



Supplement of

Debris cover effects on energy and mass balance of Batura Glacier in the Karakoram over the past 20 years

Yu Zhu et al.

Correspondence to: Shiyin Liu (shiyin.liu@ynu.edu.cn)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the article licence.

Supplementary Tables

AWS	Variable	Instrument	Accuracy
AWS1	Air temperature	Campbell CS215	0.9℃(-40~60 °C)
	Relative humidity	Campbell CS215	4% (0~100%)
	Radiation	Campbell CNR4-L	5% (daily totals)
	Wind speed	Young	±0.3m/s (-50~50 ℃)
	Wind direction	Young	±3° (-50~50 °C)
	Rain gauge	T-200B	0.1% FS (-40∼60 °C)
AWS2	Air temperature	Zhiyuxiang MULTI-7P	0.3℃(-40~60 °C)
	Air temperature	Zhiyuxiang MULTI-7P	0.3hpa
	Relative humidity	Zhiyuxiang MULTI-7P	2% (0~100%)
	Radiation	Jinzhou Sunshine TBB-2	5% (daily totals)
	Wind speed	Zhiyuxiang MULTI-7P	0.3m/s (-50∼50 °C)
	Wind direction	Zhiyuxiang MULTI-7P	3° (-50~50 ℃)
	Surface temperature	Campbell TP107	0.3°

Table S1 Technical characteristics of the sensors at Batura Glacier at AWS1 and AWS2.

Table S2 The primary parameters in the energy balance model.

Parameter	Setting	Sampled values for	Reference
	value	(min max step)	
firn albedo	0.53	0.53, 0.62, 0.03	(Mölg et al., 2012)
ice albedo	0.3	0.25, 0.4, 0.05	(Mölg et al., 2012)
surface roughness length for aged snow	6 mm	1.5, 6, 0.5	(Brock et al., 2006; Mölg et al.,
			2012)
surface roughness length for ice	2.5 mm	0.7, 3.1, 0.6	(Brock et al., 2006; Mölg et al.,
			2012)
fresh snow albedo	0.88		(Mölg et al., 2012)
surface roughness length for fresh snow	0.24 mm		(Gromke et al., 2011)
albedo timescale	13 days		(Mölg et al., 2012)
albedo depth scale	3 cm		(Mölg et al., 2012)
temperature threshold of rain/snow ratio	[-0.5~4.5°C]		(Huintjes et al., 2015)
ice density	550 kg/m ³		(Mölg et al., 2012)
firn density	875 kg/m ³		(Mölg et al., 2012)
density of freshly fallen snow	250 kg/m ³		(Mölg et al., 2012)
Surface emission coefficient	0.97		(Bintanja and Van, 1995)
melting temperature	273.15 K		(Mölg et al., 2012)
bottom temperature	265.15 K		(Mölg et al., 2012; Huintjes, 2014)
thickness of snow layers	2 cm		(Sauter et al., 2020)
thickness of glacier ice layers	50 cm		(Sauter et al., 2020)

Parameter	Setting value	Sampled values for	Reference			
		calibrated parameter				
		(min, max, step)				
debris albedo	0.13	0.1, 0.4, 0.03	(Giese et al., 2020; Reid			
			and Brock, 2010)			
Debris thermal conductivity	0.76 W m ⁻¹ K ⁻¹	0.6,1.32, 0.08	(Giese et al., 2020; Reid			
			and Brock, 2010)			
debris density	1496 kg m ⁻³		(Reid and Brock, 2010)			
debris specific heat capacity	900 J kg ⁻¹ m ⁻³		(Reid and Brock, 2010)			
debris volumetric heat	1418208 J m ⁻³ K ⁻¹		(Reid and Brock, 2010)			
capacity						
ice emissivity	0.94		(Reid and Brock, 2010)			
debris aerodynamic	0.016 m		(Reid and Brock, 2010)			
roughness length for debris						
debris layer thickness*	0.01		(Reid and Brock, 2010)			
*When there are fewer than 10 layers, it is adjusted to have 10 layers with a thickness of total thickness divided by 10 for						

Table S3 The key parameters in the energy balance of debris.

each layer.

