

Water-food-energy nexus with changing agricultural scenarios in India during recent decades

Beas Barik¹, Subimal Ghosh^{1,2}, A. Saheer Sahana¹, Amey Pathak¹, and Muddu Sekhar³

 ¹Department of Civil Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Mumbai – 400 076, India
 ²Interdisciplinary Program in Climate Studies, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Mumbai – 400 076, India
 ³Department of Civil Engineering, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore – 560 012, India

Correspondence to: Subimal Ghosh (subimal@civil.iitb.ac.in)

Received: 6 December 2016 – Discussion started: 6 December 2016 Revised: 4 April 2017 – Accepted: 18 May 2017 – Published: 26 June 2017

Abstract. Meeting the growing water and food demands in a densely populated country like India is a major challenge. It requires an extensive investigation into the changing patterns of the checks and balances behind the maintenance of food security at the expense of depleting groundwater, along with high energy consumption. Here we present a comprehensive set of analyses which assess the present status of the waterfood-energy nexus in India, along with its changing pattern, in the last few decades. We find that with the growth of population and consequent increase in the food demands, the food production has also increased, and this has been made possible with the intensification of irrigation. However, during the recent decade (after 1996), the increase in food production has not been sufficient to meet its growing demands, precipitating a decline in the per-capita food availability. We also find a statistically significant declining trend of groundwater storage in India during the last decade, as derived from the Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment (GRACE) satellite datasets. Regional studies reveal contrasting trends between northern and western-central India. North-western India and the middle Ganga basin show a decrease in the groundwater storage as opposed to an increasing storage over western-central India. Comparison with well data reveals that the highest consistency of GRACE-derived storage data with available well measurements is in the middle Ganga basin. After analysing the data for the last 2 decades, we further showcase that, after a drought, the groundwater storage drops but is unable to recover to its original condition even after good monsoon years. The groundwater storage reveals a very strong negative correlation with the electricity consumption for agricultural usage, which may also be considered as a proxy for groundwater pumped for irrigation in a region. The electricity usage for agricultural purposes has an increasing trend and, interestingly, it does not have any correlation with the monsoon rainfall as computed with the original or de-trended variables. This reveals an important finding that the irrigation has been intensified irrespective of rainfall. This also resulted in a decreasing correlation between the food production and monsoon rainfall, revealing the increasing dependency of agricultural activities on irrigation. We conclude that irrigation has now become essential for agriculture to meet the food demand; however, it should be judiciously regulated and controlled, based on the water availability from monsoon rainfall, specifically after the drought years, as it is essential to recover from the deficits suffered previously.

1 Introduction

The global population continues to rise steeply, bringing forth an accordant increase of significant proportions in the demand for water–food–energy (Leck et al., 2015; Rasul and Sharma, 2015). However, natural causes along with anthropogenic factors have accelerated the rate of climate change. Consequent repercussions include the melting of glaciers and sea level rise, and an increasing frequency and intensity of devastation by meteorologically related phenomena like droughts, flood, heat waves, cyclones and overall changes in weather patterns (Easterling et al., 2000; IPCC, 2012; Singh et al., 2014). The impacts of such events are long lasting, especially in the developing countries. Here, not only the time taken to recover from the losses in livelihoods incurred is long due to the greater social and economic vulnerability, but the initial and continual loss of life is also huge during and in the aftermath of the event due to scarce access to water and food. The United Nations has defined food security and water security as follows: "food security is the condition in which all people, at all times, have physical, social and economic access to sufficient safe and nutritious food that meets their dietary needs and food preferences for an active and healthy life" and "water security is defined as the capacity of a population to safeguard sustainable access to adequate quantities of acceptable quality water for sustaining livelihoods, human well-being, and socio-economic development, for ensuring protection against water-borne pollution and water-related disasters, and for preserving ecosystems in a climate of peace and political stability" respectively. Similarly, the International Energy Agency (IEA) defines energy security as "the uninterrupted availability of energy sources at an affordable price". Hence, the nexus of water-food-energy has always been very closely interlinked with the sustenance and development of societies. Thus, maintaining the security of all the components of this tri-faceted outlook is of immense importance both from an economic and social point of view (Devineni and Perveen, 2012; Wyrwoll, 2012; WWAP, 2015).

Water is required for agricultural produce, energy is required to pump the water from various sources, and again water is used for hydropower to generate electricity (energy). Hence, a vicious circle of water–food–energy is created where one imbalance leads to the deterioration of all the components. However, the global community has addressed each of the components separately, but rarely have they addressed the problems that the three face together because of their close association (FAO, 2014; Endo et al., 2015; Rasul and Sharma, 2015).

India is the second most populous country in the world, with an estimated total population of 1.2 billion (Census, 2011). Although, for India, the economic dependence in terms of contribution to the gross domestic product (GDP) has reduced largely from the agricultural sector, with increasing emphasis on the industrial and service sectors (Eichengreen and Gupta, 2011; CSO, 2014; Patel et al., 2015), to maintain the food security, agriculture still has a major role to play. Though urbanisation is rampant throughout India, the percentage of the rural population (68.84%) is still far greater than the urban population (31.16%) (Census, 2011). This stark difference brings up the underlying truth of agricultural dependency for food grains. The dwindling availability of freshwater poses a threat to food security in terms of reduced agricultural productivity and increased energy consumption for groundwater abstraction (Scott and Sharma, 2009), resulting in multiple complex challenges in terms of water security, food security and energy security along with the changing climate (Mukherji, 2007; Bazilian et al., 2011).

India has always been cited as a monsoon country where the months of June-July-August-September (JJAS) replenish the surface and groundwater stock (CGWB, 2014). These months also coincide with the highest agricultural activities of tilling, sowing and harvesting; 55 % of the net sown area of the country is rain-fed, making a large number of the farmers dependent upon the monsoons for a good yield (NRAA, 2012). Thus, a good monsoon year is synonymous with high food grain production. However, recent studies have shown that there has been a weakening trend of the Indian summer monsoon (ISM) attributed to the warming of the western Indian Ocean (Roxy et al., 2015), high emission of aerosol in northern India (Bollasina et al., 2011) and large-scale land use land cover change (Paul et al., 2016), but with an increase in the extreme rainfall events (Sinha et al., 2006; Goswami et al., 2006; Rajeevan et al., 2008; Paul et al., 2016). Another study suggests that the number of droughts and their severity will keep on increasing (Singh et al., 2014; Mallya et al., 2016; Salvi et al., 2016) in future. Hence, the belief that good monsoon years in future can improve the water crisis in the severely drought hit regions is now a myth. Various other studies using the GRACE (Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment) satellite datasets have also shown a decline in the snowfall in the Himalayan region, with decreasing snowmelt from the resident glaciers. During summer, these snowpacks contribute as the freshwater sources for the major rivers, but presently this proportion has declined, leading to a negative storage trend of the Total Water Storage (TWS) (Moiwo et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2015). Such climatic variability is not favourable for a good agricultural yield, neither in the immediately adjacent river basins nor in further downstream areas, which are very critically dependent upon this upstream supply for water and also for hydro-electricity generation (Rasul, 2014).

Along with climate change, human intervention has worsened India's water crisis further. The Green Revolution has been blamed for falling groundwater levels due to overexploitation of groundwater storage through uncontrolled pumping and energy made available at a subsidised rate (Mukherji and Shah, 2005; Badiani et al., 2012; Fishman et al., 2015; Zaveri et al., 2016). The Central Ground Water Board (CGWB) of India, which is responsible for monitoring the well depths, provides observations four times in a year, which made it impossible to have a continuous set of observations to analyse the fluctuations. However, with the launch of the GRACE satellite in 2002, a very important hydrological dataset, TWS, became available, and the findings derived from it are of utmost importance. The first study done for north-western India from August 2002 to October 2008 showed that the groundwater storage had declined without the effect of any stressor (normal rainfall conditions had prevailed in the interim), and this decline was thus attributed to the unmonitored abstraction of groundwater for



Figure 1. Water-food-energy nexus in India in a changing climate.

irrigation and domestic purposes (Rodell et al., 2009). Another study in approximately the same area and of an overlapping time period also showed a decline, but the depletion rate was more pronounced during the first 5 years of study (2003 to 2007). Although during the normal and excess rainfall years the groundwater storage did show an improvement, overall, the trend remained negative (Chen et al., 2014). Similar analysis has been carried out for the Indo-Gangetic belt, a region with one of the highest agricultural and irrigation activities. Tiwari et al. (2009) have reported that in this region as well, groundwater depletion was prevalent. The main cause of such depletion is the overuse or exploitative abstraction of groundwater. Other studies have also been carried out for the Indo-Gangetic Plain, with a few encompassing the Indus and the Brahmaputra-Meghna basin as well. The outcome of all these studies, and their amalgamation, shows a decline in the groundwater storage levels, especially till the year 2009, and thereafter, an improvement or stability in the storage (Dasgupta et al., 2014; Prakash et al., 2014; Khandu et al., 2016; Yi et al., 2016).

Such revelations are shocking because no longer can a drought be attributed simply to meteorological failure, but such creeping hazards are now also dependent on how the water is used, especially when it comes to the usage of groundwater. However, no such studies have been conducted where the major factors have been looked into that cause such a water crisis (i.e. depleting groundwater) based upon the available observed data. The literature suggests anthropogenic activities to be the major factor in depletion of groundwater (Rodell et al., 2009; Tiwari et al., 2009; Dasgupta et al., 2014; Prakash et al., 2014; Khandu et al., 2016; Yi et al., 2016), but a detailed tri-faceted analysis is still missing for India. Figure 1 shows the current scenario of the water-food-energy nexus along with climate change. A weakening trend of monsoon (Roxy et al., 2015) has reduced the amount of available water in India. Along with it a rise in temperature results in an increase in evapotranspiration with increased agricultural water demand. This water demand further increases due to the agricultural intensification that is required to meet the growing food demand with population growth. Hence, with weakening monsoon and agricultural intensification, irrigation in terms of groundwater pumping becomes the major source of agricultural water demand. Often such use of irrigation becomes indiscriminate without monitoring of soil moisture. Although pumping of groundwater has multiple uses (domestic and industrial), the major use (91%) is in terms of irrigation (CGWB, 2014). Thus, increased groundwater pumping results in depletion of groundwater and, hence, more energy is required for irrigation in the subsequent years. Increased cost of irrigation affects the crop prices and that in turn makes food unaffordable to a fraction of the population. This has resulted in the formation of a worsening scenario where, to maintain the food security, groundwater and energy are overexploited.

Here we have tried to address the factors causing the accelerated groundwater depletion and its implication for food and energy security. Thus, the objective of this study for the whole of India is not only to evaluate the present status of groundwater storage changes, but also to relate this to the varying amount of precipitation during the normal, excess and deficient monsoon (Supplement Fig. S1) years. We have also examined how this relationship tends to affect the amount of groundwater usage, energy consumption and food productivity inter-annually. Further, sub-regions within India have been studied based upon their importance and contribution to the overall agricultural productivity. These subregional studies provide an incentive for developing better water management and usage practices, which has become the need of the hour for sustainable development.

