RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Questions appear in green.

All changes to the master manuscript appear in **bold**.

Editor Decision: Reconsider after major revisions (27 Feb 2015) by Prof. Matthew Hipsey

Comments to the Author:

Dear Authors,

First my apologies for the delay in getting the reviewers comments in. I now have received two new sets of comments, which are copied below. In summary Rev 1 rated the revised manuscript as poor in terms of significance and quality, and outlined several reasons for this. Rev 2 (who had reviewed the earlier version) is more positive and saw the improvements, but also still raised concerns about the quality of the presentation and readability. For the paper to be published it is important that the concerns raised in the Rev1 comments below are adequately addressed. If you feel this is too onerous then we can cancel the review process so you can resubmit elsewhere (if the review process is cancelled in this way we can arrange credit of your fee for your next submission). However, I would hope that you are willing to undertake these revisions and further develop the paper to ensure the review fully covers the state of the art literature.

REVIEWER 1:

Overall, I think the review has been much improved after the previous reviewer comments. However, I think there and two key problems with this review, particularly in relation to the nitrogen cycle.

First, it does not really synthesise our understanding much beyond the classic texts of Kadlec and Wallace (Treatment Wetlands) and Reddy and DeLaune (Biogeochemistry of Wetlands), which are not even cited! I think the article should be more upfront about the existence of these works and specifically address how this review synthesizes the state of play beyond these texts. For example the discussion of HLR and HRT on nitrogen removal from lines 200 – 207 is well covered in Kadlec and Wallace.

Response: We are aware that there have been quite a number of excellent reviews around on wetlands biogeochemistry in general. However, we are dealing in this review with the specificities of <u>constructed wetlands</u> and not <u>wetlands</u> in general. This aspect should be taken into consideration in the reviewing of this paper. It emerges that we may know quite a number of aspects related to wetlands but not so much about constructed wetlands. To make this clearer we added a sentence in the introduction. The following lines have been added: Line 55: While nutrient cycles have been addressed in previous books and review papers, we strongly suggest that *in situ* in transformation and fate of the transformation products with regard to pollution swapping requires further detailed examination.

Line 66: While there are excellent reviews and books available on the biogeochemistry of wetlands in general (Reddy and Delaune, 2008; Kadlec and Wallace, 2009), less is known about constructed wetlands (CWs), which provide much more controlled conditions (Vymazal and Kröpfelova, 2010).

Second, the article seems to have missed many recent relevant articles in the field, and many statements are vague, incorrect and not referenced. A few examples of this are given below.

Response: We have added many more references to the text and all those suggested by the reviewer.

Blackburn, T. H.: Methods for measuring rates of NH4+ turnover in anoxic marine sediments, using a 15N-NH4+ dilution technique, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 37, 760-765, 1979.

Huygens, D., Trimmer, M., Rütting, T., Müller, C., Heppell, C. M., Lansdown, K., and Boeckx, P.: Biogeochemical N cycling in wetland ecosystems: 15N isotope techniques, in: Methods in biogeochemistry of wetlands, edited by: Reddy, K. R., Megonigal, J. P., and Delaune, R. D., Soil Science Society of America, 553-591, 2013.

Kadlec, R. H., and Wallace, S. D.: Treatment wetlands, CRC Press Taylor and Francis, 1000 pp., 2009.

Minett, D. A., Cook, P. L. M., Kessler, A. J., and Cavagnaro, T. R.: Root effects on the spatial and temporal dynamics of oxygen in sand-based laboratory-scale constructed biofilters, Ecological Engineering, 58, 414-422, 2013.

Müller, C., Laughlin, R. J., Spott, O., and Rütting, T.: A 15N tracing method to quantify N2O pathways from terrestrial ecosystems, European Geological Union General Assembly, Vienna, 27 April to 2 May 2014, 1907, 2014.

Payne, E. G. I., Fletcher, T. D., Cook, P. L. M., Deletic, A., and Hatt, B. E.: Processes and drivers of nitrogen removal in stormwater biofiltration, Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology, 44, 796-846, 2014a.

Payne, E. G. I., Fletcher, T. D., Russell, D. G., Grace, M. R., Cavagnaro, T. R., Evrard, V., Deletic, A., Hatt, B. E., and Cook, P. L. M.: Temporary storage or permanent removal? The division of nitrogen between biotic assimilation and denitrification in stormwater biofiltration systems, PLoS ONE, 9, e90890, 2014b.

Reddy, K. R., and Delaune, R. D.: Biogeochemistry of Wetlands: Science and Applications, CRC Press, 800 pp., 2008.

Rütting, T., and Müller, C.: Process-specific analysis of nitrite dynamics in a permanent grassland soil by using a Monte Carlo sampling technique, Eur. J. Soil Sci., 59, 208-215, 2008.

Rütting, T., Boeckx, P., Müller, C., and Klemedtsson, L.: Assessment of the importance of dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium for the terrestrial nitrogen cycle, Biogeosci., 8, 1779-1791, 10.5194/bgd-8-1169-2011, 2011.

Vymazal, J.: Removal of nutrients in various types of constructed wetlands, Sci. Tot. Environ., 380, 48-65, 2007.

Vymazal, J., and Kröpfelová, L.: Types of constructed wetlands for wastewater treatment in: Wastewater Treatment in Constructed Wetlands with Horizontal Sub-Surface Flow, Environmental Pollution Springer, Heidelberg, 121-202 2010.

A key open question is the relative amount of nitrogen denitrified compared to that assimilated by plants. A recent study has shown that the amount of nitrogen that is lost through denitrification relative to assimilation depends strongly on nitrogen loading

Response: The Payne et al study deals with stormwater biofiltation systems which are characterised by inundation and prolonged dry periods. This provides conditions quite different compared to constructed wetlands as reviewed in this paper. In particular during the drier periods it is expected that assimilation may be enhanced. This aspect has been added in lines 280

The following line has been <u>deleted</u> from the ms: 'Impact of plant species on nutrient removal has not been reported clearly in the published literature, where species differences for one or more nutrient was observed, because of the problems with plant growth due to unpredicted environmental conditions, wastewater toxicity, and in many cases the reasons were not specified (Fraser et al., 2004; Solano et al., 2004; Haule et al., 2002). It has been replaced with:

Line 161: Payne et al. (2014a) has discussed the role of plants in nutrient removal.

and Line 280: Competition for NO_{3} - may occur between denitrification and biotic assimilation. This is likely governed by the prevailing aerobic/anaerobic conditions and therefore dependent on the type of wetland. For instance in stormwater biofiltration systems, prolonged periods of inundation and dry periods may support bio-assimilation over denitrificaton (Payne et al., 2014a,b).

The two Payne et al. articles have been referenced.

Payne, E. G. I. and others 2014. Biotic assimilation or denitrification? The division of nitrogen between temporary storage and permanent removal in stormwater biofiltration systems. Plos One 9: e90890.

Payne, E. G. I., T. D. Fletcher, P. L. M. Cook, B. E. Hatt, and A. Deletic. 2014. Processes and drivers of nitrogen removal in water biofiltration. Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology 44: 796-846.

Some of the synthesis on nitrogen cycling is vague and is not resolved for example the discussion on the effect of C:N ratio on denitrification and DNRA lines 188 – 191. Lines 284.

Response: Rather than the C/N ratio it is the C/NO_3^- ratio that governs the relative reduction of denitrification and DNRA. Above 12, DNRA is favoured. This information is added in line 280 – 307:

Competition for NO₃⁻ may occur between denitrification and biotic assimilation. This is likely governed by the prevailing aerobic/anaerobic conditions and therefore dependent on the type of wetland. For instance in stormwater biofiltration systems, prolonged periods of inundation and dry periods may support bio-assimilation over denitrificaton (Payne et al., 2014a,b). The conditions that favour the occurrence of either denitrification or DNRA are still in debate (Rütting et al., 2011). DNRA is thought to be favoured by a C:NO₃⁻ ratio of >12 (Rütting et al., 2011) and occurs at low levels of oxidation-reduction potential (Thayalakumaran et al., 2008). The differences between denitrification and DNRA may be due to the availability of organic matter, because DNRA is the favoured at a high C:NO₃ ratio and denitrification is favoured when carbon supplies are limiting (Korom, 2002; Kelso et al., 1997). The fermentative bacteria that carry out DNRA are obligate anaerobes, and so cannot occupy all the niches that denitrifiers can (Buss et al., 2005). Takaya (2002) stated that a more reducing state favours DNRA over denitrification. Pett-Ridge et al. (2006) showed that DNRA is less sensitive to dissolved oxygen (DO) than denitrification. Fazzolari et al. (1998) showed that the effect of DO levels on DNRA is dependent on the C:NO₃ ratio and C, rather than DO, is the main factor regulating NO₃ partitioning between DNRA and denitrification. Significant DNRA may occur only at a C:NO₃ ratio above 12 (Yin et al., 1998). Different numbers of electrons are required in the reduction of each NO_3 molecule: five for denitrification and eight for DNRA. Therefore, more organic matter can be oxidized for each molecule of NO₃ by DNRA than by denitrification. In addition, NO₃ reduction is generally performed by fermentative bacteria that are not dependent on the presence of NO₃ for growth under anaerobic conditions. So, DNRA bacteria may be favoured by NO₃ - limited conditions (Laanbroek, 1990). Recent studies have suggested that DNRA may be an important process compared to denitrification in wetland sediments (Burgin and Hamilton, 2008). Van Oostrom and Russell (1994) found a 5% contribution of DNRA to the NO₃ removal in CWs. Little is known about the eventual fate of the NO_3^- that is converted to NH_4^+ via DNRA pathways. In recent years, N cycling studies have increasingly investigated DNRA in various ecosystems to explore its importance in N cycling (Rütting et al., 2011), but controls on DNRA are relatively unknown (Burgin et al., 2013), DNRA being probably the least studied process of N transformation in wetlands (Vymazal, 2007). However, DNRA can be a significant pathway of NO₃ reduction that impacts on the CW ecosystem services and so should therefore be evaluated

The idea of anoxic microsites is one that is used a lot with little evidence. The work of Minett et al offers some insights based on actual measurements within the rhizosphere.

Strong evidence of denitrification in microsites is quite accepted and has been proven in soil studies (Parkin, T. B.: Soil microsite as a source of denitrification variability, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 51, 1194-1199, 1987). Denititrification hot spots are related to the patchy distribution of organic C and may be supplied via rhizodeposition with evidence for instance shown in the Minett et al study in a simplified sand bed systems. Thus, there is no reason why this should not happen in constructed wetlands where microsites of organic accumulation may develop. These are hotspots of high activity which may promote anoxic conditions due to high O_2 consumption which is not replenished quickly enough through limited diffusion to those sites.

Lines 270: The existence of microsites that support high activity and promote denitrification has been shown in soils (Parkin, 1987) and such conditions are also likely to occur in CWs where patchy distribution of organic material (e.g. particulate organic carbon) can occur (Hamersley and Howes, 2002), which may be supplied by rhizodepositions (Minett et al., 2013). Minett et al. (2013) found that simultaneous oxygenation of the rhizosphere, through radial oxygen loss, and enhanced oxygen consumption by the soil occurs in the area immediately surrounding the roots. Nitrate produced in the rooting zone can be taken up by plants or denitrified and/or converted back to NH_4^+ by DNRA.

Line 291 An important statement with no reference Line 301 No reference is provided,

Response: a suitable reference has been added. (Vymazal, 2007)

I think the general paradigm is that DNRA is favoured at high organic matter loading or highly reducing conditions.

Response: It is favoured both at C/NO3- above 12 (outlined in the DNRA section) and it is a strict anoxic process. Details are provided in the manuscript.

REVIEWER 2:

I have been reading over this review paper. It has undergone a very major improvement since my first read. And I very much like their new figure, which is a great summary of what the different wetland elements do to the different nutrient species. I am also impressed by their tables showing the range of emission rates for the different nutrients. Just the type of tables we frequently need and bemoan the fact no-one has compiled.

Overall I believe it is a very useful paper, and would be good for HESS to publish it.

It still requires a science editor to go through it. Still quite a few awkward sentences and phrases. Much improved from the last read, but still enough in there to be jarring for the reader. Mistakes with grammar, tenses etc.

So I guess I would say "very good job with the improvements", but corrections required, specifically with the English.

Response: The authors wish to thank the reviewer for positive feedback. The manuscript has undergone a complete edit and in some parts re-structuring with respect to the points made by the reviewer.

