Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 11, 7229–7253, 2014 www.hydrol-earth-syst-sci-discuss.net/11/7229/2014/ doi:10.5194/hessd-11-7229-2014 © Author(s) 2014. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Hydrology and Earth System Sciences (HESS). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in HESS if available.

Hydrological dynamics of water sources in a Mediterranean lagoon

C. Stumpp¹, A. Ekdal², I. E. Gönenc³, and P. Maloszewski¹

 ¹Helmholtz Zentrum München, German Research Center for Environmental Health, Institute of Groundwater Ecology, Neuherberg, Germany
 ²Istanbul Technical University, Environmental Engineering Department, Maslak, Istanbul, Turkey
 ³IGEM Research & Consulting Co., Istanbul, Turkey

Received: 31 May 2014 - Accepted: 14 June 2014 - Published: 1 July 2014

Correspondence to: C. Stumpp (christine.stumpp@helmholtz-muenchen.de)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.





Abstract

Lagoons are important ecosystems occupying large coastal areas worldwide. Lagoons contain various mixtures of marine and freshwater sources which are highly dynamic in time. However, it often remains a challenge to identify and quantify dynamic changes of water sources, particularly in heterogeneous lagoon systems like the Köycegiz-Dalyan Lagoon (KDL), which is located at the southwest of Turkey on the Mediterranean Sea coast. The objective of this study was to quantify different contributions of potential water sources i.e. surface water, groundwater and seawater in the lagoon and how these water sources changed over time and space. In the wet and dry season stable isotopes of water, chloride concentration (CI⁻) and salinity were measured in two depths in the lagoon and surrounding water bodies (sea, lake, groundwater). Different components of water sources were quantified with a three component endmember mixing analysis. Differences in CI⁻ and stable isotopes over time indicated the dynamic behaviour of the system. Generally, none of the groundwater samples was impacted

- ¹⁵ by water of the Mediterranean Sea. During the wet season, most of the lagoon water (> 95 %) was influenced by freshwater and vertically well mixed. During the dry season, high Cl⁻ in the deeper sampling locations indicated a high contribution of marine water throughout the entire lagoon system due to salt water intrusion. However, a distinct layering in the lagoon was obvious from low Cl⁻ and depleted isotope contents close
- to the surface supporting freshwater inflow into the system even during the dry season. Besides temporal dynamics also spatial heterogeneities were identified. Changes in water sources were most evident in the main lagoon channel compared to more isolate lagoon lakes, which were influenced by marine water even in the wet season, and compared to side branches indicating slower turnover times. We found that en-
- vironmental tracers helped to quantify contributions of different water sources in the Köycegiz-Dalyan Lagoon which is a highly dynamic and heterogeneous groundwater dependent ecosystem.





1 Introduction

Lagoons are important ecosystems occupying 13% of the coastal areas worldwide (Barnes, 1980). Along the Mediterranean coastline, more than 100 lagoons are found but only little hydrological and biological data of most of these ecosystems are avail⁵ able (Perez-Ruzafa et al., 2011a). Generally, lagoons are shallow, coastal water bodies with marine water influence. Mostly they have limited connectivity to the open sea through coastal barriers or connecting inlets. Further freshwater input can come from upstream rivers or groundwater. Lagoons are important ecosystems being a habitat for rare species like seagrass, fishes and turtles and with a high productivity and diversity (Alongi, 1998; Pérez-Ruzafa et al., 2011b; Remane and Schlieper, 1971). Lagoons not only are valued for fauna and flora, but also due to recreational and industrial purposes by society. These societal values are, however, difficult to quantify (Anthony et al., 2009) also due to conflicts of interest in lagoon ecosystems (fishery, aquaculture, tourism) (Perez-Ruzafa et al., 2011a). Particularly in the context of global change

- ¹⁵ lagoon ecosystems require a proper management for a sustainable use and to protect the ecosystem (Kløve et al., 2014; Anthony et al., 2009). Here, management must not impact the quality and quantity of the lagoon water in terms of chemical and ecological status on the one hand. On the other hand, also groundwater management (drinking water/irrigation) must not impact lagoons depending on groundwater and vice versa.
- For example, pumping of groundwater can influence the quality of the withdrawn drinking/irrigation water due to increased marine water influence. In addition, groundwater withdrawal can change the fraction of freshwater source in the lagoon water body which strongly would influence its functions as a habitat for species specifically adapted to the environment. This example highlights the vulnerability of lagoon systems. It shows the
- ²⁵ strong need to protect and manage these ecosystems and to identify seawater intrusions and groundwater dependencies in the lagoon catchment area.

Here, a detailed knowledge about the water sources and water dynamics in lagoon ecosystems is fundamental before studying further ecological and chemical processes.





It has been shown that lagoon systems are heterogeneous and dynamic systems. The quality of the water and subsequent seawater quality or adjacent groundwater quality strongly depends on the water flow and origin of water and nutrients (Gattacceca et al., 2009; Niencheski et al., 2007; Santos et al., 2008a, b). Land use can impact the interaction of lagoon with surrounding groundwater resulting in lagoon infiltration processes 5 under pine tree plantations compared to negligible interactions under natural dune vegetation (Schmidt et al., 2011). Such spatial impacts can be identified using environment tracer methods. Further, they can also be used to study temporal dynamics of water sources and hydrological processes like seasonal changes in evaporation and seawater contribution (Lecuyer et al., 2012; Schmidt et al., 2011). Salinity and stable isotopes of water were used to identify spatiotemporal changes of water in the Akayatan lagoon, Turkey (Lecuyer et al., 2012). Assuming two different end members, river and seawater, it was found that in the wet season the contribution of freshwater and seawater was 62 and 38 % on average. Throughout spring to autumn, progressively evaporation of la-

- goon water results in hypersaline conditions with strongly enriched isotope values sug-15 gesting limited input of freshwater in the system (Lecuyer et al., 2012). Still, it remains unknown in many lagoon systems what the contribution of different water sources is and how they change not only over time i.e. wet and dry seasons but also over space i.e. both horizontal, spatial locations in the lagoon and vertical, depth locations in the
- lagoon; the latter is of particular interest in wetland type lagoon systems or lagoons with 20 stratification expecting a not well mixed hydrological systems. Therefore, the objective of the current study was (i) to identify and quantify different water sources in a lagoon, (ii) how they change over time and space, and (iii) thus how heterogeneous and dynamic the hydrology of the lagoon and adjacent groundwater was. We achieved these
- objectives by applying environmental tracer methods and developing a three compo-25 nent endmember mixing approach. Different sources of water (seawater, groundwater, lake water) were identified at different locations in the lagoon, including top and bottom water column depths, for wet and dry season. With improved, detailed understanding of

| Discussion Pa | HESSD 11, 7229–7253, 2014 |
|----------------------|--|
| per Dis | Hydrological dynamics of water sources in a Mediterranean |
| cussion Paper | lagoon C. Stumpp et al. |
| | Title Page |
| Discussion Pap | AbstractIntroductionConclusionsReferencesTablesFigures |
|)er | 14 11 |
| — | • • |
| Discussion Paper | BackCloseFull Screen / EscPrinter-friendly VersionInteractive Discussion |



heterogeneous and dynamic hydrological processes in groundwater dependent lagoon ecosystems, targeted strategies to better manage may be developed.

