfall samples. Atmospheric Environment, 42, 9106-9117, 2008.
- 848 Ulrich, B.: Interaction of forest canopies with atmospheric constituents: SO<sub>2</sub>, alkali and earth alkali
- cations and chloride. In: Ulrich, B., Pankrath, J. (Eds.), Effects of Accumulation of Air Pollutants in
  Forest Ecosystems. Reidel, Dordrecht, pp. 33–45, 1983.
- Vigier N., Bourdon B., Turner S., and Allègre C.J.: Erosion timescales derived from U-decay series
  measurements in rivers. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 193, 549-563, 2001.
- 853 Vigier N., Burton K.W., Gilslason S.R., Rogers N.W., Duchen S., Thomas L., Hodge E., Schaefer
- 854 B.: The relashionship between riverine U-series disequilibriua and erosion rates in a basaltic terrain.
- Earth and Planetary Science Letters. 249, 258-273, 2006.
- Viville D., Chabaux F., Stille P., Pierret M.C., Gangloff S.: Erosion and weathering fuxes in
  granitic basins: The example of the Strengbach catchment (Vosges massif, eastern France). Catena,
  92, 122-129, 2012.
- 859 Von Eller J.P., Guide géologique Vosges-Alsace, Charles Pomerol Ed. Masson, Paris, 184p., 1984.

860	Zakharova E.A.,	Pokrovsky C	D.S., Dupré B.,	Gaillardet J.,	Efimova L.E.:	Chemical	weathering	of
-----	-----------------	-------------	-----------------	----------------	---------------	----------	------------	----

silicate rocks in Karelia region and Kola peninsula, NW Russia: Assessing the effect of rock
composition, wetlands and vegetation. Chemical Geology 242, 255–277, 2007.

#### 867 **Table captions**

Table 1: Chemical compositions of spring and stream waters, open field precipitation, througfalls under spruces (PL5) and beeches (PLH). The total dissolved solids ( $TDS_w$ ) have been calculated from the concentrations of the major dissolved elements (cations, anions and silica) and are expressed in mg/L, as calculated for several watersheds (e.g., Gaillardet et al., 1999). Another parameter, called here TDS-Ca (=Ca+Mg+Na+K+SiO<sub>2</sub>+Fe) has been calculated as proposed by Zakharova et al. (2007) and reflects the silicate weathering.

Table 2: Sr isotopic compositions and U activity ratios for spring waters, outlet, rain, througfalls and clays (Prunnier, 2008) from the Strengbach watershed. Clays SS: clays from a soil profile located in the southern slope and under beeches, and clays NS: clays from a soil profile located in the northern slope and under spruces.

878 Table 3: Elementary fluxes for rain, throughfalls and outlet in the Strengbach catchments. The rain 879 corresponds to open field precipitations, the throughfalls have been collected under spruces (80% of 880 the forest cover) and beeches (20% of the forest cover). The chemical composition of throughfalls 881 results of (wet and dry atmospheric deposition) + (biological excretion = biological leaching). In 882 order to estimate the atmosphere-derived fluxes (input fluxes) we applied for every element a 883 specific corrective factor Cb (1)Ulrich et al, 1983; (2)Dambrine et al., 1998; (3)Thimonier et al., 884 2008, (4)Berger et al., 2008. The global atmospheric input has been calculated considering the catchment area as 15% of clearing and 85% of forest, the formula is then :  $F_{atm} = 0,15$ .  $F_{rain} + 0,85$ 885 886  $F_{througfall(corrected)}$ . The outlet fluxes correspond to the catchment export fluxes (b).

- 887
- 888
- 889
- 890

# 891 Figure captions

Fig. 1: Map of the Strengbach catchment showing the principal lithological units and the location of
the 10 studied springs (SG, RH, ARG, BH, CS1, CS2, CS3, CS4, SH, RUZS). RS corresponds to
the Strengbach stream at the outlet of the studied catchment.

Fig. 2: Physico-chemical characteristics of the different source waters of the Strengbach watershed
(average values of period 2004-2006). a) pH vs TDSw; b) pH vs Mg/Na, c) pH vs Alk and d) pH vs
Ca/Na.

Fig. 3: Major element concentration ratios of the 9 different individual source waters of the Strengbach watershed. a) Ca/Na vs Mg/Na; b) Ca/Na vs K/Na; c) Ca/Na vs Sr/Na and d) Ca/Na vs Si $(OH)_4$ /Ca. In each diagram the spring waters from the southern slope show different compositions than those from the northern slope.

Fig. 4: Relationships between a) DOC (ppm) vs Fe (ppm), b) Alk (meq/l) vs U (ppb) and c) DOC (ppm) and U (ppb). DOC: dissolved organic carbon. Some springs (BH, SH, RUZS, SG) show relationship between Fe, DOC and U concentrations whereas for the others (CS1, CS2, CS3, CS4,

905 RH) the U concentrations show a large variation whereas the DOC concentrations remain low.

Fig. 5: Relationships between <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr isotope ratios and a) 1/Sr (ppb), b) Ca/Sr (ppb/ppb), The
isotope ratios allow a clear distinction between northern and southern slope sources.

Fig. 6: Relationship between (<sup>234</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U) AR and a) 1/U and, b) <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr. In contrast to Sr isotopic
compositions, the U AR of springs do not allow to distinguish between the northern and southern
slopes.

911 Fig. 7:  ${}^{87}$ Sr/ ${}^{86}$ Sr versus discharge and ( ${}^{234}$ U/ ${}^{238}$ U) AR versus discharge for respectively BH spring (a

and b) and for 3 other springs (CS1, RH3 and CS4; c and d) from the Strengbach watershed.

913 Fig. 8: Variations of <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr versus 1/Sr for the soil and saprolite samples from the Strengbach

914 watershed. The data show a clear difference between the samples from the northern slope and those

915 from the southern slope (Aubert, 2001; Stille et al, 2009, Prunier, 2008).

Fig. 9: a) <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr versus Mg/Ca and b) <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr versus Mg/Si for the spring waters (NS = northern
slope and SS=southern slope), primary minerals of the granite (Aubert et al., 2001) and clays from
soils (Prunier, 2008).

Fig. 10: Variations of  $(^{234}\text{U}/^{238}\text{U})$  activity ratios vs elevation in the springs from the Strengbach watershed. At catchment scale we observe relation between U AR and the altitude of source of the springs.

