



**Spatial and temporal
variability of rainfall
in the Nile Basin**

C. Onyutha and
P. Willems

This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Hydrology and Earth System Sciences (HESS). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in HESS if available.

Spatial and temporal variability of rainfall in the Nile Basin

C. Onyutha^{1,2} and P. Willems^{1,3}

¹Hydraulics Laboratory, KU Leuven, Kasteelpark Arenberg 40, 3001 Heverlee, Belgium

²Faculty of Technoscience, Muni University, P.O. Box 725, Arua, Uganda

³Department of Hydrology and Hydraulic Engineering, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Pleinlaan 2, 1050 Elsene, Brussel, Belgium

Received: 8 September 2014 – Accepted: 7 October 2014 – Published: 28 October 2014

Correspondence to: C. Onyutha (conyutha@gmail.com)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Abstract

Spatio-temporal variability in annual and seasonal rainfall totals were assessed at 37 locations of the Nile Basin in Africa using quantile perturbation method. To get insight into the spatial difference in rainfall statistics, the stations were grouped based on the pattern of the long-term mean of monthly rainfall and that of temporal variability. To find the origin of the driving forces for the temporal variability in rainfall, correlation analyses were carried out using global monthly sea level pressure and surface temperature. Further investigations to support the obtained correlations were made using a total of 10 climate indices. It was possible to obtain 3 groups of stations; those within the equatorial region (A), Sudan and Ethiopia (B), and Egypt (C). For group A, annual rainfall was found to be below (above) the reference during the late 1940s to 1950s (1960s to mid 1980s). Conversely for groups B and C, the period 1930s to late 1950s (1960s to 1980s) was characterized by anomalies being above (below) the reference. For group A, significant linkages were found to Niño 3, Niño 3.4 and the North Atlantic and Indian Ocean drivers. Correlations of annual rainfall of group A with Pacific Ocean-related climate indices were inconclusive. With respect to the main wet seasons, the June to September rainfall of group B has strong connection to the influence from the Indian Ocean. For the March to May (October to February) rainfall of group A (C), possible links to the Atlantic and Indian Oceans were found.

1 Introduction

The Nile Basin, which has a total catchment area of about 3 400 000 km² (see Fig. 1), stretches over 35° of latitude in north–south direction (31° N to 4° S) and over 16° of longitude in west–east direction (24 to 40° E). It comprises of the River Nile, which is the world's longest river under arid conditions and is fed by two main river systems: the White Nile (from the equatorial region), and the Blue Nile (from the Ethiopian highlands). The riparian countries of the Nile Basin include Burundi, Rwanda,

HESSD

11, 11945–11986, 2014

Spatial and temporal variability of rainfall in the Nile Basin

C. Onyutha and
P. Willems

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



2163 mm year⁻¹ (station 32). Generally, the latitudinal decrease in the rainfall statistics from up to downstream of the River Nile is also reflected in the higher magnitude of the runoff values and its variability in the southern than the northern part (Nyeko-Ogiramo et al., 2012).

2.2 Large ocean-atmospheric related series

Increase or decrease in the atmospheric pressure at sea level, i.e. Sea Level Pressure (SLP), can reveal useful information on atmospheric circulation which brings about drier and wetter conditions respectively. Changes in Sea Surface Temperature (SST), on the other hand, can generate imbalance in the heat-flux field which can also bring about anomalous atmospheric circulation and rainfall patterns (Horel, 1982). Variations of SSTs over Indian and South Atlantic Oceans play significant role in the variations of annual rainfall over the Nile Basin (Camberlin, 2009). To gain an insight into the consequence, on rainfall variability, of pressure changes (occurring over the different oceans) and anomaly in circulation due to SST, a number of readily and freely available climate indices were used.

2.2.1 SLP and related series

1. The global monthly historical SLP (HadSLP2) data (Allan and Ansell, 2006) from reconstruction of land and marine observations were obtained from the NOAA/OAR/ESRL PSD, Boulder, Colorado, USA (see Table 2).
2. The North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) is the normalized SLP difference between SW Iceland (Reykjavik), Gibraltar and Ponta Delgada (Azores). The NAO (Hurrell, 1995; Jones et al., 1997) was obtained from the Climatic Research Unit (CRU).
3. The North Pacific Index (NPI) is the area-weighted SLP over the region 30–65° N, 160° E–140° W. The NPI (Trenberth and Hurrell, 1994) was obtained from the

Spatial and temporal variability of rainfall in the Nile Basin

C. Onyutha and
P. Willems

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



National Centre for Atmospheric Research (NCAR)/University Corporation for Atmospheric Research (UCAR).

4. The Trans Polar Index (TPI) is defined as the normalized pressure difference between Hobart and Stanley. The TPI (Jones et al., 1999; Pittock, 1980, 1984) was obtained from the CRU.
5. The Southern Oscillation index (SOI) is defined as the normalized pressure difference between Tahiti and Darwin. The SOI based on Ropelewski and Jones (1987) was obtained from the CRU.

2.2.2 SST and related series

1. The global monthly historical SST (HadSST2) anomalies with respect to the 1961–1990 climatology (Rayner et al., 2006) were obtained from the UK Met Office through the British Atmospheric Data Centre (BADC).
2. The Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation (AMO) index is defined as the SST averaged over 25–60° N, 7–70° W minus the regression on global mean temperature (van Oldenborgh et al., 2009). The AMO index was obtained from the Koninklijk Nederlands Meteorologisch Instituut (KNMI) through their climate explorer.
3. The Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO) Index is the leading principal component of North Pacific monthly SST variability (poleward of 20° N in the Pacific Basin). The digital values of the PDO index of Mantua et al. (1997) were obtained from the database of the Joint Institute for the Study of the Atmosphere and Ocean (JISAO).
4. The Indian Ocean Dipole (IOD) is the anomalous SST difference between the western (50 to 70° E and 10° S to 10° N) and the south eastern (90 to 110° E and 10° S to 0° N) equatorial Indian Ocean. The IOD series was obtained from the Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology (JAMEST).

Spatial and temporal variability of rainfall in the Nile Basin

C. Onyutha and
P. Willems

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Spatial and temporal variability of rainfall in the Nile Basin

C. Onyutha and
P. Willems

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



5 Area averaged Niño SST indices (Rayner et al., 2003; Trenberth, 1997) for the tropical Pacific regions described by Niño 3 (90 to 150° W and 5° N to 5° S), Niño 3.4 (120 to 170° W and 5° N to 5° S), and Niño 4 (150° W to 160° E and 5° N to 5° S) were obtained from the Earth System Research Laboratory of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

PDO, IOD, AMO and SOI have been used in recent studies on rainfall variability in two sub regions of the Nile Basin by Moges et al. (2014), and Taye and Willems (2012) for Ethiopia; and Nyeko-Ogiramo et al. (2013) for the Lake Victoria Basin.

