

1 **Application of MODIS snow cover products: Wildfire**
2 **impacts on snow and melt in the Sierra Nevada**

3

4 **P. D. Micheletty¹, A. M. Kinoshita^{2,3} and T. S. Hogue^{1,2}**

5

6 ¹Hydrologic Sciences and Engineering

7 ²Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering

8 Colorado School of Mines

9 1500 Illinois Street

10 Golden, CO 80401

11 ³now at: Department of Civil, Construction, and Environmental Engineering, San Diego State
12 University, San Diego, CA., USA

13

1 **Abstract**

2 The current work evaluates the spatial and temporal variability in snow after a large forest fire
3 in northern California using Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) snow
4 covered area and grain size (MODSCAG). MODIS MOD10A1 fractional snow covered area
5 and MODSCAG fractional snow cover products are utilized to detect spatial and temporal
6 changes in snowpack after the 2007 Moonlight Fire and an unburned basin, Grizzly Ridge, for
7 water years (WY) 2002-2012. Estimates of canopy adjusted and non-adjusted MODSCAG
8 fractional snow covered area (fSCA) are smoothed and interpolated to provide a continuous
9 timeseries of daily basin average snow extent over the two basins. The removal of overstory
10 canopy by wildfire exposes more snow cover; however, elemental pixel comparisons and
11 statistical analysis show that the MOD10A1 product has a tendency to overestimate snow
12 coverage pre-fire, muting the observed effects of wildfire. The MODSCAG algorithm better
13 distinguishes sub-pixel snow coverage in forested areas and is highly correlated to soil burn
14 severity after the fire. Annual MODSCAG fSCA estimates show statistically significant
15 increased fSCA in the Moonlight Fire study area after the fire (WY 2008-2011; $P < 0.01$)
16 compared to pre-fire averages and the control basin. After the fire, the number of days
17 exceeding a pre-fire high snow cover threshold increased by 81%. Canopy reduction increases
18 exposed viewable snow area and the amount of solar radiation that reaches the snowpack
19 leading to earlier basin average melt-out dates compared to the nearby unburned basin. There
20 is also a significant increase in MODSCAG fSCA post-fire regardless of slope or burn
21 severity. Regional snow cover change has significant implications for both short and long-
22 term water supply for impacted ecosystems, downstream communities and resource
23 managers.

24

25 **Key words:** *Wildfire, MODSCAG, MODIS, snow cover, snowmelt, Sierra Nevada*

26

1 **1 Introduction**

2 The last several decades have been marked by distinct increases in large-wildfire frequency as
3 well as fire duration and season across the western U.S. (Westerling et al., 2006). Soil and
4 vegetation change after fire result in increased flooding, mass-wasting, increased runoff
5 intensities, long-term changes in energy and water budgets, and increased air pollutants
6 (Swanson, 1981; Kattelman et al., 1983; Stednick, 1996; Webb et al., 2012). Storm runoff
7 also liberates atmospherically deposited contaminants and mobilizes particulate-bound
8 constituents, degrading post-fire water quality (Stein et al., 2012; Burke et al., 2013).
9 Vegetation recovery significantly controls long-term hydrologic conditions and elevated
10 discharged has been observed for nearly ten years post-fire (Kinoshita and Hogue, 2011).
11 Similarly, forest canopy considerably influences snowpack properties and snowmelt response
12 (Faria et al., 2000). Given the dependency of the Western U.S. on snowpack and mountain
13 runoff for water supply (NRCS, 2012) and the assumption of stationarity, under which water
14 reservoir systems are designed and managed (Milly et al., 2008), minimal forest structure
15 alterations will have critical implications for regional and state water resources and
16 management.

17 Field-based studies have found that disturbance in forest structure considerably
18 impacts snow accumulation and melt properties, altering water yield from snow dominated
19 basins (Kattelman et al., 1983; Stednick, 1996; Faria et al., 2000; Stephens et al., 2012;
20 Webb et al., 2012). Post-fire changes in snowpack energy balance include increased e
21 exposure to radiation, decreased snow albedo due to surface alterations from charred soils,
22 dust, or vegetation, and changes in soil temperature (Painter et al., 2007; Burles and Boon,
23 2011; Ebel et al., 2012; Gleason et al., 2013; Harpold et al., 2013). The opposing effects of
24 increased snow accumulation but increased snow ablation have been documented at the plot
25 scale for the first year following a wildfire (Gleason et al., 2013; Harpold et al., 2013). Plot-
26 scale studies generally reported significant increases in snow accumulation in burned areas
27 compared to nearby control plots due to the lack of canopy interception (Burles and Boon,
28 2011; Harpold et al., 2013). Decreased canopy cover reduces snow interception, increases
29 solar radiation exposure, and alters sublimation of the exposed snowpack (Faria et al., 2000;
30 Varhola et al., 2010; Harpold et al., 2013). Harpold et al. (2013) showed winter season
31 ablation reduced snowpack depths by 50% prior to melt and a 10% reduction in snow water
32 equivalent in burned areas the first year after fire. Gleason et al., (2013) showed a 40%

1 decrease in snow albedo accompanied by a 200% increase in net shortwave radiation in
2 burned forest plots compared to unburned forests. However, effects are undocumented at the
3 watershed scale and there is a paucity of studies on snow accumulation and melt variability
4 from forest cover change (Varhola et al., 2010).

5 Remote sensing products, including NASA Moderate Resolution Imaging
6 Spectroradiometer (MODIS) MOD10A1 and MODIS Snow Covered Area (SCA) and Grain
7 size (MODSCAG), a spectral mixing product, provide the spatial and temporal resolution
8 necessary for monitoring large-scale wildfires that often impact inaccessible and ungaged
9 snow-dominated basins. To our knowledge, no study has investigated pre-fire and post-fire
10 snow cover change using satellite imagery. The current study facilitates identification of
11 remote sensing tools capable of detecting spatial and temporal changes in post fire snowpack
12 through application of MODIS MOD10A1 and MODSCAG fractional snow covered area
13 (fSCA) products to the 2007 Moonlight Fire in northern Sierra Nevada, California.
14 Specifically, the objectives of our work are to: 1) Understand spatial and temporal variability
15 of pre- and post-fire fSCA with MODIS (MOD10A1 and MODSCAG) products, 2) Compare
16 MOD10A1 and MODSCAG products in pre- and post-fire conditions to determine which
17 product is more suitable for identifying change in SCA after fire, 3) Investigate the influence
18 of aspect, burn severity, and general climate patterns on post-fire snow behavior (using fSCA
19 as a proxy), and 4) Evaluate post-fire recovery patterns in a snow-dominated basin over
20 several years.

21

22 **2 Study Areas**

23 **2.1 Moonlight Fire**

24 There is a statistically significant ($P < 0.05$) increase in total annual area burned in the Sierra
25 Nevada from the 1980s to the present. The 1980s decadal average of annual burned area
26 increased from 300 km² to 900 km² in the current decade (Wildland Fire Incidents, 2013).
27 The Moonlight Fire burned over 250 km² (27,370 ha) in the Plumas National Forest (about
28 190 km north of Sacramento) from September 3-15, 2007 on the eastern side of the northern
29 Sierra Nevada divide (Figure 1). Since the late 1800s, this was the first major wildfire
30 recorded in this area (California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection, 2012). Steep
31 terrain and high winds caused a mosaic of soil burn severities resulting in concentrated areas

1 of high surrounded by moderate to low/unburned areas (USDA Forest Service RSAC, 2007;
2 Figure 1). Pre-fire vegetation consisted of mostly evergreen forest (90%) with some riparian
3 and shrub/scrub areas (Fry et al., 2013; Table 1). The slope aspects within Moonlight Fire are
4 relatively evenly distributed (Table 1). The Moonlight Fire burn area has an elevation range
5 of 1090 – 2290 meters and receives on average 680 mm of precipitation a year, the majority
6 of which falls in the winter months as snow (Table 1).

