Developing a Bayesian network model for understanding river catchment resilience under future change scenarios
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Abstract. The resilience of river catchments and the vital socio-ecological services they provide are threatened by the cumulative impacts of future climatic and socio-economic change. Stakeholders who manage freshwaters require tools for increasing their understanding of catchment system resilience when making strategic decisions. However, unravelling causes, effects and interactions in complex catchment systems is challenging, typically leading to different system components being considered in isolation.

In this research, we tested a five-stage participatory method for developing a Bayesian network (BN) model to simulate the resilience of the Eden catchment in eastern Scotland to future pressures in a single transdisciplinary holistic framework. The five-stage participatory method involved co-developing a BN model structure by conceptually mapping the catchment system and identifying plausible climatic and socio-economic future scenarios to measure catchment system resilience. Causal relationships between drivers of future change and catchment system nodes were mapped to create the BN model structure. Appropriate baseline data to define and parameterise nodes that represent the catchment system were identified with stakeholders.

The BN model measured the impact of diverse future change scenarios to a 2050 time horizon. We applied continuous nodes within the hybrid equation-based BN model to measure the uncertain impacts of both climatic and socio-economic change. The BN model enabled interactions between future change factors and implications for the state of five capitals (natural, social, manufactured, financial and intellectual) in the system to be considered, providing stakeholders with a holistic catchment-scale approach to measure the resilience of multiple capitals and their associated resources. We created a credible, salient and legitimate BN model tool for understanding the cumulative impacts of both climatic and socio-economic factors on catchment resilience based on stakeholder evaluation. BN model outputs facilitated stakeholder recognition of future risks to their primary sector of interest, alongside their interaction with other sectors and the wider system. Participatory modelling methods improved the structure of the BN through collaborative learning with stakeholders while providing stakeholders with a strategic systems-thinking approach for considering river basin catchment resilience.

1 Introduction

Freshwaters are essential for human life through the provision of drinking water and food production, regulation of climate and benefits to culture and well-being. Due to the multiple ecosystem services provided, freshwaters have become an exploited common resource, and human activity threatens their ability to provide these vital services (Dodds et al., 2013; Heathwaite, 2010; Vörösmarty et al., 2010). Driven by both population and economic growth, the availability, quality and biodiversity of freshwaters are in decline, with projected changes in climate, land use, population demographics and societal behaviour expected to accelerate negative trends...
Stakeholders as components of socio-ecological systems was recognised by Walker et al. (2002), who proposed that stakeholders should lead the development of conceptual system modelling as a first step in analysing resilience.

In a review of participatory modelling methods, Voinov and Bousquet (2010) presented Bayesian networks (BNs) as a participatory modelling approach. BNs are probabilistic graphical models that represent the causal probabilistic relationships between a set of random variables (Barton et al., 2012). A BN consists of two key components; a directed acyclic graph which represents the dependencies between nodes in a system and conditional probabilities which quantify the strength of the dependencies between nodes (Kaikkonen et al., 2021; Pearl, 1986). Nodes and their relationships within a system are easily visualised, allowing the network structure to be assessed, modified and discussed by experts and stakeholders who know the system being represented by the BN (Aguilera et al., 2011).

BNs can be used as a resilience analysis tool due to the ability to enable the participation of stakeholders in the development of conceptual system modelling and their application to explore future pathways by analysing “what if?” scenarios (Phan et al., 2019; Moe et al., 2019). The ability of BNs to handle uncertainty and complexity had made them a widely used approach in the field of water resource management (Phan et al., 2016; Castelletti and Soncini-Sessa, 2007). Moe et al. (2021) suggested that BNs can improve environmental risk assessment, which is demonstrated by Wade et al. (2021) who applied a BN model to measure the risks of multiple stressors on water quality and quantity.

Common applications of BN models use discrete variables (Aguilera et al., 2011) involving the division of continuous variables into many distinct states (Mayfield et al., 2020). Discrete BN models face the limitations of discretisation, including a reduction of statistical accuracy and loss of information (Chen and Pollino, 2012; Xue et al., 2017). Hybrid BNs include both discrete and continuous variables to overcome discretisation limitations and make the best use of available environmental data (Aguilera et al., 2013); however, their application in environmental risk assessment is scarce (Moe et al., 2021). Knowledge gaps related to the application of BN models highlighted by Moe et al. (2021) include consideration of cumulative stressors in risk assessment models (Landis, 2021) and the integration of ecological and socio-economic aspects.

Addressing the knowledge gaps described, we tested the ability of a BN model to enable stakeholders to engage with complexity and uncertainty associated with (1) holistic understanding of complex catchment systems and the relationships between natural and social factors and (2) simulating the cumulative impacts of uncertain future climatic and socio-economic change in a single framework, using participatory BN methods.
2 Methods

2.1 Study area: Eden catchment

Our research focused on the river Eden catchment in eastern Scotland, in collaboration with the Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA) – Scotland’s environmental regulator – and Scottish Water – a statutory corporation that provides water and sewerage services across Scotland. The river Eden catchment was identified as an appropriate case study due to deteriorating water quality trends which are attributed to the influence of both diffuse and point source pollution from multiple sectors within the catchment.

The Eden catchment (320 km²) is situated in the Fife region in eastern Scotland (Fig. 1). The river Eden originates in the Ochil Hills to the east of the catchment, flowing through predominantly arable agricultural land (51%; Morton et al., 2020), much of which is high-quality agricultural land on fertile soils (Environmental Change Network, 2021; Macgregor and Warren, 2016). The river Eden then flows east through the urban settlement of Cupar. A further eight tributary water bodies can be found in the catchment.

SEPA continues to monitor the ecological status of water bodies in the catchment as part of the European Union (EU) Water Framework Directive (WFD) obligation to produce River Basin Management Plans (RBMPs). Despite the UK’s exit from the EU, the WFD legislation remains in place in Scotland. In 2019, the upper stretch of river Eden was classified as being in poor ecological status and the lower stretch of the river Eden stretch was classified as being in moderate ecological status.