2 Material and methods

2.1 Study area

- Köycegiz-Dalyan Coastal Lagoon is located at the southwest of Turkey on the Mediter-5 ranean Sea coast within the province of Mugla (Fig. 1a). The total area of the watershed is approximately 960 km² and of the lagoon is 130 km². The upstream located Köycegiz Lake is directly connected through surface water with the lagoon and further to the Mediterranean Sea by the lagoon and its various branches (Fig. 1b). During winter, most of the branches in the wetland areas in the lagoon are connected. In 10 summer, Köycegiz Lake water level decreases reducing the hydraulic gradient to the Mediterranean Sea considerably. The depth of the main Dalyan channel decreases from 5 m upstream near the lake to about 1 m downstream near the Sea. In addition to the Dalyan Channel and its branches, the lagoon also includes the lakes Alagöl and Sülüngür. Maximum depths of these lakes are 4 and 13 m, respectively. Aquaculture ac-15 tivities are conducted in Sülüngür Lake. Both, Köycegiz Lake and the Köycegiz-Dalyan Coastal Lagoon are part of the area declared as a Special Protection Area in 1988,
- as it is a unique and important ecosystem with a high diversity of species. It hosts one of the rare breeding and nesting sites for endangered sea turtles, *caretta caretta*, and possesses the ruins of Ancient City of Caunos and 4th century BC Lycian rock tombs
- that are found near the seaside by the river (Gurel et al., 2005). Groundwater is used as irrigation and drinking water in the area. The main sectors driving the economy in the watershed are agriculture, tourism and forestry. Aquaculture and capture fishing are among the important beneficial uses of the lagoon together with recreational activities.

²⁵ The area is under the influence of typical Mediterranean climate characteristics, with a hot, dry summer season and a warm, rainy winter season with mean annual air





temperatures of 18.3 °C and mean annual precipitation of 1083 mm. Although the region is controlled by the terrestrial, marine or semi-marine, and semi-terrestrial low-and high-pressure systems, the high-pressure system is more effective. Thus, precipitation usually occurs during the cold winter period and drought condition prevails during the hot summer period.

An environmental isotopic and hydrochemical study was conducted by Bayari et al. (1995) for determination of the dynamics of the upstream Köycegiz Lake. Köycegiz and Sultaniye are the two major basins that comprise Köycegiz Lake. According to their statements the important sources that feed the lake are mainly alluvial groundwater, streamwater (Namnam and Yuvarlakçay), and rain. The main components of outflow from the lake are discharge to Mediterranean Sea through the Dalyan Channel and evaporation from the lake surface. Their environmental isotopic data and chemical data indicate that rainfall and stream flow are low density waters, and thermal groundwater inflow at the southern coast (Sultaniye) is the high density water that controls the mixing dynamics of the lake. Complete annual mixing cannot be observed, and the major factor that controls the lake dynamics is the continuous high density thermal

2.2 Sampling campaigns

water input to the Sultaniye Basin.

To quantify the different contributions of potential water sources like surface water,
groundwater and seawater in the lagoon and how these water sources change over time and space, two sampling campaigns were conducted one representing the dry season (July 2011) and the other one representing the wet season (March 2012). Water samples were taken in the lagoon along the main channel (L1, L2, L3, L22, L4, L7, L33, L10, L29, L9, L8), surrounding lakes (L5, L13, L14) and their inflow/outflow
connections to the lagoon system (L6, L11, L12, L15) as well as in the Köycegiz Lake and Mediterranean Sea in two depths at the top, just below the surface, and at the very bottom. The samples were taken by boat used for transportation from Dalyan town to Iztuzu Beach, except for Sülüngür Lake. Since aquaculture activities are conducted in





this lake boat of the fishing cooperative was used for sampling. Further samples were taken from surrounding groundwater wells. Groundwater samples were taken with the pump of the well, which is used for abstracting water. In total, samples were taken at 18 lagoon, 11 groundwater, 1 sea and 1 lake locations (Fig. 1b) which were further analysed for chemical analysis.

2.3 Water isotopes and chemical analysis

Water samples were analysed for δ^{18} O (±0.15‰) and δ^{2} H (±1‰) contents without any pre-treatment of the samples using a water isotope analyser (L2120-i, Picarro Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA). The contents are given in the delta notation as δ value (‰), which is the relative deviation of the sample from the V-SMOW (Vienna-Standard Mean Ocean Water). The results of the stable water isotope analysis from the observation area were compared to the Local Meteoric Water Line (LMWL; δ^{2} H = $8\delta^{18}$ O + 14.3) and the annual average isotope contents in precipitation from Antalya (δ^{18} O = -4.9‰; δ^{2} H = -24.9‰), 200 km east of the lagoon. Antalya is the closest location having long-term isotope records in precipitation which are accessible through the IAEA (International Atomic Energy Agency) web database WISER (http://www-naweb.iaea.org/napc/ih/IHS_resources_isohis.html; 2014).

Chloride concentrations were measured by using Merck test kits (catalog number 1.14897.0001). NaCl stock solution, which has 1 mg Cl⁻ in 1 mL, was used in order to prepare standard solutions for controlling the reliability of chloride measurements carried out with Merck test kits. Salinity measurements were conducted in situ with YSI 6600V2 Multiparameter Water Quality Sonde.