2 Study area

The Indian sub-continent lies roughly between $6-37^{\circ}$ N latitude and $68-98^{\circ}$ E longitude, covering an area of 3.287×10^{6} km². It has roughly four major seasons, premonsoon (March to May), monsoon (June–September), post-monsoon (October–December) and winter (January–February). Geo-physiographic features for the country vary from one part to another, as does the weather. The extreme western part of the country (comprising mainly of the state of Rajasthan with rainfall ranging from 15 to 100 cm) has an arid climate, whereas the eastern-most part (the Purvan-chal Hills area with rainfall greater than 200 cm) is one of the wettest regions (Ministry of Statistics, 2016) in the world. Such large variations result in different agricultural practices, crop planting strategies and schemes, and accordingly the water usage is determined and modulated.

Indian agricultural production is divided into food crops and non-food crops. The essential food crops comprise cereals (rice, wheat, bajra, maize, millets) and pulses (tur/arhar, gram). These are considered the staple food for nearly the entire country. The non-food crops refer to oil seeds, cotton, and tobacco to name a few. These are important from the perspective of the economy to generate revenue. Hence, it is important to maintain a balance between the two, with priority given to food crops. Table S1 in the Supplement shows a decadal variation of the area under cultivation, production, and percentage area under irrigation for food grains and cash crops. Also, Fig. S2 brings out the disparity in production and percentage area of irrigation for food grains and cash crops (specifically, sugarcane) very clearly. However, the area under cultivation is largest for food grains, despite sugarcane having higher productivity. Farmers in many water-deficient regions, due to lack of awareness, still cultivate water-intensive crops, thus aggravating the situation further (Siegfried et al., 2010).

Northern India is endowed with many perennial rivers, namely, the Ganga, the Indus, the Brahmaputra and their tributaries, which serve as the lifelines for the flourishing agriculture in this region. Central and peninsular India also have large rivers, like the Mahanadi, the Godavari and the Kaveri. Due to climate change the amount of monsoon rainfall received has reduced (Rajeevan et al., 2008; Paul et al., 2016; Ghosh et al., 2016); hence, the various river-interlinking projects that have been thought of by the Government of India (GoI) would see a rise in water conflicts across different states (Bandyopadhyay and Perveen, 2008), which makes the optimum use of water resources both pertinent and of paramount importance.

Table 1 shows the major food grain producing states and their percentage share of all Indian production (Agricultural statistics at a glance, 2015). These states are among the major contributors to the total food grain production for the entire country from the northern and central parts of India. It is important to note that these states face a crippling water crisis (CGWB, 2015), either due to a bad monsoon or due to the overuse and misuse of water. They are also among the most densely populated states of India (Census, 2011). Hence, the problems not only pertain to climate variability, but also to the population (FAO, 2014). Thus, the following sub-regions have been selected based upon their contribution towards the total food grain production for India, population density (increasing pressure of food security) and the stage of groundwater development (a higher percentage of development implies that the consumption has exceeded recharge).

The three sub-regions studied here are north-western India (NWI) (the states of Rajasthan, Punjab, Haryana and Delhi) covering an area of 437 739.14 km², the middle Ganga basin (MGB) (the states of Uttar Pradesh and Bihar) covering an area of 339 488.09 km² and western–central India (WCI) (the states of Maharashtra and Goa) encompassing an area of 311 249.34 km².

NWI, especially the state of Punjab, was the face of the Green Revolution in India in the 1960s and 1970s (Rodell et al., 2009; Sharma, 2009). Support from the State and Central Government enabled farmers to incorporate high yielding variety (HYV) seeds into the agricultural process, and the additional benefit of using subsidised electricity to pump groundwater resulted in a marked increase in productivity. The immediate result was more than satisfactory, but the long-term effect has been hard-hitting (Singh, 2000; Hira, 2009; Siegfried et al., 2010). The soil has been drained of its nutrients as the HYV seeds are water-intensive, for which a well-developed irrigation facility is a must. Since the existent canal irrigation network could not provide this much-needed water supply, groundwater was exploited indiscriminately.

The MGB is one of the most fertile regions of the country, enriched annually by the alluvial deposits brought down by the various tributaries of the Ganges system from the Himalayas. It has a very dense network of irrigation. However, farmers are still dependent upon groundwater because the staple crop of this region is paddy (highly water-intensive). Every time the monsoon is delayed or fails, groundwater becomes the sole source of irrigation.

The WCI region, comprising primarily the state of Maharashtra, has been regularly hit by droughts, frequently claiming the lives of many farmers, especially in the Marathwada and Vidarbha regions (interior Maharashtra). Since the onset of 2009, which was declared a severe drought year for

B. Barik et al.: Water-food-energy nexus with changing agricultural scenarios in India

States	Production (in million tonnes) ^a	Percentage share of all Indian production ^a	Population (in millions) ^b	Density (persons km ⁻²) ^b	Stage of groundwater development (in %) ^c
Uttar Pradesh	50.05	18.90	199.81	829	72
Punjab	28.90	10.92	27.74	895	170
Rajasthan	18.30	6.91	68.54	200	135
Haryana	16.97	6.41	25.35	879	127
Maharashtra	13.92	5.26	112.37	365	50
Bihar	13.15	4.97	104.09	1106	43

Table 1. Food grain production and groundwater development statistics of the sub-regions.

Sources: ^a Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Department of Agriculture & Cooperation, 2013–14; ^b Census 2011; ^c Groundwater Year Book 2013–14, CGWB.

the whole of India, a continuous series of water crises have plagued this region every year. However, the drought here, of late, has been human-induced rather than a meteorological failure (Udmale et al., 2014). Despite failed monsoons, many parts of the state continued water-intensive agricultural practices and farmers were not made aware of its consequences. The production of sugarcane and the operative sugar factories in this region are among the biggest water consumers. Hence, the need for an extensive study is required not only for the country, but also for specific regions, which makes analysing the water–food–energy network's security crucial.

3 Data and methods

3.1 Data related to Indian agriculture and rainfall

The Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Department of Agriculture and Cooperation, GoI, has long-term datasets for India starting from 1950–51 to the present (Dacnet, 2014). Based upon the data availability of all other variables, the study period spans from 1950-51 to 2013-14. Here, we have shown the Indian agricultural scenario at a glance, as to how it has evolved over the last few decades. The important datasets considered for this study are the total food grain production (rabi and kharif) in million tonnes; percapita net availability of food (per annum) in kilograms per year; percentage of area under irrigation; different sources of irrigation; electricity consumption for agricultural purposes (proxy for groundwater withdrawal); and actual expenditure incurred by the agricultural department. All these datasets have been further analysed for a better understanding of agricultural practices and the dependency on irrigation. Here, for the present analysis, we use gridded rainfall data from the India Meteorological Department (IMD) (Pai et al., 2014) at a spatial resolution of $25 \text{ km} \times 25 \text{ km}$ for the time period of April 2002 to December 2013.

3.2 GRACE data for TWS

The GRACE satellite was launched in 2002 as a joint mission between the US (NASA) and German space agencies (DLR) to map the Earth's gravity field. The causal factors for time-variable gravity fields are oceanic and atmospheric circulation, and changes in water storage on and within land. To isolate the water storage changes, the former two factors are removed through modelling (Swenson and Wahr, 2002). In this study, monthly land mass anomalies of 1° spatial resolution, release 05 level-3 data, from the CSR (Centre for Space Research, University of Texas at Austin, US) from April 2002 to March 2014, have been used. The level-3 dataset has been used after extensive processing of spherical harmonics truncated at a degree and order 60. Also, a destriping filter to remove spatially correlated errors resulting in north-south data "stripes" and a 300 km Gaussian smoothing were applied (Swenson and Wahr, 2006). Sampling and processing results in attenuation of the signal and, to restore it, a scaling grid has been provided based on the Community Land Model v4.0, which needs to be multiplied with the unscaled GRACE data (Landerer and Swenson, 2012). This mass anomaly dataset has a time-mean baseline from January 2004 to December 2009. Hence, to make the other datasets comparable to the GRACE mass anomalies, this time-mean baseline needs to be subtracted. TWS is a summation of soil moisture (SM), groundwater (GW), surface water (SW), snow (S) and ice (I), as shown in the following equation:

$$TWS = SM + GW + SW + S + I.$$
 (1)

The climatology of TWS is subtracted from their respective monthly values; then, it is multiplied by the area of the study region to get the anomaly of total water storage in terms of volume, where the positive (negative) anomaly refers to excess (deficit) storage. A detailed description of the estimation of TWS volume can be found in Thomas et al. (2014). Table S2 gives an exhaustive list of scientific literatures using GRACE- and GLDAS-derived groundwater studies, specifically for the Indian sub-continent and its various sub-regions.

3.3 Output of the Global Land Data Assimilation System (GLDAS) and estimation of groundwater storage change

Studies on GRACE data have isolated each of the TWS components using either observed data or modelled data to have an estimation of groundwater storage as shown in Eq. (2):

$$GW = TWS - (SM + SW + S + I).$$
⁽²⁾

Here, the modelled auxiliary output from the Global Land Data Assimilation System (GLDAS) has been used to isolate each of the components from the TWS (Rodell et al., 2004), due to a dearth of observed data. The GLDAS has outputs from four models, Variable Infiltration Capacity (VIC), NOAH, MOSAIC and the Community Land Model (CLM). Monthly 1° data have been used from April 2002 to March 2014 and an average of all four model outputs has been considered in our analysis. The spread across the models has been considered as the estimate of uncertainty associated with this method. GLDAS outputs have been used for the estimation of groundwater from GRACE TWS over a decade in various parts of the world (Rodell and Famiglietti, 2001; Tapley et al., 2004; Andersen et al., 2005; Tiwari et al., 2009; Rodell et al., 2009; Taylor et al., 2013; Richey et al., 2015). Although surface water storage also plays a major role, due to the unavailability of data, it could not be incorporated (Rodell et al., 2007, 2009). This is one of the limitations of the present study. The S and I component is zero for the regions selected and hence is neglected. Finally, the following Eq. (3) has been used to calculate groundwater:

$$GW = TWS - SM.$$
(3)

The SM is obtained from the GLDAS models to compute the groundwater storage GW.