Fig. 11: Variations of (<sup>234</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U) activity ratios vs Mg/Ca ratio in the springs from the Strengbach
watershed. At catchment scale we observe a clear relation between U AR and the Mg/Ca ratio of the
springs.

Fig.12: Variations of (<sup>234</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U) activity ratios vs a) alkalinity and b) pH in the springs from the
Strengbach and Ringelbach (Shaffauser et al., in press) watersheds.

Fig. 13: Variations of <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr versus a) alkalinity and b) Si concentration for the springs from the
Strengbach watershed. For each individual spring we observe a relation between <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr and
alkalinity or Si content.

Fig. 14: Variation of a)  $H_4SiO_4$  concentration, b) alkalinity, c) pH, d) ( $^{234}U/^{238}U$ ) AR and e)  $^{87}Sr/^{86}Sr$ versus discharge for the Strengbach stream at the outlet, for the 2004-2006 studied period (additional data from Riotte et al. (1999) and Aubert et al., (2002) for respectively U AR and Sr isotopic compositions).

934

935



 $\bigcirc$ 



![](_page_40_Figure_0.jpeg)

Fig.3

![](_page_41_Figure_0.jpeg)

Fig.4

 $\bigcirc$ 

![](_page_42_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_0.jpeg)

 $\bigcirc$ 

![](_page_46_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_0.jpeg)

Elevation (m)

 $\bigcirc$ 

![](_page_48_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Figure_0.jpeg)

 $\bigcirc$ 

![](_page_50_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Figure_0.jpeg)

