

Supplement of Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 22, 5759–5779, 2018
<https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-22-5759-2018-supplement>
© Author(s) 2018. This work is distributed under
the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



Supplement of

Hybridizing Bayesian and variational data assimilation for high-resolution hydrologic forecasting

Felipe Hernández and Xu Liang

Correspondence to: Xu Liang (xuliang@pitt.edu)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the CC BY 4.0 License.

Supplementary information

Table S1. Parameter assignments and error metrics for all the configurations of Experiment 1 (Blue River), Scenario 1 (October 15th, 1996). Configuration 0 corresponds to the control open-loop model. All other errors are computed using the mean streamflow from the OPTIMISTS ensemble.

Config.	Objs.	n	Δt	p_{samp}	$k_{\text{F-class}}$	Assimilation period			Forecast period		
						NSE _{t2}	NSE _{t1}	MARE	NSE _{t2}	NSE _{t1}	MARE
0	-- No data assimilation --					0.778	0.659	35.8%	0.867	0.708	43.2%
1	1	100	1 d	0.3	false	0.640	0.545	572.6%	0.494	0.395	118.5%
2	1	100	1 d	0.3	true	0.811	0.661	383.8%	0.818	0.656	53.2%
3	1	100	1 d	1.0	false	0.796	0.670	25.2%	0.722	0.630	43.9%
4	1	100	1 d	1.0	true	0.791	0.692	29.9%	0.707	0.564	50.4%
5	1	100	5 d	0.3	false	0.923	0.790	19.0%	0.770	0.645	38.5%
6	1	100	5 d	0.3	true	0.902	0.774	20.5%	0.842	0.696	38.1%
7	1	100	5 d	1.0	false	0.791	0.670	24.5%	0.773	0.650	42.6%
8	1	100	5 d	1.0	true	0.849	0.731	20.3%	0.593	0.542	50.8%
9	1	100	2 w	0.3	false	0.801	0.668	46.0%	0.853	0.690	44.5%
10	1	100	2 w	0.3	true	0.827	0.690	41.9%	0.823	0.687	42.1%
11	1	100	2 w	1.0	false	0.802	0.671	46.5%	0.860	0.700	44.1%
12	1	100	2 w	1.0	true	0.838	0.696	45.2%	0.864	0.702	44.0%
13	1	500	1 d	0.3	false	-1E+2	-5E+0	2E+1	-0.331	0.133	92.0%
14	1	500	1 d	0.3	true	-5E+2	-2E+1	6E+1	-2E+1	-2.388	863.1%
15	1	500	1 d	1.0	false	0.823	0.687	25.9%	0.771	0.657	43.3%
16	1	500	1 d	1.0	true	0.808	0.684	40.5%	0.698	0.553	55.2%
17	1	500	5 d	0.3	false	0.789	0.654	40.0%	0.787	0.625	48.2%
18	1	500	5 d	0.3	true	0.791	0.632	161.4%	0.533	0.518	37.5%
19	1	500	5 d	1.0	false	0.810	0.684	23.5%	0.847	0.697	42.3%
20	1	500	5 d	1.0	true	0.723	0.621	27.4%	0.675	0.584	46.4%
21	1	500	2 w	0.3	false	0.851	0.713	86.7%	0.875	0.729	36.0%
22	1	500	2 w	0.3	true	0.808	0.680	71.9%	0.801	0.652	38.2%
23	1	500	2 w	1.0	false	0.819	0.685	43.7%	0.850	0.704	43.4%
24	1	500	2 w	1.0	true	0.845	0.699	42.9%	0.844	0.698	43.5%
25	2	100	1 d	0.3	false	0.826	0.699	188.4%	0.856	0.711	37.7%
26	2	100	1 d	0.3	true	0.850	0.684	142.3%	0.836	0.618	82.2%

Config.	Objs.	n	Δt	p_{samp}	$k_{\text{F-class}}$	Assimilation period			Forecast period		
						NSE_{t_2}	NSE_{t_1}	MARE	NSE_{t_2}	NSE_{t_1}	MARE
27	2	100	1 d	1.0	false	0.762	0.640	29.5%	0.809	0.667	45.7%
28	2	100	1 d	1.0	true	0.783	0.659	29.5%	0.833	0.639	55.8%
29	2	100	5 d	0.3	false	0.837	0.708	25.8%	0.827	0.680	44.6%
30	2	100	5 d	0.3	true	0.868	0.750	19.1%	0.486	0.509	47.4%
31	2	100	5 d	1.0	false	0.735	0.633	30.6%	0.831	0.687	43.3%
32	2	100	5 d	1.0	true	0.776	0.657	26.0%	0.866	0.710	43.0%
33	2	100	2 w	0.3	false	0.785	0.663	42.8%	0.852	0.706	42.0%
34	2	100	2 w	0.3	true	0.792	0.666	41.4%	0.838	0.693	43.6%
35	2	100	2 w	1.0	false	0.777	0.656	43.5%	0.857	0.702	43.6%
36	2	100	2 w	1.0	true	0.800	0.670	40.6%	0.841	0.693	43.7%
37	2	500	1 d	0.3	false	0.772	0.642	340.0%	0.764	0.595	67.6%
38	2	500	1 d	0.3	true	0.521	0.494	713.3%	0.759	0.528	87.5%
39	2	500	1 d	1.0	false	0.770	0.645	28.7%	0.838	0.693	42.9%
40	2	500	1 d	1.0	true	0.770	0.634	181.4%	0.815	0.647	48.4%
41	2	500	5 d	0.3	false	0.858	0.725	50.7%	0.826	0.680	41.8%
42	2	500	5 d	0.3	true	0.878	0.755	38.3%	0.230	0.428	34.7%
43	2	500	5 d	1.0	false	0.755	0.646	29.2%	0.858	0.708	42.7%
44	2	500	5 d	1.0	true	0.766	0.650	26.3%	0.650	0.574	47.1%
45	2	500	2 w	0.3	false	0.860	0.718	45.4%	0.873	0.718	38.6%
46	2	500	2 w	0.3	true	0.881	0.745	60.7%	0.873	0.720	41.2%
47	2	500	2 w	1.0	false	0.799	0.672	42.8%	0.868	0.708	43.2%
48	2	500	2 w	1.0	true	0.793	0.670	43.4%	0.864	0.707	43.3%

Table S2. Parameter assignments and error metrics for all the configurations of Experiment 1 (Blue River), Scenario 2 (January 15th, 1997). Configuration 0 corresponds to the control open-loop model. All other errors are computed using the mean streamflow from the OPTIMISTS ensemble.

