Dear Dr. Schaefli (Editor), dear Prof. Dr. Leibundgut (Reviewer #1), dear Dr. Bakolowicz
 (Reviewer #2) and dear Reviewers #3 and #4.

3

We<sup>(1)</sup> would like to thank you for your valuable comments and for the positive evaluation of
our manuscript entitled "Identification of glacial melt water runoff in a karstic environment
and its implication for present and future water availability. In the following we will comment
on each evaluation separately, replying to every comment raised by the editor and the four
reviewers. We are confident that after the requested minor revisions you will find our
manuscript suitable for publication in HESS.

10

## 11 **1 B. Schaefli (Editor)**

12 Received and published: 1 May 2013

13 All reviewers judge de significance, the scientific and presentation quality of this paper as

14 being good to excellent. They raise an important number of detailed comments and critics, but

15 overall, they recommend publication of this paper with minor revisions rather than a

16 substantial re-writing or expansion of the manuscript.

17 Before preparing the revised manuscript, I would like to invite the authors to give a detailed

18 response to each of the reviews. Hereafter, I give a short overview of the main comments:

19 - Reviewer 2 and 3 highlighted that the literature review seems incomplete.

20 - Reviewer 2 would also like to see a better presentation of the functioning of subglacial karst

21 hydrology.

22 - Reviewer 3 suggest to improve the terminology and to better discuss the limitations and

23 transferability of the results.

- Reviewer 4 made critical comments on the over-ambitious scope of the paper and
- recommends reducing the scope and setting a more modest aim of establishing theResponse letter04/01

hydrogeology of a glacierised karst depression. A better definition of the scope of the
manuscript might also be required in view of the comments of M. Bakalowicz and the ensuing

discussion.

- Besides many other detailed comments, reviewer 4 also asks for a better integration of all
obtained results and avoiding conclusions that cannot be directly drawn from the analyses of
this paper.

Finally, several reviewers find the manuscript not yet very well structured and mention
repetitions. And reviewer 1 would like to have more precise indications about the original
contributions of this paper.

35

36 We thank the editor for the positive evaluation and the concise summary of the four reviews. 37 The objective of our study is to identify current and future water availability in the Plaine 38 Morte region by combining meteo-hydrological observations, tracer experiments, isotopic 39 investigations, karst modeling and projections of glacier melt runoff. This interdisciplinary 40 approach represents a novel and heuristic approach of how numerous datasets from different 41 disciplines can be interpreted. While the results are surely only valid for the Plaine Morte 42 *Region, the interdisciplinary approach can be applied to any similar mountainous study site* 43 and certainly is a valuable asset for water resources research. During the revision process we 44 *will do in particular the following:* 45 - Incorporate suggested and relevant peer-reviewed literature 46 - *Revise the description of the subglacial karst hydrology* 47 - Revise the terminology and the discussion in order to clarify the limitations and 48 transferability of our findings 49 *Revise the wording of the scope of the paper. It is our firm belief, that science lives* -50 from innovative approaches and that there should also be space for reasonable and 51 well-founded hypothesis to assess future water availability. We will revise the **Response** letter 2 04/01/2013

52	manuscript to demonstrate that our approach allows us to make assumptions about
53	current and future flow paths and assess water availability in the Plaine Morte region.
54	All climate change projections are just hypothesis based on founded assumptions.
55	
56	

## 57 2 C. Leibundgut

- 58 Received and published: 8 April 2013
- 59 Overall assessment
- 60 Scientific Significance: excellent
- 61 The manuscript represents a substantial contribution to scientific progress within the scope of
- 62 Hydrology and Earth System Sciences by its holistic approach dealing from hydrology up to
- 63 the complex regional water ressouces systems.
- 64 Scientific Quality: excellent
- 65 The scientific approach and applied methods are valid. Also the results are discussed in an
- 66 appropriate and balanced way.
- 67 Presentation Quality: excellent
- 68 The scientific results and conclusions are presented in a clear, concise, and wellstructured
- 69 way. The number and quality of figures/tables is appropriate. I am not competent to perform
- 70 an English language check.
- 71 Further aspects:
- 72 The paper address relevant scientific questions within the scope of HESS by presenting novel
- concepts (holistic), ideas, tools (combination of methods and techniques and a lot of new data.
- 74 There are substantial conclusions reached as well scinetificly (i.e. karst model) and regionally
- 75 (i.e. glacier runoff).

The scientific methods and assumptions are valid and clearly outlined. In particular the

validation occurs with independent data (i.e. tracer data) which is a modern concept but notalways used.

Without doubt the results are suitable and sufficient to support the given interpretations andconclusions.

81 The description of experiments and calculations is clear, supported by the tables and figures,

82 is complete and precise to allow their reproduction by fellow scientists. The authors give

83 proper credit to related work. The reference "Tracer experiments in temperate alpine glaciers"

84 in Leibundgut Ch., P. Malozewski, Ch.Külls: Tracers in Hydrology. Wiley-Blackwell, 2009:

85 310-321 would address directly the issue on page 2746.

86 It would be an improvement if they clearly indicate their own new/original contribution more87 explicitly.

88 The title reflects clearly the contents of the paper. The abstract provide a concise and

89 complete summary.

90 The overall presentation is well structured and clear with a small restriction: there are some

91 redundancies probably due to the single contributions provided by the single author groups.

92 All mathematical formulae, symbols, abbreviations, and units are correctly defined and used.

93 Should any parts of the paper (text, formulae, figures, tables) be clarified, reduced, combined,

94 or eliminated? : no, except the mentioned reduncances.

95 The number and quality of references are appropriate. I made a proposal for a supplement

96 above.