3 Methodology

3.1 Computing rainfall variability using the Quantile Perturbation Method

10 The QPM is analogous to one of the methods for deriving climate change scenarios or for propagating climate change signals to historical observations by perturbing these series using information from climate models runs (see Mpelasoka and Chiew, 2009; Willems and Vrac, 2011). In a number of studies including Chiew (2006), Harrold et al. (2005), Harrold and Jones (2003) etc., the quantile mapping method, which QPM makes use of, was used to scale ranked historical daily rainfall quantiles in quantile-dependent method to establish future climate change scenarios.

15 When applied to historical series, as is done in this study, the QPM considers changes in quantiles with similar exceedance frequency or return period T compared from two time series. One of the series is taken to be the complete time series, while the other is a time slice of the entire historical period. A block size (time slice) of length D (in years) is selected for a given hydrometeorological variable of historical period, n years. Let us call the time slice series as variable x , and the full time series as y . By taking j as the rank of the variable extreme and computing the empirical T as (D/j) for x and (n/j) for y , the values of the extremes are ranked in such a way that for
25 $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ etc. we have the highest $x(D/1)$, second highest $x(D/2)$, third highest

Spatial and temporal variability of rainfall in the Nile Basin

C. Onyutha and
P. Willems

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



value $x(D/3), \dots$ etc. for x and correspondingly $y(n/1), y(n/2), y(n/3) \dots$ etc. for y . In the next step, the quantiles of x and y with similar Ts are compared. In some cases, linear interpolations are carried out for (n/j) to locate it between (D/j) values when these empirical Ts do not match. Finally, the relative change or perturbation factor on the quantiles is calculated as a ratio of the block period extremes $x(D/j)$ and those of the baseline period $y(n/j)$. The ultimate anomaly value for each time slice period is obtained as the average value of the perturbation factors found at previous step. Eventually, the variation in the extreme quantiles in the time slice over the full time series is represented by a single anomaly value. This procedure is repeated by moving the time slice forward by one time step. The time step in this study was taken as one year. At the end of it all, a complete analysis accounting for all possible times is obtained from the repeated process of moving slice over the entire time series.

Due to sensitivity of the QPM to block length (i.e. a subseries of the full time series covering the period of interest), preliminary analysis was conducted using block periods of 5, 10 and 15 years. It is important to note that the choice of the block length is subjective and may depend on the objective of the study. A block length of 15 years was found to give a much clearer oscillation pattern in the rainfall data than for 5 and 10 years, and was eventually adopted for this study. Alongside details on the QPM, the selection and sensitivity of the block length can be found fully discussed by Ntegeka and Willems (2008), and/or Willems (2013).

To understand the variability in rainfall intensity and/or the driving forces, the QPM was applied to:

1. series of annual rainfall totals and mean of SLP, SST or related variables in each year;
2. series of seasonal rainfall totals and mean of SLP, SST or related variables in each season.

3.2 Test of significance

The null hypothesis (H_0) that the observed temporal variability in the rainfall dataset in question is caused by only natural variability or randomness (i.e. there is no persistence in the temporal climate variation) was considered. To verify the said hypothesis at 5 % level of significance, nonparametric bootstrapping Monte Carlo simulations were used to test the statistical significance of the temporal variation. Some of the common approaches that exist to construct confidence intervals (CIs) in statistical hypothesis testing include Monte Carlo technique, and the Jackknife method (Tukey, 1958). Examples of Monte Carlo simulations applied in statistical modelling can be found in many researches (Beersma and Buishand, 2007; Chu and Wang, 1998; Davidson and Hinkley, 1997; Onyutha and Willems, 2013). Other statistical approaches of uncertainty assessment can be found elaborated in Montanari (2011). To derive bounds of variability using 95 % CI, nonparametric bootstrapping method (Davidson and Hinkley, 1997) was employed as follows:

1. The original full time series is randomly shuffled to obtain a new temporal sequence.
2. The new series is divided to obtain subseries each of length equal to the time slice period.
3. New temporal variation of anomalies is obtained by applying QPM to the shuffled series.
4. Steps 1, 2 and 3 are repeated 1000 times to obtain 1000 anomaly factors for each subseries.
5. The anomaly values are ranked from the highest to the lowest.
6. The limits (upper, lower) of the 95 % CI for each time moment are taken as the (25th, 975th) anomaly values.

Spatial and temporal variability of rainfall in the Nile Basin

C. Onyutha and
P. Willems

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)



[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)



Figure 2 shows an example of annual rainfall quantile anomaly series (QPM results) for station 7. The annual rainfall series is included to visualize the pattern of the quantile anomaly values in reference to the original time series. The up and down arrows indicate periods of oscillation high, OH (1940–1955) and low, OL (1956–mid 1960s) respectively. Since the upper 95% CI limit is up crossed by the anomaly values in the OH period, it means that the OH is statistically significant in the rainfall of the selected station.

3.3 Spatial differences in rainfall statistics

Differences between rainfall intensity at the selected stations were assessed in terms of patterns of their long-term monthly mean and temporal variability. For each month in the entire series, an average of the rainfall was calculated. By repeating the procedure for all the months, indication of which months fall in the wet or dry seasons was obtained. Graphically, similarity in the obtained patterns was compared jointly for rainfall of all the stations. The temporal patterns of anomaly (QPM results) in the rainfall of the different selected stations were also compared. This was also graphically carried out by examining the similarities in the co-occurrences of the OHs and OLs. Strong spatial differences of these rainfall statistics could indicate difference in possible driving forces of temporal variability across the study area. It can also help to indicate the period during which a particular region is characterized by dry or wet spells.

3.4 Correlation between changes in rainfall and anomalies in SLP, SST and/or climate indices

Any possible linkage of rainfall variability to large scale ocean–atmosphere interactions was sought using correlation analysis (at significance level of 5 and 1%) under the null hypothesis H_0 “there is no correlation between the rainfall QPM results and those of the SLP, SST or climate indices”. To locate the part of the world over which the driving influence for temporal variability in rainfall over the Nile Basin originates, correlation

Spatial and temporal variability of rainfall in the Nile Basin

C. Onyutha and
P. Willems

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Egypt (group C). Validation of the grouping of the rainfall stations was in terms of the temporal variability patterns as shown next.