2.4 Endmember mixing analysis

Calculating different water fractions in the lagoon system (top and bottom), three endmembers were defined that differed in isotopic composition and chloride concentrations/salinity: (i) Köycegiz Lake water, (ii) groundwater, and (iii) Mediterranean



Seawater. The concentrations (C) of the endmembers were defined for both seasons separately. For lake (C_{LW}) and seawater (C_{SW}) , the surface near water samples were taken and for groundwater an average concentration (C_{GW}) was calculated from all groundwater wells without considering GW011 due to increased chloride concentrations compared to other groundwater locations. Thus, the isotope contents (¹⁸O) and chloride concentrations (CI) or salinity (S) in the lagoon (C_{Lag}) were calculated from the three component mixing analysis:

$$C_{\text{Lag}_{180}} = f_{\text{GW}} \cdot C_{\text{GW}_{180}} + f_{\text{LW}} \cdot C_{\text{LW}_{180}} + f_{\text{SW}} \cdot C_{\text{SW}_{180}}$$
(1)

$$C_{\text{Lag}_{\text{CI}}} = f_{\text{GW}} \cdot C_{\text{GW}_{\text{CI}}} + f_{\text{LW}} \cdot C_{\text{LW}_{\text{CI}}} + f_{\text{SW}} \cdot C_{\text{SW}_{\text{CI}}}$$

$$C_{\text{Lag}_{S}} = f_{\text{GW}} \cdot C_{\text{GW}_{S}} + f_{\text{LW}} \cdot C_{\text{LW}_{S}} + f_{\text{SW}} \cdot C_{\text{SW}_{S}}$$
(3)
$$1 = f_{\text{GW}} + f_{\text{LW}} \cdot + f_{\text{SW}}$$
(4)

 $1 = t_{GW} + t_{IW} \cdot + t_{SW}$

where f refers to the fraction of groundwater (GW), lake water (LW), and seawater (SW), respectively. Getting information about the uncertainty of the method, we calculated the mixing ratios based on two different approaches considering simultaneously δ^{18} O (Eq. 1) and Cl⁻ (Eq. 2) or δ^{18} O (Eq. 1) and salinity (Eq. 3), both in combination with Eq. (4).

Results 3

3.1 Stable isotopes of water

- Results of stable isotope analysis are presented in Table 1. All analyses water samples 20 plotted close or below the LMWL for both the dry (Fig. 2a) and wet season (Fig. 2b). Groundwater samples were the most depleted samples ranging from -6.2 to -5.7 % for δ^{18} O, and were even lower compared to average precipitation contents (-4.9%) for δ^{18} O). Assuming only negligible differences in isotopic composition of precipitation
- between Antalya and our observation area due to close proximity and similar location 25



(2)



on the Mediterranean Sea, winter and/or higher altitude precipitation from surrounding mountains is suggested as major recharge source of groundwater. On average, more depleted contents were observed in the wet season compared to the dry season; however, the differences are within the analytical uncertainty range and therefore, not significant.

Isotope contents of seawater were positive with more enriched contents in dry (1.5% for δ^{18} O) compared to wet seasons (0.5% for δ^{18} O). All Köycegiz Lake water samples plotted below the LMWL indicating enrichment due to evaporation and mainly geothermal water origin as found in previous studies (Bayari et al., 1995). In both seasons more enriched values were found in samples at the bottom of the Köycegiz Lake compared to more depleted values in samples at the top.

10

Water samples from the lagoon mainly plotted on/below the LMWL and between groundwater and seawater samples. Distinct differences in isotopic contents were found (i) for the dry (Fig. 2a) and wet season (Fig. 2b) indicating a seasonally dy-

- ¹⁵ namic water body and (ii) for samples close to the surface (open squares, Fig. 2) and the bottom of the lagoon (closed square, Fig. 2) indicating a layered vs. well mixed system in the dry and wet season, respectively. Particularly in the dry season, differences between top and bottom lagoon samples were obvious. Here, most interestingly, water samples at the bottom of the lagoon were more enriched compared to top water
- samples. This clearly indicates that the enrichment was not caused by evaporation but rather by mixing with enriched seawater which is more pronounced at the bottom due to salt water density effects. In the wet season, similar isotope contents were found for top and bottom samples except for samples from Alagöl (L5; -2.7‰, δ¹⁸O) and Sülüngür Lake (L13, L14; +0.64–0.68‰, δ¹⁸O) which had more enriched isotope contents at the bottom only. Here, top water samples showed similar ranges in isotope contents (-4.5 to -4.0‰, δ¹⁸O) compared to other lagoon samples (-5.0 to -4.0‰, δ¹⁸O).





3.2 Chloride vs. stable isotopes of water

15

Results of geochemical analysis are given in Table 1. Chloride and salinity showed similar spatiotemporal results and therefore, chloride results are discussed in more detail only. Chloride concentrations were in line with the results of stable isotope of wa-

ter. Chloride was lowest in groundwater samples for both seasons. Only one sampling site (GW11) showed increased chloride concentrations (460 mg L⁻¹ in wet season and 2300 mg L⁻¹ in dry season), which, however, were not accompanied by increased water isotope contents. Therefore, we assume that chloride was leached from the unsaturated zone due to agricultural activities (irrigation, pomegranates) rather than mixing
 with seawater.

High chloride concentrations were measured in seawater with 21700 and 20800 mg L^{-1} during the wet and dry season, respectively. Chloride concentrations were similar during both sampling campaigns in the dry and wet season at the bottom of the Köycegiz Lake (4500 and 4800 mg L⁻¹), but differences were measured at the top (2200 and 920 mg L⁻¹).

In the lagoon, chloride concentrations were generally higher in the dry season compared to the wet season (Fig. 3, Table 1). In the wet season, high chloride concentrations were only measured in the lagoon lake systems that also had enriched isotope contents. In the dry season, a clear layering was also supported by the chloride con-

- ²⁰ centrations which were higher at the bottom of the lagoon compared to its top. When looking at the chloride isotope relationship, lagoon samples were mainly plotting in the triangle of groundwater, Köycegiz Lake water and seawater samples suggesting three main endmembers in the system (Fig. 3). One sample (L2 bottom, dry period) had enriched isotope values even though chloride was quite low which we attributed either as
- erroneous analysis or the water was influenced by another source with geothermal origin which is also one major water origin of the upstream Köycegiz Lake (Bayari et al., 1995). Further, other lagoon samples, particularly from bottom taken during the dry





Paper **Title Page** Abstract Introduction **Discussion** Paper Conclusions References **Figures** Back **Discussion** Paper Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

HESSD

11, 7229–7253, 2014

Hydrological

dynamics of water

sources in

a Mediterranean

lagoon

C. Stumpp et al.

Discussion

Paper

Discussion

season, showed chloride and isotope data with higher concentration or more enriched values than expected.