Carbon and Nitrogen Dynamics and Greenhouse Gas Emissions in Constructed Wetlands Treating Wastewaters: A Review

- 3
- 4 M.M.R. Jahangir^{1, 2,*}, Karl G. Richards², Mark G. Healy³, Laurence Gill¹, Christoph Müller^{4,5},

5 Paul Johnston¹, Owen Fenton²

6

¹Department of Civil, Structural & Environmental Engineering, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin 2,

8 Ireland;

- 9 ²Department of Environment, Soils & Land Use, Teagasc Environment Research Centre,
- 10 Johnstown Castle, Co. Wexford, Ireland;
- ¹¹ ³Civil Engineering, National University of Ireland, Galway, Co. Galway, Ireland
- ⁴School of Biology and Environmental Science, University College Dublin, Belfield, Ireland
- ⁵Department of Plant Ecology (IFZ), Justus-Liebig University Giessen, Germany
- 14
- 15 * Correspondence: Teagasc Environment Research Centre
- 16 Johnstown Castle
- 17 Co. Wexford
- 18 Ireland
- 19 Tel: +353 (0) 53 9171200
- 20 E-mail: jahangim@tcd.ie
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28
- 29
- 30
- 31
- 32
- 33

34 Abstract

35 The removal efficiency of carbon (C) and nitrogen (N) in constructed wetlands (CWs) is very 36 inconsistent and frequently does not reveal whether the removal processes are due to physical 37 attenuation or whether the different species have been transformed to other reactive forms. This 38 paper aims to address this knowledge gap by reviewing the biogeochemical dynamics and fate of 39 C and N in CWs and their potential impact on the environment, and by presenting novel ways in 40 which these knowledge gaps may be eliminated. Nutrient removals in CWs vary with the type of 41 CW, vegetation, climate, season, geographical region and management practices. Horizontal flow 42 CWs tend to have good nitrate (NO_3) removal, as they provide good conditions for 43 denitrification, but cannot remove ammonium (NH_4^+) due to limited ability to nitrify NH_4^+ . 44 Vertical flow CWs have good NH_4^+ removals, but their denitrification ability is low. Surface flow 45 CWs decrease nitrous oxide (N₂O) emissions but increase methane (CH₄) emissions; subsurface 46 flow CWs increase N_2O and carbon dioxide (CO_2) emissions, but decrease CH_4 emissions. Mixed 47 species of vegetation perform better than monocultures in increasing C and N removal and 48 decreasing GHG emissions, but empirical evidence is still scarce. Lower hydraulic loadings with 49 higher hydraulic retention times enhance nutrient removal, but more empirical evidence is 50 required to determine an optimum design. A conceptual model highlighting the current state of 51 knowledge is presented and experimental work that should be undertaken to address knowledge 52 gaps across CW, vegetation and wastewater types, hydraulic loading rates and regimes, and 53 retention times, is suggested. We recommend that further research on process-based C and N 54 removal and on the balancing of end products into reactive and benign forms is critical to the 55 assessment of the environmental performance of CWs. While nutrient cycles have been 56 addressed in previous books and review papers, we strongly suggest that in situ in 57 transformation and fate of the transformation products with regard to pollution swapping 58 requires further detailed examination.

59

60 Keywords: Carbon, nitrogen, constructed wetlands, pollution swapping, nitrous oxide, methane

61

62 1. Introduction

Increasing anthropogenic loading of reactive nitrogen (Nr; all forms of nitrogen except dinitrogen gas, N₂) along the nitrogen (N) cascade in the environment raises many critical concerns for human health, drinking water quality (Gray, 2008), coastal and marine water degradation, as well as algal blooms and hypoxia (Conley et al., 2009; Rabalais et al., 2010). While there are

67 excellent reviews and books available on the biogeochemistry of wetlands in general (Reddy 68 and Delaune, 2008; Kadlec and Wallace, 2009), less is known about constructed wetlands 69 (CWs), which provide much more controlled conditions (Vymazal and Kröpfelova, 2010). 70 Constructed wetlands (CWs) are artificial sinks for Nr (Galloway et al, 2003; Tanner et al., 71 2005), and have been successfully used for treating domestic sewage, urban runoff and storm 72 water, industrial and agricultural wastewater, and leachate. Although CWs have a proven 73 potential for organic carbon (C) and N removal, with few exceptions, studies have not 74 adequately delineated removal pathways. This has meant that reported removal efficiencies 75 have been variable (Seitzinger et al., 2002), and appropriate design and management 76 strategies have not been adopted.

77

78 Constructed wetlands are complex bioreactors that facilitate a number of physical, chemical and 79 biological processes, but are frequently evaluated as a 'black box' in terms of process 80 understanding (Langergraber, 2008). Many investigations target single contaminant remediation 81 and disregard the reality of mixed contaminants entering and leaving CWs. They do not consider 82 the dynamics of "pollution swapping" (the increase in one pollutant as a result of a measure 83 introduced to reduce a different pollutant) driven by transformational processes within and 84 around the system. This means that potential negative impacts that CWs may have on the 85 environment, such as greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions (IPCC, 2013; Clair et al., 2002; Mander 86 et al., 2008; Mitsch and Gosselink, 2000) or enhancement of pollution swapping (Reay, 2004), 87 are not accounted for in analyses. There are many pathways by which the removed N can 88 contribute to water and air pollution: accumulation and adsorption in soils, leaching of nitrate (NO_3) and ammonium (NH_4) to groundwater, emissions of nitrous oxide (N_2O) and ammonia 89 90 (NH_3^+) to the atmosphere, and/or conversion to N₂ gas. Constructed wetlands significantly 91 contribute to atmospheric N_2O emissions either directly to the atmosphere from the surface of the 92 wetland (IPCC, 2013; Søvik et al., 2006; Ström et al., 2007; Elberling et al., 2011) or indirectly 93 via dissolved N₂O in the effluent or groundwater upon discharge to surface waters. The IPCC 94 (2013) has recognised the significance of indirect N₂O emissions from CW effluent that is 95 discharged to aquatic environments, and estimate emission factors (EF) ranging from 0.0005 to 96 0.25. Production and reduction processes of N₂O in the environment are not yet fully understood. 97

98 Constructed wetlands receive organic C from the influent wastewater and from fixation by the 99 photosynthetic hydrophytes, which are incorporated into soil as organic C. Soil organic C 100 undergoes the biogeochemical processes that regulate C accretion in soil and microbial 101 respiration, producing carbon dioxide (CO₂). Anaerobic mineralization of organic C by 102 methanogenic archaea can produce methane (CH₄) (Laanbroek, 2010; Ström et al., 2007; Søvik et 103 al., 2006; Pangala et al., 2010). Constructed wetlands can also contribute to the dissolved organic 104 carbon (DOC) load transfer to ground and surface waters, which may produce and exchange 105 substantial amounts of CO_2 and CH_4 with the atmosphere (Clair et al., 2002; Elberling et al., 106 2011). Therefore, CWs can diminish the environmental benefits of wastewater treatment. The 107 dynamics of dissolved N₂O, CO₂ and CH₄ in CWs is a key knowledge gap in global greenhouse 108 gas budgets.

109

110 Surface emissions of GHG from CWs have been commonly measured by the closed chamber 111 method (Johansson et al., 2003, 2004; Mander et al., 2005, 2008), but have rarely been measured 112 by ebullition and diffusion methods (Søvik et al., 2006). The measured rates have shown high 113 spatial, temporal and diurnal variations due to the change in biogeochemistry of C and N and 114 plant-microbe-soil interaction over time and space. Surface emissions cannot explain the kinetics 115 of production and consumption rates of GHG, which we need to know in order to adopt better 116 management practices to mitigate emissions. In addition, subsurface export of dissolved nutrients 117 and GHG, an important pathway of nutrient loss (Riva et al., 2010), is frequently ignored. Mass 118 balance analysis of the different components of the N cycle and kinetics of their transformation 119 processes occurring within the treatment cells using the isotope-tracing ¹⁵N technique can provide 120 mechanistic information for N transformation products (Lee et al., 2009; O'Luanaigh et al., 2010) and may be used to start to answer such questions. Similarly, ¹⁴C application and measurement of 121 C species (e.g. CO₂, CH₄, and DOC) may elucidate the C mineralization and CO₂ and CH₄ 122 123 production and consumption. Used in combination, these methods may provide a comparative 124 analysis of the rates of C and N transformation processes and role of these processes in delivering NO_3^- , NH_4^+ and DOC to ground/surface waters and N_2O_2 , CO_2 and CH_4 to the atmosphere. 125

126

Past reviews on CWs, though very limited, summarise the performance of different types of CWs on C and N removal (Vymazal, 2007) and surface emissions of GHG (Mander et al., 2014), but have not discussed the mechanisms of nutrient removal and the fate of the nutrients delivered and removed to and from CWs. Therefore, the objectives of this review are (i) to understand the biogeochemical dynamics of C and N in CWs to (ii) better understand the fate of various C and N species in a holistic manner, in addition to the conventional influent/effluent balance for nutrient removal (iii) identify the research gaps that need to be addressed to optimise nutrient removal and mitigate GHG emissions, and (iv) discuss emerging measurement techniques that may giveinsights into the production and reduction of GHG.

136

137 2. Removal Efficiency, Hydraulic Loading and Retention Time

138 In CWs, the efficiency of C and N removal is generally limited and highly variable over CW 139 types, plant types, seasons, climatic regions and management practices. On average, it appears 140 that 50 and 56% of the influent total nitrogen (TN) and total organic carbon (TOC), respectively, 141 can be removed, but the removal rates are very inconsistent. Mean (±standard error) TN 142 removals, obtained from the literature cited in this paper, ranged from $31.3 \pm 6.3\%$ in surface 143 flow (SF) CWs to $40.4 \pm 4.4\%$ in subsurface flow CWs, whereas TOC removal ranged from 18.8 \pm 9.4% in SF CWs to 56.2 \pm 9.5% in vertical subsurface flow CWs (Table 1 and Table 2). In 144 145 European systems, for example, typical removals of ammoniacal-N in long-term operation are 146 around 35%, but can be enhanced if some pre-treatment procedures are followed (Verhoeven, and 147 Meuleman, 1999; Luederitz et al., 2001). Generally, TN removal is higher in SF CWs than 148 subsurface flow (SSF) CWs (Table 1), but studies differ. For example, Van der Zaag et al. (2010) 149 showed higher N removal in SF CWs than SSF, but Søvik et al. (2006) and Gui et al. (2007) showed the opposite. In SSF CWs, limited removal can be caused by a reduced environment that 150 151 enhances NH₄⁺ accumulation and limits NH₄⁺ oxidation. In SF CWs, denitrification rates can be limited due to lack of NO_3^- . In vertical subsurface flow (VSSF) CWs, aeration can increase NH_4^+ 152 oxidation to NO_3^- , which can be denitrified or converted to NH_4^+ by dissimilatory NO_3^- reduction 153 154 to $\mathbf{NH_4}^+$ (DNRA).

155

156 Plant species are important components of CWs, and affect C and N removals. Optimal species 157 selection for best removal is difficult because some species are efficient in removing one pollutant but not the other (Bachand and Horne, 2000; Bojcevska and Tonderski, 2007; da Motta 158 159 Margues et al., 2000). In some studies there are no inter-species differences at all (Calheiros et 160 al., 2007). Mixed species perform better than monocultures to remove C and N pollutants because 161 they increase microbial biomass and diversity. Payne et al. (2014a) has discussed the role of 162 plants in nutrient removal. Plants regulate CWs hydrology (evaporation and transpiration) and 163 temperature (insulating CWs from seasonal temperature change, trapping falling and drifting 164 snow and heat loss of wind). Some species can create large surface area for microbial attachment 165 and enhance microbial diversity, but experimental evidence is still scarce.

166

167 Soil physicochemical properties are important factors controlling the purification capacity in 168 CWs. Soils with high permeability enhance downward nutrient movement to groundwater. High cation exchange materials in soil enhance NH₄⁺ fixation by the soil matrix. Microbial activities 169 170 and growth depend on substrate C quality and C:N ratios, which affect nutrient removal. Better 171 growth of heterotrophic microorganisms is a function of the wastewater C:N (Makino et al., 172 2003). Higher C:N ratios can enhance denitrification by providing electron donors for denitrifiers, 173 but the opposite can increase nitrification. High C:N ratios can also encourage DNRA over 174 denitrification. Yan et al. (2012) measured a high TN removal but low TOC removals at a C:N 175 ratio 2.5:1, which indicates that removal of one parameter might lead to a problem with a 176 different one. The uncertainty in the conditions for achievement of optimum removal suggests 177 that the rates of C and N transformations and the fate of the removed nutrients within CWs 178 should be investigated. However, to our knowledge, no study has provided a holistic evaluation 179 of C and N attenuation and transformation.

180

181 The removal of pollutants in CWs depends on hydraulic loading rates (HLR) and hydraulic 182 retention time (HRT) (Toet et al., 2005). The HLR and HRT are considered to be significant 183 design parameters determining the nutrient removal efficiencies (Weerakoon et al., 2013). Longer residence time of wastewater in CWs increases the removal of C and N (Wang et al., 2014) by 184 185 increasing sedimentation and duration of contact between nutrients and the CWs. The effects of 186 HLR and HRT can vary with the nature of the use of CWs e.g., whether they are used for treating 187 single or mixed pollutants. To reduce Nr delivery to the receiving waters or to the atmosphere, 188 CWs need to be optimally designed with respect to HLR and HRT.

