Manuscript prepared for Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.
with version 5.0 of the LaTeX class copernicus.cls.
Date: 18 April 2014

Small farm dams: impact on river flows and sustainability in a context of climate change

Florence Habets[1], Elodie Philippe[1], Eric Martin[2], Cédric H. David[3], and Frédéric Leseur[4]

[1]CNRS/UPMC/Mines-Paristech, UMR 7619 Sisyphe, 5 Place Jussieu, 75005 Paris, France
[2]CNRM-GAME/Météo-France, 37 avenue Coriolis, 31057 Toulouse, France
[3]University of California Center for Hydrologic Modeling, University of California, Irvine, California, USA
[4]DREAL Pays de la Loire, Nantes, France

Correspondence to: Florence Habets
(florence.habets@upmc.fr)

Abstract. The repetition of droughts in France has led to a growing demand for irrigation water and consequently to an increase in requests for the construction of small farm dams. Although such dams are small, their accumulation in a basin affects the river flows, since the water collected in those small farm dams is used for irrigation and thus does not contribute to river flow. In order to gain more insight into their impact on the annual and monthly discharges, especially during dry years, a small farm dam model was built and connected to a hydrometeorological model. Several scenarios with different volume capacity, filling catchment size and filling period were tested for such dams. The results were analysed in a small basin in western France, where the pressure for building such dams is high, and then extended to the whole country. It was found that, due to the hydrometeorological conditions (mainly precipitation), the development of small farm dams in north-western France would lead to larger decreases on the riverflows and to less efficient filling of the small farm dams than in other regions of France, so that in these regions, such dams might no be as efficient as expected to supply water to the farmer when they need it. Moreover, such behaviour is projected to worsen in a context of climate change, despite the uncertainty on the evolution of precipitation.

1 Introduction

In recent decades, France has suffered several droughts (Vidal et al., 2010b), with large impacts on hydrology (Giuntoli et al., 2013) and on agriculture (Amigues et al., 2006; van der Velde et al., 2012). Moreover, droughts are projected to be both more frequent and more extreme in the context of climate change (Vidal et al., 2012). Irrigation is a solution to protect farmers from water shortages, the water being provided by rivers and/or groundwater where the hydrogeological conditions are suitable, or from reservoirs elsewhere. Irrigation dams have been used for a thousand years in the Mediterranean area (Albergel et al., 2004) and have proved to be efficient in providing irrigation water in semi-arid regions, with positive impact on the economic activity of the countries concerned (Khlifi et al., 2010). Although irrigation dams can be large, most of them are associated with reservoirs of small storage capacity located on farms, and thus usually not connected to the main rivers, but connected to small brooks. We will use the term "small farm dams" to distinguish these dams from the larger man-made structures for flood control and/or hydroelectricity.

Small farm dams can be seen as a potential solution to the projected increase in droughts. Indeed, it is expected that water withdrawals or derivations to fill the small farm dams lead to a reduction of the discharge during the filling period (that is restricted to the high flow period), allowing the use of the stored water for irrigation in summer without affecting the discharge during low flow. For these reasons, there is growing pressure from French farmers' unions to allow their development, in the aim of protecting or extending production capacities (FNSEA, 2012). One argument is that small farm dams are expected to provide water when the crops need it, by storing water during the winter, which would also help to mitigate winter flood events. In France, such small farm dams are used extensively in the south west (http://www.eau-adour-garonne.fr/fr/etat-des-ressources-gestion-quantitative/barrages-et-reservoirs-du-bassin-adour-garonne.html), with a storage capacity above 800 million m^3 (Hébert et al., 2012). Such a dense concentration is quite unique in France. The economic impact of these dams was studied in detail by Hébert et al. (2012), but few studies in France have focused on their hydrological impact, with the notable exception of Galéa et al. (2005), who, by analysing the observed riverflows of some basins, found that these dams mostly lead to a reduction of the winter floods. When the time series of the observed river flow is too short, the methodology used by Galéa et al. (2005) cannot be applied. In such cases, modelling can be used to estimate the impact of small farm dams. One issue for such modelling is the determination of the geolocalization and volumes stored in the numerous reservoirs. Several studies have focused on determining the number and extent of the small farm reservoirs by using satellite data (Bhagat and Sonawane, 2011; Casas et al., 2011; Rodrigues et al., 2012; Shao et al., 2012). A few authors have been able to perform detailed studies of the impact on hydrology, based on the simulation of a large number of small farm dams. Among them, Güntner et al. (2004) and Malveira et al. (2012) combined the explicit simulation of numerous small dams (lower than 100 000 m^3) with large dams (above 50 000 000 m^3) in Northeast Brasil, and noticed that those smaller dams lead to a reduction of the water yield of the larger ones. Ramireddygari et al. (2000) in the USA, Hughes and Mantel (2010) in South Africa and Nathan and Lowe (2012) in Australia, found that the small farm dams induced a decrease of the annual discharge that could reach 10%. Cudennec et al. (2004), using a simpler approach based on a unit hydrograph, performed a prospective study in north-western France and found that the decrease of the riverflows is limited to the downstream basin.

The regional environmental agency of Pays de la Loire (DREAL Pays de la Loire), responsible for authorizing the building of such small farm dams, has received an increasing number of requests over the past few years. The issue for the DREAL has therefore been to form an idea of the impact of such dams on the riverflows, so as to implement the policy that best fits the farmers water demand while preserving the hydrological environment. The main questions are: What is the maximum water volume that can be stored in the irrigation dams without having too great an impact on the annual and monthly discharges ? Especially, what are the impacts on the floods occurring in autumn, that are important for the migration of fish and for their morphogenic contributions ? Are those dams really able to provide water to the farmers during the drier years, and what are then their impact on the dry year river flows? Is there a need to modify the regulations with regards to the functioning of these dams, especially the authorized filling period? and How can we be sure that the decisions taken at the present time will be economically and environmentally sustainable, especially in a context of climate change?

To perform the necessary study, a physically-based hydrometeorological model was used. A small farm dam module was built, with several hypotheses concerning the storage capacity and the filling capacity. This model is presented in the next section. The study first focused on the Layon basin (Fig. 1), one economically important basin of the Pays de la Loire that sometimes lacks water for the irrigation of maize. The impacts of the small farm dams on the river flows of the Layon basin are detailed below, as well as the ability of these dams to fill up in various climate conditions. Then, the method is generalized to the whole of France, which gives an idea of the impact of the hydrometeorological drivers. The last section considers the evolution of such anthropized basins in a context of climate change.