3.3 Endmember mixing analysis

The three component endmember mixing analysis was calculated for (i) the wet and dry season and (ii) for the top and bottom layer. The selected endmembers are given in Table 1 (asterisks) and the resulting source fractions for each location and season are given in Table 2. For the wet season, average fractions of water sources were similar in the top and bottom of the lagoon (Fig. 4b). The arithmetic average (median) of groundwater, lake and seawater contribution was 0.24 (0.25), 0.72 (0.73) and 0.04 (0.04) for
the top and 0.21 (0.22), 0.62 (0.74), and 0.17 (0.02) for the bottom layer, respectively. Thus, the entire lagoon contained little seawater, and the main source was freshwater, either from the Köycegiz Lake or the groundwater. Certainly, we cannot exclude direct influence from precipitation having similar chemical composition compared to groundwater which will be further discussed below. High fractions of seawater were mainly
found in the bottom of the lagoon lake systems (Fig. 5d, Table 2). The more shallow Alagöl lake (1.5: 3.3 m) contained about 34% seawater and 98% seawater were actively.

- Alagöl lake (L5; 3.3 m) contained about 34 % seawater and 98 % seawater were calculated for the deeper Sülüngür lake (L13, L14; 3.6–5.4 m) (Table 2). The branches of the lagoon showed slightly increased salt water contributions (9 % top layer, 10 % bottom layer) compared the Dalyan channel locations (2 % top layer, 3 % bottom layer)
- ²⁰ (Fig. 5c and d). Besides, no variability in seawater and freshwater contribution was found with distance from the shore line (Fig. 5c and d); the error bars in Fig. 5 indicate the variability of the results when using δ^{18} O and Cl⁻ or δ^{18} O and salinity as signatures for the endmember mixing analysis.

For the dry season, average fractions of water sources were different compared to the wet season, and more variability was found within the lagoon and when comparing top and bottom of the lagoon (Fig. 4a). The arithmetic average (median) of groundwater, lake and seawater contribution was 0.03 (0.01), 0.54 (0.43) and 0.43 (0.57) for the top and 0.09 (0.00), 0.20 (0.18), and 0.71 (0.83) for the bottom layer, respectively. Particularly the contribution of groundwater was little during the dry season (Table 2, Fig. 4a). The lagoon contained more seawater in the dry season compared to the wet season and at the bottom compared to the top layers. Further, there was a gradient of salt water contribution in the lagoon with nearest distance to the shoreline

⁵ (Fig. 5a and b). The closer to the sea, the higher is the fraction of seawater. Still, the lagoon lake systems contained on average higher fractions of salt water (60%, top; 88%, bottom) compared to the Dalyan channel locations (35%, top; 69%, bottom) (Fig. 5a). The branches of the lagoon seem to be more mixed compared to lake and channel locations (Fig. 5a and b) containing on average 51 and 67% of seawater on top and bottom, respectively.

4 Discussion

15

The results clearly indicated differences in contribution of various water sources in the dry and wet season. We proved that it is an extremely dynamic system dominated by seawater in the dry season (> 55%) and freshwater in the wet season (> 95%). Lecuyer et al. (2012) also found higher contribution of freshwater (62%) compared to seawater (38%) in winter (wet season) assuming seawater and stream water as sole endmembers. Still, their open water lagoon on the Turkish coast was generally more

- dominated by seawater throughout the rest of the year; particularly in summer and autumn freshwater contribution seemed to be mostly absent and hypersaline conditions formed due to evaporation of seawater. In contrast, our study site had freshwater influence even in the dry season. Here, the freshwater mainly came from the upstream lake
- and groundwater contribution was minor. Thus, the lagoon is groundwater dependent only in the wet season. In addition, we cannot exclude direct precipitation as additional water source for the wet season; due to little precipitation in summer (3 mm in aver-
- age) its influence during the dry season was assumed to be negligible. We expect that winter precipitation has similar isotopic composition compared to the local groundwater and therefore, any contribution of direct precipitation is hidden in the groundwater





term. However, this hidden precipitation is suggested to be little when looking at the upstream Köycegiz Lake water balance and the size of the entire catchment (960 km²) compared to the lagoon size (130 km²). In the Köycegiz Lake precipitation is for example more than 15 times smaller compared to its outflow into the lagoon (Bayari et al., 1995).

5

10

We found different dynamics for the bottom and top layers and also for the different locations in the lagoon. Particular seasonal changes were dramatic in the main Dalyan channel closer to the coast and at its bottom (Fig. 5b and d). We assume that the terrestrial water levels (groundwater, lake, lagoon) declined in the dry season influencing the hydraulic gradients and also density driven flow of the seawater further inland. Here, the intrusion reached up to 4 km inland at the bottom of the lagoon. A 50 : 50 mixing of salt and freshwater is expected for bottom layers at 4.9 km distance from the coast (Fig. 5b) and for top layer at 1 km. The freshwater (seawater) mixing relationship with distance from the shoreline was best approximated by logarithmic (exponential) func-

- tion (Fig. 5). Still, the salt water intrusion was mainly restricted to the lagoon system itself as the groundwater wells were unaffected by seawater influence in the dry season. Our findings are in agreement with previous studies on hydrodynamic modelling in this area (Ekdal et al., 2005; Erturk et al., 2003; Gönenc et al., 2004). In these studies, similar spatial and temporal dynamics were obtained concluding that intrusion causes
- strong stratification throughout almost the entire lagoon especially in the dry season. The flow direction in the upper layer was from Köycegiz Lake towards the Mediterranean Sea, while flow in the bottom layer was from the Mediterranean Sea towards the Köycegiz Lake. Barotrophy was found to be the driving force of the surface flow, whereas the bottom flow was baroclinic (Gönenc et al., 2004).

²⁵ In the present study, the endmember mixing analysis yielded higher uncertainties in the dry compared to the wet season (Fig. 5), which is also obvious when looking at the endmember mixing triangles in Fig. 3. In the dry season (Fig. 3a) some of the sampling locations were outside of the triangle. Thus, other influences like evaporation, additional water sources or uncertainties of the endmember selection have to be



considered additionally. For the wet season, the composition of the seawater endmember was adequate (Fig. 3b). For the dry season though, higher chloride concentration as well as more enriched δ^{18} O were expected (Fig. 3a). In contrast, also evaporation of surface water would explain an increase in salt concentrations and isotopic enrichment

- ⁵ like observed in a close-by lagoon (Lecuyer et al., 2012). Even though evaporation was actually considered indirectly by the lake endmember, evaporation of lagoon water could be higher due to the smaller water volume compared to the lake. Therefore, a stronger enrichment of stable isotopes explains the deviations of top surface water samples.
- ¹⁰ A spatial heterogeneity between top and bottom water is obvious when looking at the results of the dry season (Fig. 3a). Interestingly, the slope of the $CI^- - \delta^{18}O$ relationship was steeper for bottom compared to top lagoon samples. Further, also enrichment of bottom samples was found in the dry season which is unusual and cannot be explained by evaporation. Even hypersaline conditions in some of the bottom samples ¹⁵ were found. Likely, an additional water source in the system has to be considered which
- was of geothermal origin as found for the Köycegiz Lake (Bayari et al., 1995) and as common in this area due to geology and tectonic activity (Mutlu and Gülec, 1998).