Waterbody reactive phosphorus (RP) concentration is a key parameter that contributes to the poor and moderate classifications. The high RP concentrations are caused by wastewater discharges from Scottish Water wastewater treatment work assets (Fig. 1.), diffuse pollution sources from agriculture, private septic tanks and in-stream phosphorus release from sediments during low flows.

Previous modelling and monitoring carried out in the Eden catchment provide an understanding of the current ecological status of the catchment. The need for a complementary future-focussed, systems-thinking tool to address the water quality and water resource issues in the catchment was identified by SEPA and Scottish Water. The tool would be required to support the trial of a new decision-making method called One Planet Choices⁠¹, co-developed by SEPA and Scottish Water, in the Eden catchment (SEPA, 2020). The Eden catchment was selected due to the current complexity of both water quality and quantity issues, with the added complexity of multiple contributing sectors.

To understand knowledge needs and confirm the appropriateness of a BN model approach, we held six initial engage-

2.2 BN model construction

To construct a BN model to meet the needs of the One Planet Choices framework, we developed a five-stage participatory approach (adapted from Pollino and Henderson, 2010) (described in detail in Sect. 2.2.1 to 2.2.5 and shown in Fig. 2a). Based on the ladder of participation outlined by Basco-Carrera et al. (2017) we identified two stakeholder groups to be involved in the research. As direct research users, One Planet Choices method developers from SEPA and Scottish Water, who participated in co-design and decision-making throughout the research, are referred to as the “project team”. The second group of stakeholders, with direct knowledge of the socio-ecological system in the Eden catchment, are referred to as “catchment stakeholders” who participated at various levels from discussion and consultation.

2.2.1 Stage 1: discuss model aim and objectives

To understand knowledge needs and confirm the appropriateness of a BN model approach, we held six initial engagement meetings with the project team (Fig. 2., panel A). Stakeholder needs were defined within the model aim: to measure the resilience of the catchment system to the impact of future shocks and changes and their influence on key capital resources.

Objectives identified to achieve the model aim were to: (1) ensure systems thinking by mapping the socio-ecological interactions in the catchment; (2) measure the impacts of continuing current practices and trends into the future, called

¹A visual description of the One Planet Choices approach can be found by following this link https://vimeo.com/804313679/1139d31b45 (last access: 1 May 2023).
the future Business As Usual (BAU), shocks of extreme events and diverse pathways for future climatic and socio-economic change to a 2050 time horizon; (3) use a holistic capitals approach to measure the current and future health of the catchment; and (4) identify specific aspects of the catchment system that are least resilient to the impacts of future change.

Further discussions involved setting model boundaries (Jakeman et al., 2006). A previous rapid assessment by Scottish Water and SEPA using the One Planet Choices method and water quality source apportionment modelling in GIS identified the need to focus the work on the following five waterbody sub-catchments: lower Eden (6200), upper Eden (6201), Ceres Burn (6202), Foodieash Burn (6205) and Fernie Burn (6206) (see Sect. S1, Fig. S1 of the Supplement for a visual representation). Each waterbody sub-catchment is either not meeting good ecological status currently or is at risk of not achieving good status in the future.

Reactive phosphorus (RP) was identified as the specific parameter to reflect water quality. Wastewater, land management and water resource systems were identified as critical for influencing RP concentrations in the catchment based on previous scoping and dependency mapping exercises during the mentioned rapid assessment. Catchment stakeholders with a knowledge of each of the three critical systems (wastewater, water resource and land management) within both SEPA and Scottish Water were selected to participate in model co-construction.

To give an overall measure of the resilience of the catchment system, the project team required the model to take a holistic approach to investigate current and future impacts on five key capitals and their associated capital resources. Capitals identified by the project team included natural capital and resources related to the quality and quantity of air, water and land. Social capital including the relationships and impacts on local communities. Manufactured capital, specifically the conditions of assets and changes in the use of energy and chemicals. Financial capital, including changes in costs and incomes associated with resource use, asset conditions and changes in environmental conditions. Intellectual capital, focussing on the potential changes in the reputation of sectors within the catchment.

Model section headings (Fig. 3) were agreed upon with the project team at the outset to clarify the modelling purpose.
with different stakeholder groups and ensure that the elicited cause-and-effect relationships were linear.

2.2.2 Stage 2: construct a conceptual catchment system model

We conducted a series of focus groups (Fig. 2., panel B) to construct the BN model with stakeholders who had knowledge of the three critical systems: wastewater, water resource and land management. A total of 12 stakeholders participated in the focus groups, who were each given a specific identifier code based on their knowledge of the catchment system. Codes and critical system associations for all participants can be found in Sect. S2, Table S1.

A five-step process (Fig. 2c) was used to construct the BN model with the focus groups. The aims of both the model building and model boundaries were explained to participating stakeholders as a first step. The second step identified appropriate nodes under each boundary heading using the GeNIe modeller (version 2.4.4601.0) (BayesFusion, 2017). Political, economic, social, technological, environmental and legal headings taken from the PESTEL analysis framework (Yüksel, 2012) provided a basis for supporting node selection under the “future change” heading. The “influence on the catchment system” heading was used to support stakeholders in the identification of important nodes that define the system and the potential “consequences of change” that could occur due to the influence of future impacts. Identification of “capital resources” within the catchment was determined by the pre-defined five key capitals – natural, social, manufactured, financial and intellectual – and the important system-specific nodes identified by stakeholders. The key “capitals” were used to summarise the outputs of the model.

In the third step, stakeholders mapped the causal relationships between nodes identified under each heading, representing the direction of cause and effect relationships (Borzuk et al., 2004). In step four, a variable log was used to define each node and the metrics in which they should be measured. The variable log was also used in step five to record the data that stakeholders believed would be relevant for model parameterisation. Data for model parameterisation were collected in collaboration with both stakeholders from the project team and those who participated in the focus groups. During the collection of data, catchment-specific information, such as the specific wastewater treatment works and their locations, were also identified. Data, metrics and catchment-specific information provided by stakeholders for each model variable informed the spatio-temporal resolution of the model.