2 Material and methods

2.1 Hydrometeorological modelling

The method chosen was to use a hydrologically established model but without calibrating it. Calibration implies the use of observed river flows, but these flows are affected by anthropization, especially by the numerous points where water is drawn from rivers and aquifers. Unfortunately, such withdrawals are not sufficiently well-known to be correctly imposed, in spite of the great efforts made by water agencies to obtain these data. Thus, the hydrometeorological model SIM (Safran Isba Modcou) was used (Habets et al., 2008). In this model, the atmospheric forcing (precipitation, 2-m temperature and humidity, wind speed, incoming radiations) is provided by Safran (Durand et al., 1993; Quintana-Seguì et al., 2008) at an hourly time step and on an 8-km grid. Safran analyses are now available from 1958 (Vidal et al., 2010a). The water balance is computed using the Isba atmospheric surface scheme (Noilhan and Planton, 1989), for which the parameters are derived from a physiographic database (Masson et al., 2003). Isba is coupled to the Modcou hydrological model (Ledoux et al., 2007), for which hydrogeological parameters were calibrated in the Seine and Rhone aquifers. However, as the region of interest is not deeply affected by aquifers, the groundwater modelling is not used, and only the surface water routing is used. The river flow is simulated using the Rapid routing scheme (David et al., 2011a,b) for which the parameters were calibrated over the whole of France and, thus, not calibrated over a single basin. SIM was assessed over the whole of France (Habets et al., 2008), and one of the results is a better ability to reproduce the daily river flow of the largest basins. Quintana-Seguì et al. (2009) improved the results on the smallest basins by calibrating some soil parameters but, as mentioned above, it was decided not to use a calibrated simulation for this study. Section 3.1 presents an evaluation of the SIM results on the Layon basin.

2.2 The small farm dam model

For this study, a small farm dam model was developed and connected to SIM. This model is based on several hypotheses concerning the number and location of the dams, the area and depth of the reservoirs, and their functioning (Fig 2):

- The reservoirs are small (less than 2 0000 m^3) but several small farm dams can be located in the same sub-basin. Several studies have succeeded in inferring the number of dams and the area they cover using satellite data and GIS (Bhagat and Sonawane, 2011; Rodrigues et al., 2012; Shao et al., 2012). As our study is more a prospective than a retrospective study, the future location of the dams cannot be observed, and the choice was made to build simple hypotheses that could fit both the present day and the coming decades. In the Layon basin, the number of dams is limited to 3 per km^2 (SDAGE Loire Bretagne, 2009). But, since the water balance is computed on an 8-km grid by ISBA, if several dams are present in an 8-km grid space, they have to be considered as aggregated (Fig 2). In a basin larger than 64 km^2, the distribution of the dams can be heterogeneous. A preliminary test was used to determine whether the results were sensitive to dam locations in a 1000 km^2 basin. Focusing on the riverflow at the outlet, it was found that it is rather similar to take into account few larger dams aggregated on an 8-km grid as to simulate several small dams as long as they are sparsely distributed in the basin (i.e. not all located on the same tributary). This is consistent with the findings of Hughes and Mantel (2010). Therefore, for the sake of simplicity, the distribution of the dams was assumed to be homogeneous in space, i.e. there was one aggregated dam in each 8x8 km^2 cell used to compute the water balance.

- To estimate the volume stored in the small farm reservoirs, another hypothesis was made. In the Pays de la Loire region, the spatial extent of the surface water area should not reach 5% of the basin area (SDAGE Loire Bretagne, 2009), a figure far from being achieved in most basins of the region, even when all the natural lakes and large reservoirs are counted. As the regulation is expressed as a percentage of the basin area, we used the same formalism to express the small farm dam fraction D, i.e. the accumulated area of the small farm dam (A_{SFD}) in a basin as a percentage of the basin area (A_{basin} in m^2):

$$D = A_{SFD}/A_{basin} \times 100 \quad (1)$$

The small farm dam fraction can be estimated using the present day irrigation water volume in the Pays de la Loire region, by considering that all the irrigation water taken from the surface water comes from small farm dams, as it is the case in the Layon basin (SAGE, 2002a). To do this, we used the pumping volume (V_P in m^3) provided by the basin water agency. We then needed to estimate an average water depth, d_w, of the small farm dams. An average value of $d_w = 3$ m was chosen, as it is the average depth of a short database referencing 171 small farm dams in south-western France, and as it is below the 5 m for which an annual survey of the dam structure is required. Then, the small farm dam fraction can be expressed as:

$$D = V_P/d_w/A_{basin} \times 100. \quad (2)$$

Table 1 gives an estimate of this percentage for seven basins of the Pays de la Loire. From Table 1, reasonable extension of the use of small farm dams in the future could lead them to reach 0.5 to 1 % of the basin area.

- Although it is not always the case, the reservoirs are considered to be filled up by capturing small brooks (even, temporary brooks). These brooks are not explicitly represented in the model, but the water that flows in such brooks can be estimated by considering the surface runoff and infiltration produced on the corresponding watersheds. Such approach is not fully compatible with the small farm dams that fill up by pumping from rivers. Such dams are then able to collect water from a larger area than the small dam's catchment, and the chosen modelling approach will then tends to underestimate their filling ability. In any case as the exact locations of the small dams are unknown, it is not possible to compute their catchments. Therefore, to try to encompass these two characteristics, several sizes of catchment that can contribute runoff to the dams are studied here. These dam catchment areas (A_{catch}) were considered to be proportional to the area of small farm dam's:

$$A_{catch} = R \times A_{SFD} \quad (3)$$

with R varying from 20 to 200. Since the dam's area is defined as a percentage of the basin, the area of the dam's catchment can also be expressed as a proportion of the basin area:

$$A_{catch} = R \times D \times A_{basin} = C \times A_{basin} \quad (4)$$

C varies from 10 to 100% (see section 3.2). In order to be compatible with filling by pumping, the inflow was limited to 1 m^3/s.

- The filling period is regulated: farmers can fill the dams from November to March. However, the DREAL Pays de la Loire pays special attention to the occurrence of floods in the autumn because they have a strong positive impact on migratory fish and because they are morphogenic. Moreover, it appeared that most of the farmers used a shorter period to fill up their dams, with increased water intake from January to March. Therefore, two filling periods were tested in this study: a 5-month period from November to March and a 3-month period from January to March.

- A strong hypothesis is made on how the dams operate: all the water in the dams is expected to be used for irrigation purposes, i.e., the small farm dams are assumed to be emptied every year, and this water is taken to be spread on the fields (Fig. 2) where it is expected to evaporate with no return to the river.

- The increased water body evaporation from the small farm dams is neglected, and the evaporation from the dams is considered to be equal to the surrounding observation. The impact of this hypothesis will be discussed in section 6.