3.4 Validation of satellite-derived groundwater data

GRACE data have shown good agreement with in situ well depths for seasonal studies as well as a comparison with the TWS in studies conducted in Illinois (Swenson et al., 2006; Yeh et al., 2006), the Mississippi River Basin (Rodell et al., 2007), the High Plain Aquifer, central US (Strassberg et al., 2007, 2009), in the Hai River Basin, northern China (Moiwo et al., 2009; Feng et al., 2013), and in the deltaic region of Bangladesh (Shamsudduha et al., 2012). The number of studies on validation of GRACE data for Indian regions is limited. One of them is for the Gangetic Plain where the investigators have validated the Δ TWS with a summation Δ SM and observed Δ GW, and have found good agreement (Dasgupta et al., 2014). The other study has been conducted over the Gangetic Plain and a portion of north-western India (state of Punjab) wherein a total of 2800 and 250 wells for the Gangetic Plain and Punjab respectively were analysed (Panda and Wahr, 2016). The months of May and November show a high correlation of 0.92 and 0.84 respectively between the GRACE-derived groundwater and the observed groundwater depths.

The CGWB is the responsible body for monitoring well depths and groundwater quality across India. Its network of wells comprises exploratory wells, observation wells, piezometers and slim wells. Well depth readings for approximately 22964 groundwater observation wells are measured by the CGWB four times in a year (January, May, August and November), and these data are available from 1996 to 2014. However, the drawback of these observations is that they are not continuous, a problem further compounded by a number of missing observations for several of these wells for certain interim durations. The entire network too does not have the same historical lineage, having been set up at different times and phases. These well depth data were downloaded from a public domain by WRIS (2016). After filtering all the available well datasets for a continuous observation, a total of 743 wells for the MGB, 626 wells for NWI and 860 wells for WCI have been analysed for the validation of GRACE data in the present study.

4 Results and discussions

Section 4.1 presents results of the current scenario of agriculture in India, and the existent relations between groundwater, food and energy security. Section 4.2 presents the validation results for GRACE-derived groundwater and CGWB in situ well depth, followed by Sect. 4.3, where the food-waterenergy nexus for India has been described and each of the components has been dealt with separately. Finally, Sect. 4.4 presents the nexus for the three sub-regions separately.

4.1 Overview of the Indian groundwater, agriculture and energy scenario

The initial analysis of the various datasets that are available on official government websites reveals that the food production has increased considerably but not to an extent to support the ever-increasing population. Figure 2a shows that during 1950–51 total food production was about 50.8×10^6 tonnes, which has increased to approximately 252.22×10^6 tonnes in 2016 (based on the 4th advanced estimate by the Ministry of Agriculture and Farmer's Welfare, GoI), which seems to be on par with the rise in population. During the 1960s with the advent of the Green Revolution, the initial scare of widespread famine was wiped out and food security was maintained. However, the net per-capita availability of food shows a decline post 1996 as shown in Fig. 2b, which brings forth the clear picture of a decline in the per-capita food production but a steady increase in the rate of population growth. Food grain production over the years does not always show a steady rise, as it is dependent upon the climatic condition and inputs available (irrigation, fertilisers, good variety of seeds). The food production showed a clear drop coinciding with



Figure 2. Overview of the Indian agricultural scenario. (a) Total food production and yield. (b) Per-capita net availability of food grains (per annum). (c) Total Kharif and Rabi food grain production. (d) Correlation between area under irrigation and total food grain yield. (e) Percentage area under irrigation. (f) Different sources of irrigation. (g) Consumption of electricity for agricultural purposes and actual expenditure of the department of agriculture and co-operation. (h) Correlation between consumption of electricity for agricultural purposes and actual expenditure of the department of agriculture and co-operation.

each drought year; this drop was very pronounced for the year 2002 (major drought/deficit monsoon year). However, for the drought during 2009, the dip was not large.

During the drought year of 2002–03, the food production was reduced by nearly 38×10^6 tonnes as compared to the average production, but during the drought year of 2009– 10, the reduction was only by 16×10^6 tonnes. This comes as a surprise as to what caused the restrained fall of total food grain production, despite a severe drought year. Percentage area under irrigation has risen considerably, from 18% in 1950 to nearly 50% in 2011–12. This increase in percentage of irrigated land has a high correlation with the total food grain production (R = 0.99); the detrended correlation between the two is 0.46. This is probably the reason behind a smaller drop in food production during the severe drought year 2009–10, as compared to the previous drought year (2002–03). Figure 2f shows the different sources of irrigation and the contribution of groundwater stands out clearly. The CGWB (2014) report states that nearly 91% of the groundwater abstracted is used for irrigation alone. Sufficient groundwater for irrigation is made available to the farmers, along with energy at a low or no tariff, no matter to what depth the groundwater may fall (Mukherji and Shah, 2005; Badiani et al., 2012; Fishman et al., 2015; Zaveri et al., 2016). Such schemes were in place during the Green Revolution and there have been no major changes in them to



Figure 3. Validation of GRACE with well observation. (a) Sub-regions considered for study. (b) Trend of groundwater levels in the middle Ganga basin (MGB). (c) Correlation between observed groundwater levels and GRACE-derived groundwater storage for the MGB. (d) Trend of groundwater levels in peninsular India. (e) Correlation between observed groundwater levels and GRACE-derived groundwater for peninsular India. (f) Trend of groundwater levels in north-western India. (g) Correlation between observed groundwater levels and GRACE-derived groundwater levels and

date (Mukherji and Shah, 2005; Badiani et al., 2012; Fishman et al., 2015; Zaveri et al., 2016). The brunt of the availability of subsidised energy is borne by the Government of India (GoI) (Kumar, 2005; Rattan and Biswas, 2014). This causal relation is clearly observed through the positive correlation (R = 0.89) between the actual expenditure by the department of agriculture and co-operation and the electricity used for agricultural purposes (Fig. 2g and h). This implies that with the increase in electricity consumption (pumping of groundwater), the expenditure incurred for agriculture also increases. Hence, a grave situation has been created where food security is maintained at the expense of groundwater and energy security (Rosegrant and Cline, 2003).

Since groundwater is the major source of irrigation, a detailed study for it is required at a national and sub-regional level, which has been discussed in the next sections. Before performing the analysis, the GRACE-derived groundwater storage is validated against the observed well data.

4.2 Validation of GRACE-derived groundwater data

CGWB well depth data have been compared with GRACEderived groundwater to validate them. Figure 3a shows the three sub-regions considered for our region-specific study. Figure 3b, d and f show the groundwater depths measured in metres below ground level (m b.g.l.), where zero refers to the groundwater at the surface (opening of the observation well) denoting no change in the water table. A time series of the 4 months has been plotted from January 1996 to January 2014. Figure 3c, e and g show the correlation between GRACE-derived groundwater and the groundwater depths measured by the CGWB. The four different coloured dots in the correlation plots denote the 4 months of January, May (pre-monsoon), August (monsoon) and November during which data are collected by the CGWB.

Figure 3b shows a negative trend (not significant) of the groundwater depth for MGB. In the monsoon month of August, a correlation of -0.17 is observed between the CGWBmeasured groundwater depths and GRACE-derived groundwater; similarly, correlations for the months of May, November and January have been calculated, which are 0.36, -0.15and 0.37 respectively. Overall a moderate positive correlation (R = 0.37) exists between the two (Fig. 3c); hence, the GRACE-derived groundwater can be used for further analysis. Figure 3d is the groundwater depth for WCI and shows an increasing trend (statistically not significant), similar to the GRACE-derived groundwater trend (in Sect. 4.4.3), and they also have a positive correlation (R = 0.46) as seen in Fig. 3e. The individual correlations for the months of January, August, May and November are 0.35, 0.16, 0.33 and 0.13 respectively. The low correlation might be due to the lack of continuous observation from the CGWB for all the months across the years.

Figure 3f shows the groundwater depth for NWI, and it does not show any trend, nor does it have a correlation with the GRACE-derived groundwater (R = 0.05) in Fig. 3e. This non-correlation may be attributed to the individual poor correlations of the months of January (R = -0.55), May (R = 0.3), August (R = -0.13) and November (R = -0.06), especially for the month of January, which has the highest negative correlation. The months of August and November are also negatively correlated. Only the well data for the



Figure 4. Groundwater scenario of India. (a) GRACE-derived total water storage anomaly. (b) Correlation between AIMR and TWSA. (c) Declining GRACE-GLDAS-derived groundwater storage anomaly. (d) Correlation between AIMR and the GRACE-derived groundwater storage anomaly. (e) AIMR, GRACE-derived groundwater anomaly and GLDAS-derived soil moisture. (f) Correlation between AIMR and GLDAS-derived soil moisture.

month of May seem to have moderately good agreement with GRACE.

We observe that for MGB and WCI, for the months of January and May, the well data and GRACE data have a moderate positive correlation; however, when it comes to the months of August and November, they are negatively correlated. This is probably because during monsoon and postmonsoon, surface water storage has a significant value, and we neglect the same in deriving GW from Eq. (3). In addition to this, the well data available from the CGWB are not continuous and the sample size is also low. Under such a situation, with spatially and temporally discontinuous ground observations, a high correlation may not be expected. However, except for one region (NWI), we have a statistically significant correlation between GRACE-derived storage and well depth data (p-value < 0.05), and this justifies the use of GRACE for the present study to estimate groundwater storage. Use of satellite-derived surface water storage in derivation of GW from GRACE may be considered as a potential area of future research.

4.3 Status of the water-food-energy nexus in India

In this section the three components of the nexus have been dealt with separately, and finally the association between the three is established.

4.3.1 GRACE-derived groundwater and TWS

GRACE and GLDAS data have been used to compute the variation in TWS (Fig. 4a) and changes in groundwater (Fig. 4c).

Figure 4a depicts the variation in TWS with the solid blue line, the dashed line shows the negative trend of the TWS and the shaded green portion shows the deficit (negative) and/or excess (positive) in TWS volume. In the year 2002 it shows a slight deficit of -16.7 km^3 in August and -53.7 km^3 in September; however, from 2003 onwards to 2008 there has been no more deficit in TWS. This is because, after a failed monsoon in 2002, the consecutive years of 2003 and 2004 had very good rainfall, which replenishes the TWS. However, with the onset of the 2009 drought (severe), the excess TWS is depleted and shows a large deficit in 2009 and 2010. The maximum deficit was in the 4 months of monsoon, for two reasons. Firstly, inadequate rainfall could not replenish the TWS and, secondly, due to a lack of surface water, groundwater must have been utilised for all anthropogenic activities, resulting in a further deficit. Although the deficit reduced largely in 2011, but again during 2012, India did not receive sufficient rainfall, because of which the deficit further continues. It should be noted that the agricultural productivity was not affected severely during 2009 due to the use of groundwater storage, and that resulted in a significant decline of it as observed in Fig. 4a and c. Hence, here we see a clear example of a meteorological drought resulting in hydrological and socio-economic drought. Hence, the quantification of the TWS could be considered as an indicator for hydrological drought monitoring (Houborg et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2014). TWS is observed to have a significant (*p*-value: 0.02) decreasing trend.

