

Location	Correlation coefficient $R^2$				Nash–Sutcliffe efficiency $E$			
	WL 2011	WL 2013	$Q$ 2011	$Q$ 2013	WL 2011	WL 2013	$Q$ 2011	$Q$ 2013
Tan Chau	0.97	0.96	0.97	0.95	0.94	0.90	0.88	0.94
Chau Doc	0.95	0.90	0.95	0.92	0.92	0.79	0.92	0.90
Vam Nao	0.98	0.94	0.96	0.94	0.91	0.93	0.90	0.92
Long Xuyen	0.96	0.93	–	–	0.92	0.92	–	–
Can Tho	0.97	0.98	0.95	0.96	0.97	0.97	0.92	0.90
Cao Lanh	0.97	0.94	–	–	0.93	0.94	–	–
My Thuan	0.97	0.94	0.89	0.91	0.94	0.83	0.80	0.86
Xuan To	0.91	0.90	–	–	0.85	0.87	–	–
Tri Ton	0.95	0.93	–	–	0.91	0.85	–	–
Tan Hiep	0.97	0.93	–	–	0.96	0.90	–	–
Phung Hiep	0.94	0.94	–	–	0.85	0.88	–	–

(–) Missing data due to unavailability of observed discharge data from station.