The small farm dam module was connected to SIM with a daily time step by collecting both the simulated surface runoff and infiltration that flow in their catchment areas (Fig 2). All the flow can be captured as long as it is below the 1 m^3/s threshold and that the dam is not yet filled up. When the dams are full or outside the filling period, the presence of dams does not affect the simulated hydrology. Hereafters the word "basin" is used for the river basin, while "catchment" is used for the dam's catchment area.

2.3 Assessment method

The study is performed on two spatial scales: the local scale with a focus on the Layon basin, and the extended France scale. The basin scale allows to detail the results at fine temporal scale and to test several scenarios, while the France scale allows to have an overview of the spatial variability and to get a comparison with areas where small farm dams are already well extended.

The variables of interest are the filling efficiency, and the impacts on the riverflow. The filling efficiency of the dams is estimated based on their maximum filling stages simulated each year according to the climatic conditions (including the dry years) compared to their maximum volume capacity. The expected decrease of the river flows associated to the presence of the small farm dams is quantified on monthly and annual time scales, with a special attention on the low and high flows for the local scale, and on the dry years. Indeed, in case of drought, water use may be restricted by law, to the point of requisition water stored in dams to sustain river flow. However, the large number of small dams makes it difficult to apply this law to small farm dams, which reinforces the interest to quantify their impact on flows during dry years.

In order to establish if the impact of small farm dams is statistical significant, a statistical method was used. As the presence of small farm dams is always reducing the river flows, in order to test the statistical significativity of the results, a bootstrap approach was used. Such approach allows verifying that the differences between the cases with and without dams are statistical significant compared to a random rearrangement of the distribution obtained with the two cases. To do so, the two samples are rearranged a thousand times with mixed values, and the differences between the two rearranged sets are computed, and their distribution is analysed. The results are statistical significant at the 5 $\%$ level if the probability to reach the results in the distribution is lower equal to 5%. The same approach was used to infer the statistical significativity of the results in the context of climate change.

3 Simulation of the Layon basin

The study first focused on the Pays de la Loire region. Although several rivers were studied, we concentrate, here, on the Layon basin (Fig 1). There are several river gauges in the Layon, and we consider the largest one, Saint Lambert ($930 km^2$). The main irrigated crop is maize. The declared irrigation volume reached $3000000 m^3$ in 1998 (SAGE, 2002a) and had almost doubled by 2010, the water being mostly stored in small farm dams (about 80%) or being directly pumped from the river or alluvial aquifer (SAGE, 2002a). However, the characteristics of the existing small farm dams are not well known. An investigation in 1997 reported less than 180 dams larger than 2000 m^3 (SAGE, 2002a). In order to reduce the pumping in summer and its large effect on the hydrology, it is projected to increase the irrigation dam facilities in the basin (SAGE, 2002b). If all the irrigation water is expected to be provided by dams, then, according to our hypotheses, the area of the reservoirs associated with small farm dams within the Layon basin will be about 0.2 % of the basin (Table 1).

As the development of these dams along the period 1970 to nowadays is not well known, it is chosen to run a simulation without small farm dams, and to compare such simulation with the observations. It is expected that the model will overestimate the observed flow, especially during the filling period. Then, the inclusion of the small farm dams in the model allows to account for the reduction of the river flow linked to the storage of the runoff in the dams, such water being considered as a lost for the hydrosystem since it is then used for irrigation. The simulated river flows are then expected to be closer to the observations, and the comparison between the two simulations allows quantifying the impact of the dams on the discharge.

3.1 Assessment of the hydrometeorological model without small farm dams

As explained earlier, the SIM model was not calibrated to reproduce the observed river flow. The comparison with the observed river flow was made over the period 1970-2000. The mean observed discharge was 4.35 m^3/s, which implies that the irrigation pressure represented at least 4% of the discharge. The simulated river flows show a clear overestimation of the river flow from September to February (Fig. 3), the mean annual error on the discharge being 13%, while the error from November to March reaches 23%. There is slightly better agreement with the monthly low flows (VCN3: minimum 3-day river flow) and high flows (VCX3: maximum 3-day river flow), although the low flow tends to be underestimated. The daily efficiency (Nash and Sutcliffe, 1970) reaches 0.61, and the ones computed from November to March is only 0.56.

Although it is clear that part of the error is linked to a poor estimation of the parameters describing the basin characteristics and to the physics of the model, part of the error is expected to be linked to the presence of small farm dams. Indeed, between 25 and 50 % of the error can be linked to the water intake (depending on the period of reference for the water uptake). There are still about 50% of the overestimation that is not directly linked to the water uptake. The consequences of such overestimation of the river flows during the filling period is that the simulated ability to fill up the small irrigation dams might be overestimated while the impact of the water withdrawals on the river flows might be underestimated.

3.2 Impacts of the small farm dams in the Layon basin

Several scenarios for the extent and configuration of the dams were used: two filling periods, two maximum storage capacities, and three areas of the contributing catchment were tested (Table 2). Only the smallest dams' catchment was expected to be incompatible with dams that were filled by pumping. The impacts were estimated using a 30-year simulation, from 1970 to 2000.

3.2.1 Storage efficiency

Only the smallest dams' catchment showed poor ability to fill up in the Layon basin (Fig. 4), with dams full less than one year in ten while the full capacity was reached in at least seven out of ten years for the other scenarios. Therefore, the smallest dams' catchments are probably not economically efficient, and larger dams' catchments or pumping facilities should be preferred in the Layon basin. For the larger catchments, when the full capacity is not reached (three years out of ten), the storage is more efficient when the filling period lasts 5 months rather than 3 months. Similar results are obtained when the area of the dams represents 0.5% and the catchment area is 50% of the basin area or when the area of the dams is 1% and the catchment area is 100% of the basin, which was to be expected since the dam catchment areas are then similar (Table 2).

3.2.2 Impact on river flows

During the filling period, the dams are expected to capture all the water that flows on their topographic catchment as long as the inflow is below $1m^3/s$ and as long as the dams are not full. Thus, Fig. 5 shows, quite logically, that the reduction of the discharge due to the presence of the dams is greater in the first month of the filling period, except for the scenario with the smallest dams' catchment, which has already been shown not to be the most suitable. The decrease of the discharge on the first month is lower for the 3-month period than for the 5-month period because the river flows are higher in January than in November. The impacts were significant at a 95% interval only for the first month for the scenario in which the catchment covered 100% of the basin. The area of the dam catchment has a large impact on the intensity of monthly river flow reduction, with a maximum reduction of the flow varying from 56 to 38% for a catchment areas reaching 100% and 50% of the basin, respectively, for a 5-month filling period, and is limited to between 29 to 25 % for a 3-month filling period. Similar results were found for the low and high river flows (VCN3 and VCX3), but with a larger impact on the low flows. Thus, compared to a 5-month period, a 3-month period has a reduced impact on the monthly river flow while being almost as efficient for filling the dams (Table 2).