In addition to spatial differences in top and bottom layers for the main Dalyan channel, there were also differences between different locations within the lagoon. The main

- channel responded quickly to changes and showed seasonal dynamics. The lake structures in the lagoon system were, however, responding differently. Here, the salt water was found in the bottom layer even in the wet season indicating maintenance of stratification; particularly in the larger and deeper Sülüngür Lake. A partial mixing was found for the smaller and shallower Alagöl Lake were salt water contribution was 34 %
- (±20%). Also the side branches of the lagoon had less extreme changes as the main channel indicating higher water transit times in these areas and thus slower renewal. Particularly in the dry season, the contribution of fresh and salt water was about equal for the top layer and 2/3 to 1/3 for the bottom layer and independent on the distance to the coastline. These findings are in agreement with residence time calculations of



a previous study (Ekdal, 2008) using the Water Quality Analysis Simulation Model. Average residence times of Sülüngür Lake (especially deeper parts of the lake) were considerably higher (16–700 d) when compared to other parts of the system (> 16 d). The residence time in Alagöl (5–16 d) was also high when compared to the main chan-

⁵ nel. The main channel had a low residence time (> 5 d), which showed the dynamic characteristics of the lagoon, and which is in agreement with the results of this study.

5 Conclusion

We showed that environmental tracers can be used not only to identify but also to quantify different water sources in a lagoon ecosystem. Freshwater and marine wa-¹⁰ ter sources were strongly dynamic and heterogeneous in time and space. We found different water sources and mixing ratios for dry and wet seasons and for top and bottom layers in the lagoon. In the wet season, freshwater was found in all locations and all depths except at the bottom of a larger lagoon lake. Generally, the freshwater was a mixture of upstream lake water and groundwater. The groundwater dependence was,

- ¹⁵ however, mainly restricted to the wet season and almost absent in the dry season. It was assumed that water levels decline and the input of seawater in the lagoon gets more pronounced; particularly in the main flow channel of the lagoon. Here, a clear stratification was observed in the dry season only, with higher salt water contributions at the lagoon bottom compared to its top. At some of these locations, the lagoon changed
- from a complete freshwater system to a complete salt water system which certainly has implications for the ecosystem which has to be highly adapted to such dynamic conditions. At side branches and lake structures in this wetland type lagoon, changes in water sources were less extreme and variable. From these findings, we conclude that the lagoon and the groundwater could be vulnerable to certain global change sce-
- ²⁵ narios like sea level rise and decrease in precipitation. Consequently, water levels in the groundwater and lake would drop and the seawater influence would increase in the lagoon system affecting its ecosystem functions and probably also affecting the





groundwater quality. In future, it needs to be analysed how the ecosystem itself reacts to changes of water sources to investigate the vulnerability of the ecosystem functions.

Acknowledgements. Funding by the EU GENESIS Project no. 226536 (FP7-ENV-2008-1) is kindly acknowledged. We would also like to acknowledge Melike Gürel, Nusret Karakaya, Ali

5 Ertürk, Gökhan Cüceloglu and Çigdem Güzel for their contribution in taking the samples during the field studies.

References

15

20

Alongi, D. M.: Coastal Ecosystem Processes, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1998.

- Anthony, A., Atwood, J., August, P., Byron, C., Cobb, S., Foster, C., Fry, C., Gold, A., Hagos, K.,
- Heffner, L., Kellogg, D. Q., Lellis-Dibble, K., Opaluch, J. J., Oviatt, C., Pfeiffer-Herbert, A., Rohr, N., Smith, L., Smythe, T., Swift, J., and Vinhateiro, N.: Coastal lagoons and climate change: ecological and social ramifications in US Atlantic and Gulf coast ecosystems, Ecol. Soc., 14, 8, 2009.

Barnes, R. S. K.: Coastal Lagoons, Cambridge University press, Cambridge, UK, 106 pp., 1980.

Bayari, S. C., Kazanci, N., Koyuncu, H., Çaglar, S. S., and Gökçe, D.: Determination of the origin of the waters of Köycegiz Lake, Turkey, J. Hydrol., 166, 171–191, doi:10.1016/0022-1694(94)02554-O, 1995.

Ekdal, A.: Water Quality Modeling of Köyceðiz – Dalyan Lagoon, Ph.D. thesis, Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey, 236 pp., 2008.

Ekdal, A., Gurel, M., Erturk, A., and Tanik, A.: Hydrodynamic and Water Quality Modeling Approach for a Dynamic Lagoon System, Environmental Hydraulics and Sustainable Water Management, Proceedings, 15–18 December 2004, Hong Kong, China, 621–627, 2005.

Erturk, A., Gurel, M., Koca, D., Ekdal, A., Tanik, A., Seker, D. Z., Kabdasli, S., and Gönenc, I. E.:

Determination of Model Dimensions for a Complex Lagoon System – A Case Study From Turkey, Proceedings of XXX IAHR Congress Water Engineering and Research in a learning Society: Modern Developments and Traditional Concepts, 24–29 August 2003, Thessaloniki, Greece, 53–60, 2003.





- Gattacceca, J. C., Vallet-Coulomb, C., Mayer, A., Claude, C., Radakovitch, O., Conchetto, E., and Hamelin, B.: Isotopic and geochemical characterization of salinization in the shallow aquifers of a reclaimed subsiding zone: the southern Venice Lagoon coastland, J. Hydrol., 378, 46–61, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2009.09.005, 2009.
- ⁵ Gönenc, I. E., Tanik, A., Seker, D. Z., Gurel, M., Erturk, A., Ekdal, A., Yuceil, K., Kose, C., Beyazgul, M., and Bilir, L. Z.: Ecosystem modeling for the sustainable management of lagoons, Final Report, The Scientific and Technical Research Council of Turkey, TUBITAK YDABCAG Project No: 100Y047, Ankara, 2004.