97 The amount and quality of supplementary material is appropriate.

98

99 We would like to thank Prof. Dr. Leibundgut for his positive evaluation of our manuscript. It

100 honors us that the significance, the quality and the topic presentation of our manuscript were

Response letter

04/01/2013

101 evaluated as being "excellent". This evaluation is even more honoring as we are aware of the

102 *immense knowledge on karst hydrology of Prof. Dr. Leibundgut.* 

103 Certainly, we will include the peer-reviewed reference Leibundgut (2009) and will

104 incorporate its content into the manuscript. We will also outline and emphasis on the

105 *new/original contributions to the field of tracer hydrology by emphasizing the importance of* 

106 *interdisciplinary research.* 

107

## 108 **3 M. Bakalowicz**

We also would like to thank Dr. Bakalowicz for his valuable comments. As Dr. Bakalowicz
has raised several concerns regarding our manuscript we will comment on each of his
comments specifically below:

112

113 General comments

114 This is a really interesting, but complicated issue, seldom considered, for two reasons:

(i) combining glacial and karst hydrology looks to be a difficult challenge; and (ii) generally

there is no practical interest what does not push scientists to work on. So the bibliography is

117 relatively scarce. All the more reason to try to make a review as comprehensive as possible! I

regret to tell that the authors seem to ignore a large part of the literature about karst and

119 glacial hydrology. I think that they could give to their work a broader scope than in its present

120 state. Glacial hydrology presents characteristics very close to karst hydrology to such an

121 extent that the best synthesis on glacial hydrology was written by two karst specialists, A.

122 Eraso and M. Pulina.

- Eraso A. and Pulina M. 2011. Cuevas en hielo y rios bajo los glaciares. McGraw Hill, 2nd

ed., 280 p. (and GLACKMA, 3rd ed., 300 p.). See http://www.glackma.es/

Response letter

04/01/2013

5

- Works of the International Committee "Glacier caves and karst in Polar Regions"
- 126 (GLACKIPR) created in 1989 in Budapest, during the 10th International Congress of
- 127 Speleology. They were published in symposium proceedings, the first one in 1990 in Madrid,
- 128 Spain, and the 8th in 2007 in Katowice, Poland.
- 129 We agree with Dr. Bakalowicz. During the revision of the ms we will perform an extensive
- 130 literature research including the work by A. Eraso and M. Pulina. Nevertheless, in
- accordance with the comment of reviewer #3 and the HESS guidelines, we will primarily
- 132 reference peer reviewed articles, and only refer to conference proceedings if specific aspects

133 of our case study (area of Glacier de la Plaine Morte) make it necessary.

- 134
- 135 C.C. Smart is probably the pioneer of subglacial karst hydrology. I agree that his PhD was
- 136 unpublished, but he published some interesting papers which could have helped the authors in
- 137 a better presentation of the relations between the glacier and the underneath karst and in a
- 138 more generalized approach.
- Smart C.C. 1983. Hydrology of glacierised alpine karst. PhD, McMaster University, 343 p.
- 140 Smart C.C. 1983. The hydrology of the Castelguard Karst, Columbia Icefields, Alberta,
- 141 Canada. Arctic and Alpine Research, 15 (4): 471-486.
- Smart C.C. 1997. Hydrogeology of glacial and subglacial karst aquifers: Small River,
- 143 British Columbia, Canada. Proc. 6th Conference on Limestone Hydrology and Fissured
- 144 Media, La Chaux-de-Fonds, Switzerland, p. 315-318.
- 145 I also suggest to read the following references:
- Ford D.C. and Williams P.W. 2007. Karst hydrogeology and geomorphology. Wiley, 562 p.
- 147 See especially 10.3. The cold extreme: karst development in glaciated terrains, p. 410-421.
- Lauritzen S.E. 1984. Evidence of subglacial karstification in Glomdal, Svartisen. Norsk
- 149 Geografisk Tidesskrift, 38 (3-4): 169-170.

Lauritzen S.E. 1986. Kvithola at Fauske, northern Norway: an example of ice-contact
speleogenesis. Norsk Geografisk Tidesskrift, 66: 153-161.

These references could help the authors in presenting in a better way the functioning of subglacial karst hydrology, and particularly the hydraulic connection through glacier "moulins" between the seasonal flows at the surface of the glacier and the karst sinkholes below it. This is clear from figure 11, but unclear at all in the 1st paragraph of 2.3 Hydrology, characteristic (i). This is a particularly important point which needs to be detailed, because some tracing tests combine glacial and karst flows and the contribution of glacial water looks important during summer melting.

159 In accordance with the comment from reviewer #3 we will search the scientific databases for

160 the work by Smart C.C and incorporate peer reviewed literature relevant to our study.

161 Nevertheless, in accordance to the comment posted by Prof. Dr. Leibundgut and in

accordance with the scope of our study we cannot focus on subglacial karst hydrology only,

163 as this is only one aspect of our scope. We recall that the scope of our study is to assess

164 present and future water availability in the Plaine Morte region – not to investigate specific

165 subglacial karst processes.

166

167 Furthermore the manuscript is sometimes confusing, difficult to follow. I make some

suggestions in order to help the authors in improving their manuscript. First, this Chapter 2.3

169 Hydrology should give as an introduction the different flow types described in karst and

170 glacial hydrology, from the literature.