7 **2.2 Grizzly Ridge**

8 To evaluate the fire signal relative to regional climate variability a complimentary regional
9 control basin, Grizzly Ridge, was chosen for comparison. The Grizzly Ridge area has not
10 burned within the last 100 years of record (California Department of Forestry and Fire
11 Protection, 2012). It is 150 km² (14,800 ha) approximately 24 km south of Moonlight Fire on
12 the same side of the divide in the Sierra Nevada (Figure 1). Vegetation within the Grizzly
13 Ridge area is comprised of mostly evergreen forest (80%) and shrub/scrub in the lower
14 elevations (Fry et al., 2013; Table 1). The slope aspects exhibits similar patterns as Moonlight
15 Fire, although Grizzly Ridge has roughly 10% more south facing slopes (Table 1). The
16 Grizzly Ridge area has an elevation range of 1300-2320 meters and receives an annual basin
17 average of 880 mm of precipitation.

18

19 **3 Methods**

20 MODIS MOD10A1 and MODSCAG products were gathered for both areas, Moonlight Fire
21 and Grizzly Ridge, from October 1, 2001 to September 30, 2012 (water year (WY) 2002 –
22 2012). Both products only identify areas covered by snow, not snowpack depth – a longer
23 snow season will distinguish more fSCA, but not depth changes or snow water equivalent.
24 Annual and monthly precipitation and maximum and minimum temperatures for Moonlight
25 Fire and Grizzly Ridge were estimated from the Parameter-elevation Regressions on
26 Independent Slopes Model (PRISM) climate data set (Daly, 1994; 1997; 2002). Conterminous
27 U.S. products are downloaded from the PRISM Climate Group
28 (<http://www.prism.oregonstate.edu/>) and the monthly 4 km pixels are extracted within
29 Moonlight Fire and Grizzly Ridge and averaged over both domains for WY 2002-2012.

1 3.1 Remote Sensing Products

2 3.1.1 MODIS MOD10A1

3 The Terra MODIS SCA product (MOD10A1) provides atmospherically corrected daily
4 fractional snow cover at 500 m spatial resolution based on the normalized difference snow
5 index (NDSI). The preprocessed MODIS product includes spectral thresholds that mask and
6 screen for clouds and low reflectance surfaces such as water (Salomonson and Appel, 2004).
7 To account for snow in densely vegetated areas Klein et al., 1998 developed a method that
8 uses a combined snow reflectance model and canopy reflectance model to map more snow in
9 forested areas using normalized NDSI and the normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI;
10 Klein et al., 1998). The NDVI normalizes reflectance in the near-infrared and visible (red)
11 wavelengths to differentiate vegetation where there is chlorophyll absorption of red light for
12 photosynthesis and reflection of near-infrared light (Tucker, 1979):

$$13 \quad NDVI = \frac{R_{NIR} - R_{VIS}}{R_{NIR} + R_{VIS}} \quad (1)$$

14 where R_{NIR} is near-infrared reflectance and R_{VIS} is red reflectance in the visible spectrum. The
15 NDSI is evaluated as (Dozier, 1989):

$$16 \quad NDSI = \frac{R_{VIS} - R_{SWIR}}{R_{VIS} + R_{SWIR}} \quad (2)$$

17 where, R represents spectral reflectances in the visible and shortwave infrared bands. The
18 vegetation correction is used to map snow when $NDSI < 0.4$ and $NDVI > 0.1$.

19 The newest publicly available version [005] of MODIS fractional snow covered area,
20 MOD10A1 is a daily, 500-m product, available from 2000 to the present (Hall et al., 2006).
21 MOD10A1 fSCA is based on an empirical snow mapping algorithm developed from a linear
22 regression between binary Landsat Thematic Mapper snow cover and MODIS NDSI
23 (Salomonson and Appel, 2004; Hall et al., 1995):

$$24 \quad fSCA = -0.01 + 1.45 NDSI \quad (3)$$

25 This algorithm is used to map fractional snow cover and performs relatively well in the winter
26 months in mountainous regions compared to other remote sensing products and ground-based
27 observations (Maurer et al., 2003; Pu et al., 2007).

1 3.1.2 MODSCAG

2 MODSCAG is derived from a physically-based algorithm which uses a multispectral mixing
3 analysis to identify sub-pixel snow covered area and grain size (Painter et al., 2009). The
4 MODSCAG model has been validated over the Sierra Nevada, Rocky Mountains, high plains
5 of Colorado, and Himalayas using Landsat fSCA, field data, and in situ albedo observations
6 (Painter et al., 2009). The MODSCAG algorithm solves a combination of linear equations to
7 identify the best mixture of endmember components that make up the surface reflectance of a
8 pixel from the MODIS atmospherically corrected surface spectral reflectance product,
9 MOD09GA (Painter et al., 2009):

$$10 \quad R_{S,\lambda} = \sum_k F_k R_{\lambda,k} + \varepsilon_\lambda \quad (4)$$

11 where $R_{S,\lambda}$ is the average surface reflectance from MODIS in wavelength λ , F_k is the fraction
12 of endmember k (i.e. snow, vegetation, soil, rock, etc.), $R_{\lambda,k}$ is the surface reflectance of
13 endmember k in wavelength band λ , and ε_λ is the residual error at λ for all endmembers. Non-
14 snow endmembers are gathered from a library of hyperspectral field and laboratory
15 observations. MODSCAG uses a library of spectral reflectances generated from the
16 hemispherical-directional reflectance factor with a discrete-ordinates radiative transfer model
17 to identify snow endmembers (Painter et al., 2009). This method utilizes the shape of the
18 snow's spectrum rather than absolute reflectance. A simultaneous solution of sub-pixel snow
19 surface grain size and fractional snow cover is necessary, assuming that spectral reflectance of
20 snow endmembers are sensitive to surface grain size.

21 MODSCAG analyzes the linear mixtures of endmember spectral libraries and selects the
22 optimal model with the smallest error relative to MOD09GA surface reflectance and the
23 fewest number of endmembers. If snow endmembers are identified, MODSCAG will attribute
24 a snow-covered area and grain size based on the fraction of the snow endmember in the pixel.
25 The MODSCAG snow mapping algorithm for fSCA results in an average root-mean-square
26 error (RMSE) of ~5% (Rittger et al., 2013). MODSCAG shows less sensitivity to regional
27 canopy cover and is noted to more accurately identify snow cover throughout the year
28 compared to MOD10A1 (Rittger et al., 2013). The current study incorporates MODSCAG to
29 evaluate pre- and post-fire snow covered area relative to the MOD10A1 product for
30 Moonlight Fire and Grizzly Ridge.

1 3.1.3 Canopy Adjustment

2 Forest canopy obstructs the view of the ground by MODIS, causing underestimates of snow
3 cover in dense forests (Raleigh et al., 2013). Hence, forest cover density data is used to
4 indicate snow cover masked by canopy and improve MODSCAG estimates of viewable snow
5 cover (Molotch and Margulis, 2008):

$$6 \quad fSCA_{Adj} = \frac{fSCA_{Ob}}{1 - fVeg} \quad (5)$$

7 where $fSCA_{ob}$ is the observed MODSCAG fSCA and $fVeg$ is the annual density of forest
8 cover or the fraction of vegetation. For 2000 to 2010, $fVeg$ is estimated from the MODIS
9 (MOD44B) percent tree cover product (DiMiceli et al., 2011). The percent tree cover product
10 from MOD44B is derived from annual composites of MODIS data using an automated
11 supervised regression tree algorithm and is available for years 2000-2010. The MOD44B
12 product is updated annually and has been used extensively to investigate landcover change
13 and forest disturbance (Hansen et al., 2003; Morton et al., 2005). For years 2011 and 2012,
14 the MODSCAG fraction of vegetation product is used to estimate $fVeg$. For consistency, 2011
15 and 2012 MODSCAG fraction of vegetation is adjusted based on a linear regression of annual
16 composites of MODSCAG fraction of vegetation and MOD44B percent tree cover. The
17 canopy adjusted fSCA (Equation 5) assumes that the distribution of snow under a canopy is
18 equivalent to viewable open areas between trees or in clearings. This assumption that spatial
19 distribution of snow in viewable gaps can be interpolated to nearby canopied forests is not as
20 reliable during the accumulation and melt periods (Raleigh et al., 2013). A rigorous correction
21 to improve estimations of snow under canopy using optical sensors remains an area of active
22 research for remote sensing in forested terrains, and is outside the scope of this study. In the
23 current study, MODSCAG fSCA is adjusted for canopy cover (Equation 5), whereas the
24 MOD10A1 SCA is distributed with vegetation corrected fSCA (Klein et al., 1998) and does
25 not require further modification.