189

190 Fluctuating hydraulic loading influences all biotic and abiotic processes in CWs. For example, if 191 the groundwater table is lowered through changes in hydraulic loading, soil aeration can increase 192 or decrease. Ammonification and nitrification rates increase with increased soil aeration and this 193 enhances C utilization by bacteria and, therefore, can stimulate the removal of C and N. 194 Investigation into the effects of fluctuating hydraulic loadings ("hydraulic pulsing") on C and N 195 removals and their transformation products will provide information about the fate of the added 196 nutrients in terms of their environmental benefits and/or pollution swapping potential. For 197 example, if the dominant product is N₂, the system will be relatively benign in terms of its impact 198 on the environment, but if it is NH_4^+ , it can be fixed in the soils or transported to ground and 199 surface waters connected to CWs if the cation exchange sites become saturated. Several authors 200 have used a wide range of HLRs and HRTs to measure nutrient removal efficiency, but

201 experimental evidence linking HLR and HRT to removal efficiency is scarce (Toet et al., 202 2005). Luo et al. (2005) reported that low HLR results in incomplete denitrification, whereas Zhang et al. (2006) argued that low HLR increases NH_4^+ and chemical oxygen demand oxidation. 203 204 The way in which the performance of a CW is assessed can lead to different conclusions 205 regarding the removal of Nr. For future studies, evaluation of CWs in a holistic manner, which 206 includes pollution swapping at different HLRs and HRTs, is important, particularly within the 207 context of the changing hydrologic cycle in a changing climate. In addition, local legislative 208 targets should be considered and weighting factors (e.g. the relative importance of, say, GHG 209 over water quality targets) should be developed to evaluate the overall performance of CWs. In 210 addition to the estimation of nutrient removal rates, investigation of the effect of HLR and HRT 211 on the different forms of nutrients in the final effluent and their fate in the natural environment 212 may help elucidate the pollution swapping potential of CWs.

213

214 3. Accumulation of C and N in CWs Soils

The soil in CWs is a major sink for C and N (Mustafa and Scholz, 2011). However, although data 215 216 on the influent and effluent N concentrations are available, data on N accumulation (dissolved organic nitrogen (DON), TN, NH_4^+ or NO_3^--N) within the soil profile of various CWs are scarce. 217 218 The wide range of N accumulation reported in the literature (e.g. 30-40%, Shamir et al., 2001; 219 39%, Harrington et al., 2007; 9%, Mander et al., 2008; 2.5%, Obarska-Pempkowiak and 220 Gajewska, 2003) may be due to the variations in CW types and management strategies. The 221 accumulated species of N are reactive unless they have been transformed to N₂ by 222 biogeochemical processes. However, there is a dearth of information on the extent of Nr 223 accumulation in soils and discharge to surface waters and air (Shamir et al., 2001). Accumulated organic N could be mineralised to NH_4^+ and NO_3^- , depending on the physico-chemical properties 224 of soil. The Nr could be assimilated by plants and microbes, which are recycled in a soil-plant-225 soil continuum. Nitrogen spiralling occurs from NH_4^+ to organic N and back to NH_4^+ within the 226 227 CW (O'Luanaigh et al., 2010). Typically, N accumulation has been found to decrease with soil 228 depth (Shamir et al., 2001). In terms of the conventional input-output balance, these are 229 considered as removed N, but may, in fact, remain in such a biogeochemically active system. In 230 addition to N, organic C accumulation occurs in CW soils (Nguyen, 2000). As such, soils of CWs 231 represent organic C and Nr-rich systems, where the products of the continuously occurring 232 biogeochemical processes can be transported to fresh waters and to the atmosphere. Estimation of 233 the rates of nutrient accumulation in soils in various types of CWs under different management 234 systems is important. The stability of the accumulated C and N under changing climatic scenarios

- also needs to be addressed to consider the long-term sustainability of CWs.
- 236

237 4. C and N Dynamics and Greenhouse Gas Emissions

Increased nutrients input to the CWs increases the productivity of wetland ecosystems and the 238 239 production of GHG. As CWs are designed to remove pollutants in an anaerobic/suboxic 240 environment, they change the C and N biogeochemistry and contribute significantly to CH₄ and 241 N₂O emissions (Johansson et al., 2002, 2003; Mander et al., 2005, 2008; Stadmark and 242 Leonardson, 2005; Liikanen et al., 2006). Søvic et al. (2006) measured N₂O, CH₄ and CO₂ 243 emissions in various CWs in different European countries, and suggested that the potential 244 atmospheric impacts of CWs should be examined as their development is increasing globally. 245 Management of CWs must consider the negative climatic aspects of increased emissions of GHG 246 in addition to their primary functions (Ström et al., 2007). Therefore, estimation of the 247 contribution of CWs to global warming is required. In this regard, measurement of spatial and 248 temporal variations (seasonal and diurnal) of GHG emissions is necessary to accurately estimate 249 CW-derived GHG emissions. A holistic assessment of ecologically engineered systems has been 250 outlined by Healy et al. (2011, 2014) and developed further by Fenton et al. (2014). Such 251 assessments can be applied in evaluating nutrient dynamics in CWs. Moreover, plant mediated 252 GHG emissions could be an important component of total emissions, but again research in this 253 area is very limited. Effective modelling or up-scaling of GHG emissions from watershed to 254 regional/national scales is important for the improvement of global GHG budgets. Such up-255 scaling needs an accurate estimation of C and N inputs and outputs i.e., a balance coupled with 256 net GHG emissions, while considering all possible processes and pathways involved. A study of 257 the dynamics of C and N in CWs is crucial, as the forms of removed C and N are particularly 258 pertinent to their potential for pollution swapping, global warming and water pollution.

259

Processes involved in N removal and N transformations in wetlands include sedimentation of particulates (Koskiaho, 2003); nitrification, denitrification and DNRA (Poach et al., 2003; Burgin et al., 2013), microbial assimilation and plant uptake-release (Findlay et al., 2003), anammox and deamox (DEnitrifying AMmonium OXidation). Constructed wetlands are complex systems that facilitate aerobic and anaerobic microsites. Nitrification, denitrification and nitrifier denitrification are the processes responsible for the production of N_2O . Depending on the environmental conditions or management practices prevailing, a certain process will dominate

267 e.g. denitrification is the dominant process in SF CWs (Beaulieu et al., 2011), but nitrifier 268 denitrification is dominant in VSSF CWs (Wunderlin et al., 2013). Generally, CWs are anaerobic 269 but aquatic macrophytes can transport oxygen from the atmosphere to the rooting zone, where it 270 can sustain nitrification. The existence of microsites that support high activity and promote 271 denitrification has been shown in soils (Parkin, 1987) and such conditions are also likely to 272 occur in CWs where patchy distribution of organic material (e.g. particulate organic 273 carbon) can occur (Hamersley and Howes, 2002), which may be supplied by 274 rhizodepositions (Minett et al., 2013). Minett et al. (2013) found that simultaneous 275 oxygenation of the rhizosphere, through radial oxygen loss, and enhanced oxygen 276 consumption by the soil occurs in the area immediately surrounding the roots. Nitrate 277 produced in the rooting zone can be taken up by plants or denitrified and/or converted back to NH_4^+ by DNRA. 278

279

280 Competition for NO₃⁻ may occur between denitrification and biotic assimilation. This is 281 likely governed by the prevailing aerobic/anaerobic conditions and therefore dependent on 282 the type of wetland. For instance in stormwater biofiltration systems, prolonged periods of 283 inundation and dry periods may support bio-assimilation over denitrificaton (Payne et al., 284 2014a,b).

285

286 The conditions that favour the occurrence of either denitrification or DNRA are still in 287 debate (Rütting et al., 2011). DNRA is thought to be favoured by a C:NO₃⁻ ratio of >12 288 (Rütting et al., 2011) and occurs at low levels of oxidation-reduction potential 289 (Thayalakumaran et al., 2008). The differences between denitrification and DNRA may be 290 due to the availability of organic matter, because DNRA is the favoured at a high $C:NO_3^-$ 291 ratio and denitrification is favoured when carbon supplies are limiting (Korom, 2002; Kelso 292 et al., 1997). The fermentative bacteria that carry out DNRA are obligate anaerobes, and so 293 cannot occupy all the niches that denitrifiers can (Buss et al., 2005). Takaya (2002) stated 294 that a more reducing state favours DNRA over denitrification. Pett-Ridge et al. (2006) 295 showed that DNRA is less sensitive to dissolved oxygen (DO) than denitrification. Fazzolari 296 et al. (1998) showed that the effect of DO levels on DNRA is dependent on the C:NO₃⁻ ratio 297 and C, rather than DO, is the main factor regulating NO_3^- partitioning between DNRA and 298 denitrification. Significant DNRA may occur only at a C:NO₃⁻ ratio above 12 (Yin et al., 299 **1998**). Different numbers of electrons are required in the reduction of each NO_3 molecule: 300 five for denitrification and eight for DNRA. Therefore, more organic matter can be

301 oxidized for each molecule of NO_3^- by DNRA than by denitrification. In addition, NO_3^- 302 reduction is generally performed by fermentative bacteria that are not dependent on the 303 presence of NO_3^- for growth under anaerobic conditions. So, DNRA bacteria may be 304 favoured by NO₃⁻ limited conditions (Laanbroek, 1990). Recent studies have suggested that 305 DNRA may be an important process compared to denitrification in wetland sediments 306 (Burgin and Hamilton, 2008). Van Oostrom and Russell (1994) found a 5% contribution of 307 DNRA to the NO₃⁻ removal in CWs. Little is known about the eventual fate of the NO₃⁻ that is converted to NH4⁺ via DNRA pathways. In recent years, N cycling studies have 308 309 increasingly investigated DNRA in various ecosystems to explore its importance in N 310 cvcling (Rütting et al., 2011), but controls on DNRA are relatively unknown (Burgin et al., 311 2013), DNRA being probably the least studied process of N transformation in wetlands 312 (Vymazal, 2007). However, DNRA can be a significant pathway of NO_3^- reduction that 313 impacts on the CW ecosystem services and so should therefore be evaluated.

314

315 Denitrification has been estimated to be a significant N removal process, but actual quantification data are scarce. Few studies have estimated N losses by denitrification e.g. 19% (Mander et al., 316 317 2008) and 86% (Obarska-Pempkowiak and Gajewska, 2003) of the total N input based on the 318 mass balance study. To our knowledge, no data are available on denitrification measurements in soil/subsoils of surface flow CWs. While many of these pathways transfer Nr (mainly $\mathrm{NH_4^+}$ and 319 320 N₂O) to the environment, other pathways can convert Nr to N₂ (e.g. denitrification, anammox and deamox). Anammox can remove NO_2^- and NH_4^+ as N_2 when the existing environment is hypoxic. 321 Deamox can remove NO_3^- and NH_4^+ as N_2 , where NO_3^- is converted to NO_2^- by autotrophic 322 denitrification with sulphide (Kalyuzhnyi et al., 2006). In CWs, anammox and Deamox are not 323 324 well understood, so it is crucial to identify which of the processes are occurring in a specific type of CW and the rate at which they occur. Once a process that provides N2 as the end product is 325 326 determined, then the management of the CW could be directed towards enhancement of that 327 process. Hence, quantifying the rates of these processes for various types of CW is required for 328 improved N management towards lowering Nr in the environment.

329

330 The various components of the C cycle include: fixation of C by photosysnthesis, respiration,

331 fermentation, methanogenesis and CH₄ oxidation with reduction of sulphur, iron and NO₃⁻.

332 Anaerobic methane oxidation coupled with denitrification, a recently proposed pathway of the C

333 cycle (á Norði and Thamdrup, 2014; Haroon et al., 2013; Islas-Lima et al., 2004), can reduce CH₄

334 emissions in CWs. The C removal processes are sedimentation, microbial assimilation, gaseous

335 emissions, dissolved C losses through water to ground and surface water bodies, and chemical 336 fixation (bonding with chemical ions). Net primary productivity of wetland hydrophytes varies 337 across CW type, season, climatic region and local environmental conditions. For example, results 338 can vary remarkably for CWs containing the same plant species in different geographical regions 339 (Brix et al., 2001). Carbon mineralization in sediments depends on the redox chemistry of soil, 340 availability and quality of C (labile or recalcitrant) and temperature. In CWs, C cycling is very 341 complex due to the changes in redox chemistry, which regulates production and consumption of 342 CO₂ and CH₄ (Brix et al., 2001). In low redox conditions with limited DO, methanogens can 343 consume DOC and thus it is conducive to CH_4 production. The C:N ratios of wastewater affect 344 microbial growth and development which, in turn, affect their response to C and N cycles and 345 GHG emissions. Previous research on the effects of C:N ratios on nutrient removal and GHG 346 emissions are limited. A few examples include Yan et al. (2012) and Zhao et al. (2014), who 347 measured lower CO₂ and CH₄ emissions at C:N ratios of between 2.5:1 and 5:1, but this lower 348 range of C:N ratios decreased TOC removal. Hence, investigation of the influence of C:N ratio 349 on nutrient removal efficiencies and GHG emissions across CW and management types is crucial. 350 In summer, oxygen diffusion to the topsoil can reduce methanogenesis and stimulate CH₄ 351 oxidation (Grünfeld and Brix, 1999). However, an increase in temperature can decrease DO in 352 deeper subsoil layers, which can enhance CH₄ production. Only a limited number of studies have 353 considered CH₄ and CO₂ efflux from CWs (e.g. Mander et al., 2008). As in all biochemical 354 reactions, temperature increases C and N turnover in CWs, causing high variations in GHG 355 emissions in different regions (temperate/ tropical/ arctic). These variations need to be considered 356 while extrapolating GHG emissions for different types of CWs under different management 357 practices.

