

Interactive comment on “Spatial distribution of stable water isotopes in alpine snow cover” by N. Dietermann and M. Weiler

P. Brooks (Referee)

brooks@hwr.arizona.edu

Received and published: 26 March 2013

General Comments

Before I begin my review I want to convey my condolences to the family and friends of Nicolai Dietermann. This paper makes it clear that he was an insightful researcher and lover of snow covered mountains; I am pleased to see his thesis research published here and sorry that I won't have the opportunity to review more of his work. I commend his adviser for carrying this project forward in his memory.

Dietermann and Weiler present data and analysis from a notably large snow isotope sampling effort performed shortly before and during the 2010 snowmelt season in four mountain catchments. Spanning over 1000m in elevation and including both Northerly

C474

and Southerly aspects, this is one of the largest spatial and temporal data sets on snow water isotopes in temperate mountain systems obtained to date. The authors demonstrate that the isotopic content varies along elevation gradients (presumably due to temperature effects on precipitation formation), by latitude (presumably due to distance from water source), by season (presumably due to differences in isotopic controls during accumulation and ablation season), and by aspect (presumably due to differential enrichment during ablation).

When taken together, these relationships clearly demonstrate that 1) spatial variability in potential snowmelt water isotopes before melt is high, and that 2) the timing of melt water isotopic input varies with catchment morphology. The combination of spatial and temporal variability in water isotopes demonstrated by this work, the large spatial extent of sampling, and the relationships to putative controls on isotopic content make this a valuable addition to predictive catchment hydrology in seasonally snow covered systems. Given the importance of snow cover in montane catchments to downstream water resources for over 1 billion people worldwide, advances in placing variability in snow cover within catchment hydrological response is a critical area for research.

This paper represents an advance in our understanding of the spatial variability snow water isotopic input, and I suggest that the authors say that this study is examining the spatial and temporal variability in potential meltwater isotopic signature rather than the processes contributing to spatial variability. Topographic and morphological data provide insight in how this variability may be distributed in space, and provides hints at processes, but without fresh snow samples, and detailed temperature, vapor pressure, or wind speed and pressure pumping profiles within the snowpack this variability cannot be attributed definitively to specific processes. The observed patterns in snow water isotopes and their relationship to variability in both topography and snow depth do suggest that spatial variability in energy balance controls on net snow water input also leaves a detectable signal in snow water isotopes.

Specific comments on results and discussion

C475

The attempt to combine samples before and after melt began is understandable given the focus is on meltwater inputs; more samples are likely to increase statistical power if they are sampled from the same population, or in other words representative of the same set of processes. In this case however, the processes that result in spatial variability in snow water isotopes before and after melt are quite different suggesting that these are two unique populations or sample sets and I suggest that they likely would be best analyzed independently. The processes occurring before melt contribute to the potential snowpack meltwater isotopic signature, while the processes occurring after melt reflect how this signature, developed during an extended period of net accumulation, evolves as snow water inputs are partitioned during the melt season. A reader unfamiliar with snow water isotopes may read the current paper and incorrectly assume that isotopic differences are only related to variability during snowfall and snowmelt and that these process will exhibit similar spatial patterns.

For the processes during the net accumulation period, Dietermann and Weiler present a nice discussion on how elevation may affect the precipitation signal and how physical redistribution (avalanche and wind scour/ deposition) may subsequently modify those inputs. Processes associated with vapor exchanges between the snowpack and the atmosphere however, are less well represented and the data from the first ascents in each catchment suggest that these exchanges vary in space. Although vapor exchange is bidirectional during the accumulation season, sublimation (and within pack evaporation from partial melt before the snowpack becomes ripe) typically is larger than condensation ranging from 10% - 20% of cumulative snowfall in sites with low vapor pressure deficits and/or stable boundary layers (Leydecker and Melack 1999, Link and Marks 1999, Hood et al. 1999) to 40% or more in drier, warmer, and/or more turbulent environments (Montesi et al 2004, Headstrom and Pomeroy 1998, Elder et al. 2004, Molotch et al. 2005, Harpold et al. 2013). More broadly, the resultant patterns in net snow accumulation are consistently and strongly related to local energy balance including shading and scattering of radiation from adjacent slopes and vegetation (Cline et al. 1998, Elder et al. 1991, Rinehart et al. 2009; Veatch et al. 2009).