		Discharge	рН	Cond.	Na⁺	K⁺	Mg²⁺	Ca <sup>2+</sup>	Alc	CI.	NO <sub>3</sub>	SO42-	DOC	H <sub>4</sub> SiO <sub>4</sub>	AI	Mn	Fe	Ba	Rb	Sr	U	TDSw	TDS-ca
Ouring Only stop OD	date	l/s	F 00	µS/cm	mmol/l	mmol/l	mmol/l	mmol/l	meq/l	mmol/l	mmol/l	mmol/l	ppm	mmol/l	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppb	ppb	ppb	ppb	mg/l	mg/l
CR	28/09/04		5.66	35.5	0.092	0.025	0.018	0.07	0.049	0.057	0.058	0.066	0.47	0.157	0.02	0.01	0.01	84.00	3.02	10.12	0.24	21.35	6.44
CR	02/11/04		5.84	30.3	0.083	0.02	0.019	0.077	0.041	0.053	0.073	0.062	0.78	0.132	0.06	0.01	0.00	66.00	3.18	11.66	0.24	21.13	6.32
CR	13/12/04		6.50	33.4	0.089	0.02	0.018	0.074	0.041	0.055	0.066	0.066	0.53	0.145	0.04	0.01	0.00	74.75	3.07	11.21	0.24	21.16	6.32
CR	24/01/05		<u>6.41</u>	32.0	0.082	0.018	0.018	0.073	0.032	0.052	0.066	0.063	0.64	0.13	0.03	0.01	0.00	78.23	2.77	12.63	0.18	19.92	6.03
CR	03/05/05		5.92	31.3	0.075	0.019	0.018	0.072	0.039	0.051	0.003	0.062	0.00	0.119	< 0.01	0.01	0.01	73.47	2.42	10.12	0.19	19.64	6.00
CR	31/05/05		6.17	31.5	0.085	0.018	0.018	0.071	0.041	0.050	0.057	0.063	0.63	nd	< 0.01	0.00	0.02	78.79	2.90	12.35	0.05	19.55	5.96
CR	11/07/05		6.33	30.5	0.086	0.018	0.016	0.068	0.045	0.047	0.052	0.061	0.44	0.152	< 0.01	0.00	0.04	83.05	2.82	11.40	0.15	19.37	5.93
	22/08/05		6.49	29.9	0.092	0.018	0.017	0.07	0.041	0.051	0.063	0.063	0.5	0.159	0.05	0.01	0.01	75.77	2.85	10.21	0.23	20.39	6.15
CR	07/02/06		6.38	31.8	0.0092	0.019	0.018	0.009	0.05	0.060	0.055	0.062	0.62	0.153	0.02	0.01	0.01	76.68	3.03	11.00	0.17	21.19	6.30
CR	03/04/06		6.05	31.4	0.075	0.021	0.018	0.071	0.022	0.049	0.074	0.064	0.84	0.114	0.06	0.01	0.01	60.27	2.86	11.86	0.20	19.63	5.91
CR	10/07/06		6.18	32.6	0.086	0.018	0.016	0.068	0.045	0.049	0.055	0.059	1.67	0.148	0.02	0.01	0.01	69.84	2.67	10.23	0.16	19.43	5.90
	21/08/06		6.12	30.0	0.084	0.018	0.017	0.065	0.039	0.049	0.059	0.059	0.59	0.137	0.07	0.01	0.02	65.32	2 79	10.70	0.37	19.15	5.74
CR	22/05/06		6.22	28.7	0.083	0.018	0.017	0.066	0.039	0.047	0.058	0.061	0.66	0.133	0.04	0.01	0.00	64.88	2.85	10.18	0.24	19.21	5.76
CR	Average		6.15	31.61	0.086	0.019	0.017	0.070	0.041	0.052	0.061	0.062	0.67	0.140	0.04	0.01	0.01	73.21	2.90	11.16	0.20	20.14	6.07
Spring CS1	12/07/04	0.113	5.9	28.2	0.078	0.018	0.015	0.051	0.036	0.043	0.027	0.062	0.7	0.158	0.02	0.230	0.006	11.19	2.79	8.09	0.31	16.35	5.01
CS1	13/12/04	0.318	6.12	20.0	0.078	0.014	0.010	0.053	0.035	0.037	0.029	0.001	0.87	0.134	0.08	0.026	0.000	11.11	2.69	7.03	0.24	11.52	4.95
CS1	29/03/05	1.156	5.22	25.2	0.067	0.014	0.014	0.044	0.008	0.04	0.035	0.061	nd	0.120	0.19	0.037	0.002	14.73	2.52	8.81	0.38	14.14	4.27
CS1	03/05/05	0.560	6.19	22.1	0.068	0.012	0.013	0.043	0.017	0.036	0.024	0.058	0.74	0.133	0.03	0.006	0.098	12.78	2.38	7.70	0.25	13.50	4.25
CS1 CS1	31/05/05	0.268	6.33	24.0	0.072	0.014	0.014	0.051	0.035	0.036	0.022	0.057	0.74	0.138	< 0.01	0.003	0.043	13.84	2.79	11.50 9.54	0.14	14.90	4.72
<u>C</u> S1	22/08/05	0.110	6.40	24.4	0.079	0.014	0.015	0.055	0.043	0.037	0.029	0.061	0.61	0.158	0.03	0.004	0.029	11.67	2.78	8.84	0.13	16.62	5.05
CS1	03/10/05	0.192	6.50	24.0	0.075	0.014	0.015	0.049	0.029	0.046	0.026	0.058	0.66	0.151	0.04	0.023	0.000	12.66	2.78	8.73	0.15	15.27	4.69
CS1	07/02/06	0.165	6.05	25.1	0.081	0.015	0.014	0.053	0.038	0.041	0.027	0.06	0.85	0.153	0.06	0.020	0.006	11.68	2.77	8.36	0.30	16.21	5.02
CS1	22/05/06	0.349	6.10	23.5	0.000	0.013	0.015	0.044	0.008	0.044	0.038	0.058	0.74	0.135	0.20	0.044	0.013	11.83	2.90	7.16	0.33	14.04	4.37
CS1	10/07/06	0.092	6.48	25.8	0.075	0.013	0.014	0.047	0.037	0.035	0.022	0.057	0.48	0.153	0.01	0.021	0.000	10.29	2.48	7.72	0.18	14.89	4.55
CS1	21/08/06	0.658	6.02	25.8	0.071	0.014	0.014	0.046	0.018	0.04	0.032	0.056	0.76	0.133	0.10	0.030	0.000	12.48	2.55	7.86	0.44	14.30	4.45
CS1 CS1	02/10/06 Average	0.635	5.85	25.7	0.071	0.013	0.014	0.044	0.014	0.044	0.033	0.057	0.78	0.135	0.12	0.032	0.000	14.07	2.75	8.31	0.51	14.24	4.33
Spring CS2	12/07/04	0.482	5.56	34.6	0.091	0.018	0.018	0.068	0.046	0.051	0.054	0.066	0.48	0.157	0.02	0.01	0.00	71.89	2.64	10.03	0.24	20.33	6.06
CS2	28/09/04	0.535	5.58	34.4	0.094	0.018	0.019	0.07	0.048	0.052	0.058	0.064	0.42	0.155	0.06	0.01	0.00	76.00	3.00	10.14	0.20	20.72	6.23