A model description is presented in Sect. S3, Table S2, which describes all nodes included in the BN model, model equations, discretisation, data used for model parameterisation, justification for node inclusion and all decisions made during model construction and parameterisation. The supporting parameter values for each node in the model are also provided in Sect. S3, Table S3.

2.2.3 Stage 3: parameterise the model

We developed a hybrid BN model based on the modelling aim and the data available. Hybrid BN models include both discrete and continuous nodes, where the relationships between continuous nodes can be represented as equations (Marcot and Penman, 2019). Discrete nodes adopt a set of states which describe different conditions, and continuous nodes adopt a finite number of values presented as statistical distributions (BayesFusion, 2017). Our model contained 417 nodes, 623 arcs and 23 sub-models. Despite not being a spatial model, there are some geographical considerations included to represent five sub-catchments. Across the five sub-catchments the model included 10 wastewater assets, two public water drinking assets, four land-cover types, two public water drinking assets, four land-cover types,
four crop types and septic tanks. Dividing the model into sub-catchments resulted in repetition of nodes and arcs.

Discrete choice nodes were used to represent and simulate different future pathway scenarios. The model incorporates Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs) as the basis for measuring changes in climatic factors, using the UK Met Office (United Kingdom) Climate Projections 18 (Lowe et al., 2018). The RCPs were coupled with Shared Socio-economic Pathways (SSPs) to simulate socio-economic factors of change. We used the latest SSP narratives for the UK produced by Pedde et al. (2021) to frame the direction of change for the socio-economic factors such as population and land cover. We coupled three RCPs and SSPs for inclusion in the model as a deterministic choice node to allow for a range of scenarios; RCP2.6 was coupled with the Green Road narrative, RCP6 was coupled with the Middle of the Road narrative and RCP8.5 was coupled with the Fossil-Fuelled Development narrative. We defined the coupled scenarios using the SSP narratives from the UK Climate Projections User Interface (Sect. S4, Table S5 and Fig. S3).

Land cover change projections until 2050 were quantified using UKCEH land cover vector maps 1990, 2007 and 2015–2019 (Morton et al., 2020) in ArcGIS Pro (version 2.5.8.0) (Esri Inc., 2021) to analyse current and historic land cover change in the catchment. We applied a story and simulation approach (Alcamo, 2008; Rounsevell and Metzger, 2010) to change the percentage cover of each land cover type in each of the five waterbody sub-catchments. Percentage changes were based on the analysis of land cover trends from 1990–2019, the different SSP narratives and the local knowledge of stakeholders to ensure the total possible land cover for the catchment could not be exceeded and the changes in land cover types were realistic. The percentage cover was converted to hectares (Ha) for each land cover type in each of the waterbody sub-catchments (Sect. S4, Figs. S4–S8). Projected land cover change values in comparison to 2019 land cover for the entire catchment are provided in Sect. S4 Fig. S9. Section S4 includes a detailed description of how land cover values were derived.

A combination of monitoring data, processed-based model outputs and literature were used to represent baseline conditions of system states. Where supporting continuous data were available, we fitted truncated normal distributions by calculating the mean and standard deviation from available values. Truncated normal distributions were fitted to avoid negative values, where appropriate. Secondly, where longer data records were available, we used a built-in GeNle function to fit a custom prior distribution (histogram) to time-series data. Where available data were limited to a single deterministic value and statistical moments could not be calculated, we applied scenario modelling using the diverse coupled future pathways as a best available method for representing uncertainty. Equations linked the chain of cause (parent) and effect (child) relationships from future change nodes to catchment system nodes, to capital resource nodes and, finally, to capital output nodes. The model was updated using the default GeNle software hybrid forward sampling.
The algorithm computes 10,000 samples from the prior probability distributions of parentless nodes, which it then used to generate samples in child nodes of the prior parent node distribution(s), generating probability distributions. Summary statistics (mean, standard deviation, minimum and maximum) were derived from the probability distributions for each node, which were compared for different current and future pathway scenarios.

Continuous nodes were discretised into four states, resilient, low risk, moderate and high risk, based on the expert knowledge of stakeholders. A manual discretisation method (Beuzen et al., 2018) was used for nodes where state threshold values were defined by stakeholders and documented (e.g. asset and environmental licences). Where defined values were not available, we used a combination of manual and unsupervised equal interval discretisation methods (Aguilera et al., 2011; Beuzen et al., 2018; Chen and Pollino, 2012). Manual methods set the resilient-state threshold value based on current conditions and an upper limit value as an unlikely value to exceed, in most cases, an infinity value. The “uniformize” function in GeNiE allowed for equal widths for low-, moderate- and high-risk-state threshold values. We presented a dual representation of continuous nodes using a discretised child node to support the communication of the results using both summary statistics (median and standard deviation) available in continuous outputs and the probability of model outputs falling into agreed risk classes available in discrete variables.

For all capital and many capital resource nodes identified, either no defined metric or supporting data were available. To measure the resilience of capital and capital resource values, we designed a novel approach using nested IF statement equations whereby each discretised state in a parent node, from resilient to high risk, was assigned a value of zero, one, two or three, and the scores for each child node were summed. For example, if a parent node was within a resilient-state threshold, a value of zero was assigned. As multiple parent nodes were associated with capital and capital resource variables, the sum of the IF statement was used to determine their overall state. The IF statement indexing method follows the “one out, all out” approach applied to the evaluation of Good Ecological Status in the EU Water Framework Directive, as described in Carvalho et al. (2019). The one out, all out approach adopts the precautionary principle to prevent masking of undesirable outcomes when averaging scores and provides an easy and transparent way of measuring overall variable states. Discretising and indexing continuous nodes represent the probability of the states for capitals and their associated resource nodes, which can be compared across different future scenarios. A detailed example of the IF statement indexing method is provided in Sect. S5.