26 3.2 Spatial and Temporal Analysis

27 3.2.1 Basin fSCA Interpolation

28 Temporal analysis for WY 2002-2012 uses daily basin averaged MODSCAG fSCA for both
29 Moonlight Fire and Grizzly Ridge. The daily data initially has gaps and errors from cloud

1 cover, sensor viewing geometry, or imperfections in the retrieval algorithm. A combination of
2 noise filtering, snow/cloud discrimination, and interpolation and smoothing improves the
3 MODSCAG daily snow cover timeseries (Dozier et al., 2008). Dozier et al. (2008) view the
4 snow data as a space-time cube, which can be filtered, smoothed, and interpolated. In the
5 current study, the space-time cube is filtered to remove cloudy or noisy values; the remaining
6 data is used to interpolate and smooth gaps within the cube.

7 Filtering consists of several steps: 1) a two-dimensional adaptive Wiener filter (Matlab
8 `wiener2` function) is used to identify noise and data dropouts in all seven land reflectance
9 bands, where the Boolean variable is set to 1 for raw fractional snow-covered area that is 0; 2)
10 quality flags from the MOD09 product are used to identify snow-covered pixels as cloudy.
11 False positives and false negatives are identified from MODSCAG snow cover (fSCA) and
12 grain size (r) processing. Then thresholds (false positives: $fSCA > 0.6 \wedge r \geq 100 \mu m$ and false
13 negatives: $fSCA > 0.6 \wedge r \leq 100 \mu m$) are used to reduce misidentification; 3) to correct for
14 values obscured by MODIS scan angles (the primary source of error), the time dimension of
15 the space-time cube is interpolated using a cubic smoothing spline (Matlab `csaps` function).
16 The current study uses 16 days (representing a MODIS viewing angle cycle) for the limits of
17 integration; the smoothing parameter is adaptive and varies spatially depending on the extent
18 of cloud cover or missing data. The weight varies from 0 to 1 and is based on the viewing
19 angle (determined from the corresponding MOD09GA) such that the near-nadir views have
20 the greatest weights. If the cubic smoothing spline yields unrealistic values from gaps in data,
21 the smoothed fSCA values are interpolated using a piecewise interpolant; and 4) after steps 1-
22 3, the whole cube is smoothed with a Gaussian filter, providing a continuous data stream of
23 snow covered area.

24 3.2.2 Elemental Pixel Comparison

25 Differencing maps for each gridded fSCA product, MOD10A1 and MODSCAG, are
26 developed by taking the difference between winter (January – March) pre-fire average fSCA
27 (WY 2002-2007) and post-fire average fSCA (WY 2008-2012); the domain includes 1099
28 pixels. The difference maps ($\Delta fSCA$) are used to detect spatial changes in viewable snow
29 cover after the fire. An elemental pixel comparison (EPC) between MODSCAG fSCA and
30 MOD10A1 fSCA is evaluated using a least-squares linear regression analysis of individual
31 pre- and post-fire winter pixels. EPC is also used to investigate temporal changes in snow
32 cover based on corresponding basin attributes including burn severity and slope aspect.

1 Gridded daily fSCA is disaggregated over each domain by slope aspects (north, south, east
2 and west) derived from a USGS National Elevation Dataset (NED) 30 meter Digital Elevation
3 Model (DEM). Daily basin average estimates are then produced for each slope aspect for WY
4 2002 to 2012 for Grizzly Ridge and Moonlight Fire. For Moonlight Fire, daily fSCA was also
5 disaggregated to match a 30 meter soil burn severity map (based on Landsat burned area
6 reflectance from the USDA Forest Service RSAC, 2007) for EPC. A time series of basin
7 averaged fSCA is made based on each burn severity (i.e. high, moderate, and low-unburned)
8 from WY 2002 to 2012 for statistical analyses.

9 **3.3 Statistical Analysis**

10 **3.3.1 MODSCAG Cumulative Distribution Function**

11 Annual cumulative distribution functions (CDFs) are developed using daily basin averaged
12 fSCA for both Moonlight Fire and Grizzly Ridge to investigate annual shifts in snow cover
13 after fire. Fractional SCA cumulative distribution functions are similar to flow duration
14 curves, which are used to investigate annual changes in flow regimes due to forest disturbance
15 (Lane et al., 2006; Brown et al., 2005). Fractional SCA CDFs are used to determine the
16 probability that a specific basin averaged fSCA will be equaled or exceeded during a given
17 time period. Exceedance probabilities are derived from the pre-fire MODSCAG fSCA CDF
18 curves and are used to establish high and low thresholds for analysis. High snow cover days
19 are defined based on the pre-fire long-term CDFs with an exceedance probability of 10% or
20 less.

21 During the beginning and end of the snow season, as MODSCAG and MOD10A1 pixels
22 approach an fSCA value of 15% (very low fractional snow covered area), there is increased
23 uncertainty and larger errors in positively identifying snow (Rittger et al., 2013). This study
24 uses an exceedance probability of 70% (representing 10% basin average snow cover) to
25 identify an unbiased low SCA melt-out threshold and reduce error from misidentification of
26 snow. This 70% exceedance probability threshold commonly represents lower quartiles in
27 CDFs and also corresponds to the most widely used definition of low flow as derived from
28 flow duration curves (70-99%; Smakhtin, 2001).

29 To quantify the change from pre-fire to post-fire, a two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S)
30 test is used to compare the distributions of pre- and post-fire fSCA CDFs. The K-S null
31 hypothesis is that the pre- and post-fire fSCA CDFs are from the same continuous distribution

1 at $\alpha=0.01$ (Massey, 1951), where the K-S test statistic is the maximum vertical distance
2 between the two curves being evaluated (Cowpertwait et al., 2013).

3 3.3.2 Analysis of Variance

4 An Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) is used to determine the statistical significance of
5 temporal changes in snow cover after fire. Daily basin averaged fSCA estimates are separated
6 annually based on the water year, excluding summer months (July to September), and by
7 basin attributes (burn severity and slope aspect). The fSCA is then evaluated for statistical
8 differences from the pre-fire period and compared to the control domain (Grizzly Ridge). The
9 null hypothesis that the mean of each post-fire annual fSCA (WY 2008-2012) is similar to the
10 pre-fire annual mean (WY 2002-2007) is tested at $\alpha=0.01$.

11

12 4 Results

13 4.1 MODSCAG and MOD10A1 Comparison

14 Non-canopy adjusted MODSCAG and MOD10A1 differencing maps for Moonlight Fire
15 show a distinct difference in fSCA after the fire (Figure 2). Generally, the spatial pattern of
16 the increased fSCA for both products follows the high soil burn severity in the Moonlight
17 Fire. Higher soil burn severity near the center of the domain results in reduced canopy cover
18 and more visible snow and snow covered area. An EPC and linear regression of Δ fSCA and
19 soil burn severity shows a stronger correlation of non-canopy adjusted MODSCAG Δ fSCA to
20 soil burn severity ($r=0.56$) than MOD10A1 Δ fSCA ($r=0.43$). Non-canopy adjusted
21 MODSCAG has a basin average increase in fSCA of 0.3 (Figure 2, right) after the fire
22 whereas MOD10A1 displays smaller differences throughout the burned domain and increases,
23 on average by 0.2 (Figure 2, left). For the MODSCAG product, 44% of the Moonlight Fire
24 domain exhibited Δ fSCA values of least 0.3, while MOD10A1 has 21% of the domain with
25 values of 0.3 or higher.