358

359 Emissions of GHG in CWs can vary across CW typologies e.g. surface flow or subsurface flow 360 (Van der Zaag et al., 2010). A summary of N₂O, and CO₂ and CH₄ were presented in Table 3 and 361 Table 4, respectively. Generally, CH_4 emissions are higher in SF CWs than in SSF CWs (Table 362 3), but may vary with season. Nitrous oxide and CO_2 emissions are higher in VSSF CWs than 363 HSSF and SF CWs. The N₂O emissions factors (EF; N₂O/TN input $\times 100$) ranged from 0.61 ± 364 0.21% in SF CWs to $1.01 \pm 0.48\%$ in VSSF CWs. The EF for CH₄ emissions ranged from $1.27 \pm$ 0.31% in VSSF CWs to $16.8 \pm 3.8\%$ in SF CWs. The GHG from CWs can vary between 365 366 vegetated and non-vegetated systems (Table 5).

367

368 Aquatic plants play an important role in GHG production and transport to the atmosphere by 369 releasing GHG through their interconnected internal gas lacunas (Laanbroek, 2010). Emergent 370 plants can transport atmospheric oxygen to the rooting zone and contribute to increased N₂O and 371 CO₂ production and CH₄ consumption (Brix, 1997). Vascular plants can exchange GHG between 372 the rooting zone and atmosphere (Yavitt and Knapp, 1998). Vegetation and its composition affect 373 the nutrient dynamics and the production, consumption and transport of GHG and hence their 374 exchange between wetlands and atmosphere (Ström et al., 2003, 2005; Søvic et al., 2006; 375 Johansson et al., 2003). They can also affect the biogeochemistry of CWs due to the differences 376 in their growth and development, longevity, root systems, root density, root depth and microbial 377 ecology in the rhizosphere. As some plant litter decomposes, organic matter with lignocellulose 378 and humic compounds may be released that are more or less labile or stable in nature than others. 379 Release of low molecular weight organic matter that is labile in nature is more likely to produce 380 GHGs than stable forms. For example, Z. latifolia showed higher nutrient removal and CH₄ 381 fluxes than P. australis (Inamori et al., 2007). The Z. lotifolia root system is shallow and the 382 activity of methanotrophs is primarily confined to the top soil. The root systems of P. australis 383 are deeper, which is more favourable for the oxidisation of CH₄. A fluctuating water table in CWs 384 has significant impacts on GHG dynamics. Pulsing hydrologic regimes decreases CH₄ but increases N₂O emissions. In aerobic and anaerobic conditions caused by pulsing hydrology, 385 386 incomplete nitrification and denitrification increase N2O emissions. However, the effects of 387 pulsing hydrologic regimes on GHG emissions are contradictory. For example, intermittent 388 hydrologic regimes decrease both N₂O (Sha et al., 2011) and CH₄ emissions (Song et al., 2010). 389 Highly contrasting results on gas emissions with fluctuating water levels have been reported and 390 the controlling mechanisms are unclear (Elberling et al., 2011).

391

Therefore, the assessment of GHG emissions in various types of CW (surface flow, subsurface flow, vertical and horizontal), vegetation cover (vegetated, nonvegetated) and species type, and management system employed (HLR, HRT, soil used and water table), is necessary in light of the national and global GHG budgets. In addition, such measurements will help scientists, environmental managers and policy makers to adopt environmentally friendly construction and management of CWs. The enhanced reduction of N₂O to N₂ needs further elucidation.

398

399 5. Surface Emissions vs Subsurface Export of C and N

400 Dissolved GHG produced in soils and subsoils can be emitted to atmosphere by transpiration of 401 vascular plants (from within the rooting zone), ebullition and diffusion from soils. Elberling et al.

402 (2011) reported that in wetlands, the transport of gases through subsoil occurs both *via* diffusive 403 transport in the pores and through the vascular plants. Surface emissions of GHG from CWs are 404 well recognised and have been commonly measured by chamber methods. The GHG produced in 405 CWs can also be transported to the groundwater with the percolating water and emitted to the 406 atmosphere upon discharge to surface waters. It can also flow towards surface waters by 407 advective transport and/or by dispersion of groundwater. Dissolved nutrients can be preferentially 408 leached down into deeper soil layers and groundwater *via* different pathways (e.g. root channels). 409 The Nr delivered to groundwater can be transformed in situ to other reactive or benign forms. 410 Hence, quantification of such Nr loadings to groundwater and their *in situ* consumption (e.g. N₂O 411 to N_2 or CH_4 to CO_2) is necessary to understand their environmental consequences. In addition, DON, NO_3^- and NH_4^+ and DOC delivered to surface waters can undergo biochemical reactions 412 and produce N₂O, CO₂ and CH₄ in streams and estuaries. Ström et al. (2007) measured a 413 414 considerable quantity of CH₄ in porewater and found a correlation between the surface emissions 415 and porewater CH₄ concentrations in vegetated wetlands. Measuring only the surface emissions 416 of GHG can omit substantial quantities of GHG released from CWs. For example, Riya et al. 417 (2010) measured emissions of CH₄ and N₂O accounting for 2.9 and 87% of the total emissions. 418 Measuring porewater GHG and linking these to the surface emissions and subsurface export to 419 groundwater below CWs will help to estimate a better GHG balance from both a national and 420 global context. Elberling et al. (2011) linked subsurface gas concentrations in wetlands to the 421 surface fluxes using a diffusion model. This demonstrates the need for future studies on 422 subsurface GHG production, consumption and net GHG emissions in CWs within a climate 423 change context.

424

425 It is important to characterise soils and subsoils physical (e.g. texture, bulk density) and hydraulic 426 (development of a soil water characteristic curve) properties and to assess their potential to 427 percolate dissolved nutrients and gases in the solute phase to the underlying groundwater. To our 428 knowledge, the indirect pathway of GHG emissions from CWs has never been reported, despite 429 the fact that this would appear to have a high biogeochemical potential to produce and exchange 430 GHG. The balance between N and C input and output flows between CWs and aquatic and 431 atmospheric environments, together with the direct and indirect emissions of C and N species, 432 could be an important input to global C and N budgets.

433

434 6. Hydrogeochemistry below CWs

435 Constructed wetlands can be designed with or without a clay liner or a compacted soil bed at the 436 base, which can lead to large differences in permeability of the underlying layers. The variation 437 in permeability of a CW soil bed will affect solute, nutrient and GHG flows, and their interactions 438 with the underlying groundwater (Dzakpasu et al., 2012; 2014). Groundwater hydrogeochemistry 439 below CWs can therefore provide a unique insight into such interactions. An example of such 440 interactions would be between nutrient-rich water discharging from CW cells mixing with laterally moving regional groundwater. It should be noted that groundwater can also discharge 441 442 into CWs depending on the hydraulic gradients. This means that fully screened, multi-level 443 piezometers or boreholes should be installed at such sites to elucidate groundwater flow direction, 444 hydraulic gradients and conductivities. Such monitoring networks allow water samples to be 445 collected and the sources of nutrients in groundwater bodies below CWs to be identified. The 446 local site hydrology (precipitation, groundwater table fluctuations and evapotranspiration) has a 447 large impact on the pollutant removal. Hydrogeochemical studies at an accurate spatial and 448 temporal resolution should explain the effects of precipitation on nutrient removal by dilution as 449 well in situ nutrient turnover. Effective CW management requires an understanding of the effects 450 of wetland hydrology on the physical and biochemical attenuation of nutrients in order to assess 451 their impacts on the surface emissions and subsurface export of nutrients and GHG. Data on the 452 species of N in groundwater below the CWs are required to provide an in-depth understanding of 453 wetland ecosystem services, particularly if CWs have the potential to leak pollutants down into the groundwater (Dzakpasu et al., 2014). Higher NH₄⁺ concentrations in groundwater below the 454 455 CWs than the effluent are often reported (Harrington et al., 2007; Dzakpasu et al., 2012). Therefore, questions arise with respect to NH₄⁺ concentrations in groundwater below the CWs if 456 457 they have been transported from CWs. Linking geochemistry of groundwater below CWs to site 458 hydrology, water table fluctuations and soil/subsoil physico-chemical properties is required to 459 elucidate the major environmental drivers of C and N removal, and/or pollution swapping. The 460 quality of groundwater underlying CWs with regards to the Nr species is largely unknown.

461

462 7. Methodological Developments

To improve the ecosystem services and to minimize the pollution swapping of CWs, **quantification of** N cycling is crucial. Measurement of GHG using the closed chamber method is widely used, but has large uncertainty in estimating the diurnal variability due to internal changes in temperature and physical access to the chambers over a 24-h time period. Gas ebullition and

467 diffusion measurements are quite challenging in CWs covered by vegetation, because of the 468 difficulties in estimation of gas transfer velocity. Application of the eddy covariance method is 469 not appropriate for most CWs, as it requires a large surface area (> several ha) to avoid 470 contribution of surrounding area and complication of GHG foot printing. A combination of 471 chamber, ebullition and diffusion methods in a single system could minimise the uncertainly in 472 GHG estimation. Methane ebullition measurement was found to be similar to surface emissions by the chamber method, but N₂O and CO₂ ebullition measurements were lower than the surface 473 474 emissions (Søvik et al., 2006).

475

476 The use of *in situ* microcosm study and soil core incubation methods may give a better estimation of N₂O, CO₂ and CH₄ production and consumption than existing methods. With the recent 477 advancement of isotope pairing and dilution techniques, single or simultaneously occurring C and 478 479 N transformation processes can be quantified in laboratory or *in situ* conditions (Huygens et al., 480 2013; Müller et al., 2014). The isotope technique relies on the introduction of a known amount of ¹⁴C and or ¹⁵N into the CW and then quantification of C and N concentrations and isotopic 481 482 compositions through different C and N pools after incubation for a specific period. Laboratory 483 methods involve collection of intact soil/sediment cores, with subsequent incubation in the laboratory. In situ field techniques involve release of ¹⁴C/ ¹⁵N solution in the CW soils. 484 Incubation of intact soil cores with differentially labelled ¹⁵NH₄¹⁴NO₃ and ¹⁴NH₄¹⁵NO₃ can be 485 486 used to quantify the rates of different N transformation processes (Rütting and Müller, 2008). The quantification of simultaneously occuring N transformation rates rely on the analysis with 487 appropriate ¹⁵N tracing models. In recent years, ¹⁵N tracing techniques have evolved, and are now 488 able to identify process-specific NO₂⁻ pools (Rütting and Müller 2008), pathway-specific N₂O 489 490 production and emission, as well as N₂O:N₂ ratios (Müller, Laughlin et al. 2014). Traditional techniques for investigation of gross N dynamics in sediments (Blackburn 1979) may be 491 combined with the latest ¹⁵N tracing techniques, where all N transformation rates are included 492 (Huygens, Trimmer et al. 2013). Thus, current models should consider processes such as 493 494 anammox and/or deamox, and then be tested in CWs under various operational conditions. Denitrification in porewater samples can be measured by analysing samples for dissolved N₂ in a 495 membrane inlet mass spectrometer (MIMS; Kana et al., 1994) and N₂O in a gas chromatograph 496 (GC; Jahangir et al., 2012). The studies of natural abundance of ¹⁵N and ¹⁸O (δ^{15} N and δ^{18} O) in 497 NO_3^- is an insightful tool for the investigation of the sources, fate and transformational processes 498 499 of N in a system (e.g. in shallow groundwater; Baily et al., 2011). The *in situ* NO₃⁻ push-pull 500 method has been used to determine denitrification in shallow groundwater (≤ 3 m) in riparian

wetlands (Addy et al., 2002; Kellogg et al., 2005) and in deep groundwater in arable/grassland
(Jahangir et al., 2013).

503

504 Isotope-based techniques can also be extended to other elements e.g., a ³³P tracing model has 505 been developed recently to study phosphorus (P) cycle in soil (Müller and Bünemann, 2014). 506 These techniques can be applied in the study of C, N and P biogeochemistry in aquatic 507 environments. In addition, measurements of DOC and gases (CO₂ and CH₄) will provide insights 508 into the C consumption and transformation associated with the N transformations. Carbon and N 509 dynamics are influenced by the interacting effects of soil conditions with microbial community 510 structure and functioning. Microbial functioning involves transcription of genes, translation of 511 messenger RNA and activity of enzymes (Firestone et al., 2012). As such, activities of microbial 512 communities under various environmental conditions and how these contribute to C and N 513 dynamics is a very important area of future research (Müller and Clough, 2014). Molecular 514 approaches can be important tools for identifying and quantifying the genes that code for 515 enzyme-mediating C and N cycles (Peterson et al., 2012). These tools help assess the 516 relationships among genes, environmental controllers, and the rates of C and N processes. The 517 scientific tools and multidisciplinary techniques are now available to better understand C and N 518 transformation rates, processes and factors controlling the unwanted emission of N and C 519 products to the environment.