Figure 4 shows temporal variability of quantile anomaly (QPM results) for annual rainfall at the different stations of each group. Just like for the long-term mean monthly rainfall, it is shown that these general patterns of the temporal variability at stations of each group are similar (Fig. 4).

For group A, the OL occurred in the late 1940s to 1950s. The OL of this period was not significant at 5% level of significance in any of the selected stations. The period from 1960 to mid 1980s was characterized by its change in annual rainfall above the reference; this OH was significant at stations 2, 4 and 7. This is consistent with the findings of Kiiza et al. (2009) that for the Lake Victoria Basin, there was a significant step jump in mean of annual rainfall in the 1960s. Generally, the oscillation patterns of the annual rainfall QPM results at stations of group A are consistent with the findings of Mbungu et al. (2012) and Nyeko-Ogiramoi et al. (2013) for the Lake Victoria Basin. For group B, generally the period 1930s to 1960 was characterized by anomalies above reference. This was significant at stations 9–11, 14–15, 19–20, 24, 26, 28, 30, and 32. Another period of OH was in the early 1920s to mid 1930s. This OH was significant at stations 18, 21–23, and 27. The period from 1960s to 1980s was below reference and this OL was significant at stations 10–13, 15, 17–21, 26–27, and 31–32. In line with this OL of the period 1960s to 1980s, Hulme (1992) found that the mean annual rainfall in the Sahel region for the decades 1970s and 1980s declined by 30%. For group C, the period of OL occurred in the late 1920s to 1930s. This was, however, based on only station 36 whose record starts in 1904. The OH occurred in the period from about 1940 to early 1950s. This was significant at stations 34–35 and 37. Though not significant at any station, OL occurred over the period of mid 1950s to 1970s. For the QPM results of rainfall in the main wet season (not shown) of each group, the stations at which there were significant OHs like for the annual rainfall (over the same period) were 2, 4 and 7 (MAM); 22–23, 26–27, and 32 (JJAS); 35–37 (ONDJF). However, there were some stations that exhibited significant OHs in the main wet season but not for the annual

Spatial and temporal variability of rainfall in the Nile Basin

C. Onyutha and
P. Willems

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



rainfall. These included stations 3 and 8 (for MAM of group A), 13 and 17 (for JJAS of group B). The OLS of the main seasons were insignificant at most stations except 18 and 20 over the periods 1922–1933 and 1920–1922 respectively.

4.2 Identification of drivers for the rainfall variability

Figure 5 shows locations over which possible influences for temporal variability of rainfall quantiles (QPM results) in the Nile Basin originate as determined using HadSPL2 data. Correlations for groups A to C are obtained over the periods in which each station had data records i.e. 1935–1970, 1954–1992 and 1945–1985 respectively. Eventually, the critical values of the correlation coefficients at significance level of 5% (1%) for groups A to C are 0.33(0.41), 0.32(0.41), and 0.31(0.41) respectively.

For group A, it is shown in Fig. 5a that the quantile anomalies of the region-wide annual rainfall is correlated to that of the annual SLP in the Indian Ocean and North America (positively), and Pacific Ocean, and Southern Ocean (around Antarctica) (negatively). For group B, it is shown that the annual rainfall variability is positively linked to SLP at the Pacific Ocean, and negatively to that at the Indian Ocean. This correlation is highly consistent with the findings of Taye and Willems (2011) for the annual rainfall over the Ethiopian highlands. According to Camberlin (1997) the part of the Nile Basin that receives the summer rains, i.e. JJAS season, has strong connection with the Indian monsoon. A deepened monsoon low increases the pressure gradient with the South Atlantic Ocean, resulting into strengthened moist south-westerlies/westerlies over Ethiopia, Sudan and Uganda (Camberlin, 2009). Tropical Easterly jet associated with the intense Indian monsoon is another factor, especially for the rainfall over the Sahelian belt (Grist and Nicholson, 2001). For group C, negative correlations can be seen in around the southern part of Latin America (i.e. southern Atlantic, and Pacific Ocean); however, positive correlations are obtained with influence from the Southern Ocean (near Antarctica), and though over a small area, also in the central Pacific Ocean.

Figure 6 shows oceanic regions with the variability of their HadSST2 series correlated to that of the annual rainfall over the Nile Basin. It is shown that positive influences

Spatial and temporal variability of rainfall in the Nile Basin

C. Onyutha and
P. Willems

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



of Asia), the contrastingly humid Congo air stream with westerly and southwesterly flow. The convergence zones include the ITCZ, the Congo Air boundary, and thirdly the zone that separates the dry, stable, northerly flow of Saharan origin and the moist southerly flow. In line with the above, the SLP differences were deemed to be taken at locations which fall on either side of the Nile Basin e.g. between Indian and Atlantic Ocean, Indian and Pacific Ocean, etc.

For the same locations shown in Fig. 7a–f, the values of the correlation between the SLP differences and rainfall for the different groups are shown in Table 3. The critical values of the correlation coefficients in Fig. 7 and Table 3 are similar to those for Fig. 5 and Fig. 6. It can be seen that the patterns of the SLP differences capture the temporal oscillation in rainfall well. This confirms that the decadal variability of rainfall over the Nile Basin is caused by decadal variability in atmospheric circulation over basin that brings moist air from the different oceans i.e. Pacific and Indian Oceans, or Atlantic and Indian Oceans.

Figure 8 shows QPM results for a time slice of 15 years in the annual rainfall at station 32 and those for climate indices or time series over the period 1902 to 1996. It is shown for the selected station 32 of group B that the primary influence is from the Atlantic Ocean as exhibited by the AMO index. This is confirmed by the correlation coefficients for all the group stations being positive and significant at significance levels of both 5 and 1%. According to Taye and Willems (2012) and Moges et al. (2014), the changes in the Pacific and Atlantic Oceans are the major natural causes of the variability in the annual rainfall over the Blue Nile Basin of Ethiopia. For the case of the AMO index, this is consistent, for the entire group B, with the finding of this study. However, for the influence from Pacific Ocean (in terms of PDO and SOI), it can be seen from Table 4 that the correlation coefficients for stations 11–12, 25 and 27 in Sudan are rather low. This could be because of the difference in regional influence from features such as topography, water bodies, transition in land cover and/or use etc. According to Nicholson (1996), the highlands of the Rift Valley block the moist, unstable westerly flow of the Congo air from reaching the coastal areas (especially of Ethiopia)

Spatial and temporal variability of rainfall in the Nile Basin

C. Onyutha and
P. Willems

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

the period 1930s to late 1950s (1960s to 1980s) was characterized by anomalies above (below) reference.