171 Then the paragraph could be re-written for instance in that way:

172 "Discharge from snow and ice melt, as well as rainfall runoff is characterized by different

173 mechanisms depending on field characteristics in the Plaine Morte area: (i) water storage in

the glacier and the snow fields, (ii) rapid subsurface flow under gravel covered soil, (iii) low

175flow in fertile agricultural soil, (iv) retention in natural swamps, (v) storage in natural and<br/>Response letter704/01/2013

- 176 artificial lakes, (vi) seasonal streams running on the glacier, partly swallowed in "moulins"
- 177 and crevices connected to sinkholes underneath the glacier, and (vii) a well-developed karst
- 178 system which drains melt water to karstic springs at lower elevations."
- 179 We will edit the manuscript as follows:
- 180 "Discharge from snow and ice melt, as well as rainfall runoff is characterized by different
- 181 mechanisms depending on field characteristics in the Plaine Morte area: (i) water storage in
- 182 the glacier and the snow fields, (ii) rapid subsurface flow under gravel-covered soil, (iii) low
- 183 flow in fertile agricultural soil, (iv) retention in natural swamps, (v) storage in natural and
- 184 artificial lakes, (vi) seasonal streams running on the glacier, primarily swallowed in "moulins"
- and crevasses partly connected to sinkholes underneath the glacier, and (vii) a well-developed
- 186 karst system which drains melt water to karstic springs at lower elevations."
- 187
- 188 In this aim, Chapter 2.2 Geologic setting should be re-named Geology and hydrogeology
- 189 settings.
- 190 We will edit the title as suggested.

Response letter

191

192 Chapter 3 "Methods and data" is quite surprising. What is named "Karst model" (Subchapter 193 3.1), and defined as one of the tools used for studying the hydrology, is in fact a 3-D 194 representation of the geology in which the flow paths inferred from tracing tests are indicated. 195 This hydrogeological model (see fig. 3, which is not really easy to read) is an interpretation, 196 synthetizing geological, hydrogeological and tracing data. It can't be presented before tracing 197 results. I think that it should be considered in Chapter 5 "Discussion" with sub-chapter 4.3 "Karst model results" all included in sub-chapter 5.1 "Hydrogeological model", and not in 198 199 "Methods and data", because it is an interpretation of all hydrogeological data. 200 The two pathways presented in blue and coming from the injection points on the glacier are 201 not a result of the tracer experiments, but rather a hypothesis for the possible pathways based

8

04/01/2013

- 202 on 3D geology and previous tracing tests. The karst model was used as a tool to identify the
- 203 best locations on the glacier for tracer injections. In Figure 7 we present the modified
- 204 pathways according to results of the tracer experiments on the glacier. Results of the tracer
- 205 experiments reveal that the first guess of flow paths presented in Figure 3 are only partially
- 206 correct. We will improve Figure 3 and 7, edit the ms and change the caption of Figure 3 as
- 207 *follows:*
- 208 "3-D view towards the East of the Urgonian limestone basis (top of Valanginian Marls). Red
- 209 and transparent planes are faults. Tracing experiments previously carried out are represented
- 210 in green, including the expected underground flow paths. The Plaine Morte is visible in the
- 211 middle (dark blue). Blue spheres are karstic springs. The blue surfaces with some
- 212 transparency are karstic nappes. The two flow path (light blue) coming from potential
- 213 injection points on the glacier are inferred from the 3D geology. This interpretation was used
- 214 to design the strategy of the tracing experiment."
- 215
- Sub-chapter 3.2 regards all climatic (not meteorological, which is related to weather forecast)and hydrological data.
- 218 In our understanding, meteorological data are short term (seasonal) data of few months or a
- 219 couple of seasons, while climate is described by long term observations of several decades.
- 220 Accordingly, we think meteorological data is more suitable.
- 221
- In Chapter 4, may tracing test data help in interpreting the observed differences in isotopic
- 223 compositions of the springs, as a result of different contribution from ice melting?
- 224 To some extent yes but only one tracer was found at the source of Loquesse (Duasyne), while
- 225 no tracers were detected at the other sites used for isotopic monitoring. The isotopic
- 226 composition for the site at Loquesse at the time of the tracer breakthrough indicated that
- 227 karst water is dominated by glacier melt water during late summer. This is clearly also the
   Response letter
   9
   04/01/2013

228 case for the other spring (Ertènse), where glacier melt water dominates over the summer

229 months. In contrast, the Tièche (small river) is likely to be dominated by surface runoff during

230 *the summer months. We will clarify this point by editing the ms as follows:* 

- 231 The temporal evolution of the isotopic composition in the two karst springs of Loquesse and
- 232 Ertènse as well as the river sampling site of Tièche are all dominated by snow melt water in
- spring and early summer. In contrast, once the snow has completely melted, the water in the

two sources is dominated by glacial melt water and only the river gets dominated by surface

run-off with isotopic compositions approaching those of the summer to autumn precipitation.

For Loquesse the tracer breakthrough only about 17 to 24 hours after injection is also clearly

transported by glacial melt water, as supported by the isotopic composition measured in

August. As there was no rainfall directly before and during the tracer injection, both sources

remain close to the isotopic compositions for the glacier and only the river sampling site of

240 Tièche is dominated by the 18O-enriched August/September rain water.

241 In addition, the following sentence will be added to page 20 of the discussion:

242 Glacial melt water as the dominant transporting agent for the tracers to the karst springs is

also clearly indicated by the isotopic compositions of the water sampled at Loquesse (and

244 Ertènse) in 2011.

245

246 Did you try to compare the results of the glacier melt modelling to the observed flows? I think

that they could help in testing some of the assumptions of the models (hydrogeological

248 functioning and glacier melting).

249 The modeled glacier runoff only refers to the surface of the actual glacier as catchment and

- 250 not to the entire drainage basin. It would be beyond the scope of this paper to incorporate all
- 251 *the poorly understood processes (hydrogeology etc.) into a complete model at the basin scale*

252 which would allow direct comparison against runoff measurements. Our results on glacier

253melt runoff were, however, validated against direct measurements on the glacier such as<br/>Response letter1004/01/2013

254	long-term ice	volume change,	and ablation m	easurements (	Huss et al.,	submitted.

