

## ***Interactive comment on “Rainfall statistics changes in Sicily” by E. Arnone et al.***

### **Anonymous Referee #2**

Received and published: 15 May 2013

1. Figures are OK in color but almost illisible in BW.
2. Day is not an SI unit, but accepted as such with symbol d. In text one can write mm per day, mm/day, mm/d or even mmd(with -1 as exponent) I think only the mmday(with -1 as exponent) is not very good. One has to apply a unique reference to the day unit or at maximum one for the text, say "mm per day" and one for numerical data, say "300.0 mm/d",but not mix many different expressions.
3. Introduction is OK, but it could also been abbreviated, as there is no big need to support the methodology applied in the paper by too many references (it is a "classic" methodology).
4. Symbols like  $\alpha$  [alpha greek] and  $\lambda$  [lamda greek] are not established as symbols of rainfall intensity and frequency. Also "sig" is not established as "significance" indice. It

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



would be better to avoid them, or supply a symbol table as annex

5. The paper is presents a useful applied research work in the area of the "Climate Change" (Climate variability) study.

---

Interactive comment on Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 10, 2323, 2013.

**HESSD**

10, C1763–C1764, 2013

---

Interactive  
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

C1764