520

521 8. Conclusions and Recommendations

522 The transformational processes on a mixture of contaminants within and below CWs can cause 523 pollution swapping. A holistic assessment of C and N dynamics in CWs is needed to fully 524 understand their removal, transport and impact on water quality and emissions to atmosphere. 525 Mixed contaminants entering CWs and those formed within and underneath CWs during 526 transformational processes must be considered in future studies. The overall balance of these 527 constituents will decide on whether a CW is a pollution source or a sink. This will necessitate a 528 higher degree of multi-level spatial and temporal monitoring and the use of multi-disciplinary 529 techniques both in and ex situ to fully characterise all pathways of C and N loss. At this time we 530 cannot suggest any design optima in terms of nutrient removal and GHG mitigation because 531 empirical information are not yet abundant. To do this, transformation kinetics of C and N and 532 net GHG emissions through all possible pathways are required to provide a holistic assessment. 533 However, a combination of various types of CW and plant types could provide higher removals

and lower GHG emissions. A conceptual model highlighting the current state of knowledge inthis area and the research gaps is presented in Figure 1.

536

537 Subsurface export of nutrients and GHG to groundwater should be accounted for in CW 538 management. Reducing the saturated hydraulic conductivity below the wetland bed will help reduce nutrients leaching to groundwater. The reactive versus the benign forms of the N 539 540 transformation products should be evaluated. Data on when, where and the rates at which 541 denitrification, deamox and anammox occur in CWs are needed, as well as identification of the 542 key factors that control such processes. The provenance of NH_4^+ in groundwater below CW cells 543 and its impact on down-gradient receptors needs further elucidation. Constructed wetlands have 544 the potential to produce N₂O, DON, DOC, dissolved inorganic C (DIC), CO₂ and CH₄, which 545 may be exported to fresh waters via groundwater and degassed upon discharge to surface waters. 546 Moreover, the DOC and DIC transferred to the fresh water sediments (rivers and lakes) can 547 produce GHG that, in turn, emit to atmosphere. The amount of C and N exported from terrestrial 548 ecosystems via the subsurface pathway to fresh waters has been the missing piece of our 549 understanding of global C and N budgets. It is clear that data on the various C and N species, 550 along with the GHG emissions, are crucial to make a robust input-output balance of C and N in CWs. Spatial and temporal variations of GHG emissions in CWs under different management 551 552 systems are also critical to get much more rigorous estimates of emission factors. These data will 553 reduce the existing uncertainties in global C and N budgets.

554

Managing wetting and drying spells (pulsing hydrology) in CWs can enhance NH_4^+ removal. 555 556 Similarly, oxidation of organic C will increase CO_2 production and, in anaerobic conditions, may 557 be reduced to CH₄. This requires more research into the C and N cycle processes over the wetting and drying spells, which is now possible with the advancement in ${}^{14}C/{}^{15}N$ tracing and modelling 558 559 techniques. The selection of appropriate plant species is important to optimise nutrient removal, 560 sequester C and decrease GHG emissions, but more research is needed across species and 561 geographical locations. Further research is also needed to investigate the impacts of hydraulic 562 retention time on nutrient dynamics. Rates of nutrient accumulation or fixation in soils and their 563 in situ transformation in CWs need to be quantified to evaluate their contribution to C 564 sequestration and GHG emissions.

565

566 Acknowledgements

567	The research was funded by Irish Research Council and Department of Agriculture, Food and
568	Marine in Association with The University of Dublin, Trinity College
569	
570	Author's Contributions
571	The first author, M.M.R. Jahangir has reviewed articles in the relevant area, analysed results and
572	identified knowledge gaps and prepared the draft paper. All co-authors were directly involved in
573	preparation of the paper and edited the paper for its improvement.
574	
575	
576	
577	
578	

579 **References**

- á Norði, K. and Thamdrup, B.: Nitrate-dependent anaerobic methane oxidation in a freshwater
 sediment, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 132, 141-150, 2014.
- Addy, K., Kellogg, D.Q., Gold, A.J., Groffman, P.M., Ferendo, G., and Sawyer, C.: *In situ* pushpull method to determine groundwater denitrification in riparian zones, J. Environ. Qual.,
 31, 1017-1024, 2002.
- Bachand, P.A.M, and Horne, A.J.: Denitrification in constructed free-water surface wetlands: II.
 Effects of vegetation and temperature, Ecol. Eng., 14, 17–32, 2000.
- Baily, A., Rock, L., Watson, C.J., and Fenton, O.: Spatial and temporal variations in groundwater
 nitrate at an intensive dairy farm in south-east Ireland: Insights from stable isotope data,
 Agril. Ecosysts. Environ., 308-318, 2011.
- Beaulieu, J.J., Tank, J.L., Hamilton, S.K., Wollheim, W.M., Hall, R.O., and Mulholland, P.J.:
 Nitrous oxide emission from denitrification in stream and river networks, Proc. Natl.
 Acad. Sci., 108, 214–219, 2011.
- Blackburn, T.H.: Methods for measuring rates of NH₄⁺ turnover in anoxic marine
 sediments, using a ¹⁵N-NH₄⁺ dilution technique, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 37(4),
 760-765, 1979.
- Bojcevska, H., and Tonderski, K.: Impact of loads, season, and plant species on the performance
 of a tropical constructed wetland polishing effluent from sugar factory stabilization ponds,
 Ecol. Eng., 29, 66–76, 2007.
- Brix, H.: Do macrophytes play a role in constructed treatment wetlands, Water Sci. Technol., 35,
 11-17, 1997.

- Burgin, A.J., Hamilton, S.K., Gardner, W.S., and McCarthy, M.J.: Nitrate reduction,
 denitrification, and dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium in wetland sediments, in:
 R.D. DeLaune, K.R. Reddy, C.J. Richardson, and J.P. Megonigal, (Eds.), Methods in
 Biogeochemistry of Wetlands, SSSA Book Series, no. 10, Madison, USA, 307-325, 2013.
- Calheiros, C.S.C., Rangel, A.O.S.S., and Castro, P.M.L.: Constructed wetland systems vegetated
 with different plants applied to the treatment of tannery wastewater, Water Res. 41, 1790–
 98, 2007.
- Clair, T.A., Arp, P., Moore, T.R., Dalva, M., and Meng, F.R.: Gaseous carbon dioxide and
 methane, as well as dissolved organic carbon losses from a small temperate wetland under
 a changing climate, Environ. Pollut., 116(1), S143-S148, 2002.
- Conley, D.J., Paerl, H.W., Howarth, R.W. Boesch, D.F., Seitzinger, S.P., Havens, K.E., Lancelot,
 C., and Likens, G.E.: Controlling eutrophication: Nitrogen and phosphorus, Science, 323,
 1014–1015, 2009.
- da Motta Marques D.M.L., Leite, G.R., and Giovannini, S.G.T.: Performance of two macrophyte
 species in experimental wetlands receiving variable loads of anaerobically treated
 municipal wastewater, Water Sci. Technol., 44, 311–6, 2001.
- Dunne, E.J., Culleton, N., O'Donovan, G., Harrington, R., and Olsen, A.E.: An integrated
 constructed wetland to treat contaminants and nutrients from dairy farmyard dirty water,
 Ecol. Eng., 24(3), 219-232, 2005.
- Dzakpasu, M., Scholz, M., Harrington, R., McCarthy, V. and Jordan, S.: Groundwater Quality
 Impacts from a Full-Scale Integrated Constructed Wetland, Groundwater Monit. Rem.,
 34(3), 51-64, 2014.
- Dzakpasua, M., Scholz, M., Harrington, R., Jordan. S.N., and McCarthy, V.: Characterising
 infiltration and contaminant migration beneath earthen-lined integrated constructed
 wetlands, Ecol. Eng., 41, 41-51, 2012.
- Elberling, B., Louise A., Christian, J.J., Hans, P.J., Michael K., Ronnie N.G., and Frants, R.L.:
 Linking soil O₂, CO₂, and CH₄ concentrations in a wetland soil: implications for CO₂ and
 CH₄ fluxes, Environ. Sci. Technol., 45, 3393–3399, 2011.
- Fazzolari, C. E., Nicolardot, B., and Germon, J. C.: Simultaneous effects of increasing levels
 of glucose and oxygen partial pressures on denitrification and dissimilatory
 reduction to ammonium in repacked soil cores, Eur. J. Soil Biol., 34, 47–52, 1998.
- Fenton, O., Healy, M.G., Brennan, F., Jahangir, M.M.R., Lanigan, G.J., Richards, K.G.,
 Thornton, S.F., and Ibrahim, T.G.: Permeable reactive interceptors blocking diffuse

- nutrient and greenhouse gas losses in key areas of the farming landscape, J. Agric. Sci.,
 152, S71-S81, 2014.
- Fey, A., Benckiser, G., and Ottow, J.C.G.: Emissions of nitrous oxide from a constructed wetland
 using a ground filter and macrophytes in waste-water purification of a dairy farm, Biol.
 Fertil. Soils, 29(4), 354–359, 1999.
- Findlay, S., Groffman, P., and Dye, S.: Effects of *Phragmites australis* removal on marsh nutrient
 cycling, Wetlands Ecol. Manage., 11, 157-165, 2003.
- Firestone, M., Blazewicz, S., Peterson, D.G., and Placella, S.: Can molecular microbial ecology
 provide new understanding of soil nitrogen dynamics, in: Richards, K.G., Fenton, O.,
 Watson, C. J. (Eds.), Proceedings of the 17th Nitrogen Workshop, 11-14, 2012.
- Galloway, J.N., Aber, J.D., Erisman, J.W., Seitzinger, S,P., Howarth, R.W., Cowling, E.B., and
 Cosby, B.J.: The nitrogen cascade, BioSci., 53(4), 341-356, 2003.
- Garcia, J., Capel, V., Castro, A., Ruiz, I., and Soto, M.: Anaerobic biodegradation tests and gas
 emissions from subsurface flow constructed wetlands, Bioresour. Technol., 98(16), 3044–
 3052, 2007.
- Gold, A.J., Eddy, K., David, M.B., Schpper L.A., and Needelman, B.A.: Artificial sinks:
 opportunities and challenges for managing offsite nitrogen losses, J. Contemp. Water Res.
 Edu., 151, 9-19, 2013.
- Gray, N.F.: Drinking water quality, 2nd edn., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK, 2008.
- 653 Grünfeld, S., and Brix, H.: Methanogenesis and methane emissions: effects of water table, 654 substrate type and presence of *Phragmites australis*, Aquatic Bot., 64, 63-75, 1999.
- Gui, P., Inamori, R., Matsumura, M., and Inamori, Y.: Evaluation of constructedwetlands by
 waste water purification ability and greenhouse gas emissions, Water Sci. Technol., 56(3),
 49–55, 2007.
- Haroon, M. F., Hu, S., Shi, Y., Imelfort, M., Keller, J., Hugenholtz, P., Yuan, Z., and Tyson,
 G.W.: Anaerobic oxidation of methane coupled to nitrate reduction in a novel archaeal
 lineage, Nature, 500, 567-570, 2013.
- Harrington, R., Carroll, P., Carty, A.H., Keohane, J., and Ryder, C.: Integrated constructed
 wetlands: concept, design, site evaluation and performance, Int. J. Water, 3(3), 243-255,
 2007.
- Healy, M.G., Ibrahim, T.G., Lanigan, G., Serrenho, A.J., and Fenton, O.: Nitrate removal rate,
 efficiency and pollution swapping potential of different organic carbon media in
 laboratory denitrification bioreactors, Ecol. Eng., 40, 198-209, 2011.

- Healy, M.G., Barrett, M., Lanigan, G.J., João Serrenho, A., Ibrahim, T.G., Thornton, S.F., Rolfe,
 S.A., Huang, W.E., Fenton, O.: Optimizing nitrate removal and evaluating pollution
 swapping trade-offs from laboratory denitrification bioreactors, Ecol. Eng., 74, 290-301,
 2014
- Hill, A.R.: Nitrate removal in stream riparian zones, J. Environ. Qual., 25, 743-755, 2010.
- Huygens, D., Trimmer, M., Rütting, T., Müller, C., Heppell, C.M., Lansdown, K., and
 Boeckx, P.: Biogeochemical N cycling in wetland ecosystems: ¹⁵N isotope techniques,
 Methods in biogeochemistry of wetlands, K.R. Reddy, J.P. Megonigal and R.D.
 Delaune, (Eds.), Soil Sci. Soc. Amer., 30, 553-591, 2013.
- Inamori, R., Gui, P., Dass, P., Matsumura, M., Xu, K.Q., Kondo, K., Ebie, Y., and Inamori, Y.:
 Investigating CH₄ and N₂O emissions from eco-engineering wastewater treatment
 processes using constructed wetland microcosms, Proc. Biochem, 42, 363-373, 2007.
- 679 IPCC: 2013 Supplement to the 2006 IPCC guidelines for national greenhouse gas inventories:
 680 wetlands, edited by: Hirashi, T., Krug, T., Tanabe, K., Srivastava, N., Baasansuren, J.,
 681 Fukuda, M., Troxler, T.G., Switzerland, IPCC Task Force on National Greenhouse Gas
 682 Inventories, 354, 2014.
- Islas-Lima, S., Thalasso, F., and Gomez-Hernandez, J.: Evidence of anoxic methane oxidation
 coupled to denitrification, Water Res., 38(1), 13-16, 2004.
- Jahangir, M.M.R., Johnston, P., Addy, K., Khalil, M.I., Groffman, P., and Richards, K.G.:
 Quantification of in situ denitrification rates in groundwater below an arable and a
 grassland system, Water Air Soil Pollut., 224, 1693, doi:10.1007/s11270-013-1693-z,
 2013.
- Jahangir, M.M.R., Johnston, P., Grant, J., Somers, C., Khalil, M.I., and Richards, K.G.:
 Evaluation of headspace equilibration methods for measuring greenhouse gases in
 groundwater, J. Environ. Manage., 111, 208-212, 2012.
- Johansson, A.E., Gustavsson, A.M., Öquist, M.G., and Svensson, B.H.: Methane emissions from
 a constructed wetland treating wastewater seasonal and spatial distribution and
 dependence on edaphic factors, Water Res., 38 (18), 3960–3970, 2004.
- Johansson, A.E., Kasimir-Klemedtsson, A., Klemedtsson, L., and Svensson, B.H.: Nitrous oxide
 exchanges with the atmosphere of a constructed wetland treating wastewater, Tellus, 55B,
 737–750, 2003.
- Johansson, E.: Constructed wetlands and deconstructed discorses–greenhouse gas fluxes and
 discorses on purifying capacities, Dept. Water Environ. Studies, Linköping Univ.,
 Sweden, 2002.