To find the driving forces for the temporal variability in rainfall over the Nile Basin, correlation analyses were carried out using global monthly sea level pressure (HadSLP2) and sea surface temperature (HadSST2). Considering the entire Nile Basin, QPM results of rainfall were found significantly correlated to those of SLP differences taken either over: (1) Atlantic and Indian Oceans, or (2) Pacific and Indian Oceans. However, for SST, influences from Pacific, Atlantic, and Indian Oceans mainly affect rainfall variability for group A positively. Correspondingly for group B and C, SST influences from these oceans are negative and originate mainly from the southern and central parts respectively.

Further investigations to support the obtained correlations from the SLP and SST were made using a total of 10 climate indices. For group A, significant influences appear to come from the North Atlantic Ocean, Niño 3, Niño 3.4 and the Indian Ocean. Correlations of group A with the Pacific Ocean-related indices (PDO and SOI) were inconclusive. The inter-annual variability of the rainfall of group B is positively linked to the influence from the Pacific Ocean, and negatively to that from the Indian Ocean. With respect to the main wet seasons, the June to September rainfall of group B has strong connection to the influence from Indian Ocean. However, possible links to Atlantic and Indian Ocean were found for March to May (October to February) rainfall of group A (C).

These findings are vital for water management and planning. To give just one example, they may help in obtaining improved quantiles for flood or drought/water scarcity risk management in a similar way as done by Taye and Willems (2011). This is especially important under conditions of data scarcity, which is typical of the Nile Basin. Apart from seeking links to large scale atmosphere–ocean interactions, other causes of rainfall variability should be investigated e.g. influence of regional features such as topography, water bodies, transition in land cover and/or use etc.

HESSD

11, 11945–11986, 2014

Spatial and temporal variability of rainfall in the Nile Basin

C. Onyutha and
P. Willems

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)

[⏪](#)

[⏩](#)

[◀](#)

[▶](#)

[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)



Spatial and temporal variability of rainfall in the Nile Basin

C. Onyutha and
P. Willems

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Kummu, M., Gerten, D., Heinke, J., Konzmann, M., and Varis, O.: Climate-driven interannual variability of water scarcity in food production potential: a global analysis, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 18, 447–461, doi:10.5194/hess-18-447-2014, 2014.

Legates, D. R. and Willmott, C. J.: Mean seasonal and spatial variability in global surface air temperature, *Theor. Appl. Climatol.*, 41, 11–21, 1990.

Lloyd, C. D.: Assessing the effect of integrating elevation data into the estimation of monthly precipitation in Great Britain, *J. Hydrol.*, 308, 128–150, 2005.

Lu, G. Y. and Wong, D. W.: An adaptive inverse-distance weighting spatial interpolation technique, *Comput. Geosci.*, 34, 1044–1055, 2008.

Lyon, B., and DeWitt, D. A.: A recent and abrupt decline in the East Africa long rains, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 39, L02702, doi:10.1029/2011GL050337, 2012.

Mantua, N. J., Hare, S. R., Zhang, Y., Wallace, J. M., and Francis, R. C.: A Pacific interdecadal climate oscillation with impacts on salmon production, *B. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, 78, 1069–1079, 1997.

Mbungu, W., Ntegeka, V., Kahimba, F. C., Taye, M., and Willems, P.: Temporal and spatial variations in hydro-climatic extremes in the Lake Victoria Basin, *Phys. Chem. Earth*, 50–52, 24–33, 2012.

McHugh, M. J. and Rogers, J. C.: North Atlantic Oscillation influence on precipitation variability around the Southeast African Convergence Zone, *J. Climate*, 14, 3631–3642, 2001.

Moges, S. A., Taye, M. T., Willems, P., and Gebremichael, M.: Exceptional pattern of extreme rainfall variability at urban centre of Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, *Urban Water J.*, 11, 596–604, 2014.

Montanari, A.: Uncertainty of hydrological predictions, in: *Treatise on Water Science*, edited by: Wilderer, P., Academic Press, Oxford, UK, 459–478, 2011.

Mora, D. E. and Willems, P.: Decadal oscillations in rainfall and air temperature in the Paute River Basin-Southern Andes of Ecuador, *Theor. Appl. Climatol.*, 108, 267–282, 2012.

Mpelasoka, F. S. and Chiew, F. H. S.: Influence of rainfall scenario construction methods on runoff projections, *J. Hydrometeorol.*, 10, 1168–1183, 2009.

Nachshon, U., Ireson, A., van der Kamp, G., Davies, S. R., and Wheeler, H. S.: Impacts of climate variability on wetland salinization in the North American prairies, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 18, 1251–1263, doi:10.5194/hess-18-1251-2014, 2014.

Nawaz, R., Bellerby, T., Sayed, M., and Elshamy, M.: Blue Nile runoff sensitivity to climate change, *Open Hydrol. J.*, 4, 137–151, 2010.

Spatial and temporal variability of rainfall in the Nile Basin

C. Onyutha and
P. Willems

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)

[⏪](#)

[⏩](#)

[◀](#)

[▶](#)

[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)



Nicholson, S. E.: A review of climate dynamics and climate variability in Eastern Africa, in: The Limnology, Climatology and Paleoclimatology of the East African Lakes, edited by: Johnson, T. C. and Odada, E. O., Gordon and Breach, Amsterdam, the Netherlands, 25–56, 1996.

5 Nicholson, S. E. and Entekhabi, D.: The quasi-periodic behavior of rainfall variability in Africa and its relationship to Southern Oscillation, *J. Clim. Appl. Meteorol.*, 26, 561–578, 1986.

Nicholson, S. E. and Kim, J.: The relationship of the El-Niño Southern Oscillation to African rainfall, *Int. J. Climatol.*, 17, 117–135, 1997.

10 Ntegeka, V. and Willems, P.: Trends and multidecadal oscillations in rainfall extremes, based on a more than 100 year time series of 10 min rainfall intensities at Uccle, Belgium, *Water Resour. Res.*, 44, W07402, doi:10.1029/2007WR006471, 2008.

Nyeko-Ogiramoi, P., Willems, P., Mutua, F. M., and Moges, S. A.: An elusive search for regional flood frequency estimates in the River Nile basin, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 16, 3149–3163, doi:10.5194/hess-16-3149-2012, 2012.

15 Nyeko-Ogiramoi, P., Willems, P., and Gaddi-Ngirane, K.: Trend and variability in observed hydrometeorological extremes in the Lake Victoria Basin, *J. Hydrol.*, 489, 56–73, 2013.