CS2 CS2	13/12/04	1.446	6.44	28.8	0.086	0.017	0.018	0.071	0.037	0.055	0.063	0.063	0.58	0.138	0.05	0.01	0.00	70.15	2.78	10.70	0.26	20.13	6.01
CS2	31/05/05	1.117	6.30	30.2	0.070	0.010	0.017	0.007	0.037	0.05	0.055	0.061	0.57	0.124	< 0.01	0.00	0.05	72.40	2.41	12.42	0.05	19.29	6.01
CS2	11/07/05	0.246	6.27	30.5	0.087	0.016	0.017	0.067	0.042	0.049	0.054	0.06	0.54	0.149	< 0.01	0.00	0.05	71.34	2.28	10.03	0.20	19.21	5.87
CS2	22/08/05	0.450	6.47	29.5	0.093	0.017	0.017	0.069	0.047	0.049	0.061	0.063	0.46	0.157	0.05	0.00	0.01	75.93	2.66	10.25	0.27	20.50	6.09
CS2	22/05/06	0.078	6.24	28.7	0.092	0.019	0.018	0.069	0.047	0.055	0.061	0.062	0.51	0.151	0.03	0.01	0.01	79.16	2.76	10.29	0.18	20.63	5.80
CS2	03/04/06	7.156	6.04	31.2	0.073	0.018	0.018	0.073	0.031	0.049	0.067	0.064	0.88	0.107	0.06	0.01	0.00	59.98	2.45	10.60	0.18	19.65	5.81
CS2	10/07/06	0.389	6.5	32.6	0.087	0.018	0.017	0.067	0.043	0.047	0.056	0.059	0.36	0.146	< 0.01	0.01	0.00	69.31	2.50	10.57	0.13	19.31	5.89
CS2 CS2	21/08/06	2.978	6.3	33.1	0.084	0.017	0.018	0.068	0.039	0.056	0.063	0.058	0.55	0.134	0.06	0.01	0.00	70.57	2.54	10.76	0.30	19.65 20.41	<u>5.84</u> 6.19
CS2	Average	0.000	6.19	31.55	0.086	0.018	0.018	0.069	0.042	0.051	0.059	0.061	0.562	0.139	0.00	0.01	0.02	71.98	2.59	10.68	0.21	19.81	5.97
Spring CS3	13/12/04	0.265	6.65	36,00	0.097	0.022	0.017	0.083	0.051	0.05	0.071	0.071	0.44	0.159	0.01	0.00	0.15	20.39	4.10	10.85	0.25	22.99	7.08
CS3	29/03/05	0.480	6.11	35.7	0.09	0.022	0.017	0.084	0.047	0.05	0.073	0.072	nd 0.52	0.142	0.03	0.00	0.00	24.44	3.99	13.44	0.21	22.81	6.80
CS3	31/05/05	0.246	6.24	34.1	0.094	0.021	0.017	0.083	0.052	0.031	0.067	0.069	0.52	0.153	< 0.01	0.00	0.02	25.55	3.88	11.65	0.10	22.55	6.69
CS3	11/07/05	0.089	6.31	32.9	0.091	0.02	0.015	0.077	0.05	0.047	0.056	0.065	0.45	0.156	< 0.01	0.00	0.01	24.49	3.64	9.82	0.20	20.83	6.43
CS3	22/08/05	0.086	6.52	31.7	0.097	0.021	0.016	0.08	0.054	0.049	0.062	0.07	0.53	0.164	< 0.01	0.00	0.00	22.18	4.06	10.47	0.27	22.32	6.75
CS3 CS3	03/10/05	0.181	6,53	33.9	0.096	0.022	0.016	0.079	0.054	0.05	0.065	0.068	0.4	0.163	0.03	0.00	0.00	23.15	4.12	11.15	0.20	22.33	6,70
CS3	03/04/06	1.222	6.22	33.4	0.084	0.021	0.017	0.082	0.044	0.048	0.074	0.067	0.89	0.126	0.03	0.01	0.01	22.05	0.50	10.71	0.24	21.87	6.55
CS3	22/05/06	0.229	6.42	32.2	0.093	0.021	0.016	0.078	0.051	0.048	0.068	0.068	0.54	0.152	0.01	0.00	0.00	16.86	3.31	8.27	0.17	22.09	6.57
CS3 CS3	10/07/06	0.109	6.55	35.6	0.092	0.02	0.016	0.078	0.055	0.047	0.057	0.066	0.41	0.158	< 0.01	0.00	0.00	19.80	3.75	10.30	0.13	21.38	6.51
CS3	02/10/06	0.445	6.40	36.5	0.093	0.021	0.010	0.078	0.051	0.048	0.07	0.065	0.6	0.155	0.01	0.00	0.00	22.52	4.22	11.92	0.37	22.83	6.78
CS3	Average		6.41	33.98	0.093	0.02	0.016	0.080	0.051	0.05	0.07	0.07	0.52	0.15	0.01	0.00	0.02	22.20	3.64	10.83	0.21	22.18	6.70
Spring CS4	13/12/04	0.314	6.58	35.70	0.096	0.024	0.020	0.078	0.05	0.056	0.071	0.071	0.66	0.152	0.04	0.01	0.00	127.41	3.21	12.10	0.26	23.00	6.85
CS4	31/05/05	0.427	6.30	30.40	0.085	0.021	0.017	0.070	0.04	0.051	0.062	0.062	0.70	0.128	< 0.01	0.00	0.06	127.77	2.69	11.15	0.17	20.07	6.13
CS4	11/07/05	0.121	6.39	33.60	0.094	0.021	0.018	0.075	0.06	0.051	0.059	0.064	0.52	0.156	< 0.01	0.00	0.06	145.87	2.53	11.05	0.21	21.47	6.59
CS4	22/08/05	0.170	6.68	31.90	0.097	0.022	0.019	0.077	0.06	0.052	0.064	0.065	0.61	0.163	0.04	0.00	0.01	154.38	2.93	12.11	0.29	22.69	6.75
CS4	07/02/06	0.213	6.45	34.30	0.100	0.027	0.017	0.073	0.06	0.054	0.065	0.066	0.66	0.161	0.01	0.01	0.00	149.91	3.12	12.40	0.14	22.41	6.74
CS4	22/05/06	0.205	6.37	31.80	0.092	0.024	0.019	0.070	0.02	0.054	0.000	0.065	0.74	0.103	0.09	0.02	0.00	133.26	3.20	11.43	0.24	21.85	6.43
CS4	10/07/06	0.157	6.60	35.50	0.092	0.021	0.018	0.073	0.06	0.050	0.055	0.064	0.41	0.155	0.01	0.00	0.00	148.84	2.78	11.76	0.17	21.43	6.40
CS4	21/08/06	0.462	6.47	33.20	0.091	0.024	0.018	0.070	0.05	0.050	0.071	0.060	0.73	0.145	0.05	0.01	0.00	119.00	2.94	11.10	0.30	21.09	6.36
CS4	02/10/06	0.772	6.50	33.50	0.087	0.024	0.018	0.070	0.05	0.054	0.065	0.061	1.00	0.140	0.07	0.01	0.58	115.10	3.19	11.92	0.45	21.33	6.85
Spring BH	02/02/04	nd	6.60	36.50	0.089	0.024	0.040	0.087	0.045	0.058	0.090	0.071	1.70	0.137	0.04	0.00	0.02	62.52	2.42	10.28	0.06	25.63	7.55