Kadlec, R. H., and Wallace, S. D.: Treatment wetlands, CRC Press Taylor and Francis,
 1000 pp., 2009.

- Kalyuzhnyi, S., Gladchenko, M., Mulder, A., and Versprille, B.: DEAMOX-new biological
 nitrogen, Water Res., 40, 3637-3645, 2006.
- Kana, T.M., Darkangelo, C., Hunt, M.D., Oldham, J.B., Bennett, G.E, and Cornwell, J.C.:
 Membrane inlet mass spectrometer for rapid high precision determination N₂, O₂ and Ar
 in environmental water samples, Anal. Chem., 66, 4166-4170, 1994.
- Kato, K., Koba, T., Ietsugu, H., Saigusa, T., Nozoe, T., Kobayashi, S., Kitagawa, K., and
 Yanagiya, S.: Early performance of hybrid reed bed system to treat milking parlour
 wastewater in cold climate in Japan, in: 10th international conference wetland systems for
 water pollution control, MAOTDR, Lisbon, Portugal, pp. 1111-1118, 2006.
- Kellogg, D.Q., Gold, A.J., Groffman, P.M., Addy, K., Stolt, M.H., and Blazejewski, G.: *In situ*groundwater denitrification in stratified, permeable soils underlying riparian wetlands, J.
 Environ. Qual., 34, 524-533, 2005.
- Kelso, B.H.L., Smith, R.V., Laughlin, R.J., and Lennox, S.D.: Dissmilatory nitrate
 reduction in anaerobic sediments leading to river nitrate accumulation, Appl.
 Enviro. Microbiol., 63(12), 4679-4685, 1997.
- Korom, S.F.: Natural denitrification in the saturated zone: a review, Water Resour. Res.,
 28(6), 1657–1668, 2002.
- Koskiaho, J., Ekholm, P., Räty, M., Riihimäki, J., and Puustinen, M.: Retaining agricultural
 nutrients in constructed wetlands- experiences under boreal conditions, Ecol. Eng., 20(1),
 89–103, 2003.
- Laanbroek, H.J.: Bacterial cycling of minerals that affect plant growth in waterlogged soils:
 a review, Aquat. Bot., 38, 109–25, 1990.
- Laanbroek, H.J.: Methane emission from natural wetlands: interplay between emergent
 macrophytes and soil microbial processes, A mini-review, Annals Bot., 105, 141–153,
 2010.
- Langergraber, G.: Modeling of processes in subsurface flow constructed wetlands: A review.
 Vadose Zone, J. 7, 830-842, 2008.
- Lee, C., Fletcher, T.D., and Sun, G.: Nitrogen removal in constructed wetland systems, Eng. Life
 Sci., 9(1), 11-22, 2009.
- Liikanen, A., Huttunen, J.T., Kaijalainen, S.M., Heikkinen, K. Vdisdinen, T.S., Nykiinen, H., and
 Martikainen, P.J.: Temporal and seasonal changes in greenhouse gas emissions from a
 constructed wetland purifying peat mining runoff water, Ecol. Eng., 26, 241-251, 2006.

- Liu, C., Xu, K., Inamori, R., Ebie, Y., Liao, J., and Inamori, Y.: Pilot-scale studies of domestic
 wastewater treatment by typical constructed wetlands and their greenhouse gas emissions,
 Front. Environ. Sci. Eng. China, 3(4), 477–482, 2009.
- Luederitz, V., Eckert, E., Lange-Weber, M., Lange, A., and Gersberg, R.M.: Nutrient removal
 efficiency and resource economics of vertical flow and horizontal flow constructed
 wetlands, Ecol. Eng., 18, 157–171, 2001.
- Luo, W.G., Wang, S.H., Huang, J., and Qian, W.Y.: Denitrification by using subsurface
 constructed wetland in low temperature. China Water Wastewater (in Chinese), 21, 37–
 40, 2005.
- Makino, W., Cotner, J.B., Sterner, R.W., and Elser, J.J.: Are bacteria more like plants or animals?
 Growth rate and resource dependence of bacterial C:N:P stoichiometry, Funct. Ecol., 17,
 121–130, 2003.
- Maltais-Landry, G., Maranger, R., Brisson, J., and Chazarenc, F.: Greenhouse gas production and
 efficiency of planted and artificially aerated constructed wetlands, Environ. Pollut., 157,
 748-754, 2009.
- Mander, U., Lõhmus, K., Teiter, S., Mauring, T., Nurk, K., and Augustin, J.: Gaseous fluxes in
 the nitrogen and carbon budgets of subsurface flow constructed wetlands, Sci. Total
 Environ., 404, 343-353, 2008.
- Mander, Ü., Lõhmus, K., Teiter, S., Nurk, K., Mauring, T., and Augustin, J.: Gaseous fluxes from
 subsurface flow constructed wetlands for wastewater treatment, J. Environ. Sci. Health A
 40(6–7), 1215–26, 2005.
- Mander, Ü., Maddison, M., Soosaar, K., and Karabelnik, K.: The impact of intermit-tent
 hydrology and fluctuating water table on greenhouse gas emissions from subsurface flow
 constructed wetlands for wastewater treatment, Wetlands, 31(6), 1023–1032, 2011.
- Minett, D.A., Cook, P.L.M., Kessler, A.J., and Cavagnaro, T.R.: Root effects on the spatial and
 temporal dynamics of oxygen in sand-based laboratory-scale constructed biofilters, Ecol.
 Eng., 58, 414-422, 2013.
- Mitsch, W.J., and Gosselink, J.G.: Wetlands, 3rd Edn. New York, John Wiley & Sons, p. 936,
 2000.

Müller, C. and Bünemann, E.K.: A ³³P tracing model for quantifying gross P transformation rates in soil, Soil Biol. Biochem., 76, 218-226, 2014.

Müller, C. and Clough, T.J.: Advances in understanding nitrogen flows and transformations: gaps
and research pathways, J. Agril. Sci., 152, S1, 34-44, 2014.

- Müller, C., Laughlin, R.J., Spott, O., and Rütting, T.: Quantification of N₂O emission pathways
 via a ¹⁵N tracing model, Soil Biol. Biochem., 72, 44-54, 2014.
- Mustafa, A., and Scholz, M.: Nutrient accumulation in *Typha latifolia* L. and sediment of a
 representative integrated constructed wetland, Water Air Soil Pollut., 219, 329-341, 2011.
- Nguyen, L.M.: Organic matter composition, microbial biomass and microbial activity in gravelbed constructed wetlands treating farm dairy wastewaters, Ecol. Eng., 16, 199-221, 2000.
- O'Luanaigh, N.D., Goodhue, R., and Gill, L.W.: Nutrient removal from on-site domestic
 wastewater in horizontal subsurface flow reed beds in Ireland, Ecol. Eng., 36, 1266-1276,
 2010.
- Obarska-Pempkowiak, H., and Gajewska, M.: The dynamics of processes responsible for
 transformation of nitrogen compounds in hybrid wetlands systems in a temperate climate,
 in: J. Vymazal (Eds.) Wetlands- Nutrients, Metals and Mass Cycling. Backhuys
 Publishers, Leiden, The Netherlands, p.129–42. 2003.
- Pangala, S.R., Reay, D.S., and Heal, K.V.: Mitigation of methane emissions from constructed
 farm wetlands, Chemosphere, 78, 493-499, 2010.
- Payne, E. G. I., Fletcher, T. D., Cook, P. L. M., Deletic, A., and Hatt, B. E.: Processes and
 drivers of nitrogen removal in stormwater biofiltration, Critical Reviews in
 Environmental Science and Technology, 44, 796-846, 2014a.
- Payne, E. G. I., Fletcher, T. D., Russell, D. G., Grace, M. R., Cavagnaro, T. R., Evrard, V.,
 Deletic, A., Hatt, B. E., and Cook, P. L. M.: Temporary storage or permanent
 removal? The division of nitrogen between biotic assimilation and denitrification in
 stormwater biofiltration systems, PLoS ONE, 9, e90890, 2014b.
- Peterson, D.G., Blazewicz, S., Herman, D.J., Firestone, M., Turetsky, M. and Waldrop, M.:
 Abundance of microbial genes associated with nitrogen cycling as indices of
 biogeochemical process rates across a vegetation gradient in Alaska, Environ. Microbiol.,
 14, 993-1008, 2012.
- Pett-Ridge, J., Silver, W. L., and Firestone, M.K.: Redox fluctuations frame microbial
 community impacts on N-cycling rates in humid tropical forest soil, Biogeochem., 81,
 95–110, 2006.
- Poach, M.E., Hunt, P.G., Vanotti, M.B., Stone, K.C., Matheny, T.A., Johnson, M.H., and Sadler
 E.J.: Improved nitrogen treatment by constructed wetlands receiving partially nitrified
 liquid swine manure, Ecol. Eng., 20, 183-197, 2003.
- Rabalais, N.N., Diaz, R.J. Levin, L.A. Turner, R.E., Gilbert, D., and Zhang, J.: Dynamics and
 distribution of natural and human-caused coastal hypoxia, Biogeosci., 7, 585–619, 2010.

Reay, D.S.: Title: Fertilizer 'solution' could turn local problem global - Protecting soil and water
from pollution may mean releasing more greenhouse gas, Nature, 427, 485-485, 2004.

Reddy, K. R., and Delaune, R. D.: Biogeochemistry of Wetlands: Science and Applications, CRC Press, 800 pp., 2008.

- Riya, S., Zhou, S., Nakashima, Y., Terada, A., Hosomi, M.: Direct and indirect greenhouse gas
 emissions from vertical flow constructed wetland planted with forage rice, Kagaku
 Kogaku Ronbunshu, 36, 229–236, 2010.
- Rütting, T. and Müller, C.: Process-specific analysis of nitrite dynamics in a permanent
 grassland soil by using a Monte Carlo sampling technique, Euro. J. Soil Sci., 59: 208215, 2008.

Rütting, T., Boeckx, P., Müller, C., and Klemedtsson, L.: Assessment of the importance of dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium for the terrestrial nitrogen cycle, Biogeosci., 8, 1169-1196, 2011.

- 815 Seitzinger, S.P., Sanders, R.W., and Styles, R.: Bioavailability of DON from natural and
 816 anthropogenic sources to estuarine plankton, Limnol. Oceanogr., 47, 353–366, 2002.
- Sha, C.Y., Mitsch, W.J., Mander, Ü., Lu, J.J., Batson, J., Zhang, L., and He, W.S.: Methane
 emissions from freshwater riverine wetlands, Ecol. Eng., 37:16–24, 2011.
- Shamir, E., Thompson, T.L, Karpisak, M.M., Freitas, R.J., and Zauderer, J.: Nitrogen
 accumulation in a constructed wetland for dairy wastewater treatment, J. Amer. Water
 Resour. Assoc., 37(2), 315-325, 2001.
- Song, K., Lee, S.H., and Kang, H.: Denitrification rates and community structure of denitrifying
 bacteria in newly constructed wetland, Eur. J. Soil Biol., 47(1), 24-29, 2011.
- Song, K., Lee, S.H., Mitsch, W.J., and Kang, H.: Different responses of denitrification rates and
 denitrifying bacterial communities to hydrological pulses in created wetlands, Soil Biol.
 Biochem., 42, 1721–1727, 2010.
- Søvik, A.K., Augustin, J., Heikkinen, K., Huttunen, J.T., Necki, J.M., Karjalainen, S.M., Klove,
 B., Liikanen, A., Mander, U., Puustinen, M., Teiter, S., and Wachniew, P.: Emission of
 the greenhouse gases nitrous oxide and methane from constructed wetlands in Europe. J.
 Environ, Qual., 35, 2360-2373, 2006.
- Stadmark, J., and Leonardson, L.: Emissions of greenhouse gases from ponds constructed for
 nitrogen removal, Ecol. Eng., 25, 542-551, 2005.
- Ström, L., Ekber,g A., Mastepanov M., and Christensen, T.R.: The effect of vascular plants on
 carbon turnover and methane emissions from a tundra wetland, Global Change Biol. 9,
 1185–1192, 2003.