5

Config.	Objs.	n	Δt	p_{samp}	$k_{\text{F-class}}$	Assimilation period			Forecast period		
						NSE_{t_2}	NSE_{t_1}	MARE	NSE_{t_2}	NSE_{t_1}	MARE
0		-- No data assimilation --				0.229	0.146	5.4%	-0.122	0.296	47.8%
1	1	100	1 d	0.3	false	-3E+6	-1E+3	7E+1	0.364	0.365	50.4%
2	1	100	1 d	0.3	true	-1E+6	-5E+2	4E+1	-1E+1	-2.022	322.7%
3	1	100	1 d	1.0	false	0.514	0.217	5.3%	-0.871	0.025	65.6%
4	1	100	1 d	1.0	true	0.617	0.410	3.8%	0.809	0.675	23.6%
5	1	100	5 d	0.3	false	0.328	0.211	5.1%	0.523	0.496	20.3%
6	1	100	5 d	0.3	true	0.533	0.407	3.7%	0.348	0.439	37.3%
7	1	100	5 d	1.0	false	0.234	0.255	4.6%	0.769	0.613	20.9%
8	1	100	5 d	1.0	true	0.388	0.321	4.2%	0.301	0.398	23.3%
9	1	100	2 w	0.3	false	0.454	0.280	4.6%	0.400	0.460	36.7%
10	1	100	2 w	0.3	true	0.468	0.241	4.8%	0.092	0.346	44.4%
11	1	100	2 w	1.0	false	0.512	0.341	4.2%	0.500	0.478	35.4%
12	1	100	2 w	1.0	true	0.555	0.323	4.3%	0.299	0.415	39.4%

Config.	Objs.	n	Δt	p_{samp}	k_F -class	Assimilation period			Forecast period		
						NSE _{t2}	NSE _{t1}	MARE	NSE _{t2}	NSE _{t1}	MARE
13	1	500	1 d	0.3	false	-7E+6	-2E+3	1E+2	-0.456	0.009	46.9%
14	1	500	1 d	0.3	true	-2E+7	-4E+3	3E+2	-4E+3	-4E+1	6E+1
15	1	500	1 d	1.0	false	0.596	0.428	3.7%	-0.809	-0.059	69.0%
16	1	500	1 d	1.0	true	0.508	0.338	4.2%	0.865	0.699	18.6%
17	1	500	5 d	0.3	false	0.417	0.240	4.9%	0.695	0.543	31.2%
18	1	500	5 d	0.3	true	0.445	0.233	5.0%	0.557	0.537	30.1%
19	1	500	5 d	1.0	false	0.266	0.275	4.5%	0.768	0.660	22.6%
20	1	500	5 d	1.0	true	0.294	0.301	4.4%	0.662	0.596	27.6%
21	1	500	2 w	0.3	false	0.654	0.469	3.3%	0.733	0.622	20.1%
22	1	500	2 w	0.3	true	0.548	0.398	3.8%	0.681	0.564	27.4%
23	1	500	2 w	1.0	false	0.468	0.309	4.3%	0.337	0.426	39.2%
24	1	500	2 w	1.0	true	0.613	0.392	3.8%	0.675	0.570	28.1%
25	2	100	1 d	0.3	false	-3E+1	-2.297	21.9%	0.249	0.353	45.4%
26	2	100	1 d	0.3	true	0.032	0.113	5.8%	0.504	0.534	32.3%
27	2	100	1 d	1.0	false	0.399	0.242	4.9%	-0.328	0.130	56.8%
28	2	100	1 d	1.0	true	0.317	0.360	3.9%	0.351	0.443	20.8%
29	2	100	5 d	0.3	false	0.004	0.071	5.8%	0.842	0.681	20.5%
30	2	100	5 d	0.3	true	0.371	0.211	5.0%	0.732	0.634	21.4%
31	2	100	5 d	1.0	false	0.129	0.181	5.1%	0.767	0.623	25.2%
32	2	100	5 d	1.0	true	0.245	0.262	4.6%	0.839	0.697	19.9%
33	2	100	2 w	0.3	false	0.276	0.136	5.5%	0.269	0.403	40.7%
34	2	100	2 w	0.3	true	0.571	0.352	4.1%	0.507	0.491	34.3%
35	2	100	2 w	1.0	false	0.282	0.147	5.4%	0.413	0.456	36.7%
36	2	100	2 w	1.0	true	0.502	0.297	4.4%	0.498	0.496	34.0%
37	2	500	1 d	0.3	false	-7.039	-1.196	14.9%	0.720	0.555	22.7%
38	2	500	1 d	0.3	true	-0.197	0.060	6.3%	0.408	0.454	21.7%
39	2	500	1 d	1.0	false	0.186	0.280	4.4%	0.768	0.609	26.2%
40	2	500	1 d	1.0	true	0.510	0.374	3.9%	0.516	0.469	37.5%
41	2	500	5 d	0.3	false	-5E+1	-3.945	32.8%	0.708	0.582	31.2%
42	2	500	5 d	0.3	true	0.291	0.210	5.0%	0.596	0.547	29.9%
43	2	500	5 d	1.0	false	0.244	0.261	4.6%	0.806	0.681	21.1%
44	2	500	5 d	1.0	true	0.186	0.252	4.6%	0.796	0.675	20.9%
45	2	500	2 w	0.3	false	0.383	0.235	4.8%	0.465	0.476	35.3%
46	2	500	2 w	0.3	true	0.603	0.392	3.8%	0.512	0.495	34.0%
47	2	500	2 w	1.0	false	0.354	0.206	5.0%	0.494	0.471	35.7%
48	2	500	2 w	1.0	true	0.521	0.327	4.2%	0.649	0.588	27.5%

Table S3. Parameter assignments and error metrics for all the configurations of Experiment 1 (Blue River), Scenario 3 (February 24th, 1998). Configuration 0 corresponds to the control open-loop model. All other errors are computed using the mean streamflow from the OPTIMISTS ensemble.