BH	12/07/04	0.388	6.78	34.50	0.088	0.014	0.034	0.074	0.14	0.033	0.014	0.061	2.68	0.143	0.02	0.00	0.06	57.83	1.67	8.85	0.11	22.68	6.51
BH	28/09/04	0.450	6.79	42.10	0.100	0.029	0.042	0.095	0.16	0.066	0.039	0.062	2.43	0.154	0.05	0.01	0.04	68.00	3.59	10.69	0.07	28.51	8.40
BH	13/12/04	1.241	6.76	41.20	0.092	0.024	0.042	0.090	0.09	0.061	0.074	0.074	1.51	0.145	0.02	0.00	0.02	67.18	2.94	11.10	0.05	27.11	7.79
BH	29/03/05	3,000	6.66	37.30	0.086	0.024	0.041	0.087	0.07	0.001	0.077	0.073	1.59	0.137	0.03	0.00	0.01	63.55	2.40	12.22	0.04	23.03	7.34
BH	03/05/05	2.095	6.64	32.60	0.082	0.023	0.035	0.080	0.03	0.037	0.028	0.066	1.89	0.123	< 0.01	0.00	0.03	67.08	1.91	10.11	0.07	22.72	6.60
BH	31/05/05	1.049	6.44	32.00	0.080	0.011	0.033	0.076	0.09	0.046	0.025	0.063	2.09	0.134	< 0.01	0.01	0.04	66.01	1.44	9.81	0.07	21.12	6.24
BH	11/07/05	0.130	6.72	31.00	0.086	0.016	0.032	0.075	0.13	0.033	0.015	0.053	2.91	0.144	< 0.01	0.05	0.05	66.01	1.89	9.57	0.11	21.48	6.52
BH	22/08/05	0.637	6.76	34.00	0.089	0.029	0.038	0.087	0.15	0.056	0.023	0.052	3.92	0.136	0.07	0.07	0.01	76.46	4.06	11.82	0.15	25.35	7.68
BH	03/10/05	0.724	6.79	38.10	0.100	0.042	0.042	0.091	0.15	0.067	0.036	0.058	3.69	0.138	0.08	0.00	0.06	77.72	4.69	12.05	0.11	27.70	8.75
BH	03/04/06	5.450	6.46	36.80	0.081	0.023	0.036	0.076	0.07	0.048	0.066	0.069	1.37	0.120	0.03	0.00	0.01	59.17	2.65	9.79	0.05	23.10	6.76
BH	10/07/06	0.278	6.85	36.40	0.086	0.010	0.035	0.075	0.11	0.039	0.025	0.004	3.10	0.115	0.03	0.00	0.03	59.41	3.04	9.40	0.08	22.30	7 15
BH	21/08/06	3.261	6.40	29.80	0.086	0.020	0.036	0.078	0.10	0.049	0.047	0.062	2.16	0.139	0.04	0.00	0.02	57.21	2.58	10.00	0.10	23.45	6.88
BH	02/10/06	3.155	6.74	35.60	0.087	0.020	0.037	0.081	0.10	0.048	0.057	0.066	1.83	0.142	0.03	0.00	0.18	63.68	2.98	11.11	0.10	24.46	7.20
BH	Average		6.67	35.53	0.09	0.02	0.037	0.082	0.11	0.05	0.04	0.06	2.27	0.14	0.03	0.01	0.04	65.07	2.71	10.59	0.08	24.43	7.21
Spring RUZS	28/09/04	0.206	6.28	18.30	0.089	0.006	0.010	0.036	0.06	0.020	0.000	0.030	9.05	0.103	0.24	0.03	0.46	20.00	1.33	5.01	0.35	11.08	4.49
RUZS	13/12/04	0.299	5.77	26.30	0.093	0.005	0.015	0.051	0.01	0.046	0.002	0.002	2.47	0.154	0.07	0.01	0.11	26.24	1.08	7.77	0.11	7.81	4.95
RUZS	29/03/05	1.300	5.82	26.00	0.084	0.013	0.015	0.050	0.02	0.052	0.004	0.071	2.83	0.121	0.10	0.01	0.04	31.80	2.31	9.16	0.14	14.85	4.93
RUZS	31/05/05	0.525	5.90	13.20	0.038	0.002	0.009	0.040	0.04	0.004	0.000	0.042	9.38	0.045	< 0.01	0.13	0.10	28.75	0.40	7.63	0.30	6.67	3.11
RUZS	11/07/05	0.057	6.44	13.40	0.053	0.004	0.009	0.035	0.04	0.008	0.000	0.019	7.24	0.027	0.01	0.20	0.20	25.55	0.68	5.71	0.44	7.72	3.22
RUZS	22/08/05	0.390	6.04	28.80	0.119	0.018	0.016	0.060	0.04	0.092	0.001	0.059	6.74	0.079	0.15	0.15	0.01	40.86	3.73	10.28	0.26	17.48	6.30
RUZS	03/10/05	0.284	6.10	24.70	0.100	0.013	0.013	0.048	0.03	0.051	0.006	0.057	6.39	0.108	0.18	0.00	0.12	35.36	2.70	8.29	0.31	14.60	5.23
RUZS	20/03/06	1.140	5.75	32.20	0.090	0.020	0.021	0.066	0.02	0.062	0.050	0.070	3.27	0.150	0.08	0.01	0.03	43.19	2.90	10.70	0.12	19.05	6.11
RUZS	03/04/06	3.395	5.59	29.50	0.082	0.019	0.017	0.056	0.01	0.053	0.029	0.068	3.00	0.116	0.14	0.01	0.03	41.64	2.10	8.53	0.23	16.15	5.38
RUZS	18/04/06	2.805	5.55	24.60	0.078	0.015	0.015	0.049	0.01	0.047	0.027	0.003	3.07	0.108	0.13	0.01	0.05	36.96	2.06	8.43	0.22	14.87	4.82
RUZS	10/07/06	0.139	6.72	20.30	0.003	0.003	0.016	0.041	0.00	0.010	0.020	0.020	10.20	0.040	0.21	0.02	0.24	19.80	0.99	9.92	0.40	13.20	4.66
RUZS	21/08/06	0.501	6.10	44.00	0.098	0.005	0.010	0.046	0.03	0.032	0.000	0.054	7.26	0.086	0.16	0.02	0.13	30.40	1.06	7.42	0.33	12.87	4.74
RUZS	02/10/06	1.457	6.22	21.20	0.088	0.006	0.012	0.042	0.04	0.042	0.000	0.045	6.31	0.121	0.16	0.02	0.03	27.07	1.48	7.21	0.37	12.33	4.34
RUZS	Average		6.03	23.96	0.079	0.01	0.01	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.01	0.04	5.94	0.09	0.11	0.06	0.11	31.71	1.64	8.16	0.31	12.73	4.65
Spring RH	12/07/04	0.160	5.89	46.50	0.092	0.030	0.036	0.103	0.06	0.060	0.119	0.080	0.58	0.144	0.02	0.00	0.00	136.18	3.73	14.71	0.18	29.01	8.38
RH	28/09/04	0.200	6.03	50.50	0.098	0.031	0.040	0.116	0.07	0.060	0.158	0.076	0.60	0.146	0.05	0.00	0.00	163.00	4.15	16.33	0.14	32.28	9.18
RH	02/11/04	2.030	6.34	41.20	0.089	0.031	0.035	0.105	0.06	0.073	0.112	0.080	0.88	0.133	0.06	0.00	0.00	144.44	4.57	16.08	0.19	28.98	8.40
	24/01/05	2.008	6.21	30.70	0.091	0.030	0.035	0.103	0.05	0.069	0.095	0.111	0.82	0.141	0.05	0.00	0.00	129.97	3.60	14.95	0.16	24.74	7.50
RH	07/03/05	0.430	6.34	39.80	0.087	0.028	0.031	0.091	0.03	0.063	0.093	0.081	0.76	0.124	0.07	0.00	0.00	124.00	3.52	16.33	0.13	24.74	7.55
RH	29/03/05	1.062	6.23	40.30	0.083	0.028	0.031	0.090	0.04	0.058	0.087	0.081	0.84	0.117	0.06	0.00	0.00	126.12	3.41	15.84	0.14	25.08	7.44