2.2.4 Stage 4: evaluate the model

The BN model structure was validated using expert opinion (Marcot et al., 2006) during the engagement focus group sessions (Fig. 2, panel B) with stakeholders from SEPA and Scottish Water. We then presented the BN model to additional stakeholders during two workshops for validation (Fig. 2, panel C). These additional stakeholders were chosen to represent the views of other sectors and provide catchment-specific knowledge and expertise. A total of 10 stakeholders participated across the two workshops, six of whom did not participate in the focus groups (see Sect. S2, Table S1 for additional codes and associations). The first workshop included eight attendees and the second included seven attendees. We used the credibility, salience and legitimacy evaluation criteria (Falconi and Palmer, 2017) to measure the success of the participatory approach at each stage of the BN model construction.

Model performance was evaluated using a goodness-of-fit method (Aguilera et al., 2011) using 52 bi-monthly observed RP concentrations in micrograms per litre (µg L$^{-1}$) collected in sub-catchment 6200 collected between 2017–2019. We fitted a histogram using the custom function tool in GeNiE to create an “observed phosphorus concentration (µg/l) 6200” variable, which was both parentless and childless. We evaluated sub-catchment 6200, as this is the catchment outlet for all sub-catchments. Computing the Current model scenario, we compared the median, standard deviation and discretised class probabilities – informed by the WFD classification boundaries for the sub-catchment – for both the modelled RP concentrations and observed RP variables to evaluate model goodness of fit.

A bias (%) method (Eq. 1) applied by Glendell et al. (2022), with a departure of ±50 % from observations considered behavioural, was used to further evaluate model performance as

$$\text{% bias} = \frac{X_{\text{sim}} - X_{\text{obs}}}{X_{\text{obs}}},$$

where $X_{\text{sim}}$ is the modelled RP concentration (µg L$^{-1}$), and $X_{\text{obs}}$ is the observed RP concentration (µg L$^{-1}$).

A one-at-a-time parameter sensitivity analysis was conducted to determine which input variables contributed the greatest variability to model outputs (Wöhler et al., 2020; Hamby, 1995). We used the target variable RP concentrations (µg L$^{-1}$) at the 6200 catchment outlet to determine the sensitivity of the model to diffuse pollution phosphorus loads and point source wastewater phosphorus loads. The sensitivity analysis compared the median RP concentration (µg L$^{-1}$) for the current scenario against the ±20 % difference for diffuse arable, pasture and septic tank P sources and wastewater P sources while holding other input values constant.
2.2.5 Stage 5: test model scenarios

We tested model scenarios by presenting scenario outputs during the second workshop. After presenting model outputs during the series of workshops, the iterative cycle returns to the first stage of discussing the model aim and objectives. A seventh meeting (Fig. 2, panel A) was conducted by the project team to provide a final evaluation of the BN model based on the aims and objectives set out at the beginning of the participatory approach.

3 Results

3.1 Model structure

Focus groups (Fig. 2, panel B) and workshops (Fig. 2, panel C) provided the opportunity for stakeholders from wider sectors to build and evaluate the graphical BN model structure. An initial conceptual model structure was presented as a system diagram of the key nodes included in the BN model (Fig. 4), with arrows representing cause-and-effect relationships between nodes. Stakeholder feedback on the representativeness of the model structure of the Eden catchment is also presented in Fig. 4. A detailed visualisation of the model is provided in Sect. S3, Fig. S2.

Despite the majority of stakeholders describing the BN model structure as "mostly representative" of the Eden catchment system, other participants were less convinced. To increase consensus, the wider group of stakeholders were taken through stages 1–4 of the participatory approach to discuss what the BN model should aim to achieve and how the model structure could be improved.

Stakeholders highlighted that consideration of the food production system and its resilience to the impacts of future change was excluded from the model, as mentioned by LM6:

Ultimately we’ve also got to remember the positives of what land managers are doing for the rural countryside and what they bring and the benefits to the countryside, and ultimately they are producing food for a nation. (LM6)

To improve representation, nodes such as crop cover, yields, fertiliser costs and farm margins were added to the model structure. The impacts of future climatic change, such as increased drought and fertiliser price shocks – due to potential future shortages in rock phosphate – were established as factors that could impact the food production system in the catchment.

Phosphate fertiliser is going to be a decreasing resource because there are only 50–100 years of phosphorus rock reserve left in the world. (EP1)

The model structure was adapted and presented back to the wider stakeholder group during a second workshop. Up-
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dating the model structure was seen to improve model representation of the Eden catchment system and the influence of future change, as seen in the stakeholder feedback from the second workshop (Fig. 4). Participants highlighted that the model structure helped them to conceptualise the impacts future change might bring to their sector and the catchment.

It is a good way of understanding [the catchment system] and maybe farmers do need to think outside to box a bit more and think of the impact it [agriculture] is having. (LM6)

I think it’s also . . . a first chance that many of us on the call are really having our eyes open to what the next 30 years might look like in terms of political, social and climate changes. (WW1)

3.2 Catchment resilience – capital outputs

After improving the model structure, scenarios were carried out to measure the impact of future change on the catchment system. Model outputs provided an overview of the conditions of the five key capitals represented within the catchment system. Capital outputs for four diverse scenarios – Current conditions, Business As Usual to 2050, Green Road extreme low precipitation (GR ExLP) to 2050 and Fossil-Fuelled Development extreme high precipitation (FFD ExHP) to 2050 – are presented (Fig. 5).

We found that under current conditions, all capitals were mainly within a low-risk state. Results can be interpreted as follows: for natural capital, 51 % of the 10 000 BN model scenarios were within a low-risk state, 49 % were within a moderate-risk state and 0 % were within resilient or high-risk states.

In the future BAU scenario – which assumes annual precipitation change rates associated with RCP 6 and a continuation of current trends in population and land cover change until 2050 – risk to natural capital shifts from low to moderate risk, 64 % of simulations were within a moderate-risk state. Social, manufactured, financial and intellectual capitals remained predominantly within low-risk states; however, there was an increase in observations within moderate risk compared to current conditions.

In the GR ExLP scenario – which assumes the Q5 value for future precipitation change rates associated with RCP 2.6, lower population growth and a reduction in pasture land cover – we observed an increase towards resilience in all capitals. For intellectual capital, the majority of samples were within a resilient state (75 %). For natural and financial capital, there was a shift from moderate to low risk, compared to current conditions. An increase in observations within a resilient state was evident for social and manufactured capitals compared to current conditions.