- 255 Geographica Helvetica). This will be clarified in the revised ms. Furthermore, detailed
- 256 hydrological models are being tested for the southern slopes of the Plaine Morte area within
- 257 the PhD-thesis of M. Kauzlaric.
- 258
- In Chapter 6 "Conclusions" point 1 is interesting, because this is not really said in the text. In
- 260 fact the interpretation of tracing test data (sub-chapter 4.2) should have explained that the
- tests show that the flows are typical of karst conduits, from the surface of the glacier to the
- springs.
- We will edit the manuscript and make sure that the discussion states clearly how we come to conclusion 1!
- 265
- 266 Despite I am not a native English speaker, I think that the English writing should be revised,
- although the paper is understandable as it is.
- 268 In accordance with reviewer #4 we will look for possibilities to let the manuscript be read by
- a native speaker.
- 270 Bruno, Rolf, is funding available for this?
- 271

## 272 4 Anonymous Referee #3

- 273 Received and published: 19 April 2013
- 274 Summary and general recommendation: Finger et al. have studied a glacier-karst system in
- the Swiss Alps and have used different methods to estimate the possible impact of climate-
- 276 change induced glacier retreat on karst groundwater resources. This is an interesting and
- 277 relevant study. The paper is suitable for publication following moderate changes, mainly

278 concerning the use of terminology (sometimes incorrect) and missing information on some

figures (that look good but are not really comprehensible).

280 Also some scientific aspects need to be improved, e.g. concerning the limitations and

transferability of the results.

282

283 Specific and general comments:

284 line 6: The term "souterrain" is inappropriate. Do you mean underlying or underground?

Anyway, the word can be deleted without losing any information. In fact, the entire sentence

is not logical. Yes, glacier retreat is an important issue for water resources. Yes, karst aquifers

are relevant. However, it is not clear why glacier-karst systems require particular attention.

Either delete this statement or find a better justification why your test site / study are relevant.

289 In fact, most Swiss / alpine glaciers are not located on karst but on crystalline rock. Maybe

290 you could indicate somewhere the proportion of glacier on karst and on other rock types?

291 We deleted the term "souterrain" and replaced it by "underlying".

292

293 24-25: I would also mention drinking water (small quantity, but very important) and irrigation

294 (= irrigated agriculture). Not sure if snow production makes much sense here.

295 We added drinking water and will edit the ms accordingly.

296

2746: You cite 2 papers by Vivian Gremaud et al. who have studied the directly adjacent and
very similar glacier-karst system of Tsanfleuron. You should refer again to these papers in
your discussion or conclusions and compare your results with their results. Not all results are
presented in the published 2 papers. There is more in Vivian's PhD thesis, including an
estimation of future water availability under conditions of glacier retreat. A third paper by
Zeng, Gremaud et al. (2012) quantifies the efficiency of this glacier-karst system as a CO2
sink under global warming.

12

Another reviewer has also observed that the literature review is incomplete and has agreed some relevant references. I agree, particularly concerning the pioneer work done by Chris Smart in the Canadian Rockies. However, I would not cite too much gray literature (old conference proceedings) but focus on papers in international journals and books – there is enough!

309 As suggested we will integrate relevant peer-reviewed literature.

310

311 2748, line 3: Completely snow-free: Very important observation! This means that there is NO

312 accumulation, i.e. the glacier is not only retreating but disappearing. Say this! Similar

313 situation at Tsanfleuron, reported by Gremaud et al.

314 We will emphasize on our result that the glacier will disappear within the current century in

- 315 the revised ms. This is also illustrated in Figure 10.
- 316

317 Line 8: 1-1,5 %: Are you sure? I don't have better numbers, but it seems to me that many

318 Swiss glaciers are much, much larger, so I would suppose a smaller number. Please check!

319 The total ice volume in the Swiss Alps is currently (2013) between 50 and 65 km<sup>3</sup>. This

320 number is confirmed by several recent studies (Farinotti et al., 2009; Linsbauer et al., 2012,

321 Huss and Farinotti, 2012). With a volume of 0.8 km<sup>3</sup> Plaine Morte thus accounts for about

322 1.5% of the total ice volume. A reference is given in the manuscript.

323

324 2749, line 5: Urgonian = Schrattenkalk (mention the name of this very famous limestone

325 formation that hosts the two largest caves in the Alps)

326 As suggested, we will include the names of the limestone formation.

327

- 328 14: The term "land use" is inappropriate here, because much of the area is not used!
- 329We agree and will replace the term "land use" with "land types" or similar.Response letter13

330

2751, line 20-22: Correct in principle, but not as simple in this case, because folds and faultscan create reservoir structures and phreatic conditions above the level of the springs.

333 We will edit the manuscript pointing out that folds and faults can create reservoir structures

and phreatic conditions above the level of the springs.

335

2753, line 16: The injection quantities are crazily huge – Gremaud et al. used about 10-100
times smaller quantities in the Tsanfleuron area.

338 Indeed, this was one of our first findings: we expected most of the tracer to infiltrate into the

339 karst and assumed that it would dilute a lot more. Accordingly, we drastically reduced tracer

340 *amounts during the*  $2^{nd}$  *and*  $3^{rd}$  *injection.* 

341 Please also note that the discharge rates of springs from the Tsanfleuron area are about 10

342 times lower than those of the Plaine Morte area. The discharge rate of the Loquesse spring

343 can reach up to 15  $m^3$ /s. The rate of the Siebenbrunnen spring can be at least 6.5 m3/s. The

344 total amount of water flowing at the bottom of the glacier was unknown before the first

345 tracing experiment. Quantities for the first test (three injections in 2011) were too high but

346 *this was adjusted in 2012 with smaller quantities. We will edit the ms to clarify this point.* 

347

2757, line 23: The maximum concentration are enormous! Uranin concentrations are 20 times
above the limit of visibility. At some place, you should mention that your injection quantities
were extremely overdosed, otherwise you give a bad example for future tracer tests. I prefer
µg/L over mg/m3, but that's a question of taste.

