

Interactive comment on “Representation of water abstraction from a karst conduit with numerical discrete-continuum models” by T. Reimann et al.

T. Reimann et al.

Thomas.Reimann@tu-dresden.de

Received and published: 10 June 2013

We thank Yoar Cabeza for this comment. Subsequently, we want to clarify the intention and use of the FHLQ boundary condition.

Technically, the FHLQ boundary allows defining a user-defined inflow. This inflow can be zero and, therefore, resulting in the proposed Neumann type respectively no-flow boundary.

However, for some pumping scenarios, when conduit heads in the catchment drop and spring discharge disappears, inflow from catchment boundaries can occur (e.g. surface water infiltration in the vicinity of the spring). This is the case for the situation used for our application outlook (compare Maréchal et al., 2008). Here, a constant inflow of

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



30 liters per seconds from the river during the pumping period is determined by direct measurements and chemical analysis (Maréchal et al., 2008). The FHLQ boundary condition allows replicating these field observations.

Interactive comment on Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 10, 4463, 2013.

HESD

10, C2385–C2386, 2013

Interactive
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

C2386