Config.	Objs.	n	Δt	p_{samp}	$k_{\text{F-class}}$	Assimilation period			Forecast period		
						NSE _{t2}	NSE _{t1}	MARE	NSE _{t2}	NSE _{t1}	MARE
0	-- No data assimilation --					0.315	0.192	70.3%	-1E+1	-2.519	40.3%
1	1	100	1 d	0.3	false	-0.218	0.034	152.0%	-1E+3	-4E+1	427.9%
2	1	100	1 d	0.3	true	-0.464	-0.059	136.6%	-1E+1	-2.495	40.3%
3	1	100	1 d	1.0	false	0.253	0.386	33.7%	-7.384	-2.041	34.9%
4	1	100	1 d	1.0	true	0.403	0.379	56.1%	-4E+1	-5.775	74.5%
5	1	100	5 d	0.3	false	0.247	0.433	25.6%	-5.781	-0.978	22.9%
6	1	100	5 d	0.3	true	0.057	0.432	17.5%	-0.319	-0.209	13.6%
7	1	100	5 d	1.0	false	0.209	0.411	29.0%	-4.083	-1.383	27.3%
8	1	100	5 d	1.0	true	0.002	0.421	16.0%	0.302	0.360	6.8%
9	1	100	2 w	0.3	false	0.199	0.390	33.3%	-3.279	-1.197	24.9%
10	1	100	2 w	0.3	true	0.014	0.418	17.0%	-0.287	-0.083	12.3%
11	1	100	2 w	1.0	false	0.239	0.383	35.4%	-5.720	-1.754	31.5%
12	1	100	2 w	1.0	true	-0.008	0.414	16.3%	0.285	0.304	7.7%
13	1	500	1 d	0.3	false	-0.855	-0.064	123.9%	-1E+1	-3.189	48.4%
14	1	500	1 d	0.3	true	-5E+3	-7E+1	9E+1	-4E+6	-1E+3	1E+2
15	1	500	1 d	1.0	false	0.280	0.395	33.4%	-8.686	-2.167	36.8%
16	1	500	1 d	1.0	true	0.048	0.431	15.2%	0.035	0.325	6.8%
17	1	500	5 d	0.3	false	0.111	0.455	17.7%	-1.759	-0.485	15.7%
18	1	500	5 d	0.3	true	0.116	0.442	19.8%	-3.878	-1.084	22.9%
19	1	500	5 d	1.0	false	0.214	0.420	27.6%	-4.184	-1.443	27.9%
20	1	500	5 d	1.0	true	-0.010	0.421	15.2%	-0.275	0.098	9.3%
21	1	500	2 w	0.3	false	0.123	0.457	16.7%	-1.284	-0.222	13.4%
22	1	500	2 w	0.3	true	0.000	0.420	15.7%	-0.327	0.088	10.3%
23	1	500	2 w	1.0	false	0.206	0.392	32.8%	-4.693	-1.571	29.2%
24	1	500	2 w	1.0	true	-0.011	0.413	16.4%	0.385	0.467	5.5%
25	2	100	1 d	0.3	false	-0.156	0.179	74.0%	-6E+1	-6.952	90.9%
26	2	100	1 d	0.3	true	0.014	0.292	50.7%	-1.904	-0.272	14.6%
27	2	100	1 d	1.0	false	0.260	0.407	30.7%	-5.529	-1.672	30.7%
28	2	100	1 d	1.0	true	0.102	0.380	29.4%	-1.515	-0.509	15.9%
29	2	100	5 d	0.3	false	0.218	0.406	30.5%	-2.345	-0.915	21.8%
30	2	100	5 d	0.3	true	0.033	0.404	21.3%	-0.605	-0.013	11.6%
31	2	100	5 d	1.0	false	0.239	0.398	32.4%	-5.079	-1.642	30.2%
32	2	100	5 d	1.0	true	0.002	0.403	19.4%	-0.370	0.002	11.3%
33	2	100	2 w	0.3	false	0.268	0.377	37.1%	-5.231	-1.635	30.2%
34	2	100	2 w	0.3	true	0.050	0.431	17.2%	0.055	0.312	7.5%
35	2	100	2 w	1.0	false	0.253	0.364	39.3%	-6.679	-1.948	33.6%
36	2	100	2 w	1.0	true	0.053	0.425	19.2%	-0.709	-0.255	14.4%
37	2	500	1 d	0.3	false	-0.127	0.185	72.1%	-1.810	-0.453	15.4%
38	2	500	1 d	0.3	true	-0.221	0.179	120.8%	-3E+2	-2E+1	197.3%
39	2	500	1 d	1.0	false	0.270	0.414	29.5%	-6.369	-1.731	31.8%

Config.	Objs.	n	Δt	p_{samp}	$k_{\text{F-class}}$	Assimilation period			Forecast period		
						NSE _{t2}	NSE _{t1}	MARE	NSE _{t2}	NSE _{t1}	MARE
40	2	500	1 d	1.0	true	0.021	0.427	15.5%	-0.433	0.036	10.0%
41	2	500	5 d	0.3	false	0.195	0.451	22.0%	-0.667	-0.125	12.6%
42	2	500	5 d	0.3	true	0.088	0.444	18.3%	-0.992	0.045	10.9%
43	2	500	5 d	1.0	false	0.197	0.407	29.8%	-2.852	-1.116	23.9%
44	2	500	5 d	1.0	true	0.043	0.432	16.8%	0.354	0.344	7.1%
45	2	500	2 w	0.3	false	0.182	0.456	20.6%	-0.224	-0.105	12.0%
46	2	500	2 w	0.3	true	0.001	0.423	15.6%	0.105	0.270	8.1%
47	2	500	2 w	1.0	false	0.245	0.379	36.1%	-5.616	-1.747	31.4%
48	2	500	2 w	1.0	true	0.034	0.431	16.5%	0.230	0.188	9.1%

Table S4. Parameter assignments and error metrics for all the configurations of Experiment 2 (Indiantown Run), Scenario 1 (July 26th, 2009). Configuration 0 corresponds to the control open-loop model. All other errors are computed using the mean streamflow from the OPTIMISTS ensemble.