Changes in groundwater storage (derived from GRACE) have been computed, and it shows a negative trend. Figure 4c shows the GRACE-GLDAS-derived groundwater storage for India. The red line represents the multi-model average, which has a significant negative trend (*p*-value: 2.02×10^{-13}). VIC and NOAH have the least deviation from the mean, whereas CLM and MOSAIC have a larger deviation resulting in an increased uncertainty. Here, we find that, similarly to TWS, the fall in groundwater storage in 2009 has not been able to recover as the severe drought behaves like a shock to a balanced system. To get the system back to equilibrium the groundwater needs to reach its previous normal state. TWS and the groundwater storage have moderate correlation with the all India monsoon rainfall (AIMR), with the values 0.37 and 0.23 respectively (Fig. 4b and d). Since groundwater is considered to be the major component of TWS, fluctuations in groundwater are replicated in TWS as well. The falling levels of TWS and groundwater take a long time to be replenished by rainfall through recharge.

In Fig. 4e and f, GRACE-derived groundwater, the average soil moisture from GLDAS modelled output and AIMR have been plotted (all three time series have been normalised and made comparable to GRACE as mentioned in Sect. 3.2). A very important observation of the over-exploitation of groundwater has been brought out here. Since the year 2002 was a drought year, the soil moisture is depleted significantly by the time monsoon arrives in 2003. The arrival of a good monsoon recharges both the groundwater and soil moisture. Till the end of 2007, anomalies of groundwater and soil moisture overlap each other, but a difference between the two begins from 2008 onwards and it further gets amplified in 2009 and continues for the later years. The year 2008 clearly shows a fall in GRACE-derived groundwater, but the soil moisture is not depleted to that extent because it receives continual supply of irrigated water; a similar trend followed till 2014. The non-depletion of soil moisture during 2008-09 is due to excessive groundwater pumping and application of irrigation. Also, the correlation between AIMR and GLDAS soil moisture is observed to be 0.49, which is a moderately good correlation, and it can be stated that rainfall also contributes to recharging of the soil moisture considerably. Hence, our belief is that soil moisture is adequate to support crop-water requirements with an optimized application of irrigation (if needed).

Hence, our finding confirms that the misuse and overuse of groundwater are prevalent since no proper policy or regulation is in place which will be able to monitor the withdrawal of groundwater and effectively use the available soil moisture (Siegfried et al., 2010; Badiani et al., 2012; Fishman et al., 2015). Hence, the current scenario for India as far as groundwater is concerned is not a satisfactory one as its rapid decline brings about the question of food, water and energy security.

4.3.2 Groundwater and energy consumption

Electricity consumption for agricultural purposes has been used as a proxy for energy usage in groundwater withdrawal, since a major portion of energy is consumed in pumping of groundwater (Shah et al., 2004; Planning Commission Annual Report, 2014). The annual GRACE-derived groundwater anomaly is analysed with the electricity consumption data for a common period of 2002-2003 to 2011-2012 (following the data availability of power consumption based upon the financial year for India starting from April of a year and ending in March of the consecutive year). As mentioned in the previous section, from 2008 onwards the spell of monsoon was weak, followed by 2009, which was a severe drought year. In Fig. 5a, we observe a negative anomaly of groundwater storage from 2008-09 to 2011-12. The consumption of electricity has increased during the same period. GRACEderived groundwater storage change has a negative correlation, Fig. 5b (R = -0.86), with the consumption of electricity. This signifies that the falling depth of groundwater results in a greater amount of energy consumption to pump it for irrigation.

A similar analysis has been conducted for the progressive relationship between the observed groundwater table depth and electricity consumption for pumping, i.e. there exists a correlation between the annual change in groundwater level (year 2–year 1) and the electricity used for pumping in year 2. We plot the same for the three regions, MGB (Fig. S3a), NWI (Fig. S3b) and WCI (Fig. S3c). The duration of the analysis is from 1999 to 2011.

We find a statistically significant positive correlation between groundwater level drop and electricity consumption for the MGB. However, statistically significant correlation does not exist for NWI and WCI. For WCI, this is expected and it is consistent with an overall increase in groundwater level that can possibly be attributed to judicious use of groundwater. However, a careful investigation for NWI reveals that the correlation value is dominated by two outliers (marked in red in Fig. S3b) of changes in groundwater ta-



Figure 5. Depleting groundwater storage anomaly and increased energy consumption. (a) Increased electricity consumption for agricultural purposes and depletion of groundwater storage. (b) Scatter plot between electricity consumption for agricultural purposes and GRACE-derived groundwater storage anomaly. (c) Time series of consumption of electricity for agricultural purposes and AIMR. (d) Scatter plot between AIMR and electricity consumption.

ble depth. After removing the outliers, we obtain a very high statistically significant correlation as presented in Fig. S4.

To test the hypothesis that normal or excess monsoon years should have a lesser energy consumption (due to lesser groundwater pumping), we present a scatter plot (Fig. 5d) between AIMR and electricity consumption for agricultural purposes. Energy in agriculture is greatly consumed either by pumping of groundwater for irrigation (electricity) (Scott and Shah, 2004; Birner et al., 2007; Kumar et al., 2013) or mechanisation (diesel) of agricultural farm tools (tractors) (Jha et al., 2012). Nearly 83 % of the available water resources is used for agricultural activity, wherein 91 % of the groundwater abstracted is used for irrigation purposes (CGWB, 2014; Suhag, 2016). The agricultural electricity tariffs in India have been kept low, keeping in mind the poor economic status of farmers to facilitate groundwater pumping (Badiani et al., 2012). Due to low tariffs, farmers have considered groundwater to be a continuous affordable source of freshwater, leading to an uncontrolled use of the same even if there is a good amount of monsoon rainfall in a specific year. The irrigation is no longer agricultural demand driven but rather dependent on the availability of electricity at a lower tariff. The scatter plot between AIMR and electricity consumption for agricultural purposes represents the same with no statistically significant correlation between them. In addition to this, the irrigation practice in India is mostly flood irrigation that has very poor irrigation efficiency. This has significant implications in terms of not only agricultural water management policy, but also for hydrological simulations and modelling studies. Traditionally, state-of-the-art land surface models do not consider irrigation, and even if they consider irrigation,

the water use is demand driven. The situation of agricultural water use in India is far from the model assumptions and hence model-driven studies often underestimate the agricultural water use and groundwater abstraction. Model-derived groundwater abstraction shows high dependability on monsoon rainfall (Asoka et al., 2017); however, the same needs to be tested further with ground truth. Implications of uncontrolled flood irrigation in India have been reported by Devineni et al. (2013) and Fishman et al. (2015), and this needs to be further explored to understand and realistically simulate the water cycle of the Indian subcontinent.

4.3.3 Groundwater-food-energy nexus

A similar analysis has been conducted for food production and groundwater storage change. As the food production has increased, there has been a decline in the storage of groundwater (Fig. 6a), and they have a high negative correlation (R = -0.73) as seen in the scatter plot (Fig. 6b). On the other hand, a positive correlation (R = 0.96) exists between the food production and electricity consumption (Fig. 6d). This implies that there is a high dependency of food production on groundwater irrigation.

Energy usage in agriculture has two major usages: pumping of groundwater for irrigation (electricity) (Scott and Shah, 2004; Birner et al., 2007; Kumar et al., 2013) and mechanisation (diesel) due to the use of tractors (Jha et al., 2012). Several studies have stated that the electricity for agricultural purposes is mainly used for irrigation because the farm mechanisation is dependent upon diesel (Scott and Shah, 2004; Birner et al., 2007;



Figure 6. Food security maintained at the cost of decreased groundwater storage and increased electricity consumption. (a) Declining GRACE-derived groundwater storage anomaly and increased total food production. (b) Scatter plot between GRACE-derived groundwater storage anomaly and total food grain production. (c) Increased food grain production and electricity consumption for agricultural purposes. (d) Scatter plot between total food grain production and consumption of electricity for agricultural purposes. (e) Trend of AIMR and food grain production. (f) Trend of the correlation between AIMR and total food grain production.

Kumar et al., 2013; Jha et al., 2012). Hence, an assumption that has been considered for this analysis is that the data for "consumption of electricity for agricultural purposes" represent the electricity used for pumping groundwater.

In Fig. 6c we observe that in year 1957-58 the electricity consumption was 544.64 GWh along with a food production of 64.31×10^6 tonnes. Twenty years later the electricity consumption increased by nearly 20 times (10107.36 GWh) but the food production increased only by 2 times $(126.41 \times 10^6 \text{ tonnes})$. In year 1997–98 electricity consumption was 97 195 GWh, but still the food production lagged $(203 \times 10^6 \text{ tonnes})$. In the recent year of 2011–12 food production increased to 259.32×10^6 tonnes and electricity consumption was 140 960 GWh. Hence, over the past 54 years (1957–58 to 2011–12) electricity consumption has increased by more than 250-fold, whereas the food production has increased only by 4-fold. Thus, when actual observed values are considered, we see that electricity consumption has increased in leaps and bounds but food production has failed to do so, which brings concern regarding food security. Thus, there exists a clear disbalance between

the three facets of the nexus. This indicates that the food security has been maintained at the cost of water and energy security.

Figure 6e shows an unexpected relation between AIMR and food production. Since the year 1986–87 total food production has not been largely dependent upon the AIMR. In spite of a decline or increase in the monsoon (Fig. S1) the total food production has remained more or less unaffected. In Fig. 6f a time series of correlation between food production and AIMR, for a 30-year moving window, has been plotted, and it shows a statistically significant (*p*-value: 8.12×10^{-12}) negative trend. This was the least expected change that has taken place over the last 2 decades. A detrended correlation of the two variables also shows a similar significant (*p*-value: 0.001) negative trend.

In this section, we have tried to bring out a complete picture of the nexus, where the groundwater has declined and the electricity consumption has increased; this is further supported by the high correlation between total food production and energy consumption again. However, the major issue which needs to be addressed soon is the apparent declining dependency of food production on AIMR, because of which the usage of groundwater has increased even during normal or excess monsoon years. The majority of the studies and reports have mentioned that the agricultural production and hence India's economy is dependent on monsoon (Krishna Kumar et al., 2004; Gadgil and Gadgil, 2006; Gornall et al., 2010) as large number of areas are rain-fed, but this no longer holds true and needs a more detailed local investigation.

4.4 Status of the water-food-energy nexus in sub-regions

Apart from an analysis at a national level, regional-level studies are also very important, because every region has a different climate regime depending upon which farmers should develop their agricultural practices and policy makers should develop water and energy management schemes.