In the FFD ExHP scenario – which assumed the 95 % exceedance value for winter precipitation anomaly projections associated with RCP 8.5, population growth increased urbanisation and a shift from natural to agricultural land cover – an
Figure 4. Conceptual Bayesian network model structure and feedback on model representativeness of the Eden catchment before (a), and the updated model structure (b) with stakeholder feedback from workshop 1 (c) and workshop 2 (d).
increase in risk was observed for all capitals. The risk to natural capital shifted predominantly to moderate risk (98%), with a small proportion of observations within a high-risk state (1%). Social, manufactured, financial and intellectual capitals all shifted from low to moderate-risk states compared to current conditions.

### 3.3 Catchment resilience – capital resource outputs

The cause-and-effect structure of the BN model enabled the investigation of catchment resilience beyond the overview of capital states. Further investigation of catchment resilience is achieved using a manual sensitivity analysis to identify parent nodes with the greatest influence on overall capital states. Using the example of natural capital, Fig. 6 presents a visualisation of the state of all natural capital resource nodes. Outputs are presented for the four diverse scenarios of current and future conditions in the catchment.

Under current conditions, surface water quality, surface water flows and air quality were all most likely to be within a low-risk state. Outputs highlighted that 85% of soil quality observations were within a moderate risk. Groundwater quality is 100% resilient across all four scenarios.

In the future BAU scenario until 2050, the majority of observations for surface water quality, surface water flows and air quality remained within a low-risk state; however, there was a shift from low to moderate-risk states compared to current conditions. An increase in high-risk observations (23%) was evident for soil quality, which remained predominately within a moderate-risk state.

An improvement towards resilience was evident for surface water quality, surface water flows and air quality nodes in the GR ExLP scenario until 2050. Soil quality remained mainly within a moderate-risk state, despite a shift from moderate- to low-risk observations in comparison to current conditions.

Increasing risk was evident in the FFD ExHP scenario for surface water quality, surface water flows, air quality and soil quality. Surface water quality, surface water flows and air quality shifted from predominantly low to moderate risk in comparison to current conditions. High-risk observations were evident in both surface water quality (12%) and surface water flows (13%). Soil quality conditions shifted to 89% of observations within a high-risk state.

### 3.4 Sub-catchment system resilience

Capital (Fig. 5) and capital resource (Fig. 6) outputs are representative of the entire catchment condition. A deeper investigation of catchment resilience was achieved through investigation at the sub-catchment scale. A visual representation of surface water quality – specifically for RP concentrations (µg L⁻¹) at the sub-catchment scale – is presented in Fig. 7 using probabilities (%) for discrete resilience/risk
states under both current and diverse future scenarios. Median RP concentrations (µg L⁻¹) derived from continuous model outputs are also presented for each of the different sub-catchments for the different future scenarios in Fig. 7.

Simulating current conditions (Fig. 7a), low risk was the most probable state for RP concentrations in waterbody sub-catchments 6200 (median RP: 157.63 µg L⁻¹, 41% low risk), 6201 (median RP: 146.32 µg L⁻¹, 46% low risk) and 6205 (median RP: 101.04 µg L⁻¹, 52% low risk). Modelled RP concentrations in waterbody sub-catchments 6202 and 6206 were predominately within a resilient state.

As the discretisation of surface water quality at the sub-catchment scale is determined by the WFD high to poor ecological status thresholds for RP, discrete outputs can also be interpreted as follows: in waterbody sub-catchment 6200, the majority of the 10 000 simulations for RP concentrations (µg L⁻¹) were within a low-risk state (41%) or moderate WFD ecological status boundary (78–191 µg L⁻¹).

In the future BAU scenario (Fig. 7b), surface water quality deteriorated in waterbody sub-catchment 6200, with moderate risk being the most probable state (42%) compared to current conditions, with an increase in median RP concentrations to 168.30 µg L⁻¹. Despite staying mainly within a low-risk state, a shift towards moderate risk in both waterbodies and increased median RP concentrations were observed in sub-catchments 6201 and 6205. In waterbodies 6202 and 6206, the probability of resilience increased, which was evident in decreased in median RP concentrations in both sub-catchments.

Increased risk was evident for waterbody sub-catchments 6200 and 6201 in the GR ExLP until 2050 (Fig. 7c). There was equal probability of low and moderate risk (40%) in waterbody sub-catchment 6200. Using a precautionary approach, the waterbody is represented as moderate risk. Waterbody sub-catchment 6201 remained predominantly within a low-risk state (44%); however, median RP concentrations (152.32 µg L⁻¹) increased compared to current conditions. Improvement towards resilience was evident in waterbody sub-catchment 6205 compared to current conditions, despite a low risk being the most probable state (48%). Waterbody sub-catchments 6202 and 6206 remained predominantly within a resilient state.

In the FFD ExHP scenario (Fig. 7d), waterbody sub-catchments 6200 and 6201 both shifted from low to mainly moderate-risk states (46% and 52%, respectively) compared to current conditions. Waterbody sub-catchment 6201 remained predominantly within a low-risk state (56%), while waterbody sub-catchments 6202 and 6206 remained predominantly resilient. Increases in median RP concentrations in all waterbodies demonstrated an increase in risk compared to current conditions.
Figure 7. Probability of resilient high-risk states and median reactive phosphorus concentrations in micrograms per litre in each waterbody sub-catchment under the (a) Current conditions scenario, (b) future Business as Usual scenario to 2050, (c) future Business as Usual scenario to 2050, (c) Green Road extreme low precipitation scenario to 2050 and (d) Fossil-Fuelled Development extreme high precipitation scenario to 2050. Acknowledgements: catchment boundary provided by the National River Flow Archive. River network provided by the EU-Hydro River Network database (Gallaun et al., 2019). Map created in ArcGIS Pro (Esri Inc., 2021).
Continuous outputs determined median RP loads (kg d\(^{-1}\)) from wastewater effluent and diffuse (arable, pasture, urban and septic tanks) sources at each waterbody sub-catchment (see Sect. S6, Figs. S10–S13). Using the example of waterbody sub-catchment 6200, median RP loads for both current and diverse future pathway scenarios are presented in Fig. 8. Currently, diffuse sources contributed the majority of RP (27.11 kg d\(^{-1}\)) in waterbody sub-catchment 6200, compared to wastewater effluent sources (23.26 kg d\(^{-1}\)). The total RP load was 50.37 kg d\(^{-1}\).