The impact of the dams on the annual discharge varies each year according to the hydrometeorological conditions. The frequency distribution of the decrease of the annual discharge associated to the presence of the small farm dams is presented in Figure 6. The largest extent (D=1%) leads to a reduction of the annual discharge by up to 20% in six out of ten years. Such strong impact might not be acceptable for the local hydrologic environment.

3.2.3 Focus on a dry year

As the irrigation dams are intended to supply water in a context of shortage, we focus here on one of the driest years. Figure 7 presents the impact on the monthly flow in 1991-1992. When the dam area is 0.5% of the basin area the flow of the first month of the filling period is reduced by more than 90% for a dam catchment as large as the basin and around 50% for a dam catchment of half the basin, for the two filling periods. On an annual basis (Table 2), the best filling efficiency is achieved for a 5-month filling period and a 0.5 % dam area with a catchment covering the full basin (85.6 %). Halving the size of the catchment limits the storage efficiency to 60%. The decrease of the annual discharge is considerable, -40% and -30%, respectively, for the two-dam implementations discussed above. The 3-month filling period leads to a reduced impact on the monthly river flow, but also to weaker efficiency of dam filling during the driest years. From this study, it seems that a 0.5% area, with catchments covering all or half the basin are the most stable scenarios, with rather good filling efficiency even during dry years.

4 Results over France

The extension of the results to the whole of France allows some comparisons to be made with areas where the irrigation dams are already well developed (south west of France). Of course, an even distribution of the small farm dams is not realistic. Moreover, in some regions like part of the Seine basin, the Rhine and Rhone alluvial valleys, pumping from regional aquifers might be preferred to small farm dams. But the use of a spatially homogeneous scenario gives better insight into the hydroclimatic constraints on small farm dam filling capacity and on their impact on river flow. In this section, only the scenarios with a 0.5% area for the small farm dams are used, and the sensitivities to the dam's catchment and the filling periods are studied. Mean annual precipitation in France ranges from about 500 to about 2500 $mm/year$, with high precipitation in the mountains, and low precipitation in most of the north-west and in the Mediterranean area (Fig. 8). Such contrasts will of course impact the efficiency with which the dams are filled and also their impact on river flow. Figure 8 shows that the Pays de la Loire region is associated with relatively low rainfall.

The mean filling efficiency shows large spatial variation when averaged over the 30-year period and for the dry year of 1991-1992 (Fig. 9 and 10). In the south-west and Brittany, maximum capacity is reached for all catchment sizes and the two filling periods even for the driest year, confirming that the hydro-climatic conditions are favourable in these regions. The mountainous regions with large snowpack (Alps, Pyrenees) have a low filling ratio, which is linked to the fact that, during the filling period, the water is stored in the snowpack. Actually, numerous hydro-power dams exist in these mountainous regions, with quite different filling periods, since the captured flow is provided by snowmelt rivers. Other regions like the Seine basin and the Pays de la Loire region have a weak mean annual filling ratio (50%) for the smallest catchment, and present a weaker filling ratio during the dry year 1991-1992 for all catchment sizes and filling periods. The 3-month filling period leads to similar mean filling ratios over France for the two larger catchment sizes, but is shown to be less efficient during drier years than the 5-month period (Fig. 10).

The impacts on the discharge averaged over the 30-year period and for the dry year are presented in Figure 11 for one medium scenario (D=0.5% C=50%, 5-month filling period) only, as similar results were found for the others. The impact on river discharge is lower than 2% in the Alps and Pyrenees areas, where the small farm dams were not able to fill up. More surprising, the mean decrease of the annual discharge is also lower than 2% in south-western France even though the dams there have a high mean annual filling ratio. In contrast, the decrease of the mean annual discharge are larger than 5% in most parts of the Seine basin, Pays de la Loire region, and along the river Garonne, and exceed 10% in some parts of these regions. When we focus on the dry year, the Pays de la Loire region and Seine basin stand out as places where the impact is largest, above 15%.

These results show that small farm dams have smallest impact on the discharge and best storage efficiency in the south-west of France, where they are numerous. This is linked to the high precipitation in this area, especially during the filling period. These results emphasize that, due to the variability of the hydroclimatic conditions, the management developed in the south-west would be less efficient and would have more impact in the river discharge of the Seine basin and Pays de la Loire regions.

5 Projection in a context of climate change

In order to form an idea of the sustainability of small farm dams in the context of climate change, three downscaled climate projections were used to estimate how the storage efficiency and the impact on the river flows could evolved. The downscaled projections had already been used over France (Boé et al., 2009; Chauveau et al., 2013; Habets et al., 2013), which allowed three contrasting projections to be selected. The three downscaled projections share the A1B emission scenario and a weather typing downscaling method (Boé et al., 2006; Pagé et al., 2008). Two time periods are used: 1970-2000 for the present day, and 2045-2065 for the future. The precipitation projected by the three downscaled projections on the Layon basin and over the whole of France are presented in Fig. 12 and Table 3. ARPEGE gives the driest projection, with a decrease in precipitation that reaches 13.6 % on average and with significant evolution over most of France, while GFDL0 is the projection with smallest change (-3.7 %) and no significant change over France. In the Layon basin, the same observations can be made, ARPEGE is the driest projection while GFDL0 is the wettest one. In the following, the impact of climate change on the Layon basin with the small farm dams is presented first, and then the focus is extended to the whole of France.

5.1 Combined impacts of small farm dams and climate change on the Layon basin

Climate change alone is projected to have a strong impact on the river flow of the Layon basin, with rather large uncertainty: the annual discharge is projected to decrease by 7 to 34 % (Table 3). The presence of small farm dams both in the present day and in 2050 leads to a more pronounced decreased, from -8 to -36%, and if the small farm dams are considered to be developed by 2050 but not to exist in present day, the decrease is more pronounced, from -16% to -42%. The impact of (i) climate change alone and (ii) both climate change and dams, on the monthly discharge compared to the present day without dams are presented in Fig. 13. Although the climate projections show considerable dispersion, the impact of climate change and small farm dams in the first month of the filling period is large, with a decrease of the discharge ranging from -40% to -80% in November for a 5-month filling period, and from -30 to -50% in January for a 3-month filling period. Comparing the results in 2050 with and without small farm dams, it is found that the impact of the dams is significant at a 95% level only in the first month for the ARPEGE projection, and is not significant for the others two projections.