RH	03/05/05	0.907	6.26	38.20	0.082	0.027	0.030	0.090	0.04	0.058	0.079	0.081	0.88	0.127	< 0.01	0.01	0.04	132.03	3.36	14.05	0.14	24.64	7.40
RH	31/05/05	0.515	6.40	38.80	0.082	0.024	0.030	0.089	0.05	0.054	0.086	0.076	0.74	0.129	< 0.01	0.00	0.07	128.83	3.45	13.60	0.07	24.44	7.27
RH	11/07/05	0.324	6.53	41.10	0.086	0.027	0.031	0.096	0.05	0.055	0.095	0.075	0.62	0.138	< 0.01	0.00	0.04	140.55	3.54	15.45	0.16	25.84	7.76
	22/08/05	0.313	6.56	39.50	0.090	0.029	0.034	0.100	0.07	0.055	0.107	0.075	0.75	0.143	0.05	0.00	0.00	144.20	3.80	15.20	0.25	27.92	8.13
RH	07/02/06	0.313	6.28	38.50	0.086	0.030	0.030	0.098	0.07	0.060	0.090	0.070	0.75	0.143	0.04	0.00	0.01	129 12	3.58	13.95	0.19	25.09	7 47
RH	03/04/06	2.130	6.30	41.90	0.085	0.030	0.031	0.090	0.04	0.054	0.107	0.074	0.95	0.105	0.06	0.00	0.01	131.03	3.44	13.28	0.19	25.63	7.56
RH	22/05/06	0.492	6.39	37.20	0.085	0.028	0.030	0.088	0.05	0.050	0.094	0.075	0.81	0.129	0.04	0.00	0.00	127.92	3.86	13.44	0.20	25.13	7.39
RH	10/07/06	0.213	6.55	42.20	0.086	0.028	0.031	0.093	0.07	0.053	0.082	0.077	0.60	0.139	0.01	0.00	0.00	118.81	3.41	13.85	0.10	25.87	7.64
RH	21/08/06	1.244	6.40	60.30	0.090	0.029	0.032	0.095	0.06	0.054	0.119	0.067	0.87	0.135	0.05	0.00	0.00	134.40	3.51	14.42	0.23	27.07	7.87
	02/10/06	1.078	6.48	42.40	0.088	0.032	0.033	0.098	0.06	0.059	0.115	0.071	0.88	0.132	0.05	0.00	0.00	145.20	3.81	15.73	0.28	27.70	8.09
Spring SG	12/07/04	0.030	6.04	42.42 54.90	0.087	0.03	0.078	0.10	0.05	0.06	0.10	0.082	0.78	0.13	0.04	0.00	0.01	135.45	5.09	12.65	0.17	37.60	0.73
SG	28/09/04	0.032	6.16	59.40	0.103	0.023	0.082	0.125	0.12	0.050	0.142	0.086	0.80	0.141	0.02	0.00	0.02	147.00	5.75	14.35	0.02	39.36	10.36
SG	13/12/04	0.007	6.61	52.80	0.094	0.026	0.072	0.111	0.11	0.060	0.137	0.137	1.03	0.142	0.02	0.02	0.03	119.83	6.37	13.46	0.01	39.68	9.50
SG	29/03/05	0.064	6.27	44.30	0.074	0.019	0.060	0.095	0.08	0.037	0.125	0.080	0.84	0.122	0.03	0.00	0.01	115.78	4.22	13.57	0.01	29.40	7.80
SG	03/05/05	0.030	6.58	46.50	0.085	0.020	0.067	0.106	0.11	0.046	0.122	0.082	0.82	0.127	< 0.01	0.01	0.01	138.42	4.44	12.75	0.01	32.34	8.70
SG	31/05/05	0.007	6.47	48.10	0.087	0.021	0.066	0.107	0.12	0.045	0.127	0.080	1.02	0.127	< 0.01	0.03	0.09	132.03	5.24	13.10	0.02	32.83	8.89
<u> </u>	22/08/05	0.025	6.65	47.30	0.093	0.025	0.004	0.115	0.12	0.044	0.145	0.080	1.39 nd	0.130	0.02	0.03	0.04	149.07	5.54 6.55	14.20	0.02	35.62	9.32
SG	03/10/05	0.024	6.67	49.70	0.092	0.025	0.070	0.112	0.14	0.049	0.134	0.082	1.71	0.134	0.04	0.00	0.04	138.88	6.24	14.48	0.04	35.45	9.45
SG	22/05/06	0.014	6.68	47.90	0.090	0.021	0.070	0.111	0.11	0.040	0.160	0.079	0.79	0.124	0.02	0.00	0.01	112.00	5.44	13.42	0.03	34.75	9.12
SG	03/04/06	0.101	6.17	43.30	0.072	0.019	0.060	0.091	0.07	0.034	0.149	0.071	0.94	0.112	0.02	0.01	0.00	120.89	3.89	10.92	0.01	28.68	7.57
SG	21/08/06	0.031	6.55	23.80	0.087	0.020	0.070	0.110	0.10	0.042	0.166	0.075	0.99	0.131	0.02	0.00	0.01	134.10	4.57	13.51	0.02	33.96	8.98
SG	02/10/06	0.034	6.77	51.00	0.087	0.021	0.071	0.112	0.11	0.050	0.169	0.076	0.82	0.137	0.02	0.00	0.07	148.10	5.11	14.73	0.01	35.35	9.19
SG Oraciana Old	Average	0.000	6.49	47.13	0.088	0.02	0.069	0.109	0.11	0.04	0.15	0.084	1.01	0.13	0.02	0.01	0.03	133.97	5.28	13.66	0.02	34.32	9.05
Spring SH	28/09/04	0.033	5.02	21.80	0.084	0.016	0.007	0.023	0.00	0.049	0.004	0.033	9.78	0.144	0.44	0.04	0.25	20.00	4.38	3.71	0.34	9.16	3.99
SH	13/12/04	0.074	5.24	22.00	0.078	0.017	0.000	0.023	0.00	0.051	0.007	0.040	3.47	0.134	0.22	0.02	0.00	22 11	3.89	4.42	0.30	8 13	4 08
SH	24/01/05	2.000	5.29	20.60	0.075	0.015	0.009	0.028	0.00	0.051	0.016	0.045	2.23	0.129	0.19	0.02	0.03	20.13	3.07	5.02	0.18	11.05	3.76
SH	29/03/05	1.280	5.00	24.10	0.074	0.019	0.010	0.031	0.00	0.048	0.023	0.045	4.65	0.121	0.27	0.03	0.14	20.08	4.97	5.72	0.24	11.46	4.14
SH	03/05/05	0.324	5.05	20.20	0.072	0.019	0.008	0.025	0.00	0.048	0.009	0.035	7.21	0.092	0.03	0.21	0.35	20.23	5.17	4.30	0.33	9.46	4.00
SH	31/05/05	0.027	5.56	18.80	0.076	0.017	0.007	0.024	0.01	0.045	0.009	0.038	4.15	0.124	0.03	0.30	0.48	26.62	3.59	4.53	0.37	10.32	4.10
SH	22/08/05	0.231	5.16	19.50	0.075	0.016	0.008	0.031	0.01	0.039	0.015	0.033	9.63	0.114	0.40	0.30	0.03	18.32	4.13	4.99	0.56	10.17	3.89
SH	03/10/05	0.278	5.25	19.70	0.080	0.019	0.008	0.025	0.01	0.049	0.008	0.038	6.16	0.137	0.28	0.03	0.18	21.52	5.55	4.86	0.42	10.35	4.04
5H SH	07/02/06	5 711	5.30	20.80	0.087	0.022	0.009	0.031	0.01	0.053	0.019	0.053	<u>∠.60</u> 3.31	0.154	0.23	0.04	0.03	20.94	4.11	2.39	0.27	10.08	4.45
SH	22/05/06	0.125	5.45	18 20	0.000	0.014	0.000	0.024	0.01	0.044	0.019	0.039	3.55	0.100	0.20	0.02	0.06	11 00	4,11	4.12	0.29	10.15	3.71
2													2.00								2.50		