26 The least-squared linear regression analysis of MOD10A1 fSCA and MODSCAG fSCA
27 established from the EPC shows a distinct difference between pre- and post-fire correlation
28 (Figure 3). MOD10A1 tends to produce higher estimates of fSCA compared to MODSCAG
29 across the entire domain pre- and post-fire. MOD10A1 is biased high compared to
30 MODSCAG, but the pre-fire linear correlation between the two products is relatively high

1 ($r=0.85$). After the fire there is an increase in variability and the linear relationship between
2 MOD10A1 and MODSCAG decreases ($r=0.69$). The linear regression line is also higher post-
3 fire (Figure 3). The upward shift in the regression line in the MODSCAG direction is
4 consistent with the increase in visible fSCA (Figure 2). Decreases in the correlation
5 coefficient after the fire are most likely due to differences in the amount of increased fSCA
6 identified by each product.

7 Product assessment studies have shown that MOD10A1 fSCA overestimates snow cover in
8 densely vegetated areas (Rittger et al., 2013). These results are consistent with our linear
9 regression analysis. This can be attributed to the MOD10A1 snow-mapping algorithm and
10 NDVI threshold indices (Klein et al., 1998) that are used to identify snow in forested areas.
11 NDVI is a greenness index based on surface reflectances and does not differentiate vegetation
12 types. Therefore, the current NDVI threshold (> 0.1) increases mapped snow cover in areas
13 with shrubs and grasses the same as forested areas. Reduced canopy cover from wildfire
14 should lead to increased viewable snow cover from satellite observations. Due to
15 overestimates in SCA before the fire, this signal is muted in MOD10A1. The EPC results
16 prompted the utilization of MODSCAG fSCA for the remainder of the current study because
17 of the overestimation biases associated with the MOD10A1 fSCA product as well as its lower
18 spatial correlation to soil burn severity. The combination of these results and MODSCAG's
19 more rigorous snow-mapping algorithm, which also takes into account snow grain size,
20 provides us with higher confidence in pre- and post-fire fSCA estimates that will be used for
21 further analysis.

22 **4.2 MODSCAG Timeseries Analysis**

23 Daily basin averaged canopy adjusted and non-canopy adjusted MODSCAG fSCA, monthly
24 precipitation, and temperature (maximum and minimum) are plotted for the Moonlight Fire
25 and Grizzly Ridge for the entire study period (Figure 4). Pre-fire average annual precipitation
26 for Moonlight Fire is 730 mm and for Grizzly Ridge is 900 mm. Post-fire annual precipitation
27 totals are less for both Moonlight Fire and Grizzly Ridge (560mm and 800 mm respectively).
28 Temperature trends for each domain are very similar, with Moonlight Fire and Grizzly Ridge
29 averaging around 9°C before the fire and 8°C after. Over the ten year time series, the fSCA
30 ensembles are more sensitive to the duration of the winter precipitation season (season in
31 which precipitation occurred at temperatures below 0°C) than the total snowfall. The largest
32 fSCA year before the fire (WY 2005) was not from the period with the highest total winter

1 precipitation (710 and 990 mm for Moonlight and Grizzly, respectively) but rather, exhibited
2 the longest snow season (Figure 4; Table 2).

3 Daily averaged MODSCAG fSCA estimates are uniformly increased based on the annual
4 fraction of vegetation within the canopy adjustment algorithm (Equation 5; Figure 4). The
5 pre-fire average fSCA for Moonlight Fire and Grizzly Ridge is 0.13 and 0.15, respectively;
6 while the post-fire average fSCA is 0.23 for the Moonlight Fire and 0.18 for Grizzly Ridge.
7 Prior to the fire, both fSCA ensembles follow very similar trends ($r=0.96$). After the fire, the
8 non-adjusted fSCA values in Moonlight fire increase and approach the canopy adjusted fSCA
9 curve due to significant reductions in canopy cover. Pre-fire, the average difference in
10 canopy-adjusted and non-adjusted fSCA ensembles is approximately 0.30 for both Grizzly
11 Ridge and Moonlight, while after the fire the difference is decreased in the Moonlight Fire, on
12 average, to 0.18. The non-adjusted MODSCAG fSCA values show a significant increase in
13 basin averaged fSCA (or exposed snow cover) after the Moonlight Fire in 2007 ($P<0.01$) due
14 to the stand replacing fire (Figure 4). MODSCAG fSCA increased, but the canopy adjustment
15 has no statistically significant increase in annual fSCA. However, exposed areas with
16 increased viewable fSCA exhibit altered accumulation and melt behavior due to changes in
17 the snowpack energy budget and are further analyzed with both canopy adjusted and non-
18 adjusted fSCA.

19 **4.3 MODSCAG Cumulative Distribution Functions**

20 Annual CDFs of basin averaged non-canopy adjusted and canopy adjusted MODSCAG fSCA
21 for both Moonlight Fire and Grizzly Ridge highlight shifts in viewable snow cover after the
22 fire (Figure 5). The spread in the pre-fire (Figure 5; black) cumulative distribution functions
23 are attributed to snow season climate variability. For post-fire water years 2008-2011 the
24 annual cumulative distribution functions are statistically different from the pre-fire curve
25 ($P<0.01$), and the null hypothesis is rejected. However, WY 2012 falls within the pre-fire
26 distributions and is not statically different. The K-S statistic indicates post-fire non-adjusted
27 fSCA distributions are elevated, on average, by 40% compared to pre-fire non-adjusted
28 curves. The canopy adjusted fSCA curves are not as sensitive, but still increase by 14% after
29 the fire. The distribution of the post-fire curves in Moonlight is generally higher compared to
30 Grizzly Ridge and is especially apparent using the non-adjusted fSCA (Figure 5a). The shape
31 of the fSCA curves significantly change after the fire due to the upward shift in inflection

1 points. This shifting distribution indicates a higher post-fire probability that the basin will
2 have larger areas of exposed snow coverage.

3 Using the thresholds established from the cumulative distribution functions, the consecutive
4 number of high snow cover days with respect to the length of snow season are shown for
5 Moonlight Fire (Figure 6a and b) and Grizzly Ridge (Figure 6c and d). Post-fire, there are
6 more days with high snow cover in Moonlight Fire than pre-fire and compared to Grizzly
7 Ridge for both canopy adjusted (Figure 6c and d) and non-canopy adjusted fSCA values
8 (Figure 6a and b). On average, there were 13 days that exceeded the high snow cover
9 threshold in the Moonlight Fire before the fire, whereas after the fire there are on average 70
10 days classified as high snow cover. Temporal distributions highlight daily basin averaged
11 SCA patterns throughout each year for both canopy adjusted and non-adjusted (Figure 6,
12 right). Larger fSCA patterns are noticeable during winter months (December (12) through
13 April (5)) after the fire. The canopy adjusted fSCA plots (Figure 6b and d) have larger values
14 relative to the non-canopy adjusted due to the linear scaling based on the vegetation fraction
15 (Figure 6a and c); and is congruent with the annual cumulative distribution functions (Figure
16 5).

17 **4.4 ANOVA**

18 An ANOVA of non-adjusted MODSCAG fSCA shows that post-fire annual basin averaged
19 fSCA for WYs 2008-2011 are significantly higher than pre-fire averages in the Moonlight
20 basin at $\alpha=0.01$ ($P < 0.01$; Figure 7). For the pre-fire years (WY 2002-2007), both Moonlight
21 Fire and Grizzly Ridge follow similar annual basin averaged fSCA trends ($r=0.92$). Before the
22 fire the Moonlight Fire area had, on average, 17% less basin averaged fSCA than Grizzly
23 Ridge. After the fire, however, the Moonlight Fire area had an average of 26% more fSCA
24 than Grizzly Ridge. The Moonlight Fire and Grizzly Ridge domains are also sensitive to
25 winter precipitation, including amount of precipitation and duration of the snow season. Total
26 precipitation as well as the length of snow season in Moonlight Fire and Grizzly Ridge were
27 above average in WY 2005 (Table 2) and yielded more fSCA; while WY 2007 was dry and
28 resulted in less basin averaged fSCA (Figure 7). For the Moonlight Fire, WY 2012 lies within
29 the pre-fire interval and is similar to the pre-fire average, but may be climate induced. Annual
30 precipitation in WY 2012 is 380 mm (Moonlight Fire) and 520 mm (Grizzly Ridge), which
31 corresponds to the lower fSCA. Annual basin average fSCA estimates in Grizzly Ridge note
32 only one (WY 2011) statically significant increase in fSCA during the post-fire period of WY

1 2008-2012, which is attributed to the larger than average annual precipitation and length of
2 snow season (1200 mm).