The impact of the small farm dams is partly limited by a decrease of their ability to fill up for all projections except GFDL0 (Fig. 14). On average over the three projections, for a 5-month filling period, full storage is reached in six years out of ten in the present day, but only in four years out of ten in 2050, the small farm dams being projected to be less than 70% full for two years out of ten in 2050. The impact of climate change is accentuated for a 3-month filling period, the small farm dams being projected to be full for three years out of ten in 2050, and to be less than 50% full for two years out of ten in 2050.

5.2 Combined impacts of small irrigation dams and climate change in France

When the view is widened to the whole of France, it is found that, although the climate projections are rather different the regions that were found to be the most sensitive to drier years in the present day are the most impacted by climate change. A strong decrease (above 15%) in storage is found in the Seine basin, the centre of the Garonne Valley, the south of the Loire basin, and the Pays de la Loire (Figure 15). Regions with a large snow pack in the present day are projected to improve their storage capacity in 2050 due to a diminution of the snowpack (Alps and Pyrenees mountain ranges). However, as stated before, hydro-power dams are present nowadays in these mountainous regions, and they are not affected by the same regulation than the small farm dams, and especially, their filling periods are not fixed. Therefore, the results obtained in these regions should not be considered. This is why, in Table 3, the results on the evolution of the filling capacity are given for the area below 1250 m high (93% of France), as well as on the whole of France. The filling efficiency presents a more extensive and larger decrease when the filling period is reduced to 3 months (Table 3). For instance, the part of France where the filling efficiency decreases by up to 10% is almost doubled when the filling period is reduced to 3 months instead of 5 (Fig. 15). The results are significant in the Garonne Valley for the MRI and ARPEGE projections, and also over part of the Seine basin for ARPEGE.

The impact of climate change alone on the annual discharge has a more contrasted spatial pattern (Fig. 16). Two of the three scenarios project an increase of the river flow in the south of France (although the increase is not significant at the 95 % level), while the third one projects a weak change of the flow in the Charente basin. On average over France, the river flows are projected to decrease by about 15 to 30% (Table 3). Fig. 16 shows the impact of small farm dams in the annual discharge in 2050 compared to the simulation in 2050 without small farm dams (results for a 5-month filling period are presented, but similar results were found for a 3-month period). It can be seen that, although the impact of climate change alone is rather different between the three projections, the impact of small farm dams in the context of climate change has the same spatial pattern as at present day, but with greater intensity. However, the evolutions are not significant at the 95% level.

6 Discussion

By using a simple model of small farm dams and several hypotheses, this study was able to estimate the impact on the river flows of extended small farm dams spread over the Pays de la Loire region, and to compare the results in this region with those for the rest of France, especially with the regions where such dams are already well developed. In the Pays de la Loire region, it was found that large capacity storage dams would have large impacts on the river discharge (decrease above 15%), and would need to collect water from the whole basin if they were to be completely filled. Smaller capacity storage dams would have a reduced impact on the annual discharge (decrease above 7%), but would still need to collect water from a large part of the basin to be able to be fill up efficiently. These results are consistent with the findings of Hughes and Mantel (2010) and Nathan and Lowe (2012). It was also shown that a 3-month filling period reduced the impact on the monthly discharge, (and of course on floods occurring in autumn), but led to more filling failure during drier years. Moreover, these failures are projected to increase in the context of climate change. The Pays de la Loire region was shown to be one of the regions of France where the decrease of river discharge due to the presence of the small farm dams is the greatest and where the ability of these dams to supply water to the farmer is the lowest.

However, this study was based on several hypotheses that influenced the results. First, the water balance was estimated by the hydrometeorological model SIM, which is, of course, not perfect. In fact, SIM was shown to overestimate the river flow of the Layon basin, and this error cannot only be explained simply by the water pumping in the basin. An overestimation of the river flow would lead to an overestimation of the filling efficiency of the small farm dams, and to a reduced impact of the small farm dams on the river flow. Thus the impact of small farm dams on the river flow of the Layon river could possibly be stronger than computed in this study. The error between the observed discharge and the discharges simulated by SIM varies in space but with no clear spatial pattern (Habets et al., 2008). Therefore, the distinction between the strongly impacted regions (Pays de la Loire and Seine basin), and the less impacted regions (south-western France, Brittany), which is driven by the spatial distribution of the precipitation, is expected to be robust. This result is consistent with the analysis of Shao et al. (2012), who found that the dams were more widespread in regions with large precipitation in winter and moderate slopes.

Strong hypotheses were also made on the spatial extent of the small farm dams and their associated storage. As several spatial extent were tested, the estimated volume should be discussed. The volume of water stored in the dams was simply estimated using an assumed water depth of 3 m. However, previous studies have found a non-linear relationship between the volume capacity and the surface area of the form $V = \alpha A^{\beta}$ with V the volume and A the area (Hughes and Mantel, 2010; Shao et al., 2012, for instance). According to the relationship used in Shao et al. (2012), it appears that the 3 m depth is the lower boundary, and corresponds to really small farm dams. However, the depth of 3 m was used as it corresponds to the average depth of 171 small farm dams in south western France, and it is thought to be more appropriate to the France context. Moreover, sensitivity to the volume was assessed indirectly by considering several values of the area of the dams as discussed above. A better relationship between volume and surface area of the dams might have led to different values being considered for their areas. In our study, the dam's area was only sensitive via its impact on the storage volume, because the increased evaporation from the dams was neglected. More precisely, evaporation from dams was considered to be equal to the evapotranspiration from the surrounded environment. As evaporation from water body is closed to the potential evaporation, the evaporation loss during the filling period was probably underestimated, especially for the 5-month filling period. Moreover, after the filling period, the evaporation losses from the small farm dams reduces the volume store, and thus, the ability of the small farm dams to supply water to the farmer is certainly overestimated in our simulations. Martínez-Granados et al. (2011) have quantified the evaporation in a semi-arid region of Spain, and they estimated that the evaporation loss could reach 8% of the water stored. As most part of France has a more humid climate, it can be considered that the loss will be lower in France, and that the stored water volume should decrease by less than 8% due to the evaporation loss.

Another issue is that the water from dams is expected not to have any feedback on the river flow, i.e. it is assumed to evaporate on the fields. According to our scenarios, the water stored would represent a $15mm/m^2$ to $30mm/m^2$ of irrigation water which would be used in summer (3 months) over the entire basin. Although these are small quantities that can easily evaporate in summer, as only a part of the basin is irrigated, they can still impact the autumn runoff. However, the impact should be very limited at the beginning of the filling period, i.e. in November. Thus, the influence on the study is expected to be reduced.