- 836 Ström, L., Lamppa, A., and Christensen, T.R.: Greenhouse gas emissions from a constructed
 837 wetland in southern Sweden, Wetlands Ecol. Manage., 15, 43–50, 2007.
- 838 Ström, L., Mastepanov, M., and Christensen, T.R.: Species specific effects of vascular plants on 839 carbon turnover and methane emissions from wetlands, Biogeochem., 75, 65–82, 2005.
- Tai, P.D., Li, P.J., Sun, T.H., He, Y.W., Zhou, Q.X., Gong, Z.Q., Mizuochi, M., and Inamori, Y.:
 Greenhouse gas emissions from a constructed wetland for municipal sewage treatment, J.
 Environ. Sci. China, 14(1), 27–33, 2002.
- Takaya, N.: Dissimilatory nitrate reduction metabolisms and their control in fungi, J. Biosci.
 Bioeng., 94, 506–510, 2002.
- Tanner, C.C., and Kadlec, R.H.: Influence of hydrological regime on wetland attenuation of
 diffuse agricultural nitrate losses, Ecol. Eng., 56, 79-88, 2013.
- Tanner, C.C., and Sukias, J.P.S.: Multi-year nutrient removal performance of three constructed
 wetlands intercepting drainage flows from intensively grazed pastures, J. Environ. Qual.,
 40, 620-633, 2011.
- Tanner, C.C., Nguyen, M.L., and Sukias, J.P.S.: Nutrient removal by a constructed wetland
 treating subsurface drainage from grazed dairy pasture, Agric. Ecosysts. Environ., 105,
 145-162, 2005.
- Teiter, S., and Mander, Ü.: Emission of N₂O, N₂, CH₄ and CO₂ from constructed wetlands for wastewater treatment and from riparian buffer zones, Ecol. Eng., 25(5), 528–541, 2005.
- Thayalakumaran, T., Bristow, K.L., Charlesworth, P.B., and Fass, T.: Geochemical conditions in
 groundwater systems: Implications for the attenuation of agricultural nitrate, Agril. Water
 Manage., 95, 103-115, 2008.
- Toet, S., Richards, S.P., van Logtestijn, Kamp, R., Schreijer, M., and Verhoeven, J.T.A.: The effect of hydraulic retention time on the performance of pollutants from sewage treatment plant effluent in a surface flow constructed wetland system, Wetalnds, 25, 375-391, 2005.
- Van der Zaag, A.C., Gordon, R.J., Burton, D.L., Jamieson, R.C., and Stratton, G.W.: Greenhouse
 gas emissions from surface flow and subsurface flow constructed wetlands treating dairy
- 863 wastewater, J. Environ. Qual., 39, 460-471, 2010.
- Van Oostrom, A.J, and Russell, J.M.: Denitrification in constructed wastewater wetlands
 receiving high concentrations of nitrate, Water Sci. Technol., 29, 7-14, 1994.
- Verhoeven, J.T.A., and Meuleman, A.F.M.: Wetlands for wastewater treatment: Opportunities
 and limitations, Ecol. Eng., 12, 5–12, 1999.

- Vymazal, J., and Kröpfelova, L.: Growth of *Phragmites australis* and *Phalaris arundinacea* in
 constructed wetlands for wastewater treatment in the Czech Republic, Ecol. Eng., 25,
 606-621, 2005.
- 871 Vymazal, J., and Kröpfelová, L.: Types of constructed wetlands for wastewater treatment
 872 in: Wastewater Treatment in Constructed Wetlands with Horizontal Sub-Surface
 873 Flow, Environmental Pollution Springer, Heidelberg, 121-202, 2010.
- 874 Vymazal, J.: Removal of nutrients in various types of constructed wetlands, Sci. Total
 875 Environ., 380, 48-65, 2007.
- Wang, H., Huang, C., Ge, Y., zhi Wu, J., and Chang, J.: The Performance of Species Mixtures in
 Nitrogen and Phosphorus Removal at Different Hydraulic Retention Times, Pol. J.
 Environ. Stud., 23, 917-922, 2014.
- Weerakoon, G.M.P.R., Jinadasa, K.B.S.N., Herath, G.B.B., Mowjood, M.I.M., and vanBruggen,
 J.J.A.: Impact of the hydraulic loading rate on pollutants removal in tropical horizontal
 subsurface flow constructed wetlands, Ecol. Eng., 61, 154-160, 2013.
- Wild, U., Lenz, A., Kamp, T., Heinz, S., and Pfadenhauer, J.: Vegetation development, nutrient
 removal and trace gas fluxes in constructed Typha wetlands, In: Mander Ü, Jenssen PD,
 (eds.). Natural wetlands for wastewater treatment in cold climates, Adv. Ecol. Sci.,
 Southampton, Boston, WIT Press, p. 101–26, 2002.
- Wunderlin, P., Lehmann, M.F., Siegrist, H., Tuzson, B., Joss, A., Emmenegger, L., Mohn, J.:
 Isotope signatures of N₂O in a mixed microbial population system: constraints on N₂O
 producing pathways in wastewater treatment. Environ. Sci. Technol., 47, 1339–1348,
 2013.
- Xue, Y., Kovacic, D.A., David, M.B., Gentry, L.E., Mulvaney, R.L., and Lindau, C.W.: *In situ*measurements of denitrification in constructed wetlands, J. Environ. Qual., 28(1), 263–
 269, 1999.
- Yan, C., Zhang, H., Li, B., Wang, D., Zhao, Y., and Zheng, Z.: Effects of influent C/N ratios on
 CO₂ and CH₄ emissions from vertical subsurface flow constructed wetlands treating
 synthetic municipal wastewater, J. Hazardous Materials, 203-204, 188-194, 2012.
- Yavitt, J.B., and Knapp, A.K.: Aspects of methane flow from sediment through emergent cattail
 (*Typha latifolia*) plants, New Phytol., 139, 495-503, 1998.
- 898 Yin, S., Shen, Q., Tang, Y., and Cheng, L.: Reduction of nitrate to ammonium in selected
 899 paddy soils in China, Pedosphere, 8, 221–228, 1998.

- Zhang, J., Shao, W.S., He, M., Hu, H.Y., and Gao, B.Y.: Treatment performance and
 enhancement of subsurface constructed wetland treating polluted river water in winter,
 Environ. Sci. (in Chinese), 27, 1560–1564, 2006.
- Zhao, Y., Zhang, Y., Ge, Z., Hu, C., and Zhang, H.: Effects of influent C/N ratios on wastewater
 nutrient removal and simultaneous greenhouse gas emission from the combinations of
 vertical subsurface flow constructed wetlands and earthworm eco-filters for treating
 synthetic wastewater, Environ. Sci. Proc. Impacts, 16, 567-575, 2014.
- 907
- 908

CW type	Treatment	N input (mg N L^{-1})			N output (mg N L ⁻¹)		N removal (%)			References	
		TN	$\mathrm{NH_4}^+$	NO ₃ -	TN	$\mathrm{NH_4}^+$	NO ₃ ⁻	TN	$\mathrm{NH_4}^+$	NO ₃ -	
SF_Finland	Municipal	1.4 ± 150	0.03 ± 5.8	0.3 ± 95	1.1 ± 48	0.01 ± 3.0	0.02 ± 6.7	21.4	66.7	93.3	Søvik et al., 2006
SF_Finland	Agril. runoff	66.1 ± 1.9	63.5 ± 1.3	0.7 ± 0.13	64.7 ± 1.7	61.2 ± 1.7	0.3 ± 0.09	2.1	3.6	57.1	Søvik et al., 2006
SF_Norway	Municipal	43.4 ± 3.6	41.5 ± 3.0	0.0 ± 0.0	36.7 ± 2.7	32.6 ± 1.9	0.9 ± 0.4	15.4	21.4	-800	Søvik et al., 2006
SF	Municipal	n.a	4.5	15.5	n.a	n.a	n.a	61	n.a	n.a	Song et al., 2011
SF	Domestic	n.a	40	5	n.a	n.a	n.a	97-98	n.a	n.a	Dzakpasu et al., 2011
SF	Various	n.a	39	4.4	n.a	n.a	n.a	39-48	n.a	n.a	Vymazal, 2007
SF	Municipal	n.a	36		n.a	n.a	n.a	39	n.a	n.a	Vymazal, 2010
SF	Municipal	n.a	196	<2	n.a	n.a	n.a	35	n.a	n.a	Shamir et al., 2001
SF	various	n.a	80	<1	n.a	n.a	n.a	>90	n.a	n.a	Harrington et al., 2007
SF	Municipal	n.a	0.95	1.54	n.a	n.a	n.a	45	n.a	n.a	Toet et al., 2005
SF	Dairy washout	227	n.a	n.a	n.a	n.a	n.a	40	n.a	n.a	Van der Zaag et al., 2010
All SF								31.3 ± 6.3			
	NG · · 1	065120	02.0 . 0.7	0.0.00	46.0 + 1.5	262 1 1 4	5000	50.1	56.0	2050	
HSSF_Estonia	Municipal	96.5 ± 3.0	83.9±2.7	0.2 ± 0.02	46.2 ± 1.5	36.2 ± 1.4	5.9 ± 0.65	52.1	56.9	-2850	Søvik et al., 2006
HSSF_Norway	Municipal	53.4 ± 4.3	38.4 ± 1.1	$14.1 \pm /.5$	45.0 ± 4.1	43.1 ± 4.7	1.0 ± 0.8	15.7	-12.2	92.9	Søvik et al., 2006
HSSF	Dairy washout	$306 \pm 101^*$	n.a	n.a	$1// \pm 58^*$	n.a	n.a	42.2	n.a	n.a	Van der Zaag et al., 2010
HSSF	Domestic	n.a	/4.9	3.9	n.a	n.a	n.a	29	n.a	n.a	O'Luanaigh et al., 2010
HSSF	Domestic	8/						46-48			Mander et al., 2008
HSSF	Dairy washout	227	22		24	11		28	50		Van der Zaag et al., 2010
HSSF	Milik parlour	112	22	n.a	24	11	n.a	/8	50 20	n.a	Kato et al., 2006
HSSF	Agriculture	0/	40	0.85	27	11	1.1	4/	39	-29	Vymazal and Kropfelova, 2010
HSSF	Industry	124	65	8.5	103	31	/.4	20	20	8	Vymazal and Kropfelova, 2010
HSSF	Landfill	157	149	1.5	14/	98	1.3	30	33	31	Vymazal and Kropfelova, 2010
HSSF	Municipal	43	24	2	24	14	1.2	40	30	33	Vymazal and Kropfelova, 2010
All HSSF								40.4 ± 4.4			
VSSF Estonia	Municipal	50.9 ± 9.2	357 ± 62	1.1 ± 0.32	43.1 ± 7.6	31.7 ± 5.5	1.7 ± 0.84	153	11.2	-54 5	Søvik et al 2006
VSSF Norway	Municipal	52.6 ± 5.2	49.6 ± 4.0	0.0 ± 0.0	47.8 ± 6.9	21.4 ± 6.9	25.5 ± 1.3	9.1	56.9	-25400	Søvik et al., 2006
VSSF	Municipal	41.0 ± 0.5	n.a	n.a	20.7 ± 0.8	n.a	n.a	49.3 ± 1.8	n.a	n.a	Yan et al., 2012
VSSF	Municipal	46 ± 13	n.a	n.a	n.a	n.a	n.a	74 ± 3	n.a	n.a	Zhao et al., 2014
All VSSF	·· · · · · ·							37.0 ± 10.9			······································

Table 1 Mineral N (mg N L^{-1}), total nitrogen (TN, mg N L^{-1}) and TN removal (%) in various CWs treating wastewater; average (± standard error, SE) are presented for TN removal; n.a – data not available

SF- surface flow; HSSF – horizontal subsurface flow; VSSF- vertical subsurface flow; *mg N m⁻² h⁻¹

CWs type	Treatment	C input (TOC; mg C L ⁻¹)	C outflow (TOC; mg C L^{-1})	TOC Removal (%)	References
SF_Finland	Municipal	13.0 ± 0.3	14.0 ± 0.5	-7.7	Søvik et al., 2006
SF_Finland	Agril runoff	25.0 ± 3.4	20.0 ± 3.4	20.0	Søvik et al., 2006
SF_Norway	Municipal	26.7 ± 2.9	17.1 ± 1.8	36.0	Søvik et al., 2006
SF	Dairy wash out	186†	136†	27	Van der Zaag et al., 2010
All SF				18.8 ± 9.4	
HSSF	Domestic	150*	n.a	n.a	Garcia et al., 2007
HSSF	Dairy wash out	186†	107.9†	42	Van der Zaag et al., 2010
HSSF_Estonia	Municipal	62.8 ± 16.6 †	41.0 ± 11.3 †	34.7	Søvik et al., 2006
HSSF_Norway	Municipal	40.5 ± 11.3	15.0 ± 2.4	63.0	Søvik et al., 2006
All HSSF				46.6 ± 7.3	
VSSF_Estonia	Municipal	132.2 ± 32.2 †	62.8 ± 16.6 †	52.5	Søvik et al., 2006
VSSF_Norway	Municipal	40.5 ± 11.3	15.0 ± 2.4	63.0	Søvik et al., 2006
VSSF	Municipal	106 ± 35	74 ± 21	26 ± 4.6	Yan et al., 2012
VSSF	Municipal	249 ± 49	n.a	83 ± 1.0	Zhao et al., 2014
All VSSF				56.2 ± 9.5	