C476

Specific to this work, the large deviations in first ascent 2H values from the expected decrease with elevation suggest that there is significant enrichment in some locations. Barring melt, a likely explanation for this enrichment is water vapor losses from the snowpack. Snowpacks exposed to high solar radiation during the accumulation season exhibit kinetic fractionation of water isotopes (Gustafson et al. 2010; Biederman et al. 2012) although equilibrium fraction also could be expected to increase 2H values relative to fresh snow (Earman et al. 2006). Furthermore, Groot Zwafink (2013) has suggested that sublimation of blowing snow is minimal in the region with most vapor fluxes occurring from a stable snow surface. Thus, one would expect that locations that exhibit more enriched values are subject to greater sublimation fluxes and thus delta snow should be larger and negative while those that are more depleted should represent protected environments, have positive delta snow values, and have minimal changes in isotopic content that follow the local meteoric water line. Although a distributed energy and mass balance model, including remote shading and scattering, would be helpful in confirming this interpretation of the data, the general enrichment of 2H with elevation on south facing slopes before melt is consistent with higher vapor fluxes in higher elevations that are less likely to be topographically shaded. These observations further highlight the importance of radiative forcing on snow pack mass and energy balance. Overall, the data in Figures 3, 4, and 5 on 2H and delta snow depth relationships with aspect and elevation before melt seem consistent with spatial distribution in vapor exchange with the atmosphere.

Once spatial variability in the meltwater isotopic signal has been set during accumulation, the isotopic content of the snowpack during the ablation season is affected by melt (e.g. Taylor et al. 2001), bi-directional vapor exchange with the atmosphere (Hood et al. 1999), and new snowfall (although this effect should be relatively small given the large volume of snow accumulated over the winter at these sites). In this data set, the similarity in elevation – 2H slopes during the accumulation and ablation seasons suggests that spatial variability in isotopic input is largely set during the accumulation season, while deviations from the slope set in winter suggest that temporal evolution

C477

of melt season processes plays an important role in isotopic input. Notable in the data however is the enrichment in 2H at mid elevations of northerly slopes in the Engstligen catchment and at higher elevations in the Laschadura catchment. The concurrence in spatial patterns in enrichment between accumulation and ablation samples in the Engstligen catchment suggests that this is a high energy environment subject to enrichment from sublimation and evaporation. Greater 2H enrichment in Laschadura similarly could be a function either of melt rate, evaporative enrichment in a high energy environment, or possibly condensation on to a cold snowpack underlying a warmer atmosphere with higher specific humidity (Hood et al. 1999).

Technical and editorial comments

The paper would be considerably strengthened through the inclusion of a local meteoric water line with symbols for individual values for each snow sample. This probably would be a two part figure with one panel for accumulation and one for ablation. You also need one line for the western catchment and one for the three eastern catchments given differences in inputs. These figures place the work in a much broader context by showing actual values along with any indication of equilibrium and possible kinetic fractionation.

Similarly, any information on snowpack isotopic variability or physical structure with depth would be very helpful in interpreting these results. The development of faceted crystals at depth and ice layers throughout can be used to assess the likelihood of meltwater loss. If the snowpack structure reflects long-term metamorphism throughout the winter, then causes of isotopic variability can be limited to precipitation, physical redistribution, or vapor loss, greatly increasing the strength of inferences drawn about processes affecting spatial variability.

The methods describe two sampling events per catchment/ slope, but the data in figure 3 present from one to three ascents per catchment/ slope. Clarifying the number of observations would be helpful.

C478

Line 1. Consider revising to “The stable water isotopes 18O and 2H have been used for over 40 years to . . .”

Line 5. Consider changing “they have become” to “they are a commonly used tracer. . .”

Lines 17-20 and 21 – 23. It is not correct that snowpacks preserve the isotopic content of each snowfall except due to snow mass transfer. The initial isotopic content of snowfall contributes to the integrated snowpack signal, but both equilibrium and kinetic fractionation modify snow water isotopic content. This is a function of temperature and resultant vapor pressure gradients both within the snowpack and between the snowpack and atmosphere. One should not expect complete sublimation of entire snow crystals and enrichment as you discuss at the end of this paragraph occurs due to both equilibrium processes (your current references) as well as kinetic fractionation (Gustafson et al. 2010; Biederman et al. 2012)

Page 11 “proof” could be changed to “demonstrate”

References

Biederman, J. A., Brooks, P. D., Harpold, A. A., Gutmann, E., Gochis, D. J., Reed, D. E., & Pendall, E. (2012). Multi-scale Observations of Snow Accumulation and Peak Snowpack Following Widespread, Insect-induced Lodgepole Pine Mortality. *Ecohydrology* 5 NOV 2012, DOI: 10.1002/eco.1342

Cline, D. W., R. C. Bales, and J. Dozier (1998), Estimating the spatial distribution of snow in mountain basins using remote sensing and energy balance modeling, *Water Resour. Res.*, 34(5), 1275–1285, doi:10.1029/97WR03755.