Source proportions shifted under the future scenarios with wastewater effluent sources being the main contributor in the future BAU and FFD ExHP scenarios. Total median RP loads (kg d\(^{-1}\)) increased in the future BAU (54.80 kg d\(^{-1}\)) and FFD ExHP (88.22 kg d\(^{-1}\)) scenarios compared to current conditions. In the GR ExLP scenario, a reduction in total median RP loads (38.08 kg d\(^{-1}\)) was evident, and diffuse sources remained the main source of RP (19.50 kg d\(^{-1}\)).

The model structure enabled further investigation of RP sources. Using the example of wastewater effluent loads in waterbody sub-catchment 6200, Fig. 9 presents median RP loads (kg d\(^{-1}\)) at Cupar wastewater treatment works (WwTWs) in sub-catchment 6200 for the current and future scenarios. Currently, Cupar WwTW contributed a median RP load of 5.51 kg d\(^{-1}\). An increase in median RP loads was evident in the future BAU (8.93 kg d\(^{-1}\)) and FFD ExHP (16.35 kg d\(^{-1}\)) scenarios compared to current conditions. In the GR ExLP scenario, RP loads decreased (5.36 kg d\(^{-1}\)) compared to current conditions.

### 3.5 Model evaluation

We evaluated the model performance by comparing the modelled current RP concentrations (µg L\(^{-1}\)) with a simulation of observed RP concentrations (µg L\(^{-1}\)) at the catchment outlet in waterbody sub-catchment 6200 (Table 1). The model underestimated the median RP concentration (157.63 µg L\(^{-1}\)) at the catchment outlet compared to the observed simulated median RP concentration (168.82 µg L\(^{-1}\)).

A greater standard deviation was observed in the model simulation (361.7 µg L\(^{-1}\)) compared to the observed simulation (109.3 µg L\(^{-1}\)).

Based on the discrete output (Fig. 10), the model underestimated the RP concentration compared to the observed simulation. The most probable state for RP concentrations in the observed simulation was moderate risk (44 % probability) – or poor WFD status – compared to the modelled scenario which estimated low risk – or moderate ecological status – (41 % probability). The modelled RP concentrations were more widely distributed, which is evident in a 2 % probability of high risk – or bad ecological status – compared with 0 % in the observed simulation.

When evaluating the goodness of fit using the bias (%) correction (Table 2), 43 % of observations were within the ±50 % behavioural threshold, 31 % of simulated values were above the 50 % acceptable threshold and 26 % were below the 50 % acceptable threshold.

The results of the parameter sensitivity analysis are presented in Table 3. Changes in point source RP loads have a greater influence on RP concentrations (µg L\(^{-1}\)) compared to diffuse sources in sub-catchment 6200 in the current scenario. A 20 % increase in point source loads resulted in an 8.1 % reduction in concentrations, while a 20 % reduction resulted in an 8.1 % reduction in concentrations. Of the diffuse sources, arable sources had the greatest influence on RP concentration with a 20 % increase yielding a 4.9 % increase in concentration, while a 20 % reduction resulted in a 6.5 % reduction in concentrations.

### 4 Discussion

#### 4.1 Participatory process for BN model construction

Düspohl (2012) highlighted the scarcity of literature evaluating participatory BN modelling processes. To address this gap, we evaluate the ability of our BN model to increase stakeholder understanding of catchment system resilience to the cumulative impacts of future change using the credibility, salience and legitimacy criteria set out by Falconi and Palmer (2017) throughout our discussion.

The first stage of our participatory approach – discussing model aims and objectives – helped understand the knowledge gaps of the One Planet Choices project team, which was critical when developing a credible modelling process. The first knowledge gap identified by the project team required the BN model to provide a systems-thinking approach that mapped the complex socio-ecological interactions within the Eden catchment. Creating and evaluating the conceptual BN model structure in stages 2 and 4 of the participatory process were important in ensuring the perspectives of stakeholders across sectors were considered when mapping the catchment system. Our findings presented in Fig. 4 provide evidence that stakeholders viewed the BN model structure as “mostly representative” of the Eden catchment system. We believe achieving a “very representative” structure was limited by our inability to consider all human and non-human systems in the catchment. The model was strategic in including the critical wastewater, land management and water resource systems within five waterbody sub-catchments. We applied an iterative approach to include the food production system, based on the input of additional stakeholders to improve the model representativeness of the model; however, there were limitations on time and resources to consider all catchment systems. Consulting the needs of the project team as end users of the model helped reach agreement on the model structure and justify that it was fit-for-purpose.

Using a BN model as an appropriate tool for mapping complex socio-ecological systems was validated by the project team when evaluating the aim and objectives of the
Figure 8. Median reactive phosphorus source loads (kg d\(^{-1}\)) in waterbody sub-catchment 6200 for Current, future Business as Usual (BAU), Green Road extreme low precipitation (GR ExLP) and Fossil-Fuelled Development extreme high precipitation (FFD ExHP) scenarios.

Table 1. Summary statistics of observed and modelled current reactive phosphorus concentrations (µg L\(^{-1}\)) at the Eden catchment outlet waterbody sub-catchment 6200.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summary statistics</th>
<th>Observed simulated reactive phosphorus (µg L(^{-1})) 6200 outlet</th>
<th>Model-simulated reactive phosphorus (µg L(^{-1})) 6200 outlet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median (µg L(^{-1}))</td>
<td>168.82</td>
<td>157.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard deviation</td>
<td>109.34</td>
<td>361.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 9. Median reactive phosphorus source loads (kg d\(^{-1}\)) at Cupar wastewater treatment works for Current, future Business as Usual (BAU), Green Road extreme low precipitation (GR ExLP) and Fossil-Fuelled Development extreme high precipitation (FFD ExHP) scenarios.