352 We agree (see also reply above). Nevertheless the particular setting of the Plaine Morte

353 requires tracer amounts that are also detectable at karst sources as well as in the surface

354 *runoff.* We will edit the ms to clarify this issue.

355

356 2758, line 7: "amount of tracer passing" => use the term (tracer) recovery (%)

357 We will edit the ms as suggested.

358

359	Discussion: Bette compare your findings with results from the literature, e.g. concerning flow
360	velocities of subglacial, englacial and supraglacial melt waters. In fact, these important
361	glaciological terms are not used in the entire paper. You should really read and cite more
362	glacier (and karst) literature and use the relevant terms and concepts in your paper.
363	We will incorporat relevant peer reviewed literature. If reviewer #3 has some specific
364	suggestions we would be very pleased to consider them.
365	
366	Table 3: Amount of tracer $=$ recovery (%)! See comment above.
367	We will edit the ms as suggested.
368	
369	Table 4: Table heading makes no or little sense. Do you mean: Comparison of tracer
370	recoveries and flow velocities obtained from the three tracer tests?
371	We will edit the headings and change "Amount of tracer" to "recovery rate (%)".
372	
373	Figure 1: A scale bar in figure b would be useful.
374	We will add a scale bar in panel b.
375	
376	Figure 2: There should be a legend explaining the stratigraphy!
377	We will add a description of the stratigraphy to Fig 2.
378	
379	Figure 3: The figure looks amazing, but in fact, it is incomprehensible: No vertical scale, no

380 horizontal scale, no orientation, the relation between geology and topography is unclear: does

- 381 the figure shows surface geology (outcrops) or the internal geological structure or a bit of
- both? Inacceptable in the present form (although it looks good). Must be improved.

383 We will revise Fig 3 and add a scale bar and orientation. We cannot show geology and

- 384 topography because it's superimposed. However, we will rework it thoroughly making it
- 385 *easier to read.*
- 386
- Figure 5 and 6: Such graphs are called (tracer) breakthrough curves! In general, you shoulduse the correct terms from the glacier, karst and tracer literature.
- 389 We will edit the ms as suggested.
- 390
- Figure 7: Similar problem as figure 3: What is the relation between the colorful parts of thisfigure and the non-colored part in the upper left corner?
- 393 The objective Figure 3 is to demonstrate the possible flow pathways in the rocks. Standard
- 394 hydrogeological maps would assume that flow paths follow the thalweg, ignoring the geologic
- 395 underground. As stated above, we intend to illustrate in Figure 3 flow pathways based on the
- 396 currently know geologic setting of the underground. In the revised ms we will revise the figure

397 thoroughly.

398

- 399 General comment: The limitations of your study should be addressed more clearly! Your
- 400 results are (hopefully) true for your test site and for the neighboring test site studied by
- 401 Gremaud et al.
- 402 Tracer concentrations have been measured by our tracer experts Dr. Fischer and Dr. Wernli.
- 403 The method proved to be very reliable in past studies. Of course they cannot be transmitted to
- 404 a neighboring study site, but their interpretations and the system understanding can help to
- 405 *understand processes in other, similar settings.*
- 406

407	However, the transferability to other areas is very limited, even within the Alps: There are
408	very few glacierised karst systems in the Alps, and very different general trends can be
409	expected for non-glacierised areas and for areas including large glaciers (that will not
410	disappear so quickly).
411	Indeed, the results cannot be directly transferred to other study sites, as nature is very
412	heterogeneous and each study site has its own characteristics. Nevertheless, the
413	interdisciplinary approach and the process understanding can be transferred to any other
414	case study. We will clarify this issue in the revised ms.
415	

417

416

418 Received and published: 30 April 2013

5 Anonymous Referee #4

419 "Identification of glacial melt water runoff in a karstic environment and its implication for420 present and future water availability" by D. Finger et al.

421 The problem of glacier-karst hydrogeology has largely been piecemeal studies, largely by the 422 empirical investigation of field sites. Despite use of quantitative measurement and analytical 423 tools, our understanding remains essentially qualitative. This paper therefore has an ambitious 424 objective in attempting to further our understanding at a generalisable quantitative level, by 425 incorporating field observations and predictive models for underground flow routing and 426 future mass balance scenarios. Unfortunately, these ambitious aims are only met superficially. 427 The models used are poorly developed and are not integrated into the overall study. The field 428 work components also appear to have little overall integration. The study therefore is a useful, 429 but essentially parochial (local) investigation of glacierkarst hydrogeology. 430 We agree with the reviewer and are aware that our objective to assess current and future

431water availability in the Plaine Morte region is very ambitious. But shouldn't every scientific<br/>Response letter1704/01/2013

432 project have the ambition to explore innovative techniques in order to establish new theories?

433 We are convinced that our study presents valuable new insights into the understanding of

434 processes influencing water availability in the region. While this is a valuable asset for local

435 residents depending on the water resources, the presented holistic approach presents a new

436 *innovative approach in karst hydrology.* 

As stated above, certainly our results are only valid for our study site. Actually, this applies to
all case studies. Nevertheless, our innovative approach of combining different investigation
techniques is applicable to any study site in the world.