3 After the fire, there are significantly higher annual basin averaged fSCA estimates based on
4 slope aspect and soil burn severity (bold values denote statistical significance; Table 3).
5 Regardless of slope aspect and burn severity, statistically significant increases in fSCA for
6 Moonlight Fire are observed from WY 2008 to 2011 ($P < 0.01$). WY 2012 in all aspects and
7 burn severity is not significantly different than pre-fire fSCA values, but is still relatively high
8 considering that it also received the lowest amount of total precipitation in the 11 year study
9 period. Generally, the high soil burn severity areas within the Moonlight Fire domain have
10 slightly larger annual average fSCA values than moderate and low-unburned (Table 3).

11 **4.5 Annual Melt-out Dates**

12 Annual melt-out dates are estimated for Grizzly Ridge and Moonlight Fire based on the 70%
13 exceedance (10% basin averaged fSCA) threshold established from the canopy adjusted
14 MODSCAG fSCA cumulative distribution functions. At 10% coverage, the domain will have
15 lost the vast majority of its snowpack due to melt. Annual melt-out dates for Grizzly Ridge
16 and Moonlight Fire are compared for pre-fire and post-fire years (Figure 8). Although the
17 melt-out dates are variable from year to year based on annual snow conditions, Grizzly Ridge
18 and Moonlight Fire melt-out dates are relatively similar pre-fire, where it is observed that
19 Moonlight typically melts out an average of 1.5 days after Grizzly Ridge and ranges from -0.5
20 to 7 days with a standard deviation of 3 days (Figure 8b).

21 The average long-term pre-fire difference in melt-out dates (1.5 days) between Moonlight
22 Fire and the control basin, Grizzly Ridge, are used to estimate the expected melt-out day for
23 WY 2008-2012 assuming no fire (Figure 8a; red solid diamonds). With the fire, the observed
24 annual difference in melt-out dates between Moonlight Fire and Grizzly Ridge show an
25 average decrease of 7.5 days and more variability post-fire, with a standard deviation of 11
26 days (Figure 8b). Thus relative to pre-fire averages, Moonlight melts out an average of 9 days
27 earlier. After the fire, Moonlight melts out 1-23 days before Grizzly Ridge each year except
28 for 2012 which has melt-out 5 days after Grizzly Ridge (Figure 8).

29

1 **5 Discussion**

2 Daily remote sensing products MODCSCAG and MOD10A1 were used to evaluate spatial
3 and temporal changes in snow cover extent over Moonlight Fire and Grizzly Ridge from WY
4 2002 to 2012. MOD10A1 generates higher fSCA estimates than MODSCAG, which concurs
5 with other studies that show the linear snow-mapping algorithm and the current NDVI
6 threshold (Klein et al., 1998) do not differentiate between vegetation types and results in
7 overestimates of fSCA (Rittger et al., 2013). Elevated pre-fire fSCA estimates dampen the fire
8 signal which should increase viewable snow cover seen from MODIS. The MODSCAG
9 product has a higher linear correlation to soil burn severity than MOD10A1 ($r=0.56$ and
10 $r=0.43$, respectively) and on average identifies larger increases in post-fire fSCA than
11 MOD10A1 due to its ability to un-mix a combination of spectral signals within each pixel. As
12 the primary goal of this study is to evaluate the effects of wildfire on the spatial and temporal
13 distribution of viewable snow cover, the results prompted the use of MODSCAG fSCA
14 estimates for the remaining analysis.

15 Long-term basin averaged MODSCAG fSCA estimates demonstrate statistically significant
16 increases fSCA in the Moonlight Fire domain after the fire (WY 2008-2011; $P < 0.01$)
17 compared to pre-fire averages. Based on observations, years with high pre-fire fSCA
18 estimates (i.e. WY 2005), are more representative of the snow season duration than the total
19 winter precipitation. However, non-canopy adjusted MODSCAG fSCA values in the
20 Moonlight Fire had an average of 43% more fSCA than pre-fire years due to the stand
21 replacing fire and the removal of forest canopy, despite a decrease in annual precipitation of
22 100 mm and average annual temperature of 1 °C from pre- to post-fire. Pre-fire, non-canopy
23 adjusted fSCA ensembles in both basins followed similar trends ($r=0.96$), but there is a
24 notable increase from non-canopy adjusted MODSCAG fSCA in Moonlight Fire as compared
25 to Grizzly Ridge of 26%, post-fire.

26 A decomposition of fSCA in the Moonlight Fire area based on slope aspect and soil burn
27 severity using the EPC is employed to investigate the influence of each attribute. Results
28 show statistically significant increases in fSCA from WY 2008 to 2011 regardless of slope
29 aspect and soil burn severity because of acute changes in vegetation structure and the
30 resulting exposure of more snow cover. Water year 2012 is the only year after the fire that
31 does not show statistically significant changes in fSCA compared to average pre-fire
32 conditions and are attributed to the lowest recorded precipitation in the 11 year study period.

1 Compared to the pre-fire low precipitation year (WY 2007), which received slightly more
2 precipitation than WY 2012, and WY 2012 in Grizzly Ridge, fSCA is still increased by nearly
3 20% in Moonlight Fire.

4 In this study, it was beneficial to investigate MODSCAG fSCA estimates adjusted for canopy
5 cover using equation 5 and non-adjusted estimates. Using the two estimates, there is a
6 recognizable change in fSCA due to the reduced vegetation fraction which is apparent as post-
7 fire fSCA ensembles increase and begin to approach the canopy adjusted values. This analysis
8 identifies the importance in incorporating dynamic vegetation fractions when using the
9 canopy adjustment. Static vegetation fractions are likely to result in large overestimates of
10 fSCA after fire, as a result of unnecessary linear scaling of fSCA.

11 Cumulative distribution functions of canopy and non-canopy adjusted basin averaged
12 MODSCAG fSCA are developed for Moonlight Fire and Grizzly Ridge to investigate post-
13 fire shifts in snow cover and establish high snow cover and melt out thresholds. Using the K-
14 S test, we note that annual post-fire fSCA distribution (WY 2008-2011) is elevated up to 40%
15 compared to the long-term pre-fire distribution, and are significantly different at $\alpha=0.01$. This
16 represents a higher probability of high fSCA values across the Moonlight Fire. Before the fire,
17 the 10% exceedance threshold (defined as high snow cover) corresponded to an average snow
18 coverage of 33% across the domain using non-canopy adjusted fSCA estimates, and 60%
19 coverage using the adjusted fSCA values. Using these values as thresholds, it was determined
20 that after the fire, there is an average 81% increase in the number of high snow coverage days
21 (i.e. days exhibiting higher than 33% snow coverage or higher than 60% snow coverage using
22 the non-canopy adjusted and canopy adjusted fSCA estimates, respectively) compared to pre-
23 fire conditions and the control basin. Significant changes in the number of days with high
24 snow coverage from elevated annual fSCA cumulative distribution functions compared to
25 both pre-fire conditions, and the control basin are a consequence of the fire and the removal
26 of forest vegetation. It is likely that the increase in fSCA is directly related to additional
27 exposure of the snow surface that was once hidden by forest canopy.