Ogallo, L. J.: Relationships between seasonal rainfall in East Africa and the Southern Oscillation, *J. Climatol.*, 8, 31–43, 1988.

20 Ogallo, L. J.: The spatial and temporal patterns of the eastern Africa seasonal rainfall derived from principal component analysis, *Int. J. Climatol.*, 9, 145–167, 1989.

Onyutha, C. and Willems, P.: Uncertainties in flow-duration-frequency relationships of high and low flow extremes in Lake Victoria Basin, *Water*, 5, 1561–1579, 2013.

25 Onyutha, C. and Willems, P.: Empirical statistical characterisation and regionalisation of amplitude-duration-frequency curves for extreme peak flows in the Lake Victoria Basin, *Hydrol. Sci. J.*, doi:10.1080/02626667.2014.898846, in press, 2014a.

Onyutha, C. and Willems, P.: Uncertainty in calibrating generalised Pareto distribution to rainfall extremes in Lake Victoria Basin, *Hydrol. Res.*, doi:10.2166/nh.2014.052, in press, 2014b.

30 Osman, Y. Z. and Shamseldin, A. Y.: Qualitative rainfall prediction models for central and southern Sudan using El Niño-southern oscillation and Indian Ocean sea surface temperature Indices, *Int. J. Climatol.*, 22, 1861–1878, 2002.

Philipps, J. and McIntyre, B.: ENSO and interannual rainfall variability in Uganda: implications for agricultural management, *Int. J. Climatol.*, 20, 171–182, 2000.

Spatial and temporal variability of rainfall in the Nile Basin

C. Onyutha and
P. Willems

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



- Pittock, A. B.: Patterns of climatic variation in Argentina and Chile, I: Precipitation, 1931–60, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 108, 1347–1361, 1980.
- Pittock, A. B.: On the reality, stability and usefulness of Southern Hemisphere teleconnections, *Aust. Meteorol. Mag.*, 32, 75–82, 1984.
- 5 Rayner, N. A., Parker, D. E., Horton, E. B., Folland, C. K., Alexander, L. V., Rowell, D. P., Kent, E. C., and Kaplan, A.: Global analyses of sea surface temperature, sea ice, and night marine air temperature since the late nineteenth century, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, 4407, doi:10.1029/2002JD002670, 2003.
- Rayner, N. A., Brohan, P., Parker, D. E., Folland, C. F., Kennedy, J. J., Vanicek, M., Ansell, T., and
10 Tett, S. F. B.: Improved analyses of changes and uncertainties in sea surface temperature measured in situ since the mid-nineteenth century: the HadSST2 data set, *J. Climate*, 19, 446–469, 2006.
- Ropelewski, C. F. and Halpert, M. S.: Global and regional scale precipitation patterns associated with El Niño/Southern Oscillation, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 115, 1606–1626, 1987.
- 15 Ropelewski, C. F. and Jones, P. D.: An extension of the Tahiti-Darwin Southern Oscillation Index, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 115, 2161–2165, 1987.
- Rudolf, B. and Rubel, F.: Global precipitation, in: *Observed Global Climate: Numerical Data and Functional Relationships in Science and Technology–New Series, Group 5: Geophysics, 6(A)*, edited by: Hantel, M., Springer, Berlin, 11.1–11.53, 2005.
- 20 Schreck, C. J. and Semazzi, F. H. M.: Variability of the recent climate of Eastern Africa, *Int. J. Climatol.*, 24, 681–701, 2004.
- Segele, Z. T. and Lamb, P. J.: Characterization and variability of Kiremt rainy season over Ethiopia, *Meteorol. Atmos. Phys.*, 89, 153–180, 2005.
- Seiller, G. and Anctil, F.: Climate change impacts on the hydrologic regime of a Canadian river: comparing uncertainties arising from climate natural variability and lumped hydrological model structures, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 18, 2033–2047, doi:10.5194/hess-18-2033-2014, 2014.
- 25 Seleshi, Y. and Demarée, G. R.: Rainfall variability in the Ethiopian and Eritrean highlands and its links with the Southern Oscillation Index, *J. Biogeogr.*, 22, 945–952, 1995.
- 30 Seleshi, Y. and Zanke, U.: Recent changes in rainfall and rainy days in Ethiopia, *Int. J. Climatol.*, 24, 973–983, 2004.
- Semazzi, F. H. M. and Indeje, M.: Inter-seasonal variability of ENSO rainfall signal over Africa, *J. Afr. Meteorol. Soc.*, 4, 81–94, 1999.

Table 1. Overview of selected stations and their annual rainfall data.