440

The Glacier de la Plaine Morte is perhaps the definitive example of a glacier-karst system as the glacier largely occupies a massive closed depression. However, it is far from typical and the ice is largely stagnant. (This probably means it hosts a more stable internal drainage system than more dynamic glaciers.) I suggest that extrapolating from this unusual site (and the limited data set) to global scale is over ambitious. The generalisation that loss of alpine glacier ice will reduce late summer flow is widely recognised, and poorly supported by the analyses presented here.

We agree and are aware that our results are only valid for our study site. However, as stated
above, our approach can be applied to any case study in the world. We will clarify this issue
in the revised ms.

451

The "karst model" utilised in designing the tracer experiments appears to be an excellent tool,
particularly valuable in the complex tectonics of the Swiss Alps. It does appear to have some
limitations, however. First, the relationship between lithology, structure and hydrogeology has
to be explicit. (Here it is not apparent which units and discontinuities (faults) are considered
susceptible to karst permeability.) Similarly, there is no obvious inclusion of glacier ice (or
talus), so the predictions are tenuous (and indeed appear to have been misleading in missing
Response letter

458 recharge from the major outlet stream). Finally, the tool's effectiveness relies on parallax-459 based three dimensional rendering and fails when printed on a page. It would have been much 460 more effective to provide a clear map showing the inferred flow routes. Overall, the flow 461 visualisation model seems a bit disappointing. The "predictions" (in text as figure 3 is 462 unreadable) seemed to indicate various underground drainage routes. In contrast, the results 463 seemed to show (again figure 4 is not readable) that the subglacial karst has surprisingly 464 limited and conditional permeability (which we already know) and the tracer delivery more or 465 less travels to the nearest spring. The dominant results of surface routing and subsequent 466 capture on the Bernese side were not explicitly predicted by the model (as far as I can see. 467 Although the likely capture of the surface stream to springs is fairly evident using Google 468 Earth; to which I would add the possibility of a talus aquifer linking the Reitzliberg and 469 Siebenbrunnen Springs). The routing model might be more effective if were used to make 470 specific, well-illustrated and tested hypotheses.

The karst model is continuously being developed by the Swiss Institute for Speleology and
Karst Studies (ISSKA). The presented application represents a first application which will be
improved in the future. As stated above, we will improve the two figures and the ms will be
edited to clarify the raised concerns.

475

The hydroclimatic data are quite substantial, but their discussion does not seem to relate to the main purpose of the paper. They are only used explicitly in attempting to characterise glacier melt, though presumably used in various ways in analysis and modelling.

479 We performed tracer injections during three periods of distinct melting: i) just after the snow

480 on the glacier disappeared, ii) during intense melting and iii) after the first snowfall in

481 *autumn. The objective of these three injections was to see tracer evolution during the three* 

482 distinct meteorological conditions. Accordingly, the date relates directly to the results. We

483 will emphasize on this topic in the revised ms.Response letter 19

04/01/2013

485 The artificial tracing work is interesting, must have taken considerable work and is worth 486 publishing. However, I would recommend some revision to reach a reasonable professional 487 standard. The description and analysis lack the substance I would expect in a work of this 488 scale. The tracers and traces are not well described. I could not find data on the Duasyne, but 489 as one of the "optical brightener" tracers, it will show quite different optical and tracer 490 performance to the other two closely related compounds (eosine and uranine). Unfortunately, 491 the use of a synchronous scanning strategy implied in the terse section on analysis is likely to 492 fail quite badly on typical optical brightener tracers. "Blue" (and to some extent "green) 493 tracers are also expected to have a high natural background which is not apparent from the 494 figures.

The tracer analysis was carried out in our in house laboratory by our tracer experts Dr.
Wernli and Dr. Fischer. We will revise the ms adding descriptions on all tracers used and
revising the description of the laboratory procedures.

498

The masses of tracer injected are exceptionally large and could result in prosecution in somejurisdictions.

501 As stated in the response to reviewer #3 there are two reasons for the high amount of tracer 502 injection: i) tracer has to be also detected in karstic sources, where dilution is about 100 503 times higher than in the surface runoff and ii) during the first injection we did not expect the 504 tracer to drain through the surface runoff. Tracer amounts were drastically reduced during 505  $2^{nd}$  and  $3^{rd}$  injections. But we still wanted to be able to detect tracer concentration in karst 506 sources, where concentration is expected to be more than 100 times lower.

507

508 The injection descriptions imply random release on the ice surface. Tracer injection usually

509requires prior dissolution (typically to ppt level) and instantaneous injection without<br/>Response letter2004/01/2013

510	perturbation of stea	ady flow.	This is vi	rtually imp	possible with	the masses used,	even if a
-----	----------------------	-----------	------------	-------------	---------------	------------------	-----------

- 511 suitably large supraglacial stream were present. (I could see none larger than perhaps 1m3/s in
- 512 Google Earth.) Did the stream then sink into a moulin, or run over the surface? I was also
- 513 confused by the descriptions of the 2012 injection sites; were they close to or near the 2012 I2
- 514 site?
- 515 Tracer mass was diluted on site with melt water from the glacier. Runoff of the supraglacial
- 516 meltwater streams was about 0.2-0.5 m3/s during the injection. The injection was performed
- 517 in safe distance of 10-20m from moulins that drained the water quickly to a depth of >50m
- 518 *within the glacier ice.*
- 519 Swiss national television reported live from the injection (see link below).
- 520 *Link of TV report:*
- 521 <u>http://www.videoportal.sf.tv/video?id=8b441b32-ce13-4496-975b-1a204dc20bd7</u>
- 522 We will edit the manuscript to clarify this issue.
- 523
- 524 Why was the glacier outflow not monitored? It is surely the most critical monitoring point!
- 525 The immediate outflow at the glacier terminus is not well accessible and does not allow the
- 526 installation of sampling devices. However, Trübbach is the site which represents the glacier
- 527 outflow well. Unless there is heavy precipitation almost all the water in Trübbach comes
- 528 *directly from the glacier. We will edit the ms to make this clearer.*
- 529
- 530 The tracer analysis remains fairly rudimentary (which is appropriate in a novel setting), but
- 531 some clarification is required. To compensate for contrasts in fluorescence intensity, the tracer
- 532 concentration can be readily normalised to concentration per mass (typically 100g for some
- 533 reason).
- 534 By giving the actual concentrations, we can discuss the efficiency of the karst system. This is
- 535an important asset in order to justify our conclusions about water availability. We do not see<br/>Response letter2104/01/2013

an added value in normalizing the concentrations and how this could contribute to ourobjective.