SH	21/08/06	0.554	5.60	15.40	0.077	0.013	0.008	0.026	0.01	0.045	0.005	0.042	4.01	0.130	0.25	0.03	0.06	20.56	2.97	4.39	0.43	10.18	3.66
SH	02/10/06	0.883	5.61	18.50	0.076	0.011	0.007	0.022	0.01	0.051	0.004	0.040	3.77	0.134	0.24	0.02	0.00	20.60	2.95	4.29	0.48	9.86	3.31
SH	Average		5.29	20.11	0.077	0.02	0.008	0.026	0.01	0.05	0.01	0.04	4.86	0.13	0.24	0.08	0.13	20.60	3.99	4.61	0.35	10.32	3.87
Outlet RS	02/02/04	nd	6.19	30.10	0.083	0.020	0.024	0.069	0.03	0.056	0.059	0.068	1.85	0.131	0.05	0.01	0.02	67.31	2.41	10.46	0.14	19.99	6.15
RS	12/07/04	4.58	6.66	29.20	0.081	0.016	0.020	0.060	0.07	0.044	0.026	0.059	1.99	0.132	0.02	0.01	0.05	57.08	1.76	9.07	0.19	18.29	5.52
RS	28/09/04	5.45	6.53	32.60	0.090	0.018	0.024	0.070	0.07	0.053	0.044	0.061	1.80	0.140	0.06	0.01	0.04	69.00	2.96	11.50	0.15	20.77	6.29
RS	02/11/04	59.30	6.38	30.10	0.084	0.020	0.025	0.073	0.04	0.057	0.068	0.063	1.55	0.134	0.05	0.01	0.02	71.65	3.19	11.05	0.12	21.07	6.35
RS	13/12/04	12.00	6.49	34.30	0.088	0.019	0.025	0.075	0.04	0.061	0.061	0.062	1.13	0.143	0.03	0.01	0.02	68.76	2.59	11.10	0.08	20.90	6.49
RS	24/01/05	42.60	6.38	33.10	0.083	0.021	0.025	0.073	0.03	0.057	0.068	0.067	1.30	0.133	0.03	0.00	0.01	78.96	2.64	12.29	0.08	20.96	6.36
RS	07/03/05	8.37	6.25	32.40	0.085	0.019	0.023	0.070	0.03	0.057	0.059	0.068	1.10	0.135	0.02	0.00	0.02	69.00	2.43	13.16	0.07	20.36	6.16
RS	21/03/05	78.50	6.14	32.40	0.079	0.022	0.024	0.071	0.03	0.055	0.075	0.063	1.63	0.121	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	20.29	6.18
RS	29/03/05	48.70	6.22	31.90	0.079	0.020	0.022	0.068	0.03	0.056	0.059	0.065	1.28	0.122	0.03	0.00	0.01	74.63	2.74	12.00	0.08	19.58	5.94
RS	03/05/05	22.70	6.31	28.00	0.076	0.015	0.021	0.063	0.05	0.044	0.038	0.060	1.99	0.104	< 0.01	0.02	0.05	70.27	2.08	10.03	0.13	17.84	5.48
RS	31/05/05	11.10	6.40	28.60	0.078	0.013	0.021	0.065	0.05	0.044	0.038	0.058	1.78	0.119	< 0.01	0.01	0.03	68.14	1.95	13.35	0.12	17.60	5.45
RS	11/07/05	3.85	6.51	28.20	0.080	0.014	0.020	0.063	0.07	0.041	0.028	0.056	1.85	0.134	< 0.01	0.03	0.04	70.27	2.35	10.65	0.18	17.99	5.52
RS	22/08/05	6.88	6.52	29.00	0.089	0.020	0.023	0.069	0.07	0.056	0.032	0.057	2.92	0.127	0.06	0.07	0.01	68.03	3.14	10.04	0.22	19.91	6.24
RS	03/10/05	14.00	6.56	29.10	0.085	0.022	0.022	0.065	0.06	0.057	0.035	0.057	2.73	0.130	0.07	0.00	0.04	69.48	3.37	11.16	0.23	19.21	6.07
RS	28/11/05	4.70	6.16	32.20	0.089	0.020	0.024	0.070	0.06	0.059	0.049	0.067	1.20	0.143	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	21.08	6.21
RS	07/02/06	8.05	6.44	33.20	0.089	0.021	0.024	0.075	0.04	0.057	0.059	0.071	1.20	0.143	0.03	0.00	0.02	74.11	2.66	11.50	0.08	21.48	6.55
RS	20/03/06	22.97	6.52	32.10	0.084	0.022	0.023	0.068	0.03	0.056	0.060	0.067	1.22	0.131	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	20.06	6.16
RS	03/04/06	127.50	5.70	30.50	0.076	0.024	0.020	0.064	0.02	0.052	0.072	0.061	1.64	0.111	0.09	0.02	0.01	73.05	2.96	10.86	0.14	18.93	5.82
RS	22/05/06	10.70	6.45	28.00	0.082	0.017	0.022	0.064	0.05	0.045	0.041	0.062	1.72	0.115	0.07	0.01	0.03	65.82	2.80	10.44	0.15	18.97	5.75
RS	10/07/06	3.60	6.45	30.10	0.084	0.017	0.021	0.064	0.07	0.046	0.033	0.057	1.59	0.135	0.03	0.01	0.03	59.80	2.59	9.68	0.17	18.85	5.79
RS	21/08/06	22.40	6.01	22.90	0.098	0.005	0.012	0.047	0.04	0.030	0.001	0.056	7.03	0.089	0.05	0.01	0.03	70.00	2.45	10.54	0.18	13.23	4.71
RS	02/10/06	15.50	6.30	31.60	0.083	0.019	0.022	0.067	0.04	0.052	0.057	0.059	1.59	0.134	0.05	0.01	0.00	71.59	2.59	10.62	0.17	19.65	5.96
RS	Average		6.34	30.44	0.084	0.018	0.022	0.067	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.06	1.91	0.128	0.04	0.01	0.03	69.31	2.61	11.03	0.14	19.41	5.96
atmopsheric inputs	Average data																						
Rain	2004-2006		5.21	15.73	0.011	0.004	0.002	0.005	0.01	0.012	0.038	0.016	1.01	0.000	0.01	0.01	0.01	3.77	1.47	0.85	0.01		
Throughfalls spruces	2004-2006		5.18	34.11	0.048	0.069	0.011	0.027	0.01	0.058	0.074	0.041	8.80	0.003	0.10	0.31	0.02	9.34	13.99	3.37	0.01		
Througfalls beeches	2004-2006		5.75	23.14	0.026	0.074	0.006	0.010	0.04	0.029	0.033	0.022	6.42	0.005	0.02	0.02	0.03	11.41	28.70	1.02	0.00		