4.4.1 Middle Ganga basin (MGB)

This region is one of the most densely populated areas of India, encompassing the states of Uttar Pradesh and Bihar. They have a very high population density of 828 persons per km² and 1102 persons per km² respectively, with a very high fraction of the population being farmers. Similar to the study for all of India (as described in Sect. 4.3), GRACE data have been used to analyse the TWS, changes in groundwater storage and their relation to rainfall in this study area. The TWS for the MGB follows a pattern very much similar to that for all of India. The deficit TWS sets in much earlier than the 2009 drought year. A small deficit takes place towards the end of 2007, but it is recovered during the monsoon of 2008. However, the recovery from the 2009 deficit could not take place, as the negative anomaly in water storage was very high. During the monsoon months, a mean deficit of -37.32 km^3 of TWS had set in, which further declined to -41.95 km^3 in 2010 (Fig. S5a). TWS has a contribution from rainfall, as seen from its positive correlation (R = 0.42) in Fig. S5b; however, it still shows a significant (*p*-value: 9.76×10^{-7}) declining trend. The groundwater storage has a lower correlation (R = 0.29) with rainfall (Fig. S5d). Figure S5c shows the GRACE-GLDAS-derived groundwater storage for the MGB. Similar to the analysis for India, here the derived groundwater also shows a statistically significant negative trend (*p*-value: 2.58×10^{-21}). Deviations for the simulations from MOSAIC and CLM are higher than those from VIC and NOAH. Both MOSAIC- and CLM-derived groundwaters show a higher deviation, specifically around the year 2008-09. This is probably due to the water-intensive agricultural practices prevalent even during the drought years. Here, we observe that in the absence of surface water, groundwater is extensively used to meet the irrigation demands, and thus it is not given an opportunity to recharge. Even during the good monsoon year of 2007, a

deficit was observed, and hence for a severe drought year, a worse scenario is foreseeable.

The change in groundwater is also drastic after 2009, and it has not been allowed to be replenished to its original state back in 2003. Although it shows a negative trend till our study period of March 2014, the only relief being that the groundwater is stable and does not show further decline, there has been a slight improvement.

In this region-specific study as well, apart from the deficit of 2007 as mentioned previously, the soil moisture seems to be well maintained, and the pattern is followed through 2009 till 2014 (Fig. S5e). The soil moisture has a good positive correlation with rainfall (R = 0.46), implying that rainfall can recharge the soil moisture to a certain extent. Additionally, due to continuous abstraction of groundwater for irrigation, soil moisture is able to recover quickly from the negative anomaly in 2009 (Fig. S5e). This results in a replenished soil moisture and depleted groundwater scenario.

As far as the energy consumption is concerned, it has increased with depleting groundwater (Fig. S6a and b) and has a high negative correlation (R = -0.81). As previously seen, the contribution of rainfall towards recharging of the groundwater is low due to severe anthropogenic activities, and this condition also holds true here where the electricity consumption for agricultural purposes keeps increasing without considering the real need based on monsoon rainfall (Fig. S6c and d). This increased consumption of electricity is synonymous with excessive groundwater irrigation even in surplus years, which results in loss of groundwater and energy.

The nexus for the MGB also depicts the food security being sustained at the cost of groundwater and subsidised energy provided for pumping it from falling depths. Figure S7 shows this same scenario of increased food productivity with increased electricity consumption, but with concomitant falling of groundwater levels. The concern here is not only with depleting groundwater, but also contamination of it by arsenic or salt water intrusion, as a recent study has pointed out (MacDonald et al., 2016; Fendorf and Benner, 2016).

4.4.2 North-western India (NWI)

This region has faced the tremendous impact of the Green Revolution, initially as a blessing, but later considered to be harmful. However, not much has been done regarding it; the policy of subsidised energy to pump groundwater was started here and it has since continued (Mukherji and Shah, 2005). Since this region is in an arid zone, its dependency on groundwater for irrigation is high (Suhag, 2016).

The TWS here shows a continuous fluctuation from a deficit to excess, but after 2009 it has been markedly in a deficit state and it follows a declining trend (*p*-value: 4.17×10^{-8}). Initially, the TWS was at its peak in April 2002 (29.15 km³) (Fig. S8a) till the beginning of 2004. However, due to an erratic rainfall pattern, the excess storage started de-

clining, with few deficits apparent in between 2007 and 2008. The TWS storage deficit reached its nadir of -29.1 km^3 in May 2010, after which it regained rapidly in September 2011 and had a deficit of $-8.27 \,\mathrm{km^3}$ (Fig. S8a). This improvement cannot solely be attributed to the groundwater, since soil moisture is also a contributor to the TWS. Figure S8c shows the GRACE-GLDAS-derived groundwater for NWI. Here as well the multi-model average line has a significant negative trend (*p*-value: 6.79×10^{-29}). The uncertainty is low compared to the other regions; 2011 was an excess rainfall year in this region owing to which the groundwater recovered considerably and the soil moisture was also replenished (Fig. S8c and e respectively). Similar findings to the other areas are observed, where the soil moisture is in excess but the groundwater is deficit. TWS and groundwater are not much influenced by the rainfall, but soil moisture has a positive correlation with it (R = 0.41) (Fig. S8b, d and f). Overall the GRACE-derived groundwater has a decreasing trend (pvalue: 6.78×10^{-29}).

Energy consumption and groundwater depletion are negatively correlated (R = -0.9), denoting a greater amount of subsidised energy consumption to pump groundwater from falling depths, making the irrigation sector the main cause of such a situation (Fig. S9a). However, the relationship between rainfall and electricity consumption shows a positive correlation (R = 0.45), denoting that in spite of there being a few good rainfall years, the dependency on groundwater does not decline. Groundwater is still pumped irrespective of a surplus monsoon year, hence the higher consumption of energy (Fig. S9c). This also points to the fact that replenishment of groundwater does not occur, be it a normal/excess/deficit year. Groundwater is pumped indiscriminately, resulting in a loss of it and energy. The results for the food security aspect are similar to that for the MGB. Here as well, with increased food production the groundwater is depleted (R = -0.83) and the consumption of electricity for agricultural purposes increases with increased food production (R = 0.79), as shown in Fig. S10.

The conclusion from the above analysis is that a proper water management policy needs to be put in place very soon which can impart knowledge to farmers as to the kind of crops (Morison et al., 2008; Fishman et al., 2015) which may be planted during drought years, or how the available soil moisture can be used, without overusing groundwater for irrigation. There is a need to develop a proper irrigation scheduling considering the weather forecasts (Shah et al., 2017) and extended range forecasts (Sahai et al., 2013) of precipitation.

4.4.3 Western-central India (WCI)

WCI is comprised almost wholly of the states of Maharashtra and Goa. For the last few years in this region, the farmer mortality has increased due to a meteorological drought that started way back in 2002. This region is also sensitive to changes in precipitation patterns inter-annually. The TWS had a deficit from 2002 to early 2006, while the rainfall improved in the years of 2005 and 2006, resulting in an excess of TWS till the middle of 2008. Again, from 2008 onwards, the rainfall was not sufficient and, along with it, the 2009 drought resulted in a decline. From 2010, it showed an improvement (Fig. S11a and e). In the time period of our study the maximum deficit of storage was -38.53 km^3 in August 2002, whereas the maximum excess storage was 36.49 km³ that was reached in October 2013 (Fig. S11a). In comparison to the other two regions, the WCI showed an increasing trend during the post 2009 drought for TWS (*p*-value: 2.11×10^{-7}). Figure S11c shows the GRACE-GLDAS-derived groundwater for WCI. The multimodel average also has an increasing positive trend (*p*-value: 2.1×10^{-7}). MOSAIC-derived groundwater has a higher deviation from the multi-model average throughout the entire study period.

However, such a finding is contrary to the scenario in Maharashtra, but we need to keep in mind that the minimum spatial resolution for GRACE is $150\,000\,\text{km}^2$, and any area less than this would give high errors. Hence, the study area is for the entirety of Maharashtra and Goa, and the findings give us an overview of the entire state.

The Ground Water Year Book published by the CGWB reports a comparison between the August 2012 groundwater level and the decadal mean (August 2002 to August 2011), which shows a rise in the groundwater level in Maharashtra. As far as the depletion trend of the groundwater goes, it is concentrated only in the few areas of Nashik, Ahmednagar, Jalgaon, Solapur, Amravati, Sangli and Buldana (GSDA, 2014). These areas are far smaller than the GRACE footprint, and hence an analysis for each of the regions has not been done.

The overall consumption of electricity for agricultural purposes has a positive correlation (R = 0.39) with the depletion of groundwater. This denotes that the higher the availability of groundwater, the more electricity is consumed and vice versa (Fig. S12a and b). This aspect has also been reported by the GSDA (Groundwater Surveys and Development Agency, GoM), where there is a shift in agricultural practice; no longer are water-intensive cash crops (sugarcane, banana, grapes, oranges) solely planted. Also, with improved surface water irrigation, return flow and curbed usage of groundwater have resulted in its recharge. In many regions, such as in Nagpur, Pune, Akola and Kolhapur, such improvements have been reported by the GSDA (2014). Similarly, for food production as well, the groundwater does not seem to make a significant contribution: they are both positively correlated (R = 0.33), implying an increase in both (Fig. S13a and b). This is only possible if there is another source of freshwater which is used for agriculture and also replenishes the groundwater. Thus, the state of Maharashtra puts forward an example of a balanced nexus of water-foodenergy. However, the increase in mortality due to farmer suicides shows the need for socio-hydrological analysis (Udmale et al., 2014; Pande and Savenije, 2016), which may be considered as the scope for future research.

5 Summary and conclusion

This present study is the first of its kind for India, encompassing all the three major sectors of water, food and energy from the perspective of the agricultural sector. Based upon the annual report from MoWR (Ministry of Water Resources), the per-capita availability of freshwater has declined from 1816 m³ considering the population based on the 2001 census to 1545 m^3 as per the Census (2011). Hence, the water stress is evident due to the continuous increase in demand by the increasing population CWC (Central Water Commission). The total annual replenishable groundwater potential of our country is 431×10^9 m³. Uttar Pradesh (17.5 %) has the largest share, followed by Maharashtra (8.3%), Bihar (6.6%), Punjab (5.2%) and Rajasthan (2.8%). A study by Amarasinghe et al. (2004) found that high values of the groundwater abstraction ratio (GWAR), which is defined as the ratio of groundwater withdrawals to groundwater availability and refers to the degree of development of groundwater resources in a region, coincided with "unsustainable use of groundwater". Surprisingly, the regions then marked out for following "unsustainable use of groundwater" practices still have a similar situation at present. The three sub-regions studied here coincide with the findings of this study. MGB and NWI had the highest GWAR, ranging between 51 and 197%, and WCI varied between 25 and 50%. Our results show similar depleting trends for MGB and NWI, but for WCI there is an improvement. This depletion of groundwater is caused due to unsustainable use and agricultural practices which do not adhere to the region's water balance equation. Crops such as sugarcane and cotton are highly waterintensive, more so since they are cash crops. Hence, they not only utilise excess water (groundwater), but they also do not contribute towards the food security. Cash crops, as the name suggests, are produced as they are more revenue-generating crops. Thus, the governing body needs to realise that on the one hand it supplies energy at subsidised rates, which leads to groundwater pumping, but this goes towards cash crop production.