538

539 Tracer velocities require a characteristic travel time (first arrival, mean or peak?) and path 540 length (straight line, sinuous or true path?). It is not clear what is being used here. Tracer 541 recoveries are useful in general, but not meaningful when the breakthrough curve is poorly 542 defined as it is for the main river traces in 2011.

543 We agree with the reviewer and will clarify how travel time was computed. Nevertheless, this

544 will not have any impact on our main conclusions on present and future water availability.

545

The conditions of melt and routing through the glacier are fundamental to the design of the tracer tests, the analysis of results and the future modelling. It is therefore surprising that the glacier hydrology in the paper is fairly superficial. It is implied that peak melt develops with full ice cover. This is not normally the case, peak local runoff occurs in the late stage of

snowpack loss. It is not clear what route the melt water was taking. Was it supraglacial,

551 marginal or through a perennial or seasonal conduit system?

552 We do not fully agree with the reviewer here: For catchments without glaciers the peak runoff

553 occurs at the late stages of the snowpack loss. Due to the albedo contrast of snow and ice,

554 melting is however much stronger after the disappearance of the snow cover. This effect is

555 directly captured by the glacio-hydrological model applied which has been tested and

556 validated in numerous studies on alpine glaciers.

557 *Furthermore, the objective of our study is to investigate current and future water availability* 

558 *in the Plaine Morte area – not to describe specific processes of glacier hydrology.* 

559 Nevertheless, glacier hydrology is one important aspect of our investigation. Accordingly we

- 560 treated it only to an extent that is necessary for our objective. We will edit the manuscript to
- 561give more emphasis on glacier hydrology.Response letter22

04/01/2013

563 What was the likely state of this system at the time of tracing? How is the routing likely to 564 change through the year and how will this influence recharge?

565 In order to answer these questions we performed three injections during different hydro-

566 meteorological conditions (this is why we need these observations, as stated in reply to the

567 concerns raised above). We will emphasis on this issue in the revised manuscript.

568

569 Is the absence of recharge really due to "silt" and "loess" at the bed, or is the melt water 570 routed away from recharge windows? I have never seen basal silts beneath a glacier and loess 571 (a wind-blown silt) seems improbable. Most deglacierised karst surfaces exhibit extensive 572 solutional permeability and sediments are generally coarse (permable) and dominantly very 573 late or post glacial in timing. So either some substantive evidence has to be advanced, or the 574 absence of recharge maybe attributed to routing of melt through the ice. The concluding 575 diagram (Figure 11) proposes a dual porosity model for the glacier. This might seem a 576 reasonable proposition for testing, but seems poorly supported by the work. 577 The results of our study clearly indicate that during the low flow season water penetrated 578 primarily into the karst while during the melt season melt water is evacuated through the 579 glacier to the north. This is a fact we could demonstrate with our tracer experiments and 580 which is relevant for our objective to determine current and future water resources in the 581 area. A detailed description of the recharge of the karst is certainly interesting, but is not the 582 primary objective of our study. Nevertheless, our understanding of recharge to the karst is 583 sufficient to draw conclusions regarding current and future water availability. We will clarify 584 this issue in the revised ms.

585

586 A potentiometric surface is often postulated in glacier hydrology despite lack of empirical

587 evidence. Such a model is very difficult to sustain for impermeable glacier ice. Instead, a
 Response letter
 23
 04/01/2013

588 seasonally and spatially variable sheet-cavity-conduit is more widely supported. It is most 589 unlikely that a coherent water table exists in a glacier such as Plaine Morte. Some direct or 590 indirect observations are needed to construct an appropriate glacier hydrology in a setting like 591 this. In the light of the results provided, the only substantial evidence appear to be the high 592 season tracer results (five traces in all) reported cessation of surface outflow (no data are 593 given). No tracing has been undertaken under non-overflow conditions, so the Bernese 594 subglacial routing remains hypothetical. The lesson learned from the substantial body of work 595 on glacier tracing is that results vary dramatically with injection conditions, location, season 596 and runoff (diurnal and storm driven). Glacier karst tracing is expected to be even more 597 idiosyncratic because of the likely variation in recharge opportunity at the bed. So the only 598 relevant tracer test of the key focus of this research is those injections (three in all) observed 599 at Loquesse spring.

600 The five tracer injections had two main purposes: i) the three simultaneous injections at three

601 different locations on the glacier had the objective to determine flow paths from three

602 representative parts of the glacier and ii) the three injection at location I2 during three

603 different seasons (just after snow disappearance, during melt season and after the first snow

604 *in fall) had the objective to assess flow paths during different seasons.* 

605

The stable isotopic data are too sparse to provide much insight. Two sites have not been
proven connected to glacier. The key heavy signal at Tieche is presumably a transient rain
event captured at that site. I would omit this section.