SNo.	Station	ID	Long.	Lat.	From	To	C_v	C_s	K_u	LTM
1	Kabale	UG19KBL0	29.98	-1.25	1917	1993	0.17	0.49	0.07	1002.6
2	Namasagali	UG12NMSG	32.93	1.00	1915	1978	0.19	1.89	6.12	1255.7
3	Igabiro	TZ11GBR0	31.53	-1.78	1931	1982	0.24	0.82	0.80	1197.6
4	Kibondo	TZ30KBND	30.68	-3.57	1926	1978	0.29	2.47	9.50	1209.1
5	Ngudu	TZ23NGD0	33.33	-2.93	1928	1971	0.33	1.61	3.88	870.1
6	Shanwa	TZ33SHNW	33.75	-3.15	1931	1985	0.24	0.68	0.28	829.0
7	Tarime	TZ14TRM0	34.37	-1.35	1933	1975	0.20	1.48	1.94	1474.7
8	Bujumbura	BI39BJMB	29.32	-3.32	1930	2004	0.18	0.27	0.09	251.4
9	El-Da-Ein	SD16LDB0	26.10	11.38	1943	1990	0.27	0.17	-0.03	473.7
10	El-Fasher	SD35LFSH	25.33	13.62	1917	1996	0.43	1.22	1.92	260.5
11	El-Obeid	SD30LBD0	30.23	13.17	1902	1996	0.32	0.53	0.39	355.9
12	En-Nahud	SD28NNHD	28.43	12.70	1911	1996	0.28	0.39	1.00	384.1
13	Er-Rahad	SD20RRHD	30.60	12.70	1931	1984	0.31	0.80	3.21	431.9
14	Fashashoya	SD32FSHS	32.50	13.40	1946	1988	0.32	0.04	-0.11	297.4
15	Garcila	SD23GRCL	23.12	12.35	1943	1986	0.30	1.97	6.75	671.6
16	Hawata	SD34HWT0	34.60	13.40	1941	1988	0.23	-0.19	1.35	571.2
17	Jebelein	SD22JBLN	32.78	12.57	1927	1988	0.29	-0.50	-0.40	377.4
18	Kassala	SD56KSSL	36.40	15.47	1901	1996	0.30	-0.04	-0.18	297.8
19	Kubbum	SD13KBBM	23.77	11.78	1943	1985	0.27	-0.01	-0.23	645.5
20	Kutum	SD44KTM0	24.67	14.20	1929	1990	0.40	0.16	0.28	288.1
21	Nyala	SD24NYL0	24.88	12.05	1920	1996	0.29	0.11	-0.30	439.5
22	Renk	SD12RNK0	32.78	11.75	1906	1987	0.20	0.35	-0.09	507.5
23	Shambat-Obs.	SD52SHMB	32.53	15.67	1913	1993	0.94	2.99	11.31	166.5
24	Shendi	SD63SHND	33.43	16.70	1937	1990	0.74	1.16	2.08	100.1
25	Talodi	SD01TLD0	31.38	10.60	1916	1987	0.23	1.12	3.57	791.5
26	Talodi-M-Agr.	SD00TLDM	30.50	10.60	1942	1985	0.21	0.41	-0.35	774.2
27	Umm-Ruwaba	SD21MMRW	31.20	12.80	1912	1989	0.32	1.93	9.26	365.3
28	Wau	SD78W000	28.02	7.70	1904	1990	0.16	0.26	-0.34	1109.8
29	Combolcha	ET19KMBL	39.72	11.08	1952	1996	0.17	-0.84	0.69	1031.8
30	Debre Marcos	ET07DBRM	37.72	10.35	1954	1998	0.11	0.82	0.59	1335.4
31	Gambela	ET84GMBL	34.58	8.25	1905	1993	0.22	0.00	0.25	1244.6
32	Gore	ET85GR00	35.55	8.17	1946	1996	0.20	1.40	2.15	2163.0
33	Wenji	ET89WNJ0	39.25	8.42	1951	1994	0.28	-0.76	1.92	786.9
34	Asswan	EG32SSWN	32.78	23.97	1935	1986	1.92	2.15	3.77	1.2
35	Asyut	EG71SYT0	31.17	27.20	1925	1986	1.95	2.50	5.86	1.9
36	Helwan	EG91HLWN	31.33	29.87	1904	1988	0.72	1.39	2.07	25.7
37	Qena	EG62QN00	32.72	26.15	1935	1986	1.78	2.17	4.11	2.4

Long.: longitude [°] Lat.: Latitude [°]

Spatial and temporal variability of rainfall in the Nile Basin

C. Onyutha and P. Willems

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

⏪ ⏩

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Spatial and temporal variability of rainfall in the Nile Basin

C. Onyutha and
P. Willems

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Table 3. Correlation between rainfall and SLP differences taken at locations shown in Fig. 7.

Group-A	Annual	MAM	Group-B (continued)	Annual	JJAS
Kabale	-0.62	0.05	Nyala	-0.69	-0.71
Namasagali	-0.4	-0.6	Renk	-0.63	-0.42
Igabiro	-0.65	-0.57	Shambat-Obs.	-0.56	-0.5
Kibondo	-0.71	-0.63	Shendi	-0.88	-0.75
Ngudu	-0.53	-0.5	Talodi	-0.58	-0.63
Shanwa	-0.63	-0.55	Talodi-Min.Agric.	-0.82	-0.81
Tarime	-0.5	-0.81	Umm-Ruwaba	-0.82	-0.82
Bujumbura	-0.68	-0.61	Wau	-0.76	-0.83
Region-wide rainfall	-0.75	-0.85	Combolcha	-0.71	-0.64
Group-B	Annual	JJAS	Debremarcos	Annual	JJAS
El-Da-Ein	-0.86	-0.78	Gambela	-0.72	-0.65
El-Fasher	-0.87	-0.63	Gore	-0.5	-0.32
El-Obeid	-0.8	-0.84	Wenji	-0.14	-0.13
En-Nahud	-0.7	-0.65	Region-wide rainfall	-0.88	-0.82
Er-Rahad	Annual	JJAS	Group-C	Annual	ONDJF
Fashashoya	-0.4	-0.25	Asswan	-0.53	-0.2
Garcila	-0.69	-0.72	Asyut	-0.66	-0.2
Hawata	-0.55	-0.66	Helwan	-0.72	-0.59
Jebelein	-0.64	-0.55	Qena	-0.59	-0.28
Kassala	-0.84	-0.63	Region-wide rainfall	-0.72	-0.59
Kubbum	-0.79	-0.69			
Kutum	-0.85	-0.71			

Spatial and temporal variability of rainfall in the Nile Basin

C. Onyutha and
P. Willems

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Table 4. Correlation between QPM results for annual rainfall and climate indices.

