

Reviewer comments are in italics, author response is in plain text

Reviewer 1

*The authors have done a fine job of addressing my extensive list of comments and edits. They have also satisfactorily addressed or rebutted the concerns of the other reviewer. Other than the sentence on page 10 (lines 23-24) which is not clear to me, I did not find reason to comment on or edit the content of this revision. The manuscript has been revised to the point that I recommend acceptance.*

The previous sentence read:

Newby Beck had a higher relative flow than the Wylle prior to the event (61% exceedance), but a high discharge maximum was recorded (0.6% exceedance). The rainfall associated with this storm event analysis resulted in extreme flows in all three sub-catchments, regardless of antecedent soil moisture conditions.

Which has been changed to:

Newby Beck had a relatively low flow prior to the event (61% exceedance), but a high discharge maximum was recorded during the event (0.6% exceedance). The rainfall associated with this storm event analysis resulted in high flows in all three sub-catchments, regardless of antecedent soil moisture conditions.