Earman, S., A. R. Campbell, F. M. Phillips, and B. D. Newman (2006), Isotopic exchange between snow and atmospheric water vapor: Estimation of the snowmelt component of groundwater recharge in the southwestern United States, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 111, D09302, doi:10.1029/2005JD006470.

Elder, K., J. Dozier, and J. Michaelsen (1991), Snow accumulation and distri-

C479

bution in an alpine watershed, *Water Resources Research*, 27, 1541-1552, doi: 10.1029/91WR00506.

Elder, K., R. A. Schmidt, and R. E. Davis (2004), Sublimation of intercepted snow within a subalpine forest canopy at two elevations, *J. Hydrometeorol.*, 5(5), 763–773, doi:10.1175/1525-7541(2004)005<0763:SOISWA>2.0.CO;2.

Groot Zwaafink, C.D., R. Mott and M. Lehning (2013) Seasonal simulation of drifting snow sublimation in Alpine terrain, *Water Resources Research* DOI: 10.1002/wrcr.20137

Gustafson, J.R., P.D. Brooks, N.P. Molotch, and W. Veatch, (2010) Quantifying snow sublimation using natural tracer concentrations and isotopic fractionation in a forested catchment, *Water Resour. Res.*, 46, W12511, doi:10.1029/2009WR009060

Harpold, A.A., Biederman, J. A., K. Condon, M. Merino, Y. Korgaondar, T. Nan, L. Sloat, M. Ross, and P.D. Brooks (2012) Changes in Snow Accumulation and Ablation Following the Las Conchas Forest Fire, New Mexico, USA *Ecohydrology* DOI: 10.1002/eco.1363

Harpold, A.A., P.D. Brooks, S. Rajagopal, I. Heiduechel, A. Jardine, and C. Stielstra. (2012). Changes in Snowpack Accumulation and Ablation in the Intermountain West, *Water Resources Research* VOL. 48, W11501, doi:10.1029/2012WR011949

Hedstrom, N. R., and J. W. Pomeroy (1998), Measurements and modelling of snow interception in the boreal forest, *Hydrol. Processes*, 12(10–11), 1611–1625, doi:10.1002/(SICI)1099-1085(199808/09)12:10/11<1611::AID-HYP684>3.0.CO;2-4

Hood, E., M. Williams, and D. Cline (1999), Sublimation from a seasonal snowpack at a continental, mid-latitude alpine site, *Hydrol. Processes*, 13(12–13), 1781–1797, doi:10.1002/(SICI)1099-1085(199909)13:12/13<1781::AID-HYP860>3.0.CO;2-C.

Leydecker, A., and J. M. Melack (1999), Evaporation from snow in the central Sierra Nevada of California, *Nord. Hydrol.*, 30(2), 81–108.

C480

Link, T. E., and D. Marks (1999a), Point simulation of seasonal snow cover dynamics beneath boreal forest canopies, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104(D22), 27,841–27,857, doi:10.1029/1998JD200121.

Liston, Glen E., 1999: Interrelationships among Snow Distribution, Snowmelt, and Snow Cover Depletion: Implications for Atmospheric, Hydrologic, and Ecologic Modeling. *J. Appl. Meteor.*, 38, 1474–1487. doi: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450\(1999\)038<1474:IASDSA>2.0.CO;2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450(1999)038<1474:IASDSA>2.0.CO;2)

Molotch, N. P., R. C. Bales, M. T. Colee, and J. Dozier (2005), Estimating the spatial distribution of snow water equivalent in an alpine basin using binary regression tree models: the impact of digital elevation data and independent variable selection, *Hydrological Processes*, 19, 1459-1479, doi: 10.1002/hyp.5586.

Rinehart, A.J., E.R. Vivoni and P.D. Brooks, (2008) Effects of Vegetation, Albedo and Radiation Sheltering on the Distribution of Snow in the Valles Caldera, New Mexico, *Ecohydrology* 1:253-270 DOI: 10.1002/eco26

Taylor, S., X. Feng, J. W. Kirchner, R. Osterhuber, B. Klaue, and C. E. Renshaw (2001), Isotopic evolution of a seasonal snowpack and its melt, *Water Resour. Res.*, 37(3), 759–769, doi:10.1029/2000WR900341.

Veatch, W., P.D. Brooks, J.R. Gustafson, and N. P. Molotch (2009) Quantifying the Effects of Forest Canopy Cover on Net Snow Accumulation at a Continental, Mid-Latitude Site, *Ecohydrology*, 2:115-128, DOI: 10.1002/eco.45

Interactive comment on *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss.*, 10, 2641, 2013.