The threat to the security of the nexus might be further intensified as these three zones lie in regions which are highly sensitive to climate change and large percentage of districts fall under the category of high vulnerability (Rama Rao et al., 2016). Thus, to combat climate change and to ensure security for water–food–energy, strict norms of crop cultivation need to be in place. Following are a few measures which could be incorporated for judicious regulation and control.

 Soil moisture monitoring and irrigation practice: uncontrolled use of groundwater for irrigation is attributed to the lack of monitoring of soil moisture, and hence the irrigation practices in India are not demand driven. Recent studies (Devineni and Perveen, 2012; Devineni et al., 2013; Fishman et al., 2015) show that the application of irrigation based on soil moisture conditions may result in conversion of a significant area from water stressed to water surplus. In addition to this, the irrigation practice in India is largely flood irrigation, which has very low efficiency. Changing of irrigation type from flood to drip may reduce significant water wastage and improve irrigation efficiency.

- Considering seasonal and extended range forecast for better water consumption: in India, the agricultural water management models exist in theory, but they are seldom used in practice. An agricultural water allocation model at a fortnight scale or at a seasonal scale considering the improved seasonal (Saha et al., 2012) and extended range forecast (Sahai et al., 2013; Shah et al., 2017) may be useful, and this needs to be further explored in the near future.
- Tariff determination based on seasonal prediction (varying volume of water usage): based upon seasonal prediction, a farmer would be made aware of a good/bad/normal monsoon year. Demands should be calculated based on seasonal prediction and accordingly the required amount of groundwater irrigation may be obtained considering the possible margin of errors from hindcast data. This amount may be considered as an upper limit for the farmers to be used freely or at a subsidised tariff. If this upper limit is crossed, then they would not be allowed to enjoy free water and cheap electricity. This would greatly reduce the over-exploitation of groundwater (Kumar, 2005).
- Groundwater usage metering: electricity has been made available at a subsidised rate (almost free), increasing the accessibility of groundwater (Badiani et al., 2012; Fishman et al., 2015). If a volumetric tariff on groundwater (Shah et al., 2004) usage at a local level or at least at a district level is put in place, it would also help in estimating the groundwater abstraction and accordingly management of the same.
- Water allocation and water pricing: a water allocation system needs to be put in place depending on the productivity and yield of crops (Huh and Lall, 2013). Water allocation and pricing should follow an optimal cropping policy (Devineni and Perveen, 2012). Cultivation should be carried out in an area where it is best suited for a specific crop, hence reducing the pressure on the resources to produce the crop.
- More production of food grains rather than cash crops: farmers have an inclination to rather produce cash crops than food grains as they are economically more lucrative. Food grains have a minimum price policy that is fixed by the government; hence, profit maximisation is

low. Moreover, most of the cash crops used are water intensive. Policy interventions are required to manage the same for a sustainable water and food security.

Data availability. All data sources are publicly available. The following are the URLs of the dataset used in this study: GRACE data: http://grace.jpl.nasa.gov. GLDAS data: all four model outputs have been downloaded from NASA's GES DISC portal. Well depth data: the Central Ground Water Board (CGWB) has a portal consisting of country level well data which are available at http://india-wris.nrsc.gov.in/GWLevelApp.html. Agricultural data: collected from year books published by the Ministry of Agriculture Department of Agriculture & Cooperation, Directorate of Economics & Statistics, Government of India, available at http://eands. dacnet.nic.in/PDF/Agricultural-Statistics-At-Glance2014.pdf.

The Supplement related to this article is available online at https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-21-3041-2017-supplement.

Author contributions. SG and BB designed the problem and the solution algorithms. BB collected all the data. BB, SAS and AP processed the GRACE data. BB performed the analysis. BB, SG and MS interpreted the results. BB and SG prepared the figures and wrote the manuscript. All the authors reviewed the manuscript.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements. The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support provided by the Ministry of Earth Sciences (Newton-Bhaba Project by MoES (India) – NERC (UK)), Government of India vide grant no. MoES/NERC/IA-SWR/P2/09/2016-PC-II(i). The authors are also grateful to Mathew Rodell and Sean Swenson, who helped in further understanding of the level-3 GRACE dataset (M. Rodell and S. Swenson, personal communication, 2016). The GRACE land gridded data are available at http://grace.jpl.nasa.gov, supported by the NASA MEaSUREs Program. The gridded rainfall data were obtained from the India Meteorological Department and the well depth data from the Central Ground Water Board. Details of these sources are provided in references along with their weblinks. The authors also thank the reviewers for their valuable suggestion that improved the manuscript further.

Edited by: Ana Mijic Reviewed by: Christopher Scott and two anonymous referees

References

Agricultural statistics at a glance: Ministry of Agriculture Department of Agriculture & Cooperation, Directorate of Economics & Statistics, Government of India, available at: http://eands.dacnet. nic.in/PDF/Agricultural-Statistics-At-Glance2014.pdf (last access: 6 September 2016), 2015.

- Amarasinghe, U. A., Sharma, B. R., Aloysius, N., Scott, C., Smakhtin, V., de Fraiture, C., and Sinha, A. K., and Shukla, A. K.: Spatial Variation in Water Supply and Demand across River Basins of India, IWMI Research Report 83, International Water Management Institute (IWMI), https://doi.org/10.3910/2009.084, 2004.
- Andersen, O. B., Seneviratne, S. I., Hinderer, J., and Viterbo, P.: GRACE-derived terrestrial water storage depletion associated with the 2003 European heat wave, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, 1–4, https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GL023574, 2005.
- Asoka, A., Gleeson, T., Wada, Y., and Mishra, V.: Relative contribution of monsoon precipitation and pumping to changes in groundwater storage in India, Nat. Geosci., 10, 109–117, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2869, 2017.
- Badiani, R., Jessoe, K. K., and Plant, S.: Development and the Environment: The Implications of Agricultural Electricity Subsidies in India, J. Environ. Dev., 21, 244–262, https://doi.org/10.1177/1070496512442507, 2012.
- Bandyopadhyay, J. and Perveen, S.: The Interlinking of Indian Rivers: Questions on the Scientific, Economic and Environmental Dimensions of the Proposal, Interlinking of Rivers in India: Issues and Concerns, 53–76, 2008.
- Bazilian, M., Rogner, H., Howells, M., Hermann, S., Arent, D., Gielen, D., Steduto, P., Mueller, A., Komor, P., Tol, R. S. J., and Yumkella, K. K.: Considering the energy, water and food nexus: Towards an integrated modelling approach, Energ. Policy, 39, 7896–7906, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2011.09.039, 2011.
- Birner, R., Gupta, S., Sharma, N., and Palaniswamy, N.: The political economy of agricultural policy reform in India: The case of fertilizer supply and electricity supply for groundwater irrigation, IFPRI, New Delhi, India, 2007.
- Birner, R., Gupta, S., and Sharma, N.: The political economy of agricultural policy reform in India: Fertilizers and Electricity for Irrigation, IFPRI, New Delhi, India, https://doi.org/10.2499/9780896291720, 2011.
- Bollasina, M., Ramaswamy, V., and Ming, Y.: Anthropogenic Aerosols and the Weakening of the South Asian Summer Monsoon, Science, 334, 502–505, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1204994, 2011.
- Census: Instruction manual for houselisting and housing census, Registrar General of India, New Delhi, available at: http://www. census2011.co.in/ (last access: 6 September 2016), 2011.
- CGWB: Dynamic Ground Water Resources of India (As on 31st March 2011), Central Ground Water Board, Ministry of Water Resources, River Development & Ganga Rejuvenation,GoI, Faridabad (July), 299, available at: http://www.cgwb.gov.in/documents/Dynamic-GW-Resources-2011.pdf (last access: 6 September 2016), 2014.
- CGWB: Annual Report 2013–14, Central Ground Water Board, Ministry of Water Resources, River Development & Ganga Rejuvenation, GoI, Faridabad, 74, available at: http://www.cgwb. gov.in/Annual-Reports/AnnualReport-2013-14.pdf (last access: 6 September 2016), 2015.
- Chen, J., Li, J., Zhang, Z., and Ni, S.: Long-term groundwater variations in Northwest India from satellite gravity measurements, Global Planet. Change, 116, 130–138, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2014.02.007, 2014.

- CSO (Central Statistics Office): GoI, DataBook 2014, available at: http://planningcommission.nic.in/data/datatable/data_ 2312/comp_data2312.pdf, (last access: 6 September 2016), 2014.
- CWC (Central Water Commission): Annual Report 2013–14, Ministry of Water Resources, River Development & Ganga Rejuvenation, Gol, available at: http://wrmin.nic.in/writereaddata/AR_ CWC_2013-14.pdf (last access: 6 September 2016), 2013–14.
- Dacnet: Web based land use statistics information system, available at: http://eands.dacnet.nic.in/PDF/Pocket-Book2014. pdf (last access: September 2016), 2014.
- Dasgupta, S., Das, I. C., Subramanian, S. K., and Dadhwal, V. K.: Space-based gravity data analysis for groundwater storage estimation in the Gangetic plain, India, Curr. Sci., 107, 832–844, 2014.
- Devineni, N. and Perveen, S.: Securing the future of India's "Water, energy and food", GWF Discussion Paper 1240, Global Water Forum, 2012.
- Devineni, N., Perveen, S., and Lall, U.: Assessing chronic and climate-induced water risk through spatially distributed cumulative deficit measures: A new picture of water sustainability in India, Water Resour. Res., 49, 2135–2145, https://doi.org/10.1002/wrcr.20184, 2013.
- Easterling, D. R., Evans, J. L., Groisman, P. Y., Karl, T. R., Kunkel, K. E., and Ambenje, P.: Observed Variability and Trends in Extreme Climate Events: A Brief Review, B. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 81, 417–425, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0477(2000)081<0417:OVATIE>2.3.CO;2, 2000.
- Eichengreen, B. and Gupta, P.: The Service Sector as India's Road to Economic Growth, National Bureau of Economic Research, 16757, https://doi.org/10.3386/w16757, 2011.
- Endo, A., Tsurita, I., Burnett, K., and Orencio, P. M.: A review of the current state of research on the water, energy, and food nexus, J. l. Reg. Stud., https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrh.2015.11.010, 2015.
- FAO: The Water-Energy-Food Nexus A new approach in support of food security and sustainable agriculture, Food Agric. Organ. United Na, 1–11, availale at: http://www.fao.org/3/a-bl496e.pdf (last access: 16 Septmeber 2016), 2014.
- Fendorf, S. and Benner, S. G.: Hydrology: Indo-Gangetic groundwater threat, Nat. Geosci., 9, 732–733, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2804, 2016.
- Feng, W., Zhong, M., Lemoine, J. M., Biancale, R., Hsu, H. T., and Xia, J.: Evaluation of groundwater depletion in North China using the Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment (GRACE) data and ground-based measurements, Water Resour. Res., 49, 2110–2118, https://doi.org/10.1002/wrcr.20192, 2013.
- Fishman, R. M., Siegfried, T., Raj, P., Modi, V., and Lall, U.: Over-extraction from shallow bedrock versus deep alluvial aquifers: Reliability versus sustainability considerations for India's groundwater irrigation, Water Resour. Res., 47, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011WR010617, 2011.
- Fishman, R., Devineni, N., and Raman, S.: Can improved agricultural water use efficiency save India's groundwater?, Environ. Res. Lett., 10, 1–9, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/10/8/084022, 2015.
- Gadgil, S. and Gadgil, S.: The Indian monsoon, GDP and agriculture, Econ. Polit. Weekly, 41, 4887–4895, 2006.
- Ghosh, S., Vittal, H., Sharma, T., and Karmakar, S.: Indian Summer Monsoon Rainfall: Implications of Contrasting Trends in

the Spatial Variability of Means and Extremes, PLoS One, 11, e0158670, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0158670, 2016.

