

## ***Interactive comment on “Observed variability and trends in extreme rainfall indices and Peaks-Over-Threshold series” by H. Saidi et al.***

**H. Saidi et al.**

h.saidi@ise.cnr.it

Received and published: 7 August 2013

Answer to Dr. M. Kamruzzaman comments:

We thank Dr. Kamruzzaman for the constructive comments on the manuscript. We will detail in our response below how we plan to address the comments.

C1- It is not clear to me what the main contribution to the work done is, when it is compared with other most-recent scientific report/ publications mentioned. It does not seem that the Mann-Kendall and GPD have been used for the first time in observed variability and trends in extreme rainfall in this work. The authors need to explicitly comment on the main contribution to their work.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



A1- It is well known that one of the biggest problems in performing analyses of extreme climate events for most of the globe is a lack of access to high-quality, long-term climate data with the appropriate time resolution for analyzing extreme events.

Few researches have been conducted on trends and variability in our study area (Lake Maggiore Watershed and Piedmont Region) because of the lack of sufficient data.

With the view to improve our understanding of the climate in this area, we analysed the short precipitation data from the recordings of selected meteo stations

Recent progress in automatic systems for rainfall signal recognition from tipping bucket gauge strip charts point out to us the importance of studying the changes in extreme precipitations. So, having for the first time long-term high resolution data (hourly and sub-hourly not daily time scale like most of the report/publications that you mentioned), we started our analysis using conventional statistical and some indices of extreme events (frequency and intensity index), which we think that are appropriate, to test trends in rare weather events. Also we showed that the results obtained are consistent with those provided by Brunetti et al. (2004) for Italy and Burlando (1989) for Florence.

It is anticipated that the research presented will be continued in the future and we will perform a number of valuable analysis and we will compare it to other studies performed in Italy and other parts of the world.

C2- The explanation of choice of rainfall indices needs to be expanded. Definition of seasonal indices required at least a parametric test, like using the regression model.

A2- We agree with the reviewer about the necessity to apply a parametric test.

It's important to distinguish between two important cases: 1- A stationary process with a deterministic trend 2- A process with stochastic trend or a unit root

The hypothesis of nonstationarity is tested with two parametric statistical tests adopted from econometrics and aimed at discriminating between stationarity, a deterministic trend and non stationarity in the form of a unit root (including random walk) (Fatichi et

al., 2009).

The Phillips-Perron (PP) test (Phillips and Perron, 1988) has been designed to test the null hypothesis of a unit root against a trend stationary alternative. The Kwiatkowski-Phillips-Schmidt-Shin test (KPSS) (Kwiatkowski et al., 1992) test complements unit root tests by testing a null hypothesis that an observable time series is stationary around a deterministic trend.

The Phillips-Perron (PP) test is based on the model (Phillips and Perron, 1988):

(see supplement document)

The KPSS test is based on the model (Kwiatkowski et al., 1992): (see supplement document)

These two tests are complementary and should be jointly employed (Fatichi et al., 2009).

The results of the PP and KPSS tests for the time series analyzed are summarized in table 1 (see supplement document).

From the PP test results one can conclude that the unit root (random walk) hypothesis is rejected for all the analyzed time series; This is not surprising since hydro-climatic time series rarely exhibit random walk behaviour (Barbosa et al., 2008)

Regarding the KPSS, test the null hypothesis at the 5% significance level cannot be rejected for all time scales and all extreme indices except :

- Max summer events of 5 and 10 min durations for Lombriasco. - Max autumn events of 12h duration for Lombriasco. - Max winter events of 12h duration for Bra.

In this exceptional cases KPSS test reject the hypothesis of deterministic trend (table 1). So trends-like feature in this time series should be considered result of stochastic behaviour rather than stochastic trend. This outcome of the stationarity tests (PP and KPSS) proved the possibility of deterministic trend for all the other durations and

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



indices.

C3. Abstract need to be rephrase according to their findings

A3- The following statement will be added to abstract “Both parametric stationarity tests, Phillips-Perron (PP) and Kwiatkowski, Phillips, Schmidt and Shin (KPSS), showed that, mostly, we cannot reject the trend stationarity hypothesis.”

#### References

Barbosa, S.M., Silva, M.E., and Fernandes, M.J.: Time series analysis of sea-level records: Characterising long-term variability, in *Nonlinear Time Series Analysis in the Geosciences. Applications in Climatology, Geodynamics, and Solar-Terrestrial Physics*, edited by R. V. Donner and S. M. Barbosa, pp. 157–173, Springer, Berlin, 2008.

Brunetti, M., Buffoni, L., Mangianti, F., Maugeri, M., Nanni, T.: Temperature, precipitation and extreme events during the last century in Italy. *Global and Planetary Change*. 40, 141-149. DOI: 10.1016/S0921-8181(03)00104-8, 2004.

Burlando, P.: Stochastic models for the prediction and simulation of precipitation in time (in Italian), Ph.D. Dissertation, Politecnico di Milano, Milano, 1989.

Fatichi, S. Barbosa, S.M. Caporali, E. Silva, M.E.: Deterministic versus stochastic trends: detection and challenges. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* 114: D18121. DOI: 10.1029/2009JD011960, 2009.

Kwiatkowski, D., Phillips, P., Schmidt, P., Shin, Y.: Testing the null hypothesis of stationarity against the alternative of a unit root, *J. Econometrics.*, 159–178, 1992.

Phillips, P. C. B., and P. Perron.: Testing for a unit root in time series regression, *Biometrika.*, 75, 335– 346, 1988.

Please also note the supplement to this comment:

C3870

**HESSD**

10, C3867–C3871, 2013

Interactive  
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



<http://www.hydrol-earth-syst-sci-discuss.net/10/C3867/2013/hessd-10-C3867-2013-supplement.pdf>

---

Interactive comment on Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 10, 6049, 2013.

**HESD**

10, C3867–C3871, 2013

---

Interactive  
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

C3871