Config.	Δt	Objs.	n	p_{samp}	w_{root}	Assimilation period			Forecast period		
						NSE _{t2}	NSE _{t1}	MARE	NSE _{t2}	NSE _{t1}	MARE
0	-- No data assimilation --					0.478	-0.015	24.9%	0.022	-0.003	54.3%
1	1 h	2	100	0.25	60%	-9E+04	-1E+02	2.8E+01	0.067	-0.759	197.1%
2	1 h	2	100	0.25	95%	-2E+03	-3E+01	7.4E+00	0.365	0.193	73.5%
3	1 h	2	100	1.00	60%	-1E+01	-3.324	98.3%	0.279	-0.360	143.9%
4	1 h	2	100	1.00	95%	-1.455	-0.862	44.9%	0.346	-0.099	107.0%
5	1 h	2	200	0.25	60%	-2E+05	-1E+02	3.4E+01	0.075	-0.769	196.1%
6	1 h	2	200	0.25	95%	-3E+03	-3E+01	6.8E+00	0.357	0.275	62.2%
7	1 h	2	200	1.00	60%	-1E+01	-3.900	111.6%	0.284	-0.330	143.5%
8	1 h	2	200	1.00	95%	-2.320	-1.192	54.1%	0.341	-0.182	120.1%
9	2 w	2	100	0.25	60%	0.471	0.208	18.1%	0.317	0.381	43.2%
10	2 w	2	100	0.25	95%	0.099	-0.001	23.2%	0.320	0.375	44.3%
11	2 w	2	100	1.00	60%	0.571	0.371	13.3%	0.292	0.393	38.3%
12	2 w	2	100	1.00	95%	0.572	0.353	14.1%	0.323	0.373	45.7%
13	2 w	2	200	0.25	60%	0.531	0.295	15.5%	0.327	0.367	47.0%
14	2 w	2	200	0.25	95%	0.520	0.305	15.4%	0.312	0.380	42.6%
15	2 w	2	200	1.00	60%	0.360	0.211	17.5%	0.322	0.338	50.1%
16	2 w	2	200	1.00	95%	0.427	0.175	18.7%	0.332	0.357	49.0%
17	1 h	1	100	0.25	60%	-5E+02	-3E+01	7.0E+00	-0.429	-1.338	2.8E+00
18	1 h	1	100	0.25	95%	-5E+01	-7.655	196.9%	0.094	-0.736	193.1%
19	1 h	1	100	1.00	60%	-1E+01	-3.201	96.0%	0.314	-0.269	132.4%
20	1 h	1	100	1.00	95%	-9.818	-3.016	90.8%	0.290	-0.354	142.2%
21	1 h	1	200	0.25	60%	-2E+05	-6E+02	1.4E+02	-5E+01	-7.699	1.4E+01
22	1 h	1	200	0.25	95%	-1E+05	-4E+02	9.7E+01	-5E+01	-7.337	1.4E+01
23	1 h	1	200	1.00	60%	-1E+01	-3.965	113.2%	0.254	-0.447	153.8%
24	1 h	1	200	1.00	95%	-1E+01	-3.601	103.3%	0.283	-0.374	144.9%
25	2 w	1	100	0.25	60%	0.453	0.071	21.8%	0.320	0.373	44.2%
26	2 w	1	100	0.25	95%	0.279	-0.053	24.6%	0.329	0.368	46.3%
27	2 w	1	100	1.00	60%	0.500	0.177	18.9%	0.331	0.369	46.3%
28	2 w	1	100	1.00	95%	0.358	0.041	22.2%	0.330	0.364	47.4%
29	2 w	1	200	0.25	60%	0.494	0.177	18.8%	0.324	0.366	46.3%
30	2 w	1	200	0.25	95%	0.362	0.036	22.3%	0.329	0.362	47.4%
31	2 w	1	200	1.00	60%	0.452	0.158	19.2%	0.329	0.362	46.7%
32	2 w	1	200	1.00	95%	0.480	0.155	19.4%	0.333	0.362	47.2%

Table S5. Parameter assignments and error metrics for all the configurations of Experiment 2 (Indiantown Run), Scenario 2 (August 26th, 2009). Configuration 0 corresponds to the control open-loop model. All other errors are computed using the mean streamflow from the OPTIMISTS ensemble.