SNo.	Station name	NAO	NPI	PDO	Climate indices and/or time series							Critical values		
					SOI	TPI	IOD	Niño 3	Niño 3.4	Niño 4	AMO	$\alpha = 5\%$	$\alpha = 1\%$	
Group A														
1	Kabale	-0.70	-0.13	0.07	-0.33	0.29	0.54	0.04	-0.04	0.03	-0.23	0.25	0.33	
2	Namasagali	-0.64	0.48	-0.49	0.08	0.15	0.60	-0.22	-0.12	-0.18	-0.32	0.28	0.37	
3	Igabiro	-0.88	0.30	-0.16	0.40	0.81	0.77	0.59	0.50	0.16	-0.91	0.32	0.41	
4	Kibondo	-0.85	0.00	0.12	0.21	0.67	0.67	0.56	0.53	0.31	-0.79	0.32	0.41	
5	Ngudu	-0.84	-0.43	0.56	-0.25	0.63	-0.16	0.83	0.89	0.79	-0.40	0.36	0.46	
6	Shanwa	-0.78	0.25	-0.19	0.36	0.76	0.72	0.62	0.50	0.09	-0.87	0.31	0.40	
7	Tarime	-0.81	0.56	-0.38	0.43	0.58	0.42	0.49	0.60	0.28	-0.90	0.37	0.47	
8	Bujumbura	-0.49	0.25	-0.17	0.19	0.40	0.40	0.25	0.18	-0.05	-0.81	0.25	0.34	
Group B														
9	El-Da-Ein	0.59	0.69	-0.76	0.53	-0.87	-0.83	-0.88	-0.61	-0.36	0.94	0.34	0.44	
10	El-Fasher	-0.01	0.42	-0.41	0.67	-0.56	-0.80	-0.51	-0.23	-0.33	0.75	0.24	0.32	
11	El-Obeid	0.05	0.00	-0.21	0.26	-0.62	-0.52	-0.61	-0.46	-0.34	0.86	0.22	0.30	
12	En-Nahud	0.02	0.07	-0.20	0.51	-0.53	-0.79	-0.48	-0.30	-0.34	0.84	0.23	0.31	
13	Er-Rahad	0.44	0.26	-0.22	0.08	-0.62	-0.78	-0.59	-0.22	-0.07	0.76	0.31	0.41	
14	Fashashoya	0.08	0.81	-0.82	0.59	-0.77	-0.68	-0.72	-0.09	0.10	0.77	0.37	0.47	
15	Garcia	0.62	0.69	-0.81	0.42	-0.81	-0.80	-0.88	-0.51	-0.17	0.91	0.36	0.46	
16	Hawata	0.41	0.71	-0.76	0.51	-0.84	-0.70	-0.78	-0.43	-0.22	0.85	0.34	0.44	
17	Jebelein	0.11	0.26	-0.18	0.25	-0.46	-0.67	-0.45	0.01	0.20	0.81	0.29	0.37	
18	Kassala	0.27	0.48	-0.24	0.56	-0.45	-0.80	-0.51	-0.39	-0.53	0.39	0.22	0.30	
19	Kubbum	0.76	0.61	-0.75	0.23	-0.86	-0.90	-0.83	-0.45	-0.14	0.96	0.37	0.47	
20	Kutum	0.19	0.43	-0.41	0.46	-0.69	-0.75	-0.68	-0.26	-0.08	0.81	0.29	0.37	
21	Nyala	-0.27	0.32	-0.33	0.81	-0.35	-0.70	-0.43	-0.21	-0.43	0.62	0.25	0.33	
22	Renk	0.35	-0.28	0.19	0.27	-0.27	-0.53	0.03	0.18	0.26	0.57	0.24	0.32	
23	Shambat-Obs.	-0.17	0.24	-0.34	0.45	-0.47	-0.53	-0.58	-0.31	-0.28	0.77	0.24	0.32	
24	Shendi	0.47	0.57	-0.68	0.38	-0.83	-0.84	-0.78	-0.43	-0.21	0.90	0.31	0.41	
25	Talodi	0.67	0.10	-0.06	0.14	-0.56	-0.86	-0.33	-0.09	0.05	0.76	0.26	0.34	
26	Talodi-M-Agr.	0.76	0.65	-0.79	0.28	-0.83	-0.90	-0.81	-0.45	-0.22	0.98	0.36	0.46	
27	Umm-Ruwaba	0.13	0.04	-0.13	0.06	-0.53	-0.67	-0.47	-0.18	0.03	0.90	0.25	0.33	
28	Wau	0.28	0.41	-0.55	0.37	-0.64	-0.47	-0.58	-0.22	-0.08	0.53	0.23	0.31	
29	Combolcha	-0.49	0.81	-0.77	0.61	-0.74	-0.57	-0.76	-0.25	-0.23	0.74	0.36	0.45	
30	Debremarcos	-0.53	0.83	-0.75	0.58	-0.71	-0.53	-0.69	-0.17	-0.18	0.74	0.36	0.45	
31	Gambela	0.00	0.32	-0.52	0.46	-0.65	-0.46	-0.74	-0.45	-0.37	0.71	0.23	0.31	
32	Gore	-0.62	0.92	-0.89	0.80	-0.56	-0.32	-0.75	-0.41	-0.43	0.44	0.33	0.42	
33	Wenji	-0.43	0.88	-0.79	0.59	-0.51	-0.32	-0.71	-0.17	-0.19	0.62	0.36	0.46	
Group C														
34	Asswan	0.46	-0.50	0.37	-0.52	-0.47	-0.21	-0.33	-0.31	0.09	0.58	0.32	0.41	
35	Asyut	0.09	-0.71	0.66	-0.59	-0.05	-0.23	0.13	0.16	0.39	0.52	0.29	0.37	
36	Helwan	0.71	0.43	0.13	0.04	-0.14	-0.46	-0.09	-0.10	-0.18	-0.19	0.23	0.31	
37	Qena	0.43	-0.58	0.44	-0.53	-0.42	-0.10	-0.28	-0.36	0.03	0.45	0.32	0.41	

Correlation coefficients significant at levels of both 5 and 1% are in bold.

Table 5. Correlation between anomaly in full series season rainfall and corresponding climate indices.