Google Earth, available at: http://www.google.com/earth/, last access: 25 May 2014.

- Gurel, M., Tanik, A., Erturk, A., Dogan, E., Okus, E., Seker, D. Z., Ekdal, A., K. Y., Bederli Tumay, A., Karakaya, N., Beler Baykal, B., and Gönenc, I. E.: Köycegiz Dalyan Lagoon: a case study for sustainable use and development, in: Coastal Lagoons: Ecosystem Processes and Modeling for Sustainable Use and Development, edited by: Gönenc, I. E. and Wolflin, J., CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, 440–474, 2005.
- ¹⁵ Kløve, B., Ala-Aho, P., Bertrand, G., Gurdak, J. J., Kupfersberger, H., Kværner, J., Muotka, T., Mykrä, H., Preda, E., Rossi, P., Uvo, C. B., Velasco, E., and Pulido-Velazquez, M.: Climate change impacts on groundwater and dependent ecosystems, J. Hydrol., doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2013.06.037, in press, 2014.

Lecuyer, C., Bodergat, A. M., Martineau, F., Fourel, F., Gurbuz, K., and Nazik, A.: Water

- sources, mixing and evaporation in the Akyatan lagoon, Turkey, Estuar. Coast. Shelf S., 115, 200–209, doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2012.09.002, 2012.
 - Mutlu, H. and Gülec, N.: Hydrogeochemical outline of thermal waters and geothermometry applications in Anatolia (Turkey), J. Volcanol. Geoth. Res., 85, 495–515, doi:10.1016/s0377-0273(98)00068-7, 1998.
- Niencheski, L. F. H., Windom, H. L., Moore, W. S., and Jahnke, R. A.: Submarine groundwater discharge of nutrients to the ocean along a coastal lagoon barrier, Southern Brazil, Mar. Chem., 106, 546–561, doi:10.1016/j.marchem.2007.06.004, 2007.
 - Pérez-Ruzafa, A., Marcos, C., and Pérez-Ruzafa, I. M.: Mediterranean coastal lagoons in an ecosystem and aquatic resources management context, Phys. Chem. Earth, 36, 160–166, doi:10.1016/j.pce.2010.04.013, 2011a.
 - Pérez-Ruzafa, A., Marcos, C., Pérez-Ruzafa, I., and Pérez-Marcos, M.: Coastal lagoons: "transitional ecosystems" between transitional and coastal waters, J. Coast. Conserv., 15, 369– 392, doi:10.1007/s11852-010-0095-2, 2011b.

30





- Remane, A. and Schlieper, C.: Biology of Brackish Water, Wiley Interscience, New York, 372 pp., 1971.
- Santos, I. R., Machado, M. I., Niencheski, L. F., Burnett, W., Milani, I. B., Andrade, C. F. F., Peterson, R. N., Chanton, J., and Baisch, P.: Major ion chemistry in a freshwater coastal
- ⁵ lagoon from southern Brazil (Mangueira Lagoon): influence of groundwater inputs, Aquat. Geochem., 14, 133–146, doi:10.1007/s10498-008-9029-0, 2008a.
 - Santos, I. R., Niencheski, F., Burnett, W., Peterson, R., Chanton, J., Andrade, C. F. F., Milani, I. B., Schmidt, A., and Knoeller, K.: Tracing anthropogenically driven groundwater discharge into a coastal lagoon from southern Brazil, J. Hydrol., 353, 275–293, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2008.02.010, 2008b.
 - Schmidt, A., Santos, I. R., Burnett, W. C., Niencheski, F., and Knöller, K.: Groundwater sources in a permeable coastal barrier: evidence from stable isotopes, J. Hydrol., 406, 66–72, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2011.06.001, 2011.

10

15

WISER, available at: http://www-naweb.iaea.org/napc/ih/IHS_resources_isohis.html, last access: 19 May 2014.





Table 1. Chemical analysis of water samples for the dry and wet season; asterisks indicate values used for endmember mixing analysis.