Config.	Δt	Objs.	n	p_{samp}	w_{root}	Assimilation period			Forecast period		
						NSE _{t2}	NSE _{t1}	MARE	NSE _{t2}	NSE _{t1}	MARE
0	-- No data assimilation --					-0.926	-0.963	68.0%	-0.428	-0.733	58.3%
1	1 h	2	100	0.25	60%	-8E+02	-2E+01	8.5E+00	-5.171	-2.290	104.3%
2	1 h	2	100	0.25	95%	-2E+02	-7.769	3.9E+00	-0.581	-0.517	46.2%
3	1 h	2	100	1.00	60%	0.672	0.570	16.1%	-1.459	-1.065	66.7%
4	1 h	2	100	1.00	95%	0.637	0.643	11.4%	-0.971	-0.799	57.0%
5	1 h	2	200	0.25	60%	-5E+03	-3E+01	1.0E+01	-1E+01	-3.291	134.0%
6	1 h	2	200	0.25	95%	-3E+02	-9.816	5.0E+00	-1.156	-0.797	54.9%
7	1 h	2	200	1.00	60%	0.708	0.518	19.2%	-1.493	-1.082	67.2%
8	1 h	2	200	1.00	95%	0.657	0.625	12.9%	-1.259	-0.966	63.0%
9	2 w	2	100	0.25	60%	-0.607	-0.154	35.9%	0.322	0.118	25.8%
10	2 w	2	100	0.25	95%	0.041	0.013	29.1%	0.248	0.070	27.1%
11	2 w	2	100	1.00	60%	0.122	0.096	25.6%	0.048	-0.067	31.1%
12	2 w	2	100	1.00	95%	-0.113	-0.164	35.1%	0.451	0.212	23.2%
13	2 w	2	200	0.25	60%	0.186	0.161	23.5%	0.051	-0.089	32.1%
14	2 w	2	200	0.25	95%	0.118	0.088	26.0%	0.132	-0.010	29.4%
15	2 w	2	200	1.00	60%	-0.019	-0.052	30.9%	0.255	0.077	26.9%
16	2 w	2	200	1.00	95%	0.022	-0.030	30.3%	0.279	0.085	26.8%
17	1 h	1	100	0.25	60%	-3.015	-2.226	138.7%	-2E+01	-4.216	164.2%
18	1 h	1	100	0.25	95%	-0.028	-0.550	61.0%	-7.080	-2.694	115.6%
19	1 h	1	100	1.00	60%	0.539	0.542	14.8%	-1.544	-1.083	66.8%
20	1 h	1	100	1.00	95%	0.565	0.442	21.7%	-2.307	-1.433	78.4%
21	1 h	1	200	0.25	60%	-5E+03	-1E+02	4.6E+01	-6E+02	-2E+01	8.5E+00
22	1 h	1	200	0.25	95%	-1E+04	-1E+02	4.9E+01	-7E+02	-2E+01	9.4E+00
23	1 h	1	200	1.00	60%	0.538	0.548	16.1%	-2.240	-1.396	77.8%
24	1 h	1	200	1.00	95%	0.551	0.594	12.7%	-2.089	-1.318	74.2%
25	2 w	1	100	0.25	60%	0.272	0.414	15.6%	-0.859	-0.577	46.7%
26	2 w	1	100	0.25	95%	0.307	0.422	14.7%	-0.779	-0.494	43.5%
27	2 w	1	100	1.00	60%	0.230	0.300	18.2%	-0.501	-0.368	39.8%
28	2 w	1	100	1.00	95%	0.220	0.268	19.4%	-0.475	-0.359	39.6%
29	2 w	1	200	0.25	60%	0.296	0.460	14.6%	-0.757	-0.527	45.2%
30	2 w	1	200	0.25	95%	0.377	0.485	13.8%	-0.916	-0.564	45.7%
31	2 w	1	200	1.00	60%	0.207	0.285	18.8%	-0.493	-0.348	39.0%
32	2 w	1	200	1.00	95%	0.202	0.278	18.9%	-0.525	-0.382	40.3%

Table S6. Parameter assignments and error metrics for all the configurations of Experiment 3 (Indiantown Run), Scenario 1 (July 26th, 2009). Configuration 0 corresponds to the control open-loop model. All other errors are computed using the mean streamflow from the OPTIMISTS ensemble.

Config.	Δt	p_{samp}	g	Assimilation period			Forecast period		
				NSE _{t2}	NSE _{t1}	MARE	NSE _{t2}	NSE _{t1}	MARE
0	-- No data assimilation --			0.478	-0.015	24.9%	0.022	-0.003	54.3%
1	1 h	0.4	0.5	-1E+05	-2E+02	5.2E+01	0.359	0.286	60.6%
2	1 h	0.4	1.0	-5E+03	-3E+01	8.3E+00	0.366	0.271	63.1%
3	1 h	1.0	0.5	-3.682	-1.729	64.1%	0.358	-0.069	107.8%
4	1 h	1.0	1.0	-2.038	-1.146	50.9%	0.371	0.031	94.3%
5	6 h	0.4	0.5	-6E+02	-1E+01	2.9E+00	0.354	0.307	57.1%
6	6 h	0.4	1.0	-9E+02	-1E+01	317.4%	0.357	0.291	59.9%
7	6 h	1.0	0.5	-2.107	-1.230	52.0%	0.357	0.086	84.0%
8	6 h	1.0	1.0	-1.431	-0.889	43.0%	0.361	0.292	60.1%
9	1 d	0.4	0.5	-2E+02	-4.030	119.4%	0.351	0.312	56.1%
10	1 d	0.4	1.0	-2E+03	-6.205	171.3%	0.350	0.340	52.3%
11	1 d	1.0	0.5	-1.406	-0.891	42.8%	0.353	0.325	55.0%
12	1 d	1.0	1.0	-1.440	-0.893	42.9%	0.352	0.315	56.6%
13	3.5 d	0.4	0.5	-3E+03	-7.748	2.1E+00	0.337	0.311	54.2%
14	3.5 d	0.4	1.0	-6E+01	-1.096	49.0%	0.328	0.337	50.2%
15	3.5 d	1.0	0.5	0.224	0.067	22.1%	0.331	0.306	55.4%
16	3.5 d	1.0	1.0	0.058	-0.095	25.6%	0.333	0.360	48.8%
17	2 w	0.4	0.5	-8E+01	-1.527	59.6%	0.339	0.326	54.1%
18	2 w	0.4	1.0	0.133	-0.190	28.1%	0.333	0.357	49.0%
19	2 w	1.0	0.5	0.399	0.242	16.3%	0.301	0.394	40.2%
20	2 w	1.0	1.0	0.560	0.340	14.4%	0.308	0.389	41.3%
21	4 w	0.4	0.5	-0.743	-0.735	42.0%	0.355	0.112	79.6%
22	4 w	0.4	1.0	-1.469	-1.161	52.2%	0.358	0.047	87.4%
23	4 w	1.0	0.5	-2.873	-1.763	66.4%	0.355	0.033	90.8%
24	4 w	1.0	1.0	-0.577	-0.622	39.2%	0.351	0.159	74.2%

Table S7. Parameter assignments and error metrics for all the configurations of Experiment 3 (Indiantown Run), Scenario 2 (August 26th, 2009). Configuration 0 corresponds to the control open-loop model. All other errors are computed using the mean streamflow from the OPTIMISTS ensemble.