Figure 10. Comparison between probabilities of observed and modelled reactive phosphorus concentration in micrograms per litre at Eden catchment outlet in waterbody sub-catchment 6200.

model at a final project meeting after testing model scenarios in stage 5. Using the iterative five-stage process enabled the aim and objectives of the model to be evaluated by the project team, further ensuring the modelling approach was credible. To achieve legitimacy, participatory modelling should include a process of iteration that allows feedback from participants. The flexibility of BN models allows the model struc-
tute to be updated in real time, which was effective during focus group sessions with sub-system stakeholders groups using the GeNi software. Future regular updating of the model structure and its assumptions should be considered to address the issue of unforeseen future shocks, an example being an abrupt geopolitical shock and its impacts on global food and fertiliser prices.

When presenting the full model, as is in Sect. S3, Fig. S2, it was difficult for stakeholders to follow and comment on important variables and cause-and-effect relationships. We therefore used simplified versions, such as in Fig. 4, to visually represent the model. The simplified models are more effective for eliciting stakeholder opinions on the model structure in a workshop setting, which were used to update the model in GeNi. Recording and analysing participant feedback during each workshop helped build a greater evidence base that the BN model was effective in mapping the complex socio-ecological catchment system. The example quote by LM6 above demonstrates that the BN model helped participants consider how their sector impacted the system and the need to think beyond their own sector’s role within the catchment system. Our findings support Voinov and Bouquet (2010), who considered BN models as a tool for understanding complex systems and facilitating knowledge sharing.

4.2 Measuring catchment-scale resilience

In a review of BN applications in water resource management, Phan et al. (2019) identified the majority of applications solely focussed on water quality management. Few studies consider multiple concerns such as surface water quality, surface water flows, groundwater quality, air quality and soil quality within one model structure. Our findings presented in Figs. 5 and 6 demonstrate the ability to apply a participatory BN model that measures the impacts of both current and future conditions on multiple capitals and their associated resources. Presenting the multiple capital outputs addressed the knowledge needs of stakeholders in providing a holistic catchment-scale approach.

Measuring the cumulative impacts across diverse coupled representative concentration and Shared Socio-economic Pathways to a 2050 time horizon reduced the possibility of over- or underestimation of future impacts on water environments (Holman et al., 2016), addressing a further stakeholder knowledge need (Adams et al., 2022). Moe et al. (2019) is an example where both climatic and socio-economic change is considered for the time-horizon 2050–2070 using a discrete BN model. We build on the application of BN models that investigate the impacts of future climatic and socio-economic change by utilising continuous nodes within the hybrid equation-based BN model structure to measure both climatic and socio-economic stressors, which are rare in the literature (Moe et al., 2021).

Transferring the data and stakeholder knowledge into the hybrid equation-based structure was enabled by the ability of BN models to integrate multiple sources of data (Pham et al., 2021). The capacity of BN models to include continuous nodes is seen as a limitation (Uusitalo, 2007; Sperotto et al., 2017); however, we find the opposite to be true in our study. Despite limited monitoring data available in the Eden catchment, our BN model was able to simulate distributions to quantify nodes using summary statistics from other process-based model outputs. For example, only mean and standard deviation values were available for wastewater flow nodes, equation nodes enabled distributions to be created, providing 10 000 simulated outputs which could be discretised based on flow license information to represent risk. The variable log (Sect. S3, Table S2) was used as a platform to record decisions made and data collected during focus groups and workshops, increasing model salience. Ensuring stakeholders were involved in the process of data identification built end-user trust and increased model credibility.

Investigating the influence of cumulative future change impacts on specific areas of the catchment system assisted stakeholders to engage with the complexity of understanding socio-ecological systems and the impacts of diverse future pathways. Typical methods for identifying nodes that have the greatest influence on model outputs include causal probabilistic inference (Hobbs, 1997; Tang et al., 2016) and sensitivity analysis (Trolldborg et al., 2022). Achieving typical methods requires discretisation of continuous nodes in the hybrid BN model network, which leads to imprecision (Bor suk et al., 2012) and loss of information (Barton et al., 2008; Ames et al., 2005). Instead, we devised a manual sensitivity analysis for investigating specific model nodes that had the greatest influence on catchment system resilience, without the need to trigger network discretisation. Our manual approach involved dual representation of continuous nodes, presenting both probability function outputs and creating a discretised child node.

Manual backward investigation of the model created storylines from the capital outputs to specific sub-catchment nodes, an example being our presented results from Figs. 5 to 9. In our experience, we found the combination of both continuous and discrete model outputs to be more meaningful to stakeholders during project meetings and workshops. The ability to discretise surface water quality nodes within each sub-catchment based on specific WFD ecological status threshold values provided users with an improved represen-
Table 3. Sensitivity analysis of selected diffuse and point source input variables and their influence on reactive phosphorus concentrations in sub-catchment 6200.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Current median reactive phosphorus concentration (µg L(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Diffuse arable phosphorus sources</th>
<th>Diffuse pasture phosphorus sources</th>
<th>Diffuse septic tank phosphorus sources</th>
<th>Wastewater phosphorus sources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+20%</td>
<td>157.63</td>
<td>165.82</td>
<td>160.04</td>
<td>163.41</td>
<td>172.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change (%)</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−20%</td>
<td>148.15</td>
<td>154.39</td>
<td>153.49</td>
<td>145.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change (%)</td>
<td>−6.5</td>
<td>−2.1</td>
<td>−2.7</td>
<td>−8.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the Green Road and Fossil-Fuelled Development extreme precipitation scenarios, the influence of precipitation change and catchment processes are evident. Total RP loads (kg d\(^{-1}\)) are reduced in all sub-catchments in the GR ExLP scenario due to reductions in diffuse runoff. The lower likelihood of wastewater spills contributing untreated effluent to wastewater source loads are also reduced in the GR ExLP scenario. RP concentrations (µg L\(^{-1}\)) were greater in the GR ExLP scenario compared to the current scenarios in sub-catchments 6200 and 6201, despite the reductions in total RP loads in both sub-catchments (Figs. 8 and S10–S13). We believe these concentration increases are due to the reduction in river flow volumes in the extreme low precipitation rate scenario, meaning that regulating diluting functions are absent and RP concentrations increase. We are unable to investigate the influence of flows in the sub-catchments where RP concentrations decreased compared to current conditions (6202, 6205 and 6206), as observed river flow volume data were not available for all sub-catchments (see Table S2 for more information on how surface water quality is measured absence of river flow volume data).