- Gornall, J., Betts, R., Burke, E., Clark, R., Camp, J., Willett, K., and Wiltshire, A.: Implications of climate change for agricultural productivity in the early twenty-first century, Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci., 365, 2973–2989, https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2010.0158, 2010.
- Goswami, B. N., Venugopal, V., Sengupta, D., Madhusoodanan, M. S., and Xavier, P. K.: Increasing Trend of Extreme Rain Events Over India in a Warming Environment, Science, 314, 1442–1445, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1132027, 2006.
- Granit, J., Jägerskog, A., Lindström, A., Björklund, G., Bullock, A., Löfgren, R., de Gooijer, G. and Pettigrew, S.: Regional Options for Addressing the Water, Energy and Food Nexus in Central Asia and the Aral Sea Basin, Int. J. Water Resour. D., 28, 419–432, https://doi.org/10.1080/07900627.2012.684307, 2012.
- GSDA: Report on the Dynamic Ground Water Resources of Maharashtra (2011–2012), Prepared by Groundwater Surveys and Development Agency, GoM & Central Ground Water Board, Central Region, Nagpur, GoI, available at: https://gsda. maharashtra.gov.in/GWSpdf/Talukawise_GWA2011-12.pdf (last access: 6 September 2016), 2014.
- Gurdak, J. J., Geyer, G. E., Nanus, L., Taniguchi, M., and Corona, C. R.: Scale dependence of controls on groundwater vulnerability in the water-energy-food nexus, California Coastal Basin aquifer system, J. Hydrol. Reg. Stud., special issue on the Water-Energy-Food Nexus of the Asia-Pacific, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrh.2016.01.002, 2016.
- Hatfield-Dodds, S., Schandl, H., Adams, P. D., Baynes, T. M., Brinsmead, T. S., Bryan, B. A., Chiew, F. H., Graham, P. W., Grundy, M., Harwood, T. and McCallum, R.: Australia is 'free to choose' economic growth and falling environmental pressures, Nature, 527, 49–53 https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16065, 2015.
- Hira, G. S.: Water Management in Northern States and the Food Security of India, Journal of Crop Improvement, 23, 136–157, https://doi.org/10.1080/15427520802645432, 2009.
- Hoff, H.: Understanding the nexus.Background paper for the Bonn2011 Nexus Conference, The Water, Energy and Food Security Nexus, Stockholm Environment Institute, Stockholm, 2011.
- Houborg, R., Rodell, M., Li, B., Reichle, R., and Zaitchik, B. F.: Drought indicators based on model-assimilated Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment (GRACE) terrestrial water storage observations, Water Resour. Res., 48, W07525, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011WR011291, 2012.
- Huh, W. and Lall, U.: Optimal crop choice, irrigation allocation, and the impact of contract farming, POMPs, 22, 1126–1143, https://doi.org/10.1111/poms.12007, 2013.
- IPCC: Managing the Risks of Extreme Events and Disasters to Advance Climate Change Adaptation. A Special Report of Working Groups I and II of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 1–24, 2012.
- Jha, G., Pal, S., and Singh, A.: Energy requirement for Indian Agriculture, ICAR, New Delhi, India, 2012.
- Khandu, K., Forootan, E., Schumacher, M., Awange, J. L., and Müller Schmied, H.: Exploring the influence of precipitation extremes and human water use on total water storage (TWS) changes in the Ganges-Brahmaputra-

Meghna River Basin, Water Resour. Res., 52, 2240–2258, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015WR018113, 2016.

- Krishna Kumar, K., Rupa Kumar, K., Ashrit, R. G., Deshpande, N. R., and Hansen, J. W.: Climate impacts on Indian agriculture, Int. J. Climatol., 24, 1375–1393, https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.1081, 2004.
- Kumar, M., Scott, C., and Singh, O.: Can India raise agricultural productivity while reducing groundwater and energy use?, Int. J. Water Resour. D., 29, 557–573, https://doi.org/10.1080/07900627.2012.743957, 2013.
- Kumar, M. D.: Impact of electricity prices and volumetric water allocation on energy and groundwater demand management: Analysis from Western India, Energ. Policy, 33, 39–51, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-4215(03)00196-4, 2005.
- Landerer, F. W. and Swenson, S. C.: Accuracy of scaled GRACE terrestrial water storage estimates, Water Resour. Res., 48, 1–11, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011WR011453, 2012.
- Leck, H., Conway, D., Bradshaw, M., and Rees, J.: Tracing the Water–Energy–Food Nexus: Description, Theory and Practice, Geogr. Compass, 98, 445–460, https://doi.org/10.1111/gec3.12222, 2015.
- MacDonald, A. M., Bonsor, H. C., Ahmed, K. M., Burgess, W. G., Basharat, M., Calow, R. C., Dixit, A., Foster, S. S. D., Gopal, K., Lapworth, D. J., Lark, R. M., Moench, M., Mukherjee, A., Rao, M. S., Shamsudduha, M., Smith, L., Taylor, R. G., Tucker, J., van Steenbergen, F., and Yadav, S. K.: Groundwater quality and depletion in the Indo-Gangetic Basin mapped from in situ observations, Nat. Geosci., 9, 762–766, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2791, 2016.
- Mallya, G., Mishra, V., Niyogi, D., Tripathi, S., and Govindaraju, R.: Trends and variability of droughts over the Indian monsoon region, Weather and Climate Extremes, 12, 43–68, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wace.2016.01.002, 2016.
- Ministry of Statistics: Statistical year book of India, Chapter 34-Rainfall, available at: http://mospi.nic.in/sites/default/files/Statistical_year_book_india_chapters/Rainfall_0.pdf, last access: 16 September 2016.
- Moiwo, J. P., Yang, Y., Li, H., Han, S., and Hu, Y.: Comparison of GRACE with in situ hydrological measurement data shows storage depletion in Hai River Basin, Northern China, Water SA, 35, 663–670, https://doi.org/10.4314/wsa.v35i5.49192, 2009.
- Moiwo, J. P., Yang, Y., Tao, F., Lu, W., and Han, S.: Water storage change in the Himalayas from the Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment (GRACE) and an empirical climate model, Water Resour. Res., 47, 1–13, https://doi.org/10.1029/2010WR010157, 2011.
- Morison, J., Baker, N., Mullineaux, P., and Davies, W.: Improving water use in crop production, Philos. T. R. Soc. B, 363, 639–658, https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2007.2175, 2008.
- MoWR (Ministry of Water Resources): Annual Report 2013–14, Ministry of Water Resources, River Development & Ganga Rejuvenation, Gol, available at: http://wrmin.nic.in/writereaddata/ AR_2013-14.pdf (last access: 6 September 2016), 2013–14.
- Mukherji, A.: The energy-irrigation nexus and its impact on groundwater markets in eastern Indo-Gangetic basin: Evidence from West Bengal, India, Energ. Policy, 35, 6413–6430, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2007.08.019, 2007.
- Mukherji, A. and Shah, T.: Groundwater socio-ecology and governance: A review of institutions and policies in selected countries,

Hydrogeol. J., 13, 328–345, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-005-0434-9, 2005.

- NRAA: Prioritization of Rainfed Areas in India, Study Report 4, NRAA, New Delhi, India, p. 100, 2012.
- Pai, D. S., Sridhar, L., Rajeevan, M., Sreejith, O. P., Satbhai, N. S., and Mukhopadyay, B.: Development of a new high spatial resolution (0. $25^{\circ} \times 0. 25^{\circ}$) Long Period (1901–2010) daily gridded rainfall data set over India and its comparison with existing data sets over the region, Mausam, 65, 1–18, 2014.
- Panda, D. K. and Wahr, J.: Spatiotemporal evolution of water storage changes in India from the updated GRACEderived gravity records, Water Resour. Res., 52, 135–149, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015WR017797, 2016.
- Pande, S. and Savenije, H. H. G.: A sociohydrological model for smallholder farmers in Maharashtra, India, Water Resour. Res., 52, 1923–1947, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015WR017841, 2016.
- Patel, K., Gartaula, H., Johnson, D., and Karthikeyan, M.: The interplay between household food security and wellbeing among small-scale farmers in the context of rapid agrarian change in India, Agric. Food Secur., 4, 16, https://doi.org/10.1186/s40066-015-0036-2, 2015.
- Paul, S., Ghosh, S., Oglesby, R., Pathak, A., Chandrasekharan, A., and Ramsankaran, R.: Weakening of Indian Summer Monsoon Rainfall due to Changes in Land Use Land Cover, Sci. Rep., 6, https://doi.org/10.1038/srep32177, 2016.
- Planning Commission Annual Report: Annual Report 2013– 14 on The Working of State Power Utilities and Electricity Departments (Power and Energy Division), Planning Commission,Government of India, 1–225, available at: http://planningcommission.nic.in/reports/genrep/rep_ arpower0306.pdf (last access: 6 September 2016), 2014.
- Prakash, S., Gairola, R. M., Papa, F., and Mitra, A. K.: An assessment of terrestrial water storage, rainfall and river discharge over Northern India from satellite data, Curr. Sci., 107, 1582–1586, 2014.
- Rajeevan, M., Bhate, J., and Jaswal, A. K.: Analysis of variability and trends of extreme rainfall events over India using 104 years of gridded daily rainfall data, Geophys. Res. Lett., 35, 1–6, https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GL035143, 2008.
- Rama Rao, C. A., Raju, B. M. K., Subba Rao, A. V. M., Rao, K. V., Rao, V. U. M., Ramachandran, K., Venkateswarlu, B., Sikka, A. K., Srinivasa Rao, M., Maheswari, M., and Srinivasa Rao, C.: A district level assessment of vulnerability of Indian agriculture to climate change, Curr. Sci., 110, 1939–1946, https://doi.org/10.18520/cs/v110/i10/1939-1946, 2016.
- Rasul, G.: Food, water, and energy security in South Asia: A nexus perspective from the Hindu Kush Himalayan region, Environ. Sci. Policy, 39, 35–48, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2014.01.010, 2014.
- Rasul, G. and Sharma, B.: The nexus approach to water–energy–food security: an option for adaptation to climate change, Clim. Policy, 16, 682–702, https://doi.org/10.1080/14693062.2015.1029865, 2015.
- Rattan, R. K. and Biswas, D. R.: Efficient Water Management for Sustainable Agriculture, Bulletin of the Indian Society of Soil Science, 29, 1–86, 2014.
- Richey, A. S., Thomas, B. F., Lo, M.-H., Reager, J. T., Famiglietti, J. S., Voss, K., Swenson, S., and Rodell, M.: Quantifying renew-

able groundwater stress with GRACE, Water Resour. Res., 51, 5217–5238, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015WR017349, 2015.

