

Interactive
Comment

Interactive comment on “Rainfall and temperature estimation for a data sparse region” by R. L. Wilby and D. Yu

M. Amo-Boateng

m.amoboateng@gmail.com

Received and published: 22 June 2013

The accurate simulations of hydrological process in a basin without sufficient hydrological data is a challenge that remains unsolved in hydrological since (D. Jones and Kay 2007; Kokkonen et al. 2003; Post, J. A. Jones, and Grant 1998). As the authors' correctly pointed out (Wilby and Yu 2013), this challenge is exacerbated in regions where agencies need to prioritize climate risk reduction measures, especially in developing countries. The authors presented some of the ways in which this has been addressed in Yemen in the past: isohyet precipitation maps, geo-statistical methods, spatial interpolations and stochastic weather generators. The limitations of these methods – dependence on physical landscape and climate attributes, ground truthing, over- and

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



underestimates – were briefly mentioned.

The authors' present an interesting way of estimating local data in data scarce areas using publicly available remotely sensed data and available metrological data, which is seen as one way to deal with challenge (Lakshmi 2004). The results of the work was gridded precipitation and temperature maps as well as parameters for local weather station simulations that calibrated well with observed data. This makes me very enthused about the method used here. The authors' have presented a really interesting case that makes it suitable for application in many data scarce regions.

However, although the authors' have also highlighted the ways of improving this work, it will be good if they can also address (if possible) issues of dealing with predictive uncertainties surrounding their proposed method (D. Jones and Kay 2007). I acknowledge that dealing with uncertainties in ungauged catchments is extremely difficult, if not impossible. However, a lack of mention of how to handle predictive uncertainties may mislead the reader in replication of their methods. Also, the mentioning predictive uncertainty will provide a clearer view of how accurate the proposed method is. The author's only need to mention how predictive uncertainties can be incorporated in future works.

I congratulate the authors' of moving us closer to finding the solution to predictions in ungauged basins.

References: Jones, D, and A. Kay. 2007. "Uncertainty analysis for estimating flood frequencies for ungauged catchments using rainfall-runoff models." *Advances in Water Resources* 30(5): 1190–1204. Kokkonen, Teemu S., Anthony J. Jakeman, Peter C. Young, and Harri J. Koivusalo. 2003. "Predicting daily flows in ungauged catchments: model regionalization from catchment descriptors at the Ceweeta Hydrologic Laboratory, North Carolina." *Hydrological Processes* 17(11): 2219–2238. Lakshmi, Venkat. 2004. "The role of satellite remote sensing in the Prediction of Ungauged Basins." *Hydrological Processes* 18(5): 1029–1034. Post, D A, J A Jones, and G E Grant. 1998.

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)

[Discussion Paper](#)



“An improved methodology for predicting the daily hydrologic response of ungauged catchments.” *Environmental Modelling & Software* 13: 395–403. Wilby, R. L., and D. Yu. 2013. “Rainfall and temperature estimation for a data sparse region.” *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences Discussions* 10(6): 7575–7618.

Interactive comment on *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss.*, 10, 7575, 2013.

HESSD

10, C2743–C2745, 2013

Interactive
Comment

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)

[Discussion Paper](#)