Supplementary Figures



Figure S1 Heat plots of *RMSE*_{score} for the model-inherent calibration at AWS1.



Figure S2 Comparison of observed and simulated (a), (c) outgoing longwave radiation and (b), (d) albedo at AWS1.



Figure S3 Heat plots of *RMSE*_{score} for the model-inherent calibration at AWS2.



Figure S4 Average air temperature in Hunza basin from 1980 to 2020 derived from ERA5.



Figure S5 Characteristics of altitude gradient of components in mass balance. (a) Batura Glacier;(b) Pasu Glacier. The glacier ratio fraction (%) represents proportion of the glacier area in each elevation zone to total area of the glacier.



Figure S6 Spatially-distributed annual ablation with no debris cover (a) and with debris cover (b).



Figure S7 Comparison on subsurface melt and energy fluxes at a section of the Batura Glacier terminus simulated at two resolutions: 100 m and 300 m. (a) and (b) show the surface debris thickness at 100 meters resolution and 300 m resolution, respectively. (b) shows the surface debris thickness at 300 meters resolution. (c) and (d) depict subsurface melt at 100 m resolution and 300 m resolution, respectively. (e) to (j) present comparison boxplots for subsurface melt and various energy fluxes at both resolutions. Tsur, Net sw, Net lw, sh, and g represent surface temperature, net shortwave radiation, net longwave radiation, sensible heat flux, and conductive heat flux, respectively. The y-axis labels in (e) to (h) are consistent for ease of comparison. Red lines in (e) to (j) indicate the mean value for each variable.

References

Bintanja, R. and Van, D. B., Michiel R.: The Surface Energy Balance of Antarctic Snow and Blue Ice, Journal of Applied Meteorology, 34, 902-926, 1995.

Brock, B. W., Willis, I. C., and Sharp, M. J.: Measurement and parameterization of aerodynamic roughness length variations at Haut Glacier d'Arolla, Switzerland, Journal of Glaciology, 52, 281-297,

10.3189/172756506781828746, 2006.

Giese, A., Boone, A., Wagnon, P., and Hawley, R.: Incorporating moisture content in surface energy balance modeling of a debris-covered glacier, The Cryosphere, 14, 1555-1577, 10.5194/tc-14-1555-2020, 2020.

Gromke, C., Manes, C., Walter, B., Lehning, M., and Guala, M.: Aerodynamic Roughness Length of Fresh Snow, Boundary-Layer Meteorology, 141, 21-34, 10.1007/s10546-011-9623-3, 2011.

Huintjes, E.: Energy and mass balance modelling for glaciers on the Tibetan Plateau : extension, validation and application of a coupled snow and energy balance model, RWTH Aachen University, 2014. Huintjes, E., Neckel, N., Hochschild, V., and Schneider, C.: Surface energy and mass balance at Purogangri ice cap, central Tibetan Plateau, 2001–2011, Journal of Glaciology, 61, 1048-1060, 10.3189/2015JoG15J056, 2015.

Mölg, T., Maussion, F., Yang, W., and Scherer, D.: The footprint of Asian monsoon dynamics in the mass and energy balance of a Tibetan glacier, The Cryosphere, 6, 1445-1461, 10.5194/tc-6-1445-2012, 2012. Reid, T. D. and Brock, B. W.: An energy-balance model for debris-covered glaciers including heat conduction through the debris layer, Journal of Glaciology, 56, 903-916, 2010.

Sauter, T., Arndt, A., and Schneider, C.: COSIPY v1.3 – an open-source coupled snowpack and ice surface energy and mass balance model, Geoscientific Model Development, 13, 5645-5662, 10.5194/gmd-13-5645-2020, 2020.