- Rodell, M. and Famiglietti, J. S.: An analysis of terrestrial water storage variations in Illinois with implications for the Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment (GRACE), Water Resour. Res., 37, 1327–1339, https://doi.org/10.1029/2000WR900306, 2001.
- Rodell, M., Houser, P. R., Jambor, U., Gottschalck, J., Mitchell, K., Meng, C., Arsenault, K., Cosgrove, B., Radakovich, J., Bosilovich, M., Entin, J. K., Walker, J. P., Lohmann, D., and Toll, D.: The Global Land Data Assimilation System, B. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 85, 381–394, https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-85-3-381, 2004.
- Rodell, M., Chen, J., Kato, H., Famiglietti, J. S., Nigro, J., and Wilson, C. R.: Estimating groundwater storage changes in the Mississippi River basin (USA) using GRACE, Hydrogeol. J., 15, 159–166, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-006-0103-7, 2007.
- Rodell, M., Velicogna, I., and Famiglietti, J. S.: Satellite-based estimates of groundwater depletion in India, Nature, 460, 999–1002, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08238, 2009.
- Rosegrant, M. W. and Cline, S. A.: Global food security: challenges and policies, Science, 302, 1917–1919, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1092958, 2003.
- Roxy, M. K., Ritika, K., Terray, P., Murtugudde, R., Ashok, K., and Goswami, B. N.: Drying of Indian subcontinent by rapid Indian Ocean warming and a weakening land-sea thermal gradient, Nat. Commun., 6, 7423, https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms8423, 2015.
- Saha, S. K., Halder, S., Suryachandra Rao, A., and Goswami, B. N.: Modulation of ISOs by land-atmosphere feedback and contribution to the interannual variability of Indian summer monsoon, J. Geophys. Res., 117, 1–4, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JD017291, 2012.
- Sahai, A. K., Sharmila, S., Abhilash, S., Chattopadhyay, R., Borah, N., Krishna, R. P. M., Joseph, S., Roxy, M., De, S., Pattnaik, S., and Pillai, P. A.: Simulation and extended range prediction of monsoon intraseasonal oscillations in NCEP CFS/GFS version 2 framework, Curr. Sci., 104, 1394–1408, 2013.
- Salvi, K., Ghosh, S., and Ganguly, A. R.: Credibility of statistical downscaling under nonstationary climate, Clim. Dyn., 46, 1991– 2023, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-015-2688-9, 2016.
- Scott, C. and Shah, T.: Groundwater overdraft reduction through agricultural energy policy: insights from India and Mexico, Int. J. Water Resour. D., 20, 149–164, https://doi.org/10.1080/0790062042000206156, 2004.
- Scott, C. A. and Sharma, B.: Energy supply and the expansion of groundwater irrigation in the Indus-Ganges Basin, International Journal of River Basin Management, 7, 119–124, https://doi.org/10.1080/15715124.2009.9635374, 2009.
- Shah, R., Sahai, A. K., and Mishra, V.: Development of an Experimental Near-Real-Time Drought Monitor for India, J. Hydrometeorol., 16, 327–345, https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-14-0041.1, 2015.
- Shah, R., Sahai, A. K., and Mishra, V.: Short to sub-seasonal hydrologic forecast to manage water and agricultural resources in India, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 21, 707–720, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-21-707-2017, 2017.
- Shah, T., Scott, C., Kishore, A., and Sharma, A.: Energy-Irrigation Nexus in South Asia: Improving Groundwater Conservation an Power Sector Viability, Second (Revised) Edition. Research Re-

port 70. Colombo, Sri Lanka: International Water Management Institute, 2004.

- Shamsudduha, M., Taylor, R. G., and Longuevergne, L.: Monitoring groundwater storage changes in the highly seasonal humid tropics: Validation of GRACE measurements in the Bengal Basin, Water Resour. Res., 48, 1–12, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011WR010993, 2012.
- Sharma, K.: Groundwater management for food security, Curr. Sci., 96, 1444–1447, 2009.
- Siegfried, T., Sobolowski, S., Raj, P., Fishman, R., Vasquez, V., Narula, K., Lall, U., and Modi, V.: Modeling Irrigated Area to Increase Water, Energy, and Food Security in Semiarid India, Weather. Clim. Soc., 2, 255–270, https://doi.org/10.1175/2010WCAS1048.1, 2010.
- Singh, D., Tsiang, M., Rajaratnam, B., and Di, N. S.: Observed changes in extreme wet and dry spells during the South Asian summer monsoon season, Nat. Clim. Chang., 4, 1–6, https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2208, 2014.
- Singh, R.: Environmental consequences of agricultural development: a case study from the Green Revolution state of Haryana, India, Agr. Ecosyst. Environ., 82, 97–103, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8809(00)00219-X, 2000.
- Sinha, S., Sharma, B. R., and Scott, C. A.: Understanding and managing the water-energy nexus: Moving beyond the energy debate, Groundw. Res. Manag. Integr. Sci. into Manag. Decis. 80 Proc. WMI-ITP-NIH Int. Work, 242–257, 2006.
- Strassberg, G., Scanlon, B. R., and Rodell, M.: Comparison of seasonal terrestrial water storage variations from GRACE with groundwater-level measurements from the High Plains Aquifer (USA), Geophys. Res. Lett., 34, 1–5, https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GL030139, 2007.
- Strassberg, G., Scanlon, B. R., and Chambers, D.: Evaluation of groundwater storage monitoring with the GRACE satellite: Case study of the High Plains aquifer, central United States, Water Resour. Res., 45, 1–10, https://doi.org/10.1029/2008WR006892, 2009.
- Suhag, R.: Overview of Ground Water in India, PRS, available at: http://www.prsindia.org/administrator/uploads/general/ 1455682937~~OverviewofGroundWaterinIndia.pdf, last access: 6 September 2016.
- Swenson, S. and Wahr, J.: Estimated effects of the vertical structure of atmospheric mass on the time-variable geoid, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 2194, https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JB000024, 2002.
- Swenson, S. and Wahr, J.: Post-processing removal of correlated errors in GRACE data, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, 1–4, https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GL025285, 2006.
- Swenson, S., Yeh, P. J. F., Wahr, J., and Famiglietti, J.: A comparison of terrestrial water storage variations from GRACE with in situ measurements from Illinois, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, 1–5, https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GL026962, 2006.
- Taniguichi, M., Endo, A., Gurdak, J. J., and Swarzenski, P.: Water-Energy-Food Nexus in Asia Pacific Region, J. Hydrol. Reg. Stud., special issue on the Water-Energy-Food Nexus of the Asia-Pacific, Region, in press, 2017.
- Tapley, B. D., Bettadpur, S., Watkins, M., and Reigber, C.: The gravity recovery and climate experiment: Mission overview and early results, Geophys. Res. Lett., 31, 1–4, https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GL019920, 2004.

- Taylor, R. G., Scanlon, B., Doll, P., Rodell, M., van Beek, R., Wada, Y., Longuevergne, L., Leblanc, M., Famiglietti, J. S., Edmunds, M., Konikow, L., Green, T. R., Chen, J., Taniguchi, M., Bierkens, M. F. P., MacDonald, A., Fan, Y., Maxwell, R. M., Yechieli, Y., Gurdak, J. J., Allen, D. M., Shamsudduha, M., Hiscock, K., Yeh, P. J.-F., Holman, I., and Treidel, H.: Ground water and climate change, Nat. Clim. Chang., 3, 322–329, https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1744, 2013.
- Thomas, A. C., Reager, J. T., Famiglietti, J. S., and Rodell, M.: A GRACE-based water storage deficit approach for hydrological drought characterization, Geophys. Res. Lett., 41, 1537–1545, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL059323, 2014.
- Tiwari, V. M., Wahr, J., and Swenson, S.: Dwindling groundwater resources in northern India, from satellite gravity observations, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, L18401, https://doi.org/10.1029/2009GL039401, 2009.
- Udmale, P., Ichikawa, Y., Manandhar, S., Ishidaira, H., and Kiem, A. S.: Farmers' perception of drought impacts, local adaptation and administrative mitigation measures in Maharashtra State, India, International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction, 10, 250– 269, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2014.09.011, 2014.
- Wada, C. A., Burnett, K. and Gurdak, J.: The Water-Energy-Food Nexus in Pajaro Valley, California, J. Sustainable Agriculture Research, 5, https://doi.org/10.5539/sar.v5n3p76, 2016.
- WRIS: available at: http://cgwb.gov.in/GW-data-access.html, last access: 6 September 2016.
- Wu, W., Lan, C., and Lo, M.: Increases in the Annual Range of Soil Water Storage at Northern Middle and High Latitudes under Global Warming, Geophys. Res. Lett., 42, 3903–3910, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL064110, 2015.

- WWAP (United Nations World Water Assessment Programme): The United Nations World Water Development Report 2015, Water for a Sustainable World, Paris, UNESCO, available at: http:// unesdoc.unesco.org/images/0023/002318/231823E.pdf (last access: 16 September 2016), 2015.
- Wyrwoll, P.: India's groundwater crisis, GWF Discussion Paper 1228, Global Water Forum, 2012.
- Yang, Y., Long, D., Guan, H., Scanlon, B. R., Simmons, C. T., Jiang, L., and Xu, X.: GRACE satellite observed hydrological controls on interannual and seasonal variability in surface greenness over mainland Australia, J. Geophys. Res.-Biogeo., 119, 2245–2260, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JG002670, 2014.
- Yeh, P. J. F., Swenson, S. C., Famiglietti, J. S., and Rodell, M.: Remote sensing of groundwater storage changes in Illinois using the Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment (GRACE), Water Resour. Res., 42, 1–7, https://doi.org/10.1029/2006WR005374, 2006.
- Yi, S., Sun, W., Feng, W., and Chen, J.: Anthropogenic and climatedriven water depletion in Asia, Geophys. Res. Lett., 43, 9061– 9069, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL069985, 2016.
- Zaveri, E., Grogan, D. S., Fisher-Vanden, K., Frolking, S., Lammers, R. B., Wrenn, D. H., Prusevich, A., and Nicholas, R. E.: Invisible water, visible impact: groundwater use and Indian agriculture under climate change, Environ. Res. Lett., 11, 084005, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/11/8/084005, 2016.