Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 10, C1056-C1057, 2013

www.hydrol-earth-syst-sci-discuss.net/10/C1056/2013/ © Author(s) 2013. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



Interactive comment on "Forecasters priorities for improving probabilistic flood forecasts" by F. Wetterhall et al.

T. Wagener (Referee)

thorsten.wagener@bristol.ac.uk

Received and published: 23 April 2013

This is an interesting discussion paper regarding how to improve HEPS. I think that this is generally an interesting paper that fits into the requirements for publication in HESS. I will limit my comments to things not already raised by reviewer 1. Here are some discussion points for the authors to consider:

[1] In how far is the discussion here place specific? All authors are from Europe. If this is not generic beyond Europe, then title and abstract should reflect that.

[2] If this is Europe focused, then how would the discussion change for other parts of the world? Have other groups in other parts of the world already solved some of these

C1056

issues, or are they handling them already significantly better?

- [3] It would be useful to have more background about the people involved in the survey. What is their background? Institutions? Roles? Etc. Could be additional information in the supplemental material.
- [4] It would make the article nicer to read if you would include some examples (from published work or grey literature), rather than a purely conceptual paper (though with survey results). Could you include some examples where existing forecasts fail? Are there examples of the kind of decision-making tools that you would use? It would help make this more tangible.
- [5] In how far is this discussion of general interest to hydrology? Are there questions here (or opportunities for advancement) that have a much broader relevance? How do the modelling issues discussed here relate to the general search for flexible modelling structures in hydrology (fro example)?

This is a nice start for a discussion. I think by making it more concrete with examples, by stating the scope more clearly and by defining better the group of participants, it will become even more helpful.

Interactive comment on Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 10, 2215, 2013.