Config.	Δt	p_{samp}	g	Assimilation period			Forecast period		
				NSE_{t_2}	NSE_{t_1}	MARE	NSE_{t_2}	NSE_{t_1}	MARE
0	-- No data assimilation --			-0.926	-0.963	68.0%	-0.428	-0.733	58.3%
1	1 h	0.4	0.5	-1E+03	-1E+01	6.2E+00	-0.133	-0.204	37.1%
2	1 h	0.4	1.0	-6E+02	-8.948	4.4E+00	-1.516	-0.967	60.3%
3	1 h	1.0	0.5	0.604	0.686	8.0%	-0.352	-0.459	46.3%
4	1 h	1.0	1.0	0.664	0.596	14.0%	-1.591	-1.133	68.3%
5	6 h	0.4	0.5	-1E+02	-4.849	2.7E+00	-1.270	-0.769	52.7%
6	6 h	0.4	1.0	-2E+01	-0.391	57.4%	-0.371	-0.393	42.1%
7	6 h	1.0	0.5	0.529	0.634	8.6%	-1.098	-0.679	49.6%
8	6 h	1.0	1.0	0.494	0.606	9.2%	-0.388	-0.460	45.5%
9	1 d	0.4	0.5	-7.399	0.020	39.8%	-0.830	-0.563	46.2%
10	1 d	0.4	1.0	0.475	0.444	14.3%	-0.213	-0.286	38.6%
11	1 d	1.0	0.5	0.398	0.432	14.7%	-1.096	-0.717	51.5%
12	1 d	1.0	1.0	0.453	0.512	11.9%	-0.656	-0.501	44.9%
13	3.5 d	0.4	0.5	-1.056	0.047	27.5%	-0.706	-0.465	42.7%
14	3.5 d	0.4	1.0	0.296	0.366	15.6%	-0.690	-0.437	41.6%
15	3.5 d	1.0	0.5	0.028	0.076	24.9%	-0.454	-0.402	41.9%
16	3.5 d	1.0	1.0	0.122	0.149	22.7%	-0.522	-0.376	40.0%
17	2 w	0.4	0.5	-2E+01	-0.396	50.0%	0.094	-0.044	30.5%
18	2 w	0.4	1.0	-0.002	-0.062	31.7%	0.358	0.140	25.3%
19	2 w	1.0	0.5	-0.157	-0.171	35.0%	0.340	0.108	26.4%
20	2 w	1.0	1.0	0.032	-0.024	30.2%	0.301	0.109	26.0%
21	4 w	0.4	0.5	0.379	0.491	11.4%	-1.398	-0.877	56.6%
22	4 w	0.4	1.0	0.330	0.424	13.4%	-1.281	-0.834	55.4%
23	4 w	1.0	0.5	0.308	0.397	14.1%	-0.907	-0.653	49.8%
24	4 w	1.0	1.0	0.327	0.425	13.2%	-1.159	-0.771	53.4%

Table S8. ANOVA table for Experiment 1 for the improvements in MARE with respect to the default model. DF: degrees of freedom; Adj SS: adjusted sum of squares; Adj MS: adjusted mean sum of squares; Sims.: n ; Optimization-F-kernels: $k_{F-class}$.

Source	DF	Adj SS	Adj MS	F-Value	P-Value
Model	49	8.093	0.165	4.610	0.000
Scenario	2	1.046	0.523	14.610	0.000
Linear	6	3.240	0.540	15.080	0.000
Objs.	1	0.275	0.274	7.660	0.007
Sims.	1	0.005	0.005	0.140	0.704
TimeStep	2	2.499	1.249	34.890	0.000
Optimization	1	0.460	0.460	12.860	0.001
F-kernels	1	0.001	0.001	0.040	0.850
2-Way Interactions	14	2.292	0.164	4.570	0.000
Objs.*Sims.	1	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.961
Objs.*TimeStep	2	0.507	0.253	7.080	0.001
Objs.*Optimization	1	0.119	0.119	3.330	0.071
Objs.*F-kernels	1	0.011	0.010	0.290	0.590
Sims.*TimeStep	2	0.025	0.013	0.350	0.704
Sims.*Optimization	1	0.019	0.019	0.540	0.464
Sims.*F-kernels	1	0.157	0.157	4.390	0.039
TimeStep*Optimization	2	1.172	0.586	16.370	0.000
TimeStep*F-kernels	2	0.106	0.053	1.470	0.234
Optimization*F-kernels	1	0.176	0.176	4.910	0.029
3-Way Interactions	16	1.001	0.063	1.750	0.051
Objs.*Sims.*TimeStep	2	0.005	0.002	0.070	0.935
Objs.*Sims.*Optimization	1	0.000	0.000	0.010	0.918
Objs.*Sims.*F-kernels	1	0.000	0.000	0.010	0.923
Objs.*TimeStep*Optimization	2	0.233	0.116	3.250	0.043
Objs.*TimeStep*F-kernels	2	0.001	0.001	0.020	0.985
Objs.*Optimization*F-kernels	1	0.012	0.012	0.320	0.572
Sims.*TimeStep*Optimization	2	0.082	0.041	1.150	0.321
Sims.*TimeStep*F-kernels	2	0.291	0.146	4.070	0.020
Sims.*Optimization*F-kernels	1	0.227	0.227	6.340	0.013
TimeStep*Optimization*F-kernels	2	0.149	0.074	2.070	0.131
4-Way Interactions	9	0.439	0.049	1.360	0.216
Objs.*Sims.*TimeStep*Optimization	2	0.007	0.004	0.100	0.903
Objs.*Sims.*TimeStep*F-kernels	2	0.002	0.001	0.020	0.978
Objs.*Sims.*Optimization*F-kernels	1	0.037	0.037	1.020	0.315
Objs.*TimeStep*Optimization*F-kernels	2	0.010	0.005	0.130	0.874
Sims.*TimeStep*Optimization*F-kernels	2	0.384	0.192	5.370	0.006
5-Way Interaction	2	0.075	0.038	1.050	0.354
Objs.*Sims.*TimeStep*Optimization*F-kernels	2	0.075	0.038	1.050	0.354
Error	94	3.366	0.036		
Total	143	11.460			

Table S9. ANOVA table for Experiment 2 for the improvements in $NS\bar{E}_2$ with respect to the default model. DF: degrees of freedom; Adj SS: adjusted sum of squares; Adj MS: adjusted mean sum of squares; Candidates: n ; Roots: w_{root} .