| | Drv sea | ason | | | | Wet se | ason | | | | | |
|----------|---------|--------------|----------|-------------------|------------------|--------|--------------|----------|-------------------|------------------|--|--|
| Location | Denth | Chloride | Salinity | δ ¹⁸ Ω | δ ² H | Denth | Chloride | Salinity | δ ¹⁸ Ω | δ ² н | | |
| Location | (m) | (maL^{-1}) | (ppt) | (%) | (%) | (m) | (maL^{-1}) | (ppt) | (%) | (%) | | |
| 1.01 | 0.1 | (| (PP-) | 0.00 | 10.4 | 0.1 | (| (+++) | 4.70 | 04.0 | | |
| LUT | 0.1 | 2400 | 3.0 | -2.90 | -10.4 | 0.1 | 930 | 3.1 | -4.70 | -24.9 | | |
| L02 | 0.1 | 2600 | 3.8 | -2.87 | -16.0 | 0.1 | 930 | 3.1 | -4.51 | -26.3 | | |
| L03 | 0.1 | 2800 | 4.0 | -2.97 | -16.4 | 0.1 | 930 | 3.2 | -4.78 | -24.8 | | |
| L04 | 0.1 | 3700 | 7.0 | -2.71 | -14.9 | 0.1 | 940 | 3.0 | -4.93 | -25.4 | | |
| L05 | 0.1 | 11 400 | 23.6 | -0.15 | -1.3 | 0.1 | 2350 | 4.6 | -4.50 | -22.5 | | |
| L06 | 0.1 | 14900 | 22.3 | -0.16 | -0.7 | 0.1 | 1500 | 4.2 | -4.72 | -22.8 | | |
| L07 | 0.1 | 7800 | 16.1 | -1.86 | -10.8 | 0.1 | 1050 | 3.2 | -4.68 | -24.8 | | |
| L08 | 0.1 | 18 600 | 37.9 | 1.45 | 9.2 | 0.1 | 1300 | 4.2 | -4.74 | -23.3 | | |
| L09 | 0.1 | 14700 | 29.3 | 0.59 | 3.3 | 0.1 | 1300 | 4.5 | -4.44 | -24.5 | | |
| L10 | 0.1 | 14700 | 27.6 | 0.47 | 2.2 | 0.1 | 840 | 3.4 | -4.76 | -24.7 | | |
| L11 | 0.1 | 15800 | 27.6 | 0.30 | 2.4 | 0.1 | 2150 | 5.9 | -4.95 | -27.8 | | |
| L12 | 0.1 | 13200 | 25.7 | 0.20 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 2500 | 6.9 | -4.28 | -22.9 | | |
| L13 | 0.1 | 18200 | 30.6 | 1.00 | 4.2 | 0.1 | 1400 | 7.6 | -4.17 | -21.9 | | |
| L14 | 0.1 | 17 400 | 30.6 | 0.95 | -0.6 | 0.1 | 1350 | 7.6 | -3.97 | -21.0 | | |
| L15 | 0.1 | 13900 | _ | -0.33 | -1.3 | 0.1 | 1200 | 7.3 | -4.43 | -22.5 | | |
| 1.22 | 0.1 | 8700 | 16.7 | -1.51 | -8.8 | 0.1 | 950 | 3.2 | -4.73 | -24.4 | | |
| 129 | 0.1 | 13700 | 29.3 | 0.50 | 3.2 | 0.1 | 750 | 3.3 | -4.62 | -25.0 | | |
| L33 | 0.1 | 12 000 | 25.0 | -0.56 | -2.9 | 0.1 | 950 | 3.4 | -4.76 | -23.8 | | |
| 1.01 | 3.8 | 3300 | 26.3 | 2.86 | 16.4 | 3.8 | 040 | 3.1 | 4 73 | 24.7 | | |
| 1.02 | 1.0 | 3600 | 20.0 | -2.00 | 0.4 | 3.0 | 940 | 3.1 | 4.75 | 24.7 | | |
| 1.02 | 4.4 | 3000 | 21.0 | 2.00 | 16.6 | 4.4 | 940 | 3.2 | -4.7 | -24.4 | | |
| L03 | 2.0 | 3700 | 31.0 | -2.90 | - 10.0 | 2.4 | 950 | 0.4 | -4.7 | -24.0 | | |
| L04 | 2.1 | 20 000 | 32.7 | 0.73 | 4.3 | 2.0 | 970 | 3.1 | -5.01 | -27.0 | | |
| L05 | 3.3 | 22300 | 38.2 | 1.43 | 8.1 | 3.2 | /100 | 19.4 | -2.7 | -12.3 | | |
| L06 | 1.4 | 12800 | 32.1 | -0.09 | -0.9 | 1.7 | 1600 | 4.7 | -4.58 | -23.1 | | |
| L07 | 2.0 | 21 400 | 35.8 | 1.13 | 7.5 | 1.9 | 1100 | 3.1 | -4.90 | -23.8 | | |
| L08 | 1.1 | 23800 | 39.7 | 1.16 | 7.4 | 1.1 | 1300 | 4.3 | -4.44 | -23.9 | | |
| L09 | 1.3 | 24 200 | 39.0 | 1.35 | 7.9 | 1.2 | 1700 | 5.4 | -4.33 | -23.4 | | |
| L10 | 1.1 | 21 800 | 33.8 | 1.30 | 7.1 | 1.3 | 930 | 3.4 | -4.78 | -24.0 | | |
| L11 | 1.5 | 17100 | 31.2 | 1.02 | 4.4 | 1.5 | 3500 | 7.5 | -4.34 | -21.3 | | |
| L12 | 1.5 | 14 300 | 34.6 | 0.66 | 2.4 | 1.5 | 3600 | 7.3 | -4.31 | -21.4 | | |
| L13 | 3.4 | 18 300 | 36.5 | 1.07 | 4.6 | 3.6 | 21 600 | 41.2 | 0.64 | 4.9 | | |
| L14 | 5.4 | 18 100 | 36.9 | 0.76 | 4.3 | 5.4 | 21 000 | 41.2 | 0.68 | 3.0 | | |
| L15 | 1.6 | 16 400 | - | 0.65 | 4.0 | 1.6 | 1320 | 8.0 | -4.05 | -21.9 | | |
| L22 | 3.0 | 22 100 | 35.9 | 0.97 | 5.9 | 3.0 | 980 | 3.3 | -4.66 | -24.8 | | |
| L29 | 1.8 | 17 500 | 35.5 | 0.93 | 5.5 | 1.8 | 850 | 3.3 | -4.58 | -24.8 | | |
| L33 | 3.8 | 19800 | 38.8 | 1.11 | 7.0 | 3.8 | 3400 | 11.3 | -3.71 | -18.5 | | |
| GW03 | - | 132 | 0.4 | -5.27 | -25.8 | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| GW04 | _ | 117 | 0.4 | -6.10 | -34.7 | _ | 111 | 0.4 | -6.08 | -34.1 | | |
| GW05 | _ | 146 | 0.5 | -6.03 | -34.3 | _ | 88 | 0.4 | -6.25 | -34.8 | | |
| GW11 | _ | 2300 | 13 | -6.39 | -36.1 | _ | 460 | 11 | -6.66 | _43.4 | | |
| GW14 | _ | 69 | 0.3 | -6.35 | -35.5 | _ | 41 | 0.3 | -6.46 | -38.3 | | |
| GW15 | _ | 41 | 0.0 | 6.32 | 36.0 | _ | 40 | 0.0 | 6.22 | 36.6 | | |
| GW18 | _ | 41 | 0.3 | 6.02 | 32.0 | _ | +0 | 0.5 | 5.62 | 35.0 | | |
| GW10 | _ | 42 | 0.4 | -0.02 | -32.9 | _ | 10 | 0.5 | -0.02 | -30.2 | | |
| GW00 | - | 25 | 0.3 | -0.03 | -31.0 | - | 10 | 0.3 | -0.00 | -30.9 | | |
| GW20 | - | 50 | 0.4 | -0.// | -30.0 | - | 18 | 0.2 | -0.00 | -39.5 | | |
| GW25 | - | 5/ | 0.6 | -5.24 | -29.0 | - | 50 | 0.5 | -5.25 | -31.0 | | |
| GW29 | - | 46 | 0.4 | -5.87 | -33.5 | - | 26 | 0.4 | -6.00 | -34.1 | | |
| GW | - | 73 | 0.4 | -6.00 | -32.9 | - | 49 | 0.4 | -0.17 | -36.6 | | |
| Sea* | 0.1 | 20 800 | 40.0 | 1.45 | 9.1 | 0.1 | 21 700 | 39.2 | 0.49 | 1.1 | | |
| Lake* | 0.1 | 2200 | 3.7 | -2.88 | -15.9 | 0.1 | 920 | 3.2 | -4.38 | -23.4 | | |
| Lake | 12.8 | 4500 | 11.2 | -2.26 | -11.5 | 12.7 | 4800 | 13.6 | -2.27 | -12.0 | | |