Table 2 Total organic C (TOC) removal (%) in various CWs treating wastewater; average (± standard error, SE) are presented for TOC removal; n.a - data not available

SF- surface flow; HSSF – horizontal subsurface flow; VSSF- vertical subsurface flow; †BOD; *mg m⁻² h⁻¹

CW type	Treatment	Denitrification		N ₂ O-N/TN (%)	N ₂ -N/TN (%)	References
		N_2O emissions (mg N m ⁻² d ⁻¹)	N_2 emissions (mg N m ⁻² d ⁻¹)			
HSF	Agril. tile drainage	0.01-0.12	n.a	0.19-1.4	n.a	Xue et al., 1999
HSF	Treated municipal	2.0±3.3	n.a	0.02-0.27	n.a	Johansson et al., 2003
HSF	Agril. drainage	-0.2-1.9	n.a	-0.14-0.52	n.a	Wild et al., 2002
HSF	Dairy wash out	16.8±7.0	n.a	0.33±0.12	n.a	Van der Zaag et al., 2010
HSF_Finland	Municipal	0.01 ± 0.01	n.a	1.6±1.3	n.a	Søvik et al., 2006
HSF_Finland	Agril. runoff	0.40±0.25	n.a	0.37±0.18	n.a	Søvik et al., 2006
HSF_Norway	Municipal	4.0±1.6	n.a	1.5±4.4	n.a	Søvik et al., 2006
All SF		2.78±1.72		0.61±0.21		
HSSF	Domestic	0.2-17.0	n.a	0.06-3.8	n.a	Mander et al., 2005
HSSF Estonia	Municipal	7.1±1.2	n.a	0.05±0.31	n.a	Søvik et al., 2006
HSSF Norway	Municipal	6.9±4.3	n.a	0.24±0.53	n.a	Søvik et al., 2006
HSSF	Domestic	1.3-1.4	160-170	0.37-0.60	15.2-22.7	Mander et al., 2008
HSSF	Domestic	0.003-0.001	0.01-5.42	n.a	n.a	Teiter and Mander, 2005
HSSF	Domestic	0.13	n.a	0.008	n.a	Fey et al., 1999
HSSF	Dairy wash out	9.5±1.5	n.a	0.18±0.12	n.a	Van der Zaag et al., 2010
HSSF	Domestic	0.17	n.a	0.23	n.a	Liu et al., 2009
VSSF	Domestic	0.17	n.a	0.01		Mander et al., 2011
All HSSF		4.23±1.87		0.62 ± 0.38		
VSSF	Domestic	0.001-0.002	0.01-5.0	n.a	n.a	Teiter and Mander, 2005
VSSF	Domestic	4.6	150	0.45-0.50	n.a	Mander et al., 2008
VSSF	Domestic	11.0	n.a	0.29	n.a	Mander et al., 2005
VSSF	Domestic	1.44	n.a	0.03		Mander et al., 2011
VSSF	Domestic	0.005	n.a	0.09	n.a	Gui et al., 2007
VSSF	Domestic	0.003	n.a	0.04	n.a	Liu et al., 2009
VSSF Estonia	Municipal	15±3.9	n.a	04.3±0.95	n.a	Søvik et al., 2006
VSSF Norway	Municipal	960±40	n.a	1.4±0.72	n.a	Søvik et al., 2006
All VSSF		123.8±106		1.01±0.48		

Table 3 Nitrous oxide (N₂O) emissions (mg N m⁻² d⁻¹); N₂ emissions (mg N m⁻² d⁻¹) and N₂O emission factor (N₂O/TN input*100) in various type of CWs; mean (\pm SE) was presented for N₂O emission factor; n.a - data not available

SF- surface flow; HSSF – horizontal subsurface flow; VSSF- vertical subsurface flow

Table 4 Carbon dioxide (CO₂, mg C m⁻² d⁻¹), CH₄ (mg C m⁻² d⁻¹) and CH₄ emission factor (CH₄-C/TOC input*100) in various type of CWs; mean (\pm SE) was presented for CH₄ emission factor; n.a - data not available

CWs type	Treatment	CO_2 emissions (mg C $m^{-2} d^{-1}$)	CH_4 emissions (mg $C m^{-2} d^{-1}$)	CH ₄ / TC (%)	References
SF	Municipal	n.a	5.4	n.a	Tai et al., 2002
SF	Domestic	0.19	n.a	26	Gui et al., 2007
SF	Domestic	1.13	n.a	16	Liu et al., 2009
SF	Agril.	n.a	0.88	31	Wild et al., 2002
SF	drainage Dairy wash out	4250±550	223±35	9.45	Van der Zaag et al., 2010
SF_Finland	Municipal	1200±420	29±6.4	19±4.3	Søvik et al., 2006
SF_Finland	Agril runoff	3200±560	350±180	11±5.5	Søvik et al., 2006
SF_Norway	Municipal	1400±250	72±28	4.8±2.2	Søvik et al., 2006
All SF		1675±703	113±58	16.8±3.8	
HSSF	Domestic	n.a	1.7-528	n.a	Mander et al.,
HSSF	Domestic	2.54-5.83	0.03-0.40	n.a	Teiter and Mander, 2005
HSSF	Domestic	5.33	0.001	0.03	Garcia et al., 2007
HSSF	Domestic	n.a	0.03	4.3	Gui et al., 2007
HSSF	Domestic	n.a	0.29	4.0	Liu et al., 2009
HSSF	Dairy wash out	3475±375	118±9.0	4.4	Van der Zaag et al., 2010
HSSF	Domestic	0.6-1.7	1.4-4.1	0.12-0.23	Søvik et al., 2006
HSSF	Domestic	600	0.48	0.02	Mander et al., 2011
HSSF_Estonia	Municipal	3800±210	340±240	n.a	Søvik et al., 2006
HSSF_Norway	Municipal	790±170	130±43	9.5±3.3	Søvik et al., 2006
All HSSF		1010±672	112±74	3.23±1.4	
VSSF	Domestic	5.83-12.13	0.60-5.70		Teiter and Mander, 2005
VSSF	Domestic	n.a	16.4	n.a	Mander et al., 2005
VSSF	Domestic	n.a	0.013	1.68	Gui et al., 2007
VSSF	Domestic	n.a	0.13	1.73	Liu et al., 2009
VSSF	Municipal	2662 ± 175	33.5 ± 3.2	n.a	Mander et al., 2008
VSSF	Domestic	1080	3.36	0.05	Mander et al., 2011
VSSF_Estonia	Municipal	8400±2100	110±35	n.a	Søvik et al., 2006
VSSF_Norway	Municipal	22000±5000	140±160	0.39±0.27	Søvik et al., 2006
All VSSF		6616 ± 3779	42.9 ± 23.7	1.27±0.31	

SF- surface flow; HSSF - horizontal subsurface flow; VSSF- vertical subsurface flow

Table 5 Nitrous oxide (N₂O, mg N m⁻² d⁻¹), CO₂ and CH₄ emissions (mg C m⁻² d⁻¹) in various type of CWs under different plant types; n.a - data not available

CW type	Wastewater type	Plant type	$N_2O (mg N m^{-2} d^{-1})$	$CH_4 (mg C m^{-2} d^{-1})$	$CO_2 (mg m^{-2} d^{-1})$	Reference
HSF	Secondary	No plant	3.79±2.64	163±209		Johansson et al.,
	treated municipal	Typha lotifolia	2.64 ± 4.09	109±185	n.a	2003; Johansson et
		Phalaris arundinacea	3.79±3.44	212±151	n.a	al., 2004
		Glyceria maxima	0.76 ± 1.01	112±178	n.a	
		Lemna minor	1.45 ± 1.18	450±182	n.a	
		Spirogyra sp.	0.98±1.25	107±135	n.a	
HSF	Sewage	No plant	-0.26 ± 2.53	-4.76 ± 61.8	4.32 ± 0.73	Ström et al., 2007
	Treatment water	Typha atifolia	4.94 ± 2.00	225±47.7	25.3±4.08	
		Phragmites australis	7.80±2.53	333±76.6	25.1±4.74	
		Juncus effusus	3.87±1.86	489±46.3	26.1±3.00	
HSSF	Domestic	No plant	0 04+0 02	87+6 3	80+6 3	Maltais-Landry et al
11001	Doniestie	Phragmites	0.06 ± 0.03	50 ± 7.5	200 ± 35	2009
		Tvnha	0.03 ± 0.01	28 ± 3.0	235 ± 32	,
		Phalaris	0.01±0.01	45±6.0	195±31	
VSSE	Municipal	Dhugomitos gustualis	15+2.0	110+25	<u>8400</u> ±2100	Sovila at al. 2006
VOOF	Mania in al	F nragmiles australis	13=3.9	110=33	0400±2100	Søvik et al., 2006
V 88F	Iviunicipal	Phragmites australis	204	384		Mander et al., 2005

SF- surface flow; HSSF - horizontal subsurface flow; VSSF- vertical subsurface flow

	C and N dynamics in CWs treating wastewater						
	,		、 、	/			
Design Parameters	l	C	and N Cycl	ing Proces	ses		Research Needed
			\	∠			
	N ₂ O	CH ₄	CO ₂	C-cycling	N-cycling	Fate of C&N	
CW type							V
SF	decreases	increases	decreases	?	?	?	Effects of combination of surface and subsurface flow CWs on C and N cycling processes
HSSF	decreases	increases	decreases	?	?	?	Measurement of C and N cycle processes and estimation of their individual rate kinetics in the combined CW system
VSSF	increases	decreases	increases	?	?	?	Effects of CW type and management on microbial community structure and functional gene abundance and diversity in CW soil and water
Vegetation Type							Effects of vegetation type on the fractionation of SOC (labile vs. stable), nutrient removal and GHG emissions
Shallow rooted	?	increases	?	?	?	?	Investigate in situ C, N transformations and GHG production and consumption in soil, subsoil and water column
Deep rooted	?	decreases	?	?	?	?	
							Comparative study of denitrification and DNRA in various C:N ratios in different CW types and management
Wastewater quality							Investigation of soil infiltration rates and their contribution to dissolved C, N and GHG losses to groundwater
C:N ratio	decreases	?	?	?	?	?	below Cws Impact of combined engineering of CW types on NH4+ oxidation. TN removal and N2O reduction to N2.
NH4+ pollution	decreases	?	?	?	?	?	Towards a complete C, N and GHG balance in Cws by integrating all possible pathways of C and N
NO3- pollution	increases	?	?	?	?	?	Link groundwater quality to CW hydrogeochemistry under various CW types and management regimes
TOC pollution	?	increases	increases	?	?	?	
Soil properties	?	?	?	?	?	?	
							Investigate the impact of various HLR and HRT on C and N transformation and GHG emissions
				-			Investigate the impact of hydrologic pulsing on C and N removal and GHG emissions across CW type and
HLR	increases	?	?	?	?	?	inanagement regime.
HRT	decreases	?	?	?	?	?	
Hydologic pulsing	increases	increase	?	?	?	?	

Figure 1 Conceptual model showing the current state of knowledge of C and N dynamics in constructed wetlands treating wastewater and the specific experimental work that needs to be undertaken in the future; SF- surface flow; HSSF – horizontal subsurface flow; VSSF- vertical subsurface flow; HLR – hydraulic loading rate; HTR – hydraulic retention time; ? - not known or very little known

Blackburn, T. H. (1979). "Methods for measuring rates of NH_4^+ turnover in anoxic marine sediments, using a ¹⁵N-NH₄⁺ dilution technique." <u>Applied and Environmental Microbiology</u> **37**(4): 760-765.

Huygens, D., M. Trimmer, et al. (2013). Biogeochemical N cycling in wetland ecosystems: ¹⁵N isotope techniques. <u>Methods in biogeochemistry</u> <u>of wetlands</u>. K. R. Reddy, J. P. Megonigal and R. D. Delaune, Soil Science Society of America. **30:** 553-591.

Müller, C., R. J. Laughlin, et al. (2014). A ¹⁵N tracing method to quantify N₂O pathways from terrestrial ecosystems. <u>European Geological Union</u> <u>General Assembly</u>. EGU. Vienna, European Geological Union: 1.

Rütting, T. and C. Müller (2008). "Process-specific analysis of nitrite dynamics in a permanent grassland soil by using a Monte Carlo sampling technique." <u>European Journal of Soil Science</u> **59**: 208-215.