Source	DF	Adj SS	Adj MS	F-Value	P-Value
Model	32	65.769	2.055	3.450	0.000
Scenario	1	11.325	11.325	19.020	0.000
Linear	5	40.151	8.030	13.490	0.000
Time_step	1	25.442	25.442	42.730	0.000
Objectives	1	7.834	7.834	13.160	0.001
Candidates	1	0.866	0.866	1.450	0.237
Optimization	1	5.274	5.274	8.860	0.006
Roots	1	0.735	0.735	1.230	0.275
2-Way Interactions	10	9.786	0.979	1.640	0.140
Time_step*Objectives	1	1.096	1.096	1.840	0.185
Time_step*Candidates	1	0.697	0.697	1.170	0.288
Time_step*Optimization	1	3.586	3.586	6.020	0.020
Time_step*Roots	1	0.575	0.575	0.970	0.333
Objectives*Candidates	1	0.395	0.395	0.660	0.421
Objectives*Optimization	1	1.635	1.635	2.750	0.108
Objectives*Roots	1	0.801	0.801	1.350	0.255
Candidates*Optimization	1	0.522	0.522	0.880	0.356
Candidates*Roots	1	0.015	0.015	0.020	0.876
Optimization*Roots	1	0.464	0.464	0.780	0.384
3-Way Interactions	10	3.644	0.364	0.610	0.792
Time_step*Objectives*Candidates	1	0.475	0.475	0.800	0.379
Time_step*Objectives*Optimization	1	1.025	1.025	1.720	0.199
Time_step*Objectives*Roots	1	0.581	0.581	0.980	0.331
Time_step*Candidates*Optimization	1	0.372	0.372	0.620	0.435
Time_step*Candidates*Roots	1	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.980
Time_step*Optimization*Roots	1	0.664	0.664	1.120	0.299
Objectives*Candidates*Optimization	1	0.296	0.296	0.500	0.486
Objectives*Candidates*Roots	1	0.025	0.025	0.040	0.839
Objectives*Optimization*Roots	1	0.172	0.172	0.290	0.595
Candidates*Optimization*Roots	1	0.034	0.034	0.060	0.813
4-Way Interactions	5	0.861	0.172	0.290	0.915
Time_step*Objectives*Candidates*Optimization	1	0.437	0.437	0.730	0.398
Time_step*Objectives*Candidates*Roots	1	0.028	0.028	0.050	0.831
Time_step*Objectives*Optimization*Roots	1	0.267	0.267	0.450	0.508
Time_step*Candidates*Optimization*Roots	1	0.073	0.073	0.120	0.728
Objectives*Candidates*Optimization*Roots	1	0.056	0.056	0.090	0.761
5-Way Interaction	1	0.003	0.003	0.010	0.944
Time_step*Objectives*Candidates*Optimization*Roots	1	0.003	0.003	0.010	0.944
Error	31	18.457	0.595		
Total	63	84.226			

Table S10. ANOVA table for Experiment 2 for the improvements in NSE_1 with respect to the default model. DF: degrees of freedom; Adj SS: adjusted sum of squares; Adj MS: adjusted mean sum of squares; Candidates: n ; Roots: w_{root} .

Source	DF	Adj SS	Adj MS	F-Value	P-Value
Model	32	39.898	1.247	13.330	0.000
Scenario	1	0.105	0.105	1.120	0.298
Linear	5	30.924	6.185	66.140	0.000
Time_step	1	23.271	23.271	248.860	0.000
Objectives	1	3.714	3.714	39.720	0.000
Candidates	1	0.208	0.208	2.220	0.146
Optimization	1	2.923	2.923	31.260	0.000
Roots	1	0.807	0.807	8.630	0.006
2-Way Interactions	10	6.188	0.619	6.620	0.000
Time_step*Objectives	1	0.836	0.836	8.930	0.005
Time_step*Candidates	1	0.128	0.128	1.360	0.252
Time_step*Optimization	1	2.229	2.229	23.840	0.000
Time_step*Roots	1	0.665	0.665	7.110	0.012
Objectives*Candidates	1	0.022	0.022	0.230	0.635
Objectives*Optimization	1	1.077	1.077	11.520	0.002
Objectives*Roots	1	0.651	0.651	6.960	0.013
Candidates*Optimization	1	0.079	0.079	0.850	0.364
Candidates*Roots	1	0.002	0.002	0.020	0.900
Optimization*Roots	1	0.500	0.500	5.350	0.028
3-Way Interactions	10	2.244	0.224	2.400	0.030
Time_step*Objectives*Candidates	1	0.050	0.050	0.530	0.470
Time_step*Objectives*Optimization	1	0.825	0.825	8.820	0.006
Time_step*Objectives*Roots	1	0.530	0.530	5.660	0.024
Time_step*Candidates*Optimization	1	0.046	0.046	0.500	0.487
Time_step*Candidates*Roots	1	0.001	0.001	0.010	0.942
Time_step*Optimization*Roots	1	0.566	0.566	6.050	0.020
Objectives*Candidates*Optimization	1	0.014	0.014	0.150	0.703
Objectives*Candidates*Roots	1	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.963
Objectives*Optimization*Roots	1	0.211	0.211	2.250	0.143
Candidates*Optimization*Roots	1	0.003	0.003	0.030	0.867
4-Way Interactions	5	0.412	0.082	0.880	0.506
Time_step*Objectives*Candidates*Optimization	1	0.030	0.030	0.320	0.576
Time_step*Objectives*Candidates*Roots	1	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.992
Time_step*Objectives*Optimization*Roots	1	0.290	0.290	3.100	0.088
Time_step*Candidates*Optimization*Roots	1	0.016	0.016	0.170	0.685
Objectives*Candidates*Optimization*Roots	1	0.076	0.076	0.810	0.374
5-Way Interaction	1	0.026	0.026	0.280	0.603
Time_step*Objectives*Candidates*Optimization*Roots	1	0.026	0.026	0.280	0.603
Error	31	2.899	0.094		
Total	63	42.797			

Table S11. ANOVA table for Experiment 2 for the improvements in MARE with respect to the default model. DF: degrees of freedom; Adj SS: adjusted sum of squares; Adj MS: adjusted mean sum of squares; Candidates: n ; Roots: w