28 Significant changes in fSCA over the Moonlight Fire domain influence basin melt out dates.
29 Based on the 70% exceedance probability threshold established from the cumulative
30 distribution functions, the differences in melt out dates between Moonlight Fire and Grizzly
31 Ridge are similar before the fire, only differing on average by 1.5 days. After the fire, for WY
32 2008-2011, the entire Moonlight Fire domain melts out, on average, 9 days earlier compared

1 to pre-fire conditions with some years melting out up to 23 days early. The significant
2 increases in exposed snow area from reductions in forest canopy cover increase the amount of
3 solar radiation that reaches the snowpack. Early melt due to changes in the snowpack energy
4 balance is consistent with smaller scale field-based studies by Gleason et al. (2013) and
5 Harpold et al. (2013). Changes in melt-out dates can have significant implications for water
6 resource managers in the western US who rely on mountain snowpack for a majority of their
7 water supply (Bales et al., 2006). The shifts observed in this study have important
8 implications for reservoir operation, downstream water rights, and overall ecosystem health
9 and recovery. Changes in snowmelt timing can heavily influence the partitioning of snowmelt
10 water (Molotch et al., 2009), and ultimately, downstream water availability. Early snowmelt
11 may also result in summer soil moisture deficits (Westerling et al. 2006) further exacerbating
12 the effects of climate change. Snow is a natural storage reservoir for water and understanding
13 the timing of when that water is released into the system is critical for downstream resource
14 managers. Following a large disturbance such as wildfire, the altered system can no longer be
15 managed under typical assumptions (Milly et al., 2008). To further complicate post-fire snow
16 dynamics, snowpack melt out dates are also correlated to forest types and species present in
17 the Sierra Nevada (Barbour et al., 2002), and may influence plant phenology and vegetation
18 types during the recovery or regeneration period.

19 According to this study, there is little evidence of canopy recovery from WY 2008-2012 over
20 the Moonlight Fire area to pre-fire conditions as compared to the control basin, Grizzly Ridge.
21 Basin averaged fSCA and melt out dates for WY 2012 fall within pre-fire averages, but this
22 apparent return or recovery to pre-fire values is partly influenced by climate; as WY 2012 had
23 a low annual basin averaged fSCA because of lower than normal precipitation totals. The
24 sustained post-fire increase in remotely sensed fSCA in Moonlight Fire and earlier melt-out
25 dates is a function of canopy loss. Similar to previous post-fire ecosystem studies, recovery is
26 not expected until there is full canopy regeneration or until the system reaches a new
27 equilibrium (Meixner and Wohlgemuth, 2003, Kinoshita and Hogue, 2011).

28

29 **6 Conclusions**

30 Continuous mapping of mountainous snow at 500 meter resolution using remote sensing
31 techniques has been seldom applied to answer forest disturbance related hydrologic questions.
32 Long term analysis identified distinct differences in the pre- and post-fire snow cover and

1 total visible snow over the burned domain (Moonlight Fire) when compared to a control basin
2 (Grizzly Ridge). The changes in snow coverage and melt-out dates from WY 2002 to 2012 in
3 the Moonlight Fire are attributed to the removal of vegetation after fire and are driven by
4 corresponding changes in the snowpack energy balance. Specific key findings of this study
5 include

- 6 • MODSCAG's spectral mixing algorithm identifies snow cover in forested areas and is
7 better correlated to soil burn severity compared to MOD10A1. MODSCAG is suited
8 to identify changes in snow cover due to reductions in canopy cover after a wildfire.
- 9 • There is significantly more basin averaged fSCA ($P < 0.01$) after fire due to reduction
10 of canopy cover and therefore increased viewable snow area.
- 11 • There are significant increases in the total number of high snow cover days after fire,
12 based on pre- and post-fire cumulative distribution functions.
- 13 • Using the relative difference in melt-out dates between Moonlight Fire and Grizzly
14 Ridge, the Moonlight Fire domain melts out, on average, 9 days earlier after the fire.
- 15 • There is minimal spatial or temporal recovery of canopy and snow cover 5 years after
16 the fire.

17 Climate change and increasing wildfire frequency and size have the potential to highly
18 alter mountain snowpacks. The release of advanced snow mapping products provides a tool
19 for improved application of remote sensing data to better understand hazards such as fire and
20 offers a unique opportunity for future long-term monitoring and research. The successful
21 application of MODSCAG to the Moonlight Fire burn area provides the first watershed-scale
22 analyses of snow cover and snowmelt detection after a large forest fire.

23 The shifts in the spatial and temporal distribution of snow throughout the year have
24 significant implications for snow accumulation and melt patterns. This study advocates the
25 application of remote sensing products, such as MODSCAG, due to its rigorous spectral
26 mixing analysis, which can contribute additional insight of regional post-fire snowpack and
27 recovery studies. Remote sensing application improves our understanding and prediction of
28 snowmelt behavior and is crucial for water resources and management, especially in regions
29 that are highly dependent on snowpack and subject to frequent and acute forest disturbance.

30

1 **Acknowledgements**

2 Special thanks to Thomas Painter and his colleagues on the snow hydrology team at NASA
3 JPL for the management and distribution of the MODSCAG product. Support for this
4 research was provided by an NSF RAPID Grant (#EAR1361454) as well as an NSF
5 Hydrologic Sciences Program CAREER Grant (#EAR0846662).

6

7

8

9

1 **References**

- 2 Bales, R. C., Molotch, N. P., Painter, T. H., Dettinger, M. D., Rice, R. and Dozier, J.:
3 Mountain hydrology of the western United States, *Water Resour. Res.*, 42, W08432,
4 doi:10.1029/2005WR004387, 2006.
- 5 Barbour, M., Kelley, E., Maloney, P., Rizzo, D., Royce, E., and Fites-Kaufmann, J.: Present
6 and past old-growth forests of the Lake Tahoe Basin, Sierra Nevada, US, *J. Veg. Sci.*, 13,
7 461-472, doi:10.1111/j.1654-1103.2002.tb02073.x, 2002.
- 8 Brown, A. E., Zhang, L., McMahon, T. A., Western, A. W., and Vertessy, R. A.: A review of
9 paired catchment studies for determining changes in water yield resulting from alterations in
10 vegetation, *J. Hydrol.*, 310, 28-61, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2004.12.010, 2005.
- 11 Burke, M. P., Hogue, T. S., Ferreira, M., Mendez, C. B., Navarro, B., Lopez, S., and Jay, J.
12 A.: The Effect of Wildfire on Soil Mercury Concentrations in Southern California
13 Watersheds, *Water Air Soil Poll.*, 212, 369-385, doi:10.1007/s11270-010-0351-y, 2010a.
- 14 Burke, M. P., Hogue, T. S., Ferreira, M., Mendez, C. B., Navarro, B., Lopez, S., and Jay, J.
15 A.: The Effect of Wildfire on Soil Mercury Concentrations in Southern California
16 Watersheds, *Water Air Soil Poll.*, 212, 369-385, doi:10.1007/s11270-010-0351-y, 2010b.
- 17 Burke, M. P., Hogue, T. S., Kinoshita, A. M., Barco, J., Wessel, C., and Stein, E. D.: Pre- and
18 post-fire pollutant loads in an urban fringe watershed in Southern California, *Environ. Monit.*
19 *Assess.*, 185, 10131-10145, doi:10.1007/s10661-013-3318-9, 2013.
- 20 Burles, K., and Boon, S.: Snowmelt energy balance in a burned forest plot, Crowsnest Pass,
21 Alberta, Canada, *Hydrol. Process.*, 25, 3012-3029, doi:10.1002/hyp.8067, 2011.
- 22 Cowpertwait, P., Ocio, D., Collazos, G., de Cos, O., and Stocker, C.: Regionalised
23 spatiotemporal rainfall and temperature models for flood studies in the Basque Country,
24 Spain, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sc.*, 17, 479-494, doi:10.5194/hess-17-479-2013, 2013.
- 25 Daly, C., Neilson, R. P., and Phillips, D. L.: A statistical topographic model for mapping
26 climatological precipitation over mountainous terrain, *J. Appl. Meteorol.*, 33, 140-158,
27 doi:10.1175/1520-0450(1994)033<0140:astmfm>2.0.co;2, 1994.
- 28 Daly, C., Taylor, G., Gibson, W., and Ams: The PRISM approach to mapping precipitation
29 and temperature, 10th Conference on Applied Climatology, 10-12, 1997.

1 Daly, C., Gibson, W. P., Taylor, G. H., Johnson, G. L., and Pasteris, P.: A knowledge-based
2 approach to the statistical mapping of climate, *Clim. Res.*, 22, 99-113, doi:10.3354/cr022099,
3 2002.

