I am satisfied with the improvement of this manuscript. The authors compared the performance of Wase-Tana model with two benchmark models (SWAT and FlexB). The comparisons of flow duration curves are included as well. Overall, it is well-written and relative novel in hydrological modelling. I think after several small technical changes this manuscript can be accepted. Several small technical corrections are list as following:

1. L2: Is it better to change '…regions of the…' into '… regions, such as the…'?
2. L73: is there a duplicate space?
3. L118: Where is Dessie et al. (2014) in the references list?
4. L159: is it a mistake to use 'looks quit'? and shall it be changed to 'quite'.
5. L169: change QSe2 into Italic, and make sure all the symbols are consistent, such as 'NSE' in L489.
6. L398: change 'b' into appropriate size.
7. L448: is it better to change '0.0' to '0'. Is it better to use 'with lower absolute values'?
8. L462: change 'this paper model' into 'Wase-Tana model'.
9. L476: where is (delta)t in your equation. Please make sure the units are consistent in the left and right parts of equations.
10. L479: Shall you change from 'all units are in mm' to 'all units are in mm/d', or 'mm per time step'?
11. L510: remove 'indicators'.