609 Indeed, the stable isotope data is sparse but they nonetheless give a valuable insight to the

610 connections between the glacier and the karst sources in the southern part of the Plaine

611 Morte area. The August and September measurements for both karst sources are clearly

612 *compatible with melt water dominating in these sources at this time as there was no* 

613precipitation just prior to the injection of the tracer that was detected in Loquesse in August<br/>Response letter2404/01/2013

614 2011. In addition, all snow-melt was already drained. In contrast, the Tièche river is surface-615 water and hence precipitation dominated during the late summer and autumn, but this is NOT 616 the case for the southern karst sources. As in this region no artificial tracer was observed 617 after tracer injection in the Ertènse source, it must be assumed that snow and glacier melt 618 from other regions of the glacier than the injection points must infiltrate into the karst and 619 drain to the karst sources. The isotopic observations confirm this; accordingly, they present a 620 valuable asset to the objective of assessing water availability in the region. Both in chapter 621 4.4 and in the discussion, the text was rephrased in order to bring these points out more 622 clearly (see above).

623

A glacier melt model is used to predict the future geometry of the glacier. It is not clear how a 624 625 daily model is calibrated using two digital elevation models fifty years apart and validated 626 using three years of limited accumulation and ablation data. Although it provides a crude 627 linear trend, extrapolating this trend into the untested geometry of a closed basin seems very 628 risky, especially when rather dire implications are drawn. It is not clear that the hydrology has 629 been fully implemented. If the leaky closed depression model (figure 11) is used, then it 630 seems that an increasingly large fraction of the melt would be retained in the depression and 631 subsequently recharged. The data in this paper would seem to suggest that relatively little 632 melt would travel north (Bern) and a greater fraction would be routed south (Valais). 633 We are aware that model projections are highly uncertain. Besides the limited calibration 634 data available this is also due to the uncertainties in future climate projections, and model 635 assumptions as mentioned in the HESSD paper. In the revised ms we will clarify that the 636 modeling approach used does not include the karst hydrology, but only provides the total 637 quantities of glacier melt input into the system. Accordingly, the model results themselves do 638 not allow an assessment of future water flow paths.

04/01/2013

25

Calibration of a glacio-hydrological model in karstic environment is very difficult. Observed
snow accumulation and ice melt data allow constraining the most important components of
the water balance at time scales comparable to the projection period. We are aware of the
uncertainties and clearly mention them in the paper. However, we are confident in the
general results provided by this model that has proven to be well suited in modeling alpine
runoff regime changes (see e.g. Farinotti et al., 2012).

645

646 Overall, there are substantial weaknesses in all the components of the paper and in their 647 integration. It is not clear that the conclusions are based on substantive analysis. This is 648 unfortunate as the various components of the research are interesting and challenging, but 649 lack adequate treatment in attempting to compose an integrated report. The results provided 650 suggest that a test of underground flow predictions using dye tracing would be worth 651 reporting. Similarly, the forward modelling looks promising. It is not clear that the results 652 allow much advance in our understanding of glacier karst recharge. Therefore, the primary 653 purpose of the paper is not adequately addressed.

654 We can convincingly demonstrate current water flow paths: Our results show that i) glacier 655 melt water from the eastern and northern injection points is evacuated rapidly through the 656 glacier to the northern surface runoff (no tracer was found in the southern karst sources) ii) 657 during melt season glacier water from the southern injection point is drained to approx. equal 658 masses through the glacier to the northern runoff and to the Loquesse source. iii) at the 659 beginning of the melt season glacier water from injection point I2 is drained also to the 660 northern surface runoff as well as to the Loquesse source, however, travel velocities are 661 almost halved to due lower melt production iv) at the start of the winter season (after the first 662 snow) glacier water from injection point I2 is drained only to the Loquesse source, as no 663 tracer was observed on the northern side. v) Although numerous small karst sources did not 664 reveal any tracer concentrations, isotopic signatures indicate that water composition is Response letter 04/01/2013 26

((5	1 • , 11 1 • 1		T1 (* 1*	1 1 1 1 1
665	dominated by glacial	water during melt seasc	n The findings are	hasod on the two tracer
005		water anting men sease	n. Inc jindings are	
	. 0	0	<i>v</i> 0	

666 injections, hydro-meteorological data, isotopic investigations and satellite snow cover data.

667 Based on these insights and climate change projections of the glacier melting, we make

668 assumptions about future water availability. We are convinced that after revisions of the ms

- 669 our conclusions will be fortified. We will edit the conclusions in order to clearly differentiate
- 670 *between facts and interpretation of facts.*
- 671

A final comment on the language: the English is good, but in places the technical usage is

- 673 incorrect and possibly misleading. A editorial proof reading is advisable.
- 674 We will revise the English and incorporate technical terms as suggested also by the other
- 675 *reviewers.* An editorial proof reading would be welcomed.
- 676
- 677 (1) Side note to the author contribution:
- 678 *Finger: writing of the ms, planning, coordination, supervision of project and first author*
- 679 *Hugentobler: wrote a MSc thesis about the tracer experiments*
- 680 *Huss: glacier modeling and contribution to text editing*
- 681 Voinesco: wrote a MSc thesis about ice thickness and mass balance of Plaine Morte
- 682 Fischer: lab analysis of tracer concentrations
- 683 Weber: karst modeling and contribution to text editing
- 684 Jeannin: karst modeling and contribution to text editing
- 685 *Kauzlaric: hydro-meteorological data and sampling after two injections in the south*
- 686 Wirz: wrote a MSc thesis about the isotope investigations
- 687 *Vennemann: isotope investigations and contribution to text editing*
- 688 Hüsler: processing of MODIS satellite data
- 689 Schädler and Weingartner: project initiators, coordinators and PI of the MontanAqua
- 690 project
  - Response letter