4 DiMiceli, C. M., M. L. Carroll, R. A. Sohlberg, C. Huang, M. C. Hansen, and J. R. G.
5 Townshend.: Annual Global Automated MODIS Vegetation Continuous Fields (MOD44B) at
6 250 m Spatial Resolution for Data Years Beginning Day 65, 2000–2010, Collection 5 Percent
7 Tree Cover. University of Maryland, College Park, 2011.

8 Dozier, J.: Spectral signature of alpine snow cover from the Landsat Thematic Mapper,
9 *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 28, 9-18, doi:10.1016/0034-4257(89)90101-6, 1989.

10 Dozier, J., Painter, T. H., Rittger, K., and Frew, J. E.: Time-space continuity of daily maps of
11 fractional snow cover and albedo from MODIS, *Adv. Water Resour.*, 31, 1515-1526,
12 doi:10.1016/j.advwatres.2008.08.011, 2008.

13 Ebel, B. A., Hinckley, E. S., and Martin, D. A.: Soil-water dynamics and unsaturated storage
14 during snowmelt following wildfire, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sc.*, 16, 1401-1417,
15 doi:10.5194/hess-16-1401-2012, 2012.

16 Essery, R., Pomeroy, J., Parviainen, J., and Storck, P.: Sublimation of snow from coniferous
17 forests in a climate model, *J. Climate*, 16, 1855-1864, doi:10.1175/1520-
18 0442(2003)016<1855:sosfcf>2.0.co;2, 2003.

19 Faria, D. A., Pomeroy, J. W., and Essery, R. L. H.: Effect of covariance between ablation and
20 snow water equivalent on depletion of snow-covered area in a forest, *Hydrol. Process.*, 14,
21 2683-2695, doi:10.1002/1099-1085(20001030)14:15<2683::aid-hyp86>3.0.co;2-n, 2000.

22 Gleason, K. E., Nolin, A. W., and Roth, T. R.: Charred forests increase snowmelt: Effects of
23 burned woody debris and incoming solar radiation on snow ablation, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 40,
24 4654-4661, doi:10.1002/grl.50896, 2013.

25 Hall, D. K., Riggs, G. A., and Salomonson, V. V.: Development of methods for mapping
26 global snow cover using Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer data, *Remote Sens.*
27 *Environ.*, 54, 127-140, doi:10.1016/0034-4257(95)00137-p, 1995.

28 Hansen, M. C., DeFries, R. S., Townshend, J. R. G., Carroll, M., Dimiceli, C., and Sohlberg,
29 R. A.: Global Percent Tree Cover at a Spatial Resolution of 500 Meters: First Results of the
30 MODIS Vegetation Continuous Fields Algorithm. *Earth Interact.*, 7, 1–15.

- 1 doi: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1087-3562\(2003\)007<0001:GPTCAA>2.0.CO;2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1087-3562(2003)007<0001:GPTCAA>2.0.CO;2), 2003.
- 2 Harpold, A.A., Biederman, J.A., Condon, K., Merino, M., Korgaonkar, Y., Nan, T., Sloat,
3 L.L., Ross, M., and Brooks, P.D.: Changes in snow accumulation and ablation following the
4 Las Conchas Forest Fire, New Mexico, USA. *Ecohydrology*, 7, 440–452, doi:
5 10.1002/eco.1363, 2013.
- 6 Kattelmann, R. C., Berg, N. H., and Rector, J.: The potential for increasing streamflow from
7 Sierra-Nevada watersheds, *Water Resour. Bull.*, 19, 395-402, 1983.
- 8 Kinoshita, A. M., and Hogue, T. S.: Spatial and temporal controls on post-fire hydrologic
9 recovery in Southern California watersheds, *Catena*, 87, 240-252,
10 doi:10.1016/j.catena.2011.06.005, 2011.
- 11 Klein, A. G., Hall, D. K., and Riggs, G. A.: Improving snow cover mapping in forests through
12 the use of a canopy reflectance model, *Hydrol. Process.*, 12, 1723-1744,
13 doi:10.1002/(sici)1099-1085(199808/09)12:10/11<1723::aid-hyp691>3.0.co;2-2, 1998.
- 14 Lane, P. N. J., Sheridan, G. J., and Noske, P. J.: Changes in sediment loads and discharge
15 from small mountain-catchments following wild-fire in south eastern Australia, *J. Hydrol.*,
16 331, 495-510, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2006.05.035, 2006.
- 17 Massey Jr, F. J.: The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for goodness of fit, *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.*,
18 46(253), 68-78, 1951.
- 19 Maurer, E. P., Rhoads, J. D., Dubayah, R. O., and Lettenmaier, D. P.: Evaluation of the snow-
20 covered area data product from MODIS, *Hydrol. Process.*, 17, 59-71, doi:10.1002/hyp.1193,
21 2003.
- 22 Meixner, T., and Wohlgemuth, P. M.: Climate variability, fire, vegetation recovery, and
23 watershed hydrology. In *Proceedings of the First Interagency Conference on Research in the*
24 *Watersheds*, Benson, Arizona, October 2003, 651-656, 2003.
- 25 Milly, P. C. D., Betancourt, J., Falkenmark, M., Hirsch, R. M., Kundzewicz, Z. W.,
26 Lettenmaier, D. P., and Stouffer, R. J.: Climate change - Stationarity is dead: Whither water
27 management?, *Science*, 319, 573-574, doi:10.1126/science.1151915, 2008.

1 Molotch, N. P., Brooks, P. D., Burns, S. P., Litvak, M., Monson, R. K., McConnell, J. R., and
2 Musselman, K.: Ecohydrological controls on snowmelt partitioning in mixed-conifer
3 sub-alpine forests. *Ecohydrology*, 2(2), 129-142, doi:10.1002/eco.48, 2009.

4 Molotch, N. P., and Margulis, S. A.: Estimating the distribution of snow water equivalent
5 using remotely sensed snow cover data and a spatially distributed snowmelt model: A multi-
6 resolution, multi-sensor comparison, *Adv. Water Resour.*, 31, 1503-1514,
7 doi:10.1016/j.advwatres.2008.07.017, 2008.

8 Morton, D. C., DeFries, R. S., Shimabukuro, Y. E., Anderson, L. O., Espírito-Santo, F. D. B.,
9 Hansen, M., and Carroll, M.: Rapid Assessment of Annual Deforestation in the Brazilian
10 Amazon Using MODIS Data. *Earth Interact.*, 9, 1–22.
11 doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/EI139.1>, 2005.

12 Painter, T. H., Barrett, A.P., Landry, C. C., Neff, J. C., Cassidy, M. P., Lawrence, C. R.,
13 McBride, K. E., and Farmer, G. L.: Impact of disturbed desert soils on duration of mountain
14 snow cover, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 34, L12502, doi:10.1029/2007GL030284, 2007.

15 Painter, T. H., Rittger, K., McKenzie, C., Slaughter, P., Davis, R. E., and Dozier, J.: Retrieval
16 of subpixel snow covered area, grain size, and albedo from MODIS, *Remote Sens. Environ.*,
17 113, 868-879, doi:10.1016/j.rse.2009.01.001, 2009.

18 Pu, Z., Xu, L., and Salomonson, V. V.: MODIS/Terra observed seasonal variations of snow
19 cover over the Tibetan Plateau, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 34, doi:10.1029/2006gl029262, 2007a.

20 Pu, Z., Xu, L., and Salomonson, V. V.: MODIS/Terra observed seasonal variations of snow
21 cover over the Tibetan Plateau, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 34, doi:10.1029/2006gl029262, 2007b.

22 Raleigh, M. S., Rittger, K., Moore, C. E., Henn, B., Lutz, J. A., and Lundquist, J. D.: Ground-
23 based testing of MODIS fractional snow cover in subalpine meadows and forests of the Sierra
24 Nevada, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 128, 44-57, doi:10.1016/j.rse.2012.09.016, 2013.

25 Rittger, K., Painter, T. H., and Dozier, J.: Assessment of methods for mapping snow cover
26 from MODIS, *Adv. Water Resour.*, 51, 367-380, doi:10.1016/j.advwatres.2012.03.002, 2013.