Source	DF	Adj SS	Adj MS	F-Value	P-Value
Model	32	27.446	0.858	16.570	0.000
Scenario	1	2.191	2.191	42.320	0.000
Linear	5	18.024	3.605	69.630	0.000
Time_step	1	13.747	13.747	265.540	0.000
Objectives	1	1.943	1.943	37.530	0.000
Candidates	1	0.254	0.254	4.910	0.034
Optimization	1	1.567	1.567	30.270	0.000
Roots	1	0.513	0.513	9.910	0.004
2-Way Interactions	10	5.046	0.505	9.750	0.000
Time_step*Objectives	1	1.148	1.148	22.180	0.000
Time_step*Candidates	1	0.186	0.186	3.600	0.067
Time_step*Optimization	1	1.448	1.448	27.970	0.000
Time_step*Roots	1	0.502	0.502	9.700	0.004
Objectives*Candidates	1	0.108	0.108	2.090	0.159
Objectives*Optimization	1	1.001	1.001	19.320	0.000
Objectives*Roots	1	0.223	0.223	4.300	0.047
Candidates*Optimization	1	0.118	0.118	2.270	0.142
Candidates*Roots	1	0.005	0.005	0.100	0.756
Optimization*Roots	1	0.308	0.308	5.950	0.021
3-Way Interactions	10	1.880	0.188	3.630	0.003
Time_step*Objectives*Candidates	1	0.145	0.145	2.800	0.105
Time_step*Objectives*Optimization	1	0.910	0.910	17.580	0.000
Time_step*Objectives*Roots	1	0.201	0.201	3.880	0.058
Time_step*Candidates*Optimization	1	0.117	0.117	2.260	0.143
Time_step*Candidates*Roots	1	0.008	0.008	0.150	0.703
Time_step*Optimization*Roots	1	0.294	0.294	5.690	0.023
Objectives*Candidates*Optimization	1	0.112	0.112	2.170	0.151
Objectives*Candidates*Roots	1	0.016	0.016	0.310	0.580
Objectives*Optimization*Roots	1	0.064	0.064	1.240	0.274
Candidates*Optimization*Roots	1	0.012	0.012	0.230	0.638
4-Way Interactions	5	0.253	0.051	0.980	0.448
Time_step*Objectives*Candidates*Optimization	1	0.100	0.100	1.930	0.175
Time_step*Objectives*Candidates*Roots	1	0.009	0.009	0.170	0.686
Time_step*Objectives*Optimization*Roots	1	0.063	0.063	1.220	0.278
Time_step*Candidates*Optimization*Roots	1	0.016	0.016	0.300	0.586
Objectives*Candidates*Optimization*Roots	1	0.066	0.066	1.270	0.269
5-Way Interaction	1	0.053	0.053	1.020	0.321
Time_step*Objectives*Candidates*Optimization*Roots	1	0.053	0.053	1.020	0.321
Error	31	1.605	0.052		
Total	63	29.051			

Table S12. ANOVA table for Experiment 3 for the improvements in NSE_2 with respect to the default model. DF: degrees of freedom; Adj SS: adjusted sum of squares; Adj MS: adjusted mean sum of squares; Samples: p_{samp} .

Source	DF	Adj SS	Adj MS	F-Value	P-Value
Model	24	7.368	0.307	1.710	0.102
Scenario	1	3.551	3.551	19.770	0.000
Linear	7	2.313	0.330	1.840	0.128
Time_step	5	2.310	0.462	2.570	0.055
Samples	1	0.002	0.002	0.010	0.915
Greed	1	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.973
2-Way Interactions	11	1.483	0.135	0.750	0.682
Time_step*Samples	5	0.144	0.029	0.160	0.975
Time_step*Greed	5	1.321	0.264	1.470	0.238
Samples*Greed	1	0.019	0.019	0.110	0.748
3-Way Interactions	5	0.021	0.004	0.020	1.000
Time_step*Samples*Greed	5	0.021	0.004	0.020	1.000
Error	23	4.132	0.180		
Total	47	11.500			

5 Table S13. ANOVA table for Experiment 3 for the improvements in NSE_1 with respect to the default model. DF: degrees of freedom; Adj SS: adjusted sum of squares; Adj MS: adjusted mean sum of squares; Samples: p_{samp} .

Source	DF	Adj SS	Adj MS	F-Value	P-Value
Model	24	2.158	0.090	2.290	0.026
Scenario	1	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.971
Linear	7	1.644	0.235	5.980	0.000
Time_step	5	1.625	0.325	8.270	0.000
Samples	1	0.017	0.017	0.440	0.514
Greed	1	0.002	0.002	0.040	0.843
2-Way Interactions	11	0.501	0.046	1.160	0.366
Time_step*Samples	5	0.156	0.031	0.790	0.564
Time_step*Greed	5	0.344	0.069	1.750	0.163
Samples*Greed	1	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.999
3-Way Interactions	5	0.013	0.003	0.070	0.997
Time_step*Samples*Greed	5	0.013	0.003	0.070	0.997
Error	23	0.904	0.039		
Total	47	3.062			

Table S14. ANOVA table for Experiment 3 for the improvements in MARE with respect to the default model. DF: degrees of freedom; Adj SS: adjusted sum of squares; Adj MS: adjusted mean sum of squares; Samples: p

Source	DF	Adj SS	Adj MS	F-Value	P-Value
Model	24	1.438	0.060	6.780	0.000
Scenario	1	0.643	0.643	72.820	0.000
Linear	7	0.630	0.090	10.190	0.000
Time_step	5	0.605	0.121	13.700	0.000
Samples	1	0.021	0.021	2.320	0.141
Greed	1	0.005	0.005	0.530	0.473
2-Way Interactions	11	0.152	0.014	1.570	0.175
Time_step*Samples	5	0.115	0.023	2.610	0.052
Time_step*Greed	5	0.033	0.007	0.760	0.590
Samples*Greed	1	0.004	0.004	0.430	0.519
3-Way Interactions	5	0.012	0.002	0.270	0.925
Time_step*Samples*Greed	5	0.012	0.002	0.270	0.925
Error	23	0.203	0.009		
Total	47	1.642			