7 Conclusions

The main objective of this study was to gain some understanding of the maximum storage capacity of small farm dams that would be sustainable in time and have limited impact on the Pays de la Loire region. A simple modelling approach was set up, and showed that the development of small farm dams should be more limited in the Pays de la Loire region, and also in the Seine basin, compared to other regions of France, because the hydroclimatic conditions are not favourable. In these regions, the dams are less able to fill up, and thus to supply water to the farmers, and the presence of the dams lead to a decrease of the flow larger than in other regions. The impact of such dams is exacerbated during dry years, even though they are barely filled up at more than 50% in these regions. The study gives some qualitative answers to the main questions of the regional environmental agency: the full storage capacity of the dams should be limited (perhaps around 15000 m^3/km^2 but probably less than 30 000 m^3/km^2) in the Pays de la Loire region in order to ensure a good filling efficiency even in a dry year. A limitation of the filling period to 3 months would have a positive impact on the flow, but would decrease the efficiency of the dam filling and thus the ability of the dams to provide water in periods of shortage; and this ability is projected to decrease in a context of climate change.

The study found that the regions that are sensitive to filling failure and have a large impact on river flow nowadays (Pays de la Loire, Seine basin) are projected to have greater difficulties in the future in a context of climate change. This reinforces the sensible idea that adaptation to climate change begins with adaptation to present-day extremes.

Acknowledgements. This study was supported by the DREAL-Pays de la Loire. We would like to thank Stéphanie Poligot-Pitsch for her support, as well as Michel Déqué from CNRM-GAME and Christian Pagé and Laurent Terray from Cerfacs for providing the downscaled climate projections. We are grateful to Patrice Dumas and the anonymous reviewer for their comments that helped to improve this article

References

Albergel, J., Nasri, S. and Lamachère, J.-M.: Project of research on hill reservoirs in the semi arid zone of Mediterranean periphery, Revue des Sciences de l'Eau, 17, 133-151, 2004.

Amigues J.P., P. Debaeke, B. Itier, G. Lemaire, B. Seguin, F. Tardieu and Thomas A.: Sécheresse et agriculture. Réduire la vulnérabilité de l'agriculture á un risque accru de manque d'eau. Expertise scientifique collective, synthèse du rapport, INRA (France), 72 p 2006.

Bhagat, V.S. and Sonawane, K.R.: Use of Landsat ETM+ data for delineation of water bodies in hilly zones, Journal of Hydroinformatics, 13, 661-671, 2011.

Boé, J., Terray, L., Martin, E. and Habets, F.: Projected changes in components of the hydrological cycle in French river basins during the 21st century, Water Resources Research, 45,8, W08426 2009.

Boé, J., Terray, L., Habets, F. and Martin, E.: A simple statistical-dynamical downscaling scheme based on weather types and conditional resampling, Journal of Geophysical Research D: Atmospheres, 111, 23, D23106, 2006.

Casas, J., Toja, J., Bonachela, S., Fuentes, F., Gallego, I., Juan, M., León, D., Peñalver, P., Pérez, C. and Sánchez, P.: Artificial ponds in a Mediterranean region (Andalusia, southern Spain): Agricultural and environmental issues, Water and Environment Journal, 25, 308-317, 2011.

Chauveau, M., Chazot S., Perrin, C., Bourgin, P.-Y., Sauquet, E., Vidal, J.-P., Rouchy, N., Martin, E., David, J., Norotte, T., Maugis, P. and de Lacaze, X.: Quels impacts des changements climatiques sur les eaux de surface en France á l'horizon 2070?, La Houille Blanche, in press, 2013.

Cudennec, C., Sarraza, M. and Nasri, S.: Robust modelling of the aggregated impact of small headwater dams on surface hydrology Revue des Sciences de l'Eau, 17, 181-194, 2004.

David, C.H., Habets, F., Maidment, D.R. and Yang, Z.-L.: RAPID applied to the SIM-France model, Hydrological Processes, 25, 3412-3425, 2011.

David, C.H., Maidment, D.R., Niu, G.-Y., Yang, Z.-L., Habets, F. and Eijkhout, V.: River network routing on the NHDPlus dataset, Journal of Hydrometeorology, 12, 913-934, 2011.

Durand, Y., Brun E., Merindol, L., Guyomarc'h, G., Lesaffre, B. and Martin, E.: A meteorological estimation of relevant parameters for snow models, Annales Geophysicae, 18, 65-71. 1993.

FNSEA (Fédération Nationale des Syndicats d'Exploitants Agricoles) La cohérence gouvernementale prend l'eau http://www.fnsea.fr/presse-et-publications/espace-presse/communiques-de-presse/la-coherence-gouvernementale-prend-l%E2%80%99eau 2012.

Galéa, G., Vasquez-Paulus, B., Renard, B. and Breil P: L'impact des prélèvements d'eau pour l'irrigation sur les régimes hydrologiques des sous-bassins du Tescou et de la Séoune (bassin Adour-Garonne, France) Revue des sciences de l'eau / Journal of Water Science, 18, 273-305, 2005.

Giuntoli, I., Renard, B., Vidal J.-P. and Bard, A.: Low flows in France and their relationship to large-scale climate indices, J. Hydrol., 482, 105-118, 2013.

Güntner, A., Krol, M. S., de Araújo, J. C., Bronstert, A.: Simple water balance modelling of surface reservoir systems in a large data-scarce semiarid region. Hydrological Sciences Journal - Journal des Sciences Hydrologiques, 49, 5, 901-918. 2004.

Habets, F., Boone, A., Champeaux, J.L., Etchevers, P., Franchistéguy, L., Leblois, E. Ledoux, E., Le Moigne, P., Martin, E., Morel, S., Noilhan, J., Quintana-Seguì, P., Rousset-Regimbeau F., and Viennot, P.: The SIM hydrometeorological model over France, J. Geoph. Res., 113, D06113, doi:10.1029/2007JD008548, 2008.

Habets, F., Boé, J., Déqué, M., Ducharne, A., Gascoin, S., Hachour, A., Martin, E., Pagé C., Sauquet, E., Terray L., Thiéry, D., Oudin, L. and Viennot, P.: Impact of climate change on the hydrogeology of two basins in Northern France, Climatic Change DOI 10.1007/s10584-013-0934-x, 2013.

Hébert, N. Grandmougin, B., Loubier, S., Graveline, N., Marsac, S., Amen, J.-F. and Brunel, L.: Water withdrawal policy reform in the Adour-Garonne basin: economic impacts on the farming sector Agronomie, Environnement et Sociétés, 2, 113-126 2012.