SNo.	Station name	Climate indices and/or time series										Critical values		
		NAO	NPI	PDO	SOI	TPI	IOD	Niño 3	Niño 3.4	Niño 4	AMO	$\alpha = 5\%$	$\alpha = 1\%$	
Group A – MAM Season														
1	Kabale	-0.40	-0.40	0.27	-0.43	0.14	0.39	0.00	-0.18	-0.02	-0.05	0.25	0.33	
2	Namasagali	-0.57	0.46	-0.36	0.39	0.43	0.58	0.16	0.24	0.06	-0.71	0.28	0.37	
3	Igabiro	-0.83	-0.04	0.13	0.15	0.79	0.89	0.62	0.43	0.19	-0.86	0.32	0.41	
4	Kibondo	-0.60	0.31	-0.24	0.32	0.42	0.81	0.20	0.18	0.03	-0.92	0.32	0.41	
5	Ngudu	-0.73	-0.69	0.77	-0.34	0.78	-0.25	0.85	0.79	0.69	0.06	0.36	0.46	
6	Shanwa	0.25	0.46	-0.56	0.30	-0.12	-0.20	-0.19	-0.06	-0.12	0.04	0.31	0.4	
7	Tarime	-0.79	0.49	-0.30	0.39	0.45	0.27	0.50	0.62	0.33	-0.80	0.37	0.47	
8	Bujumbura	-0.14	0.03	0.09	-0.18	0.46	0.36	0.46	0.45	0.30	-0.73	0.25	0.34	
Group B – JJAS Season														
9	El-Da-Ein	0.60	0.68	-0.73	0.50	-0.88	-0.87	-0.86	-0.55	-0.31	0.96	0.34	0.44	
10	El-Fasher	-0.02	0.43	-0.41	0.69	-0.53	-0.80	-0.49	-0.21	-0.33	0.73	0.24	0.32	
11	El-Obeid	0.00	0.05	-0.25	0.32	-0.61	-0.55	-0.62	-0.46	-0.38	0.83	0.22	0.3	
12	En-Nahud	-0.12	0.27	-0.45	0.62	-0.60	-0.69	-0.64	-0.45	-0.50	0.77	0.23	0.31	
13	Er-Rahad	0.15	0.53	-0.43	0.28	-0.45	-0.59	-0.45	-0.02	-0.02	0.50	0.31	0.41	
14	Fashashoya	0.09	0.78	-0.79	0.55	-0.79	-0.70	-0.69	-0.05	0.13	0.78	0.37	0.47	
15	Garcila	0.64	0.67	-0.79	0.39	-0.81	-0.82	-0.87	-0.50	-0.15	0.92	0.36	0.46	
16	Hawata	0.22	0.75	-0.75	0.50	-0.73	-0.68	-0.63	-0.18	-0.03	0.77	0.34	0.44	
17	Jebelain	0.08	0.36	-0.26	0.34	-0.43	-0.69	-0.44	0.04	0.18	0.75	0.29	0.37	
18	Kassala	0.22	0.48	-0.23	0.64	-0.39	-0.78	-0.46	-0.33	-0.53	0.33	0.22	0.3	
19	Kubbum	0.74	0.63	-0.74	0.20	-0.87	-0.93	-0.80	-0.38	-0.08	0.97	0.37	0.47	
20	Kutum	0.16	0.45	-0.43	0.47	-0.70	-0.74	-0.67	-0.24	-0.07	0.79	0.29	0.37	
21	Nyala	-0.25	0.43	-0.43	0.82	-0.42	-0.70	-0.50	-0.26	-0.46	0.61	0.25	0.33	
22	Renk	0.32	-0.25	0.06	0.26	-0.35	-0.44	-0.09	0.04	0.16	0.56	0.24	0.32	
23	Shambat-Obs.	-0.49	0.13	-0.10	0.49	-0.09	-0.42	-0.17	0.11	-0.04	0.46	0.24	0.32	
24	Shendi	0.17	0.50	-0.54	0.37	-0.52	-0.63	-0.47	-0.16	-0.15	0.56	0.31	0.41	
25	Talodi	0.62	0.25	-0.19	0.19	-0.56	-0.81	-0.32	-0.05	0.07	0.67	0.26	0.34	
26	Talodi-M-Agr.	0.67	0.70	-0.79	0.24	-0.78	-0.90	-0.71	-0.29	-0.09	0.97	0.36	0.46	
27	Umm-Ruwaba	0.02	0.15	-0.18	0.20	-0.45	-0.64	-0.39	-0.06	0.08	0.79	0.25	0.33	
28	Wau	0.39	0.19	-0.39	0.01	-0.75	-0.45	-0.70	-0.50	-0.25	0.66	0.23	0.31	
29	Combolcha	-0.68	0.89	-0.92	0.81	-0.69	-0.39	-0.89	-0.51	-0.48	0.55	0.36	0.45	
30	Debre Marcos	-0.33	0.68	-0.57	0.41	-0.75	-0.71	-0.57	-0.04	-0.03	0.86	0.36	0.45	
31	Gambela	0.10	0.40	-0.57	0.46	-0.68	-0.42	-0.82	-0.61	-0.53	0.62	0.23	0.31	
32	Gore	-0.66	0.89	-0.85	0.78	-0.49	-0.23	-0.70	-0.37	-0.40	0.36	0.33	0.42	
33	Wenji	-0.41	0.87	-0.78	0.59	-0.45	-0.26	-0.70	-0.20	-0.22	0.58	0.36	0.46	
Group C – ONDJF Season														
34	Asswan	0.39	-0.17	0.16	-0.38	-0.47	-0.54	-0.24	0.05	0.25	0.66	0.32	0.41	
35	Asyut	-0.06	-0.79	0.80	-0.53	0.05	-0.40	0.30	0.34	0.46	0.57	0.29	0.37	
36	Helwan	0.80	0.55	-0.01	0.28	-0.09	-0.51	-0.01	-0.01	-0.21	-0.28	0.23	0.31	
37	Qena	0.56	-0.48	0.36	-0.53	-0.56	-0.30	-0.39	-0.37	0.05	0.63	0.32	0.41	

Correlation coefficients significant at levels of both 5 and 1 % are in bold.

Spatial and temporal variability of rainfall in the Nile Basin

C. Onyutha and P. Willems

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Spatial and temporal variability of rainfall in the Nile Basin

C. Onyutha and
P. Willems




Figure 1. Locations of the selected meteorological stations (see Table 1 for details) in the Nile Basin; the background is based on the LTM.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Spatial and temporal variability of rainfall in the Nile Basin

C. Onyutha and
P. Willems




Figure 2. Annual rainfall and QPM results for a time slice of 15 years and period 1935–1970.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Spatial and temporal variability of rainfall in the Nile Basin

C. Onyutha and
P. Willems




Figure 3. Long-term mean monthly rainfall pattern for group (a) A, (b) B, and (c) C.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Spatial and temporal variability of rainfall in the Nile Basin

C. Onyutha and
P. Willems




Figure 4. QPM results for annual rainfall using a time slice of 15 years and rainfall stations for group (a) A, (b) B, (c) C.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)



[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)



Spatial and temporal variability of rainfall in the Nile Basin

C. Onyutha and
P. Willems




Figure 5. Correlation between anomaly in annual SLP and that in region-wide annual rainfall for group (a) A, (b) B, (c) C.

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

⏪ ⏩

⏴ ⏵

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Spatial and temporal variability of rainfall in the Nile Basin

C. Onyutha and
P. Willems




Figure 6. Correlation between annual SST and region-wide annual rainfall for group (a) A, (b) B, (c) C.

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[⏪](#)
[⏩](#)
[⏴](#)
[⏵](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)

Spatial and temporal variability of rainfall in the Nile Basin

C. Onyutha and
P. Willems




Figure 7. Annual SLP differences and rainfall at selected stations of the different groups A–C for a time slice of 5 years; the group labels are in (). The label of a legend indicates the coordinates (degree longitude and latitude) from where the SLP differences were taken. The label in { } show the locations where the coordinates are found. Annual and seasonal time scales are shown in charts (a)–(c) and (d)–(f) respectively.

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)


Spatial and temporal variability of rainfall in the Nile Basin

C. Onyutha and
P. Willems




Figure 8. QPM results for annual rainfall and climate indices; the correlation coefficient between the two curves of each chart is put as label in {}.

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)

Spatial and temporal variability of rainfall in the Nile Basin

C. Onyutha and
P. Willems




Figure A1. Correlation between season-based SLP and region-wide rainfall for group (a) A, (b) B, (c) C.

Spatial and temporal variability of rainfall in the Nile Basin

C. Onyutha and
P. Willems




Figure B1. Correlation between season-based SLP and region-wide rainfall for group (a) A, (b) B, (c) C.