Discussion Paper **HESSD** 11, 7229-7253, 2014 **Hydrological** dynamics of water sources in **Discussion** Paper a Mediterranean lagoon C. Stumpp et al. Title Page Abstract Introduction Conclusions References Tables Figures **I**◄ Back Close **Discussion** Paper Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



7247

Table 2. Average results of endmember mixing analysis giving the contributions of groundwater (f_{GW}) , lake water (f_{LW}) and seawater (f_{SW}) in the lagoon top and bottom for dry and wet season.

| | Dry sea | ason | | Wet season | | | | | |
|----------------|--------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| | $f_{\rm GW}$ | f_{LW} | f _{SW} | f _{GW} | f _{LW} | f _{SW} | | | |
| Location – TOP | | | | | | | | | |
| L01 | 0.020 | 0.975 | 0.005 | 0.210 | 0.780 | 0.010 | | | |
| L02 | 0.015 | 0.970 | 0.015 | 0.080 | 0.915 | 0.005 | | | |
| L03 | 0.070 | 0.905 | 0.025 | 0.265 | 0.720 | 0.015 | | | |
| L04 | 0.075 | 0.830 | 0.095 | 0.360 | 0.620 | 0.020 | | | |
| L05 | 0.000 | 0.559 | 0.441 | 0.255 | 0.675 | 0.070 | | | |
| L06 | 0.045 | 0.400 | 0.551 | 0.320 | 0.630 | 0.050 | | | |
| L07 | 0.140 | 0.530 | 0.335 | 0.210 | 0.775 | 0.015 | | | |
| L08 | 0.000 | 0.189 | 0.811 | 0.320 | 0.640 | 0.040 | | | |
| L09 | 0.000 | 0.431 | 0.569 | 0.130 | 0.835 | 0.035 | | | |
| L10 | 0.000 | 0.447 | 0.549 | 0.260 | 0.730 | 0.010 | | | |
| L11 | 0.000 | 0.335 | 0.665 | 0.605 | 0.290 | 0.105 | | | |
| L12 | 0.000 | 0.513 | 0.488 | 0.230 | 0.665 | 0.105 | | | |
| L13 | 0.000 | 0.307 | 0.693 | 0.135 | 0.790 | 0.070 | | | |
| L14 | 0.000 | 0.332 | 0.668 | 0.065 | 0.825 | 0.110 | | | |
| L15 | 0.030 | 0.650 | 0.320 | 0.250 | 0.665 | 0.085 | | | |
| L22 | 0.055 | 0.580 | 0.360 | 0.240 | 0.745 | 0.015 | | | |
| L29 | 0.000 | 0.468 | 0.532 | 0.150 | 0.840 | 0.010 | | | |
| L33 | 0.040 | 0.400 | 0.560 | 0.265 | 0.720 | 0.015 | | | |
| | | Loc | ation – B | оттом | | | | | |
| L01 | 0.335 | 0.420 | 0.245 | 0.225 | 0.765 | 0.010 | | | |
| L02 | 0.020 | 0.645 | 0.335 | 0.220 | 0.775 | 0.005 | | | |
| L03 | 0.360 | 0.380 | 0.258 | 0.235 | 0.760 | 0.005 | | | |
| L04 | 0.088 | 0.140 | 0.772 | 0.425 | 0.555 | 0.020 | | | |
| L05 | 0.056 | 0.050 | 0.894 | 0.200 | 0.460 | 0.340 | | | |
| L06 | 0.100 | 0.250 | 0.650 | 0.250 | 0.695 | 0.050 | | | |
| L07 | 0.073 | 0.075 | 0.852 | 0.355 | 0.630 | 0.015 | | | |
| L08 | 0.145 | 0.000 | 0.855 | 0.115 | 0.865 | 0.020 | | | |
| L09 | 0.108 | 0.015 | 0.874 | 0.130 | 0.815 | 0.060 | | | |
| L10 | 0.061 | 0.168 | 0.770 | 0.280 | 0.705 | 0.015 | | | |
| L11 | 0.000 | 0.349 | 0.651 | 0.375 | 0.480 | 0.145 | | | |
| L12 | 0.030 | 0.305 | 0.674 | 0.350 | 0.505 | 0.145 | | | |
| L13 | 0.000 | 0.150 | 0.850 | 0.025 | 0.000 | 0.975 | | | |
| L14 | 0.060 | 0.060 | 0.880 | 0.025 | 0.000 | 0.975 | | | |
| L15 | 0.000 | 0.300 | 0.700 | 0.110 | 0.815 | 0.075 | | | |
| L22 | 0.107 | 0.055 | 0.838 | 0.205 | 0.785 | 0.010 | | | |
| L29 | 0.000 | 0.175 | 0.825 | 0.135 | 0.855 | 0.010 | | | |
| L33 | 0.045 | 0.005 | 0.950 | 0.150 | 0.675 | 0.175 | | | |

Discussion Paper **HESSD** 11, 7229-7253, 2014 **Hydrological** dynamics of water sources in **Discussion** Paper a Mediterranean lagoon C. Stumpp et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction **Discussion Paper** Conclusions References Tables Figures 14 Back Close **Discussion** Paper Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

CC II

7248



Figure 1. Geographic location of the Köycegiz-Dalyan Coastal Lagoon **(a)** and sampling locations **(b)**; source of modified satellite picture was Google Earth (2014).



Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper





Figure 2. Dual isotope plot for (a) dry season and (b) wet season sampling campaign; LMWL and average precipitation taken from closest station of the GNIP data base i.e. Antalya.

HESSD 11, 7229-7253, 2014 **Hydrological** dynamics of water sources in a Mediterranean lagoon C. Stumpp et al. Title Page Abstract Introduction Conclusions References Tables Figures Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion







Discussion Paper

HESSD

11, 7229-7253, 2014

Hydrological

Figure 3. Chloride concentrations and δ^{18} O ratios for (a) dry season and (b) wet season sampling campaign; the dashed line connects the three endmembers used for the three component mixing analysis.







CC ①



Figure 5. Changing fractions of freshwater (circles) and marine water (triangles) with distance from the coastline for (a) the top layer in the dry season, (b) bottom layer in the dry season, (c) top layer in the wet season, (d) bottom layer in the wet season; closed dark symbols indicate locations at the main lagoon channel, open symbols indicate surrounding lake locations and closed light symbols indicate their inflow/outflow connections to the lagoon system; error bars were determined from variability of endmember mixing analysis using salinity and chloride data individually in combination with δ^{18} O.