27 Salomonson, V. V., and Appel, I.: Estimating fractional snow cover from MODIS using the
28 normalized difference snow index, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 89, 351-360,
29 doi:10.1016/j.rse.2003.10.016, 2004.

1 Smakhtin, V. U.: Low flow hydrology: a review, *J. Hydrol.*, 240, 147-186,
2 doi:10.1016/s0022-1694(00)00340-1, 2001.

3 Stednick, J. D.: Monitoring the effects of timber harvest on annual water yield, *J. Hydrol.*,
4 176, 79-95, doi:10.1016/0022-1694(95)02780-7, 1996.

5 Stephens, S. L., Collins, B. M., and Roller, G.: Fuel treatment longevity in a Sierra Nevada
6 mixed conifer forest, *Forest Ecol. and Manag.*, 285, 204-212,
7 doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2012.08.030, 2012.

8 Swanson, F.J.: Fire and Geomorphic Processes. In: Proceedings, Fire regimes and ecosystems
9 conference, Honolulu, HI, 11-15 December 1979, Gen. Tech. Rep., WO-23, USDA,
10 Washington, DC, 401-420, 1981.

11 Tucker, C.J.: Red and photographic infrared linear combinations for monitoring vegetation.
12 *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 8, 127-150, 1979.

13 USDA Forest Service Remote Sensing Applications Center (RSAC): Moonlight Fire occurring
14 on the Plumas National Forest – 2007. U.S. Geol. Surv., Sioux Falls, South Dakota USA.
15 <http://edc.usgs.gov>, 2007.


16 Varhola, A., Coops, N. C., Weiler, M., and Moore, R. D.: Forest canopy effects on snow
17 accumulation and ablation: An integrative review of empirical results, *J. Hydrol.*, 392, 219-
18 233, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2010.08.009, 2010.

19 Webb, A. A., Kathuria, A., and Turner, L.: Longer-term changes in streamflow following
20 logging and mixed species eucalypt forest regeneration: The Karuah experiment, *J. Hydrol.*,
21 464, 412-422, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.07.034, 2012.

22 Westerling, A. L., Hidalgo, H. G., Cayan, D. R., and Swetnam, T. W.: Warming and earlier
23 spring increase western US forest wildfire activity. *Science*, 313(5789), 940-943. doi:
24 10.1126/science.1128834., 2006.

25 Wildland Fire Incidents: US Historic Fire Perimeters. Geospatial Data Presentation Form:
26 vector digital data: www.geomac.gov., 2013.

27



1

2 Figure 1. Map of Moonlight Fire with soil burn severity and control basin, Grizzly Ridge

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24


25

26

1 Table 1. Domain attributes for Moonlight Fire and Grizzly Ridge


Domain Attributes	<i>2007 Moonlight Fire</i>	<i>Grizzly Ridge</i>
Area [ha]	27370	14800
Elevation Range [m]	1090 – 2290	1300 – 2320
Average Annual Precipitation [mm]	680	880
NLCD Land Cover		
Evergreen Forest	89%	78%
Shrub/Scrub	9%	21%
*Misc.	2%	1%
Soil Burn Severity		
High	37%	N/A
Moderate	18%	N/A
Low – Unburned	45%	N/A
Slope Aspect		
North	21%	17%
South	33%	42%
East	20%	16%
West	26%	25%

2




1
 2 Figure 2. Pre- and post-fire MOD10A1 fSCA (left) and non-canopy adjusted MODSCAG
 3 fSCA (right) difference maps for winter (January – March) over the Moonlight Fire. Each
 4 image contains 1099 pixels.

5



6
 7 Figure 3. Least-squared linear regression analysis of MOD10A1 and non-adjusted
 8 MODSCAG over the Moonlight Fire pre- (black circles) and post-fire (red diamonds).



1

2 Figure 4. Timeseries of PRISM monthly precipitation totals, minimum and maximum
 3 temperatures and daily basin averaged MODSCAG fSCA for Moonlight Fire (a) and Grizzly
 4 Ridge (b) for WY 2002 to 2012.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11


12

13

- 1 Table 2. Length of snow season compared to total winter precipitation for Moonlight Fire and
- 2 Grizzly Ridge. Post-fire years are shaded in grey.


<u>Moonlight Fire</u>	Length of Snow Season [Days]	Total Winter Precipitation [mm]
WY 2002	100	610
WY 2003	120	890
WY 2004	90	760
WY 2005	160	710
WY 2006	140	1000
WY 2007	60	410
WY 2008	120	450
WY 2009	130	560
WY 2010	140	590
WY 2011	170	820
WY 2012	100	380
<u>Grizzly Ridge</u>	Length of Snow Season [Days]	Total Winter Precipitation [mm]
WY 2002	90	780
WY 2003	120	970
WY 2004	110	800
WY 2005	150	990
WY 2006	130	1300
WY 2007	60	560
WY 2008	140	610
WY 2009	140	790
WY 2010	160	870
WY 2011	180	1200
WY 2012	60	520

3




1
 2 Figure 5. Annual cumulative frequency curves of daily basin averaged non-canopy adjusted
 3 MODSCAG fSCA for Moonlight Fire (a) and Grizzly Ridge (c) and canopy adjusted
 4 MODSCAG fSCA for Moonlight Fire (b) and Grizzly Ridge (d). Black lines with black
 5 circles represent extreme pre-fire fSCA years (highest and lowest annual curves) and red
 6 circles represent post-fire annual curves.

7
 8
 9
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16



1
 2 Figure 6. Temporal trends in snow cover of the consecutive number of high snow cover days
 3 (pre-fire exceedance probability $\leq 10\%$; [black and red lines]) with respect to the length of
 4 snow season (exceedance probability $\geq 70\%$; [black and red crosses]) for Grizzly Ridge (c
 5 and d) and the Moonlight Fire (a and b). Color maps show annual daily basin averaged fSCA
 6 patterns. Figures b and d are canopy adjusted MODSCAG fSCA.

7
 8
 9
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17




1
 2 Figure 7. Basin averaged ANOVA results for Moonlight Fire (left) and Grizzly Ridge (right)
 3 (99% confidence interval). The post-fire years are shaded for Moonlight Fire.

4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18

1 Table 3. ANOVA results based on basin attributes for Moonlight Fire. Bold font denotes
 2 statistical significance ($P < 0.01$), post-fire years are shaded in grey.

<u>Slope Aspect</u>	South [fSCA]	North [fSCA]	West [fSCA]	East [fSCA]
WY 2002	0.13	0.12	0.12	0.13
WY 2003	0.12	0.10	0.11	0.13
WY 2004	0.11	0.10	0.10	0.11
WY 2005	0.18	0.17	0.17	0.19
WY 2006	0.16	0.15	0.15	0.17
WY 2007	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.09
<i>Pre-Fire Average</i>	0.13	0.12	0.12	0.14
WY 2008	0.22	0.22	0.21	0.23
WY 2009	0.23	0.22	0.21	0.23
WY 2010	0.29	0.28	0.27	0.29
WY 2011	0.29	0.29	0.27	0.32
WY 2012	0.15	0.16	0.14	0.16
<u>Soil Burn Severity</u>	High [fSCA]	Moderate [fSCA]	Low-Unburned [fSCA]	
WY 2002	0.11	0.13	0.15	
WY 2003	0.09	0.12	0.14	
WY 2004	0.09	0.11	0.12	
WY 2005	0.16	0.18	0.20	
WY 2006	0.14	0.16	0.18	
WY 2007	0.07	0.08	0.10	
<i>Pre-Fire Average</i>	0.11	0.13	0.15	
WY 2008	0.23	0.22	0.21	
WY 2009	0.24	0.22	0.21	
WY 2010	0.30	0.28	0.27	
WY 2011	0.30	0.29	0.27	
WY 2012	0.15	0.15	0.15	



1

2 Figure 8. Basin averaged snow cover melt-out dates for Moonlight Fire and Grizzly Ridge (a).
 3 Relative difference in melt-out dates (Moonlight Fire – Grizzly Ridge) from the Moonlight
 4 Fire and Grizzly Ridge (b).