Hughes, D.A. and Mantel, S.K.: Estimating the uncertainty in simulating the impacts of small farm dams on streamflow regimes in South Africa, Hydrological Sciences Journal, 55, 578-592 2010.

Khlifi, S., Ameur, M., Mtimet, N., Ghazouani, N. and Belhadj, N.: Impacts of small hill dams on agricultural development of hilly land in the Jendouba region of northwestern Tunisia, Agricultural Water Management, 97, 50-56 2010.

Ledoux, E., Gomez, E. Monget, J.M., Viavattène, C., Viennot, P., Ducharne, A., Benoit, M., Mignolet, C., Schott, C., and Mary, B.: Agriculture and groundwater nitrate contamination in the Seine basin. The STICS-MODCOU modelling chain, Science Tot. Env., 375, 1-3, 33-47, 2007.

Martínez-Granados, D., Maestre-Valero, J.F., Calatrava J. and Martínez-Alvarez V. The economic impact of water evaporation losses from water reservoirs in the Segura basin SE Spain, Water Resour. Manage., 25 3153-3175, 2011.

Masson, V., Champeaux, J.-L., Chauvin, F., Meriguet, C., and Lacaze, R: A Global Database of Land Surface Parameters at 1-km Resolution in Meteorological and Climate Models, J. Climate, 16, 9, 1261-1282, 2003.

Malveira, V. T. C., de Araujo, J. C., Güntner and A.: Hydrological Impact of a High-Density Reservoir Network in Semiarid Northeastern Brazil, Journal of Hydrologic Engineering, 17, 1, 109-117. 2012.

MEDD (Ministère de l'Ecologie, du Développement durable, des Transports et du Logement) Guide Juridique, Construction de retenue 50p. 2009.

Nathan, R and Lowe, L: The hydrologic impacts of farm dams. Australian Journal of Water Resources, Vol. 16, 75-83, 2012.

Nash, J., and Sutcliffe, J.: River forecasting using conceptual models, 1. A discussion of principles, J. of Hydrol., 10 3, p 282-290, 1970.

Noilhan, J., and Planton, S.: A simple parameterization of Land Surface Processes for meteorological Models, Mon. Weather Rev., 117, 536-549, 1989.

Pagé, C., Terray, L. and Boé, J.: Projections climatiques à échelle fine sur la France pour le 21ème siècle : les scénarii SCRATCH08, CERFACS, 21 p. 2008.

Quintana-Seguì, P., LeMoigne, P., Durand, Y., Martin, E., Habets, F., Baillon, M., Franchisteguy, L. , Morel, S., and Noilhan, J.: The SAFRAN atmospheric analysis: Description and validation, J. Applied Meteorol. and Climatology, 47, 92-107, 2008.

Quintana-Seguì, P., Martin, E. Habets, F. and Noilhan, J.: Improvement, calibration and validation of a distributed hydrological model over France, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 13, 163-181, 2009.

Quintana-Seguì, P., Ribes, A., Martin, E., Habets, F., and Boé, J.: Comparison of three downscaling methods in simulating the impact of climate change on the hydrology of Mediterranean basins, Journal of Hydrology, 383, 111-124, 2010.

Ramireddygari, S.R., Sophocleous, M.A., Koelliker, J.K., Perkins, S.P. and Govindaraju, R.S.: Development and application of a comprehensive simulation model to evaluate impacts of watershed structures and irrigation water use on streamflow and groundwater: The case of Wet Walnut Creek Watershed, Kansas, USA, Journal of Hydrology, 236, 223-246 2000.

Rodrigues, L.N., Sano, E.E., Steenhuis, T.S. and Passo, D.P.: Estimation of small reservoir storage capacities with remote sensing in the Brazilian savannah region, Water Resour Manage, 26:873-882, 2012.

SAGE (Schéma d'Amaénagement et de Gestion des Eaux) des bassins Versants du Layon et de l'Aubance, Phase I: Rapport 163p 2002.

SAGE (Schéma d'Amaénagement et de Gestion des Eaux) des bassins Versants du Layon et de l'Aubance, Phase II: Elaboration des scénarios 75p 2002.

SDAGE (Schéma Directeur d'Amaénagement et de Gestion des Eaux) du bassin Loire-Bretagne 2010-2015. 252p 2009.

Shao, Q., Chan, C., Jin, H. and Barry, S.: Statistical Justification of Hillside Farm Dam Distribution in Eastern Australia, Water Resources Management, 26, 3139-3151 2012.

van der Velde, M., Tubiello, F.N., Vrieling, A. and Bouraoui, F., Impacts of extreme weather on wheat and maize in France: Evaluating regional crop simulations against observed data, Climatic Change, 113, 751-765 2012.

Vidal, J.-P., Martin, E., Franchistéguy, L., Baillon, M. and Soubeyroux, J.-M.: A 50-year high-resolution atmospheric reanalysis over France with the Safran system, journal=International Journal of Climatology, 30, 1627-1644, 2010.

Vidal, J.-P., Martin, E., Franchistéguy, L., Habets, F., Soubeyroux, J.-M., Blanchard, M. and Baillon, M.: Multilevel and multiscale drought reanalysis over France with the Safran-Isba-Modcou hydrometeorological suite, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 14, 459-478 2010.

Vidal, J.-P., Martin, E., Kitova, N., Najac, J. and Soubeyroux, J.-M.: Evolution of spatio-temporal drought characteristics: Validation, projections and effect of adaptation scenarios, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 16, 2935-2955, 2012.

Table 1. Estimation of the fraction of small farm dams based on known pumping pressure derived from surface water pumping in seven river basins of the Pays de la Loire region. The pumping pressure was provided by the Loire-Bretagne water agency in 2000. A uniform water depth of 3m is assumed for the small farm dams.