In the FFD ExHP scenario, increases in RP concentrations (µg L\(^{-1}\)) compared to current conditions are evident in all sub-catchment waterbodies, which is attributed to increases in total RP loads (kg d\(^{-1}\)). Increased precipitation rates increase diffuse runoff, wastewater effluent flows and the likelihood of effluent spills. For sub-catchments 6200 and 6201, despite increases in river flow volumes from increased precipitation, RP source loads into the waterbodies were greater than the dilution capacity.
Despite 46% of the bias (%) observations falling within the ±50% acceptable model performance (Table 2), results from the goodness of fit evaluation demonstrate that the model underestimated current median RP concentrations (µg L\(^{-1}\)) at the catchment outlet in sub-catchment 6200 and the probable risk class. Simulated concentrations were more widely distributed, as compared to the observed data, as is evident in the 2% of observations within a high-risk state for simulated concentrations, compared to 0% for observed concentrations. A wider distribution in simulated RP values using a hybrid BN model was also found by Glendell et al. (2022). We concur with their considerations that both the quality and the low temporal resolutions of observed data may be responsible for this discrepancy.

The BN model was considered an appropriate method for analysing the resilience of freshwater catchments by the project team at the final evaluation meeting. Our participatory process and methods can be replicated to create future BN models that incorporate diverse stakeholder knowledge to address end-user needs and support interdisciplinary resilience assessments. Our findings enabled stakeholders to gain new perspectives on how future scenarios may influence their specific sectors (Fig. 9) and how their sector impacted other sectors and environmental conditions within the catchment system (Fig. 7), promoting social learning as described by Basco-Carrera et al. (2017). Identifying specific aspects of the catchment system that are least resilient to the impacts of future change will allow decision-makers to target both the areas of the catchment where adaptive management is required and the extent of action required in the face of potential future shocks and changes. Recognising the influence that all sectors have on water quality issues in the catchment highlighted the need for collaborative action.

4.3 Limitations and outlook

It is important to highlight that the BN model was effective as a strategic tool to meet the needs of participating stakeholders to investigate the resilience of catchment systems. Compared to other modelling options – such as process-based modelling – BN models could be both a resource- and cost-effective option to conduct resilience assessments. Despite being effective as a strategic resilience tool, the BN model is limited in its ability to provide a detailed resilience assessment due to the lack of both temporal and spatial scales built into the model. For example, in this study, we considered future precipitation change anomalies using the UKCP18 25 km\(^2\) grid data which are limited compared to the possible use of UKCP18 2.2 km\(^2\) grid precipitation change anomaly data. Temporal and spatial scales could be applied to build on dynamic BN model applications such as in Molina et al. (2013), who assessed the impacts of climatic and land-use change on groundwater systems over 5-year time slices covering 30 years (2070–2100) or spatial BN model applications such as in Trolldborg et al. (2022), who applied a spatial BN model to investigate field-level pesticide pollution risk at a small catchment scale. Applying these methods would allow for assessment of their effectiveness compared to process-based modelling to provide a detailed resilience assessment.

Having multiple workshops created difficulties when trying to achieve consistent participant numbers across all workshops. Eliciting formal feedback at the end of each workshop for the catchment stakeholder participants was also challenging. For future improvement, we recommend testing the inclusivity of meetings or further focus groups and workshops, with wider catchment stakeholders, to give structured formal feedback sessions on the model structure and outputs.

Using our findings, we will assess the ability of the BN model to inform the identification of adaptive management options and test their effectiveness in increasing the resilience of the Eden catchment in future research. With the same group of workshop participants, we will use the outputs presented in this study to test if they inform innovative and collaborative management options. The BN model structure will be updated to test the effectiveness of management scenarios in parallel with both the current and future scenarios.

5 Conclusion

Using the Eden catchment case study, our research applied participatory methods to create a Bayesian network (BN) model that addressed the needs of stakeholders to increase their understanding of catchment-scale resilience to the cumulative impacts of future change. We identified four stakeholder knowledge needs that the BN model would aim to address: (1) ensuring systems-thinking by mapping the socio-ecological interactions in the catchment, (2) measuring the impacts of future Business As Usual (BAU) change and shocks of extreme events and future pathways to a 2050 time horizon, (3) using a holistic capitals approach to measure the overall future catchment health, and (4) identifying specific aspects of the catchment system that are least resilient to the cumulative impacts of future change.

Applying an iterative five-stage participatory process to construct the BN model achieved a systems-based understanding of socio-ecological interactions within the catchment. The model provided an effective tool for understanding system complexity and enabling knowledge sharing between stakeholders. Our hybrid equation-based BN model facilitated investigation of diverse future pathway scenarios, providing stakeholders with a strategic tool to measure the cumulative impacts of both climatic and socio-economic changes until 2050.

Our findings provided a holistic assessment of catchment-scale resilience, demonstrating the possibility to apply a participatory BN model to consider the impacts of both current and future conditions on multiple capitals and their associated resources. The BN model structure enabled identifica-
tion of specific areas of the catchment which were least resilient to future change pathways, enabling stakeholders to recognise the risks to their individual sectors while also understanding their influence on the wider system and sectors.

We found that a BN model is a credible, salient and legitimate strategic tool for addressing the stakeholder knowledge needs about catchment resource resilience. Improvements to the BN model could involve the addition of spatial and temporal scales to take the tool beyond a strategic resilience tool. Future research will test the ability of the BN model to inform the identification and test the effectiveness of adaptive management options identified by stakeholders.
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