2004-2006	water fluxes	Na fluxes	K fluxes	Mg fluxes	Ca fluxes	Si fluxes	Sr fluxes	U fluxes
	(mm)	mg/m2/yr	mg/m2/yr	mg/m2/yr	mg/m2/yr	mg/m2/yr	µg/m2/yr	µg/m2/yr
rain - F <sub>rain</sub>	1247	306	219	56	256	5	1.1	7.6
throughfall - F <sub>througfall</sub>	1070	1041	3037	256	1034	101	2.4	12.6
biological contribution to throughfalls Cb (1.2.3.4)		0.2	0.9	0.3	0.25	0.1	nd	nd
Atmospheric contribution to throughfall - F <sub>througfall(corrected)</sub>		833	304	179	776	91	nd	nd
global atmospheric input (a)	1096	754	291	161	697	78	1.1 to 2.4	7.6 to 12.6
outlet fluxes (b)	850	1634	608	456	2276	3008	8.8	113
rain-corrected outlet fluxes (c)	850	1328	388	399	2019	3003	7.7	105
(wet+dry atmos. deposits)-corrected outlet fluxes (d)	850	880	317	295	1579	2929	7.7 to 6.6	105 to 101

Samples	date	<sup>87</sup> Sr/ <sup>86</sup> Sr	2sigma	<sup>234</sup> U/ <sup>238</sup> U	2sigma	altitude
						(m)
Spring CS1	28/09/04	0.72573	0.00002	0.880	0.001	1080
CS1	13/12/04	0.72656	0.00004	0.875	0.005	1080
CS1	29/03/05	0.72780	0.00001	0.892	0.003	1080
C\$1	22/05/06	0.72650	0.00001	0.886	0.002	1080
CS1	Average	0.72665	/	0.883		
Spring CS2	03/05/05	0.72546	0.00001			1055
CS2	11/07/05	0.72376	0.00001	0.075		1055
CS2	22/05/06	0.72544	0.00001	0.875		1055
	02/10/06	0.72515	0.00001	0.975		1055
	Average	0.72495	0.00000	0.875	0.002	1009
Spring CS3	13/12/04	0.72325	0.00002	0.823	0.003	1098
CS3	29/03/05	0.72320	0.00001	0.827	0.003	1096
C33	22/05/06	0.72314	0.00002	0.810	0.004	1096
033		0.72323	0.00001	0.813	0.004	1090
Spring CS4	03/05/05	0.72490	0.00002	0.866	0.003	1050
CS4	11/07/05	0.72375	0.00002	0.000	0.000	1050
C\$4	22/05/06	0.72353	0.00001	0.867	0.002	1050
CS4	02/10/06	0.72548	0.00001	0.007	0.002	1050
CS4	Average	0.72442	0.00001	0.867		1000
Spring BH	12/07/04	0.72262	0.00002	1,106	0.005	915
BH	13/12/04	0.72289	0.00002	1.1	0.003	915
ВН	29/03/05	0.72359	0.00001	1.101	0.003	915
BH	03/05/05	0.72340	0.00001	1.1	0.003	915
BH	31/05/05	0.72319	0.00001	1.112	0.003	915
BH	11/07/05	0.72279	0.00001	1.101	0.003	915
BH	22/08/05	0.72287	0.00001	1.106	0.003	915
BH	03/10/05	0.72307	0.00001	1.105	0.003	915
BH	22/05/06	0.72334	0.00002	1.099	0.004	915
BH	Average	0.723084		1.103		
Spring RUZS	13/12/04	0.72700	0.00002	0.945	0.004	950
RUZS	29/03/05	0.72665	0.00001	0.941	0.003	950
RUZS	22/05/06	0.72669	0.00001	0.949	0.003	950
RUZS	Average	0.72678		0.945		
Spring RH	28/09/04	0.72206	0.00008	0.996	0.003	980
RH	13/12/04	0.72240	0.00002	0.991	0.004	980
RH	29/03/05	0.72257	0.00002	0.993	0.005	980
	22/05/06	0.72242	0.00001	0.991	0.004	980
	Average	0.72250	0.00000	0.993	0.004	1002
Spring 30	20/09/04	0.72303	0.00002	0.91	0.004	1093
	29/03/05	0.72320	0.00007	0.95	0.003	1093
SG	22/05/06	0.72354	0.00002	0.923	0.004	1093
SG	Average	0.72347	0.00002	0.923	0.002	1000
Sprina SH	28/09/04	0.72749	0.00003	0.916	0.003	1050
SH	13/12/04	0.72720	0.00009	0.915	0.003	1050
SH	29/03/05	0.72801	0.00002	0.914	0.004	1050
SH	03/05/05	0.72798	0.00001			1050
SH	22/05/06	0.72720	0.00001	0.911	0.004	1050
SH	02/10/06	0.72752	0.00001			1050
SH	Average	0.72757		0.914		
Outlet RS	29/03/05	0.72573	0.00002	0.939	0.003	883
RS	22/05/06	0.72520	0.00001	0.974	0.004	883
RS	Average	0.72547		0.957		
atmopsheric inputs	Average					
Rain	2004-2006	0.7111		1.175		
Throughfalls spruces	2004-2006	0.71290		1.079		
Inrougtalls beeches	2004-2006	0./1620	0.000	0.953	0.000	
clays SS under beeches	35 cm depth	0.872847	0.00002	1.26	0.003	
clays SS under beeches	95 cm depth	0.767439	0.00001	1.0/4	0.002	
clays NS under spruces	35 cm depth	0.830034	0.00001	1.094	0.002	
clays NS under spruces	1 95 cm aepth	0.802886	0.00001	na		