River Name	A_{basin} km^2	Number of pumping points (excluding groundwater pumping)	Pumping Pressure million $m3$	fraction of small farm dam (D) %
Sarthe upstream	2827	133	3.00	0.035
Huisne	1833	218	1.89	0.034
Loir	5419	1565	17.09	0.105
Authion	1453	1268	23.00	0.528
Oudon	1341	167	2.58	0.064
Layon	1040	500	5.80	0.186
Sevre Nantaise	2310	828	15.48	0.223

Table 2. Small farm dam (SFD) properties used to study the impact on the Layon basin (930 km^2). The values refer to the whole Layon basin The driest year is 1991-1992. 5m and 3m stand for 3-month and 5-month filling period, respectively

Filling period	SFD ratio D%	$Vmax$ M m^3	catchment to SFD ratio R	A_{catch} km^2	Catchment ratio C %	Impact on the annual discharge %	Storage ratio driest year %	Impact discharge driest year %
5m	1	2.79	100	930.	100	-17.3	57.7	-57.2
5m	0.5	1.395	200	930.	100	-9.0	85.6	-40.6
5m	0.5	1.395	100	465.	50	-8.6	59.0	-29.0
5m	0.5	1.395	20	93.	10	-6.4	13.5	-6.9
3m	1	2.79	100	930.	100	-16.7	31.9	-34.9
3m	0.5	1.395	200	465.	100	-8.7	63.1	-28.6
3m	0.5	1.395	100	465.	50	-8.4	42.9	-20.0
3m	0.5	1.395	20	93.	10	-5.4	8.7	-4.0

Table 3. Simulated present-day precipitation and riverflow according to the climate models, and projections for 2050: PRCP: annual precipitation; D no dams: annual discharge without small farm dams; D 3m and D 5m: annual discharge with small farm dams covering and area of 0.5%, with a dam catchment of 50%, and the 3-month and 5-month filling periods, respectively. The river discharge evolution in 2050 was computed using two references, either without or with (in brackets) dams in present day; Sto: mean annual maximum storage over maximum storage of the dams for the two filling periods. Two values are computed over France: the average is computed over the whole of France and, in bold, only on the grid cells below 1250m. The results obtained using the Safran analysis are given as a baseline.

	Layon			France		
	ARPEGE	GFDL0	MRI	ARPEGE	GFDL0	MRI
1970-2000						
PRCP	SAFRAN =660			SAFRAN=950		
$mm/year$	638.8	692.6	690.0	930	961	963
D no dam	SAFRAN =4.7			SAFRAN=77.7		
m^3/s	3.7	4.1	4.6	70.0	74.2	74.4
D with dam						
5m m^3/s	3.3	3.7	4.2	67.4	71.5	71.7
3m m^3/s	3.4	3.7	4.2	67.4	71.6	71.8
2046-2065						
PRCP %	-6.3	+3.7	-0.1	-13.6	-3.7	-5.1
D no dam %	-22.9	-7.3	-34.6	-30.6	-14.8	-20.7
D 5m %	-32.4 (-24.8)	-17.2 (-8.2)	-42.2 (-36.6)	-34.3 (-31.7)	-18.4 (-15.5)	-24.2 (-21.4)
D 3m %	-31.7 (-24.4)	-16.3 (-7.6)	-41.2 (-35.7)	**-34.1** -31.6	**-18.3** -15.3	**-24.0** -21.4
sto 5m %	-2.2	0.	-2.4	**-3.5** +14.2	**-0.9** +3.2	**-1.4** +5.9
sto 3m %	-3.7	-0.2	-6.4	**-5.0** +19.6	**-3.0** +11.5	**-5.2**+18.6

Fig. 1. Domain under study. The Pays de la Loire region is shaded in green, and the Layon river is plotted in red



Fig. 2. Schematic representation of a small farm dams (SFD) and of its coupling with the hydrometeorological model: a) representation of the small farm dam (in blue) and its catchment area (in green), with a time evolution of its volume according to the filling period, b) schematic representation of an SFD in a river basin c) schematic representation of numerous SFDs in a basin situated in four 8x8 km^2 cells d) equivalent representation of the SFD in the 8x8 km^2 cells of the hydrometeorological model.



Fig. 3. Comparison between observed and simulated monthly mean, high (VCX3) and low (VCN3) riverflows of the Layon at St Lambert on average on the period 1970-2000



Fig. 4. Frequency distribution of the maximum annual dam storage expressed as a fraction of the maximum capacity according to the filling period (3 or 5 months), the spatial extent of the dams (D=1% or 0.5%) and the size of the catchments (C=10, 50 or 100%)



Fig. 5. Impact of the irrigation dams on the mean monthly riverflow (top) mean monthly low flow (bottom left) and mean monthly high flow (bottom right) of the Layon at St Lambert according to the filling period (3 or 5 months), the spatial extent of the dams (D=1% or 0.5%) and the size of the filling catchments (C=10, 50 or 100%). The grey line represents the error on the monthly discharge of the 10-year reference simulation. A circle indicates that the impact is significant at 95%



Fig. 6. Frequency analysis of the impact of the small farm dams on the annual river flow according to their storage capacities and impact of the size of the filling catchment



Fig. 7. Impact of the small dams on the monthly river flows of the Layon at St Lambert for the dry year of 1991-1992



Fig. 8. Mean annual precipitation from the Safran analysis (mm/year) and mean annual river flow (m^3/s) simulated by SIM for the period 1970-2000. The Pays de la Loire region is plotted in brown.



Fig. 9. Mean maximum storage fraction of the dams over the 30-year period for 5-month (top) and 3-month (bottom) filling periods. The Pays de la Loire region and the Layon basin are plotted in green.



Fig. 10. Mean maximum storage fraction of the dams over the dry year of 1991-1992 for 5-month (top) and 3-month (bottom) filling periods. The Pays de la Loire region and the Layon basin are plotted in green.



Fig. 11. Mean impact of the small farm dams on the annual discharge for a 5-month filling period, a 0.5% coverage, and a dam catchment of 50%. The Pays de la Loire region is plotted in green.



Fig. 12. Evolution of the precipitation (in %) on annual average for the period 2045-2065 compared to 1970-2000 for the three climate projections. Evolutions that are not significant at the 95% level are hatched.



Fig. 13. Evolution of the Layon monthly riverflows according to 3 climate projections with (black) and without small farm dams (blue for the 3-month filling period, red for the 5-month filling period). The thick lines are averages over the 3 climate projections.



Fig. 14. Comparison of the frequency distribution of the maximal annual storage of the small farm dams in the Layon in the 1980's and 2050's as simulated using 3 downscaled climate projections, for the 5-month (red) and 3-month (blue) filling period. The letter corresponds to the climate model (lower case for 1980's, upper case for 2050's), and the averages in 1980 and 2050 are plotted in thick dashed and plain lines respectively



Fig. 15. Evolution of the mean maximum storage of the small farm dams in 2050 as projected using 3 downscaled climate projections for the two filling periods. Results that are not significant at the 95% level are hatched.



Fig. 16. Impact of climate change (top) and small farm dams (bottom) on the annual discharge in 2050 as projected using three downscaled climate projections in %. The small farm dams are assumed to cover 0.5% of the domain, with a catchment that covers half the basins, and the filling period is taken as 5 months. Top, evolutions that are significant at the 95% level are outlined in black. Bottom, the distinction is not made as only a few river cells gave results significant at the 95 % level.