

Supplementary Material to

Large-Sample Hydrology: A Need to Balance Depth with Breadth

HV Gupta, C Perrin, G Blöschl, A Montanari, R Kumar, M Clark and V Andréassian

Submitted to Special issue of HESS-ESD (Earth System Dynamics)
Subset of the IAHS special issue focusing on issues related to Predictions Under Change

Review of Large Sample Hydrology studies

To illustrate the growing interest in large sample studies during and since the 1980's, we present here a list of 94 published rainfall-runoff modeling studies that have used more than 30 catchments. The criteria used to select these catchments included:

- a) Focus on rainfall-runoff modelling
- b) Use of more than 30 catchments
- c) Published as journal articles only (i.e. excluding grey literature)

Studies were ordered chronologically. However those based on the same (or very similar) catchment sample were grouped with the first publication that used the sample.

The samples ranged from 30 to 1508 catchments, with a median of 140, and generally included a variety of physical, climatic and hydrological conditions. While some studies were limited to national data sets, others included catchments from several countries (although typically less than five). The studies focused on a range of spatial and temporal scales: catchment areas ranged from 1 to 130 000 km² and models were run at hourly, daily, monthly, annual and inter-annual time steps. The study goals included a variety of purposes, most commonly being related to:

- a) Model development, application and comparison
- b) Estimation of model parameters (calibration and regionalization techniques)
- c) Evaluation procedures and criteria
- d) Sensitivity and uncertainty analysis
- e) Impact studies

Most of the studies were carried out using '*conceptual-type rainfall-runoff*' (CRR) models, probably due to their being easier to implement on large samples than so called '*physically-based*' models because of lower data and computing requirements. In general, these studies used large catchment samples for three reasons:

- (1) To achieve conclusions that were more general than could be achieved using a single catchment (e.g., about the relative merits of various methods)
- (2) To define the range of applicability, or expected level of efficiency, of methods/models, or
- (3) To ensure sufficient information to enable statistically significant relationships to be established (e.g., between catchment descriptors and model parameters in regionalization studies).

Reference	Modelling objective	Time step	Number of catchments	Countries	Size range [km ²]
(Nathan and McMahon, 1990b) (Nathan and McMahon, 1990a)	Application of the SFB model	Daily	168	Australia	1-250
Kabouya and Michel (1991)	Development and evaluation of conceptual model	Annual, Monthly	63	Algeria	71 - 1935
Vandewiele et al. (1991)	Regionalization of model parameters	Monthly	60	Belgium	16 - 3160
Vandewiele et al. (1992)	Comparison of five models	Monthly	79	Belgium, China, Burma	16 - 3190
Makhlouf and Michel (1994)	Development and evaluation of conceptual model	Monthly	91	France	315 - 5560
Xu and Vandewiele (1995)	Model comparison and sensitivity to the type of input data	Monthly	91	Belgium, China	16 - 3626
Vandewiele and Elias (1995)	Regionalization of monthly models	Monthly	75	Belgium	19 - 1597
Nathan et al. (1996)	Regionalization of the MOSAZ model	Daily	195	Australia	4 - 8400
Tung et al. (1997)	Regionalization of unit hydrograph parameters	Hourly	42	Taiwan	53-3076
Abdulla and Lettenmaier (1997)	Regionalization of VIC model	Daily	40	USA	285-5278
Sefton and Howarth (1998)	Regionalization of the IHACRES model	Daily	62	UK	8-893
Edijatno et al. (1999) Michel et al. (2003) Michel et al. (2005)	Development and evaluation of conceptual model; Test of model formulation	Daily	140	France	1.4 - 9387
Fernandez et al. (2000)	Regionalization of monthly models	Monthly	33	USA	155 - 39847
Etchevers et al. (2001)	Hydrological modelling of the Rhône basin	Daily	145	France	250 - 86496
Perrin et al. (2001) Perrin et al. (2003)	Model comparison; Model development and evaluation	Daily	429	France, USA, Australia, Ivory Coast, Brazil	3 - 50600
Beldring et al. (2003)	Regionalization of the HBV model	Daily	184	Norway	4 - 5693
Merz and Blöschl (2004) Parajka et al. (2005) Parajka et al. (2007b)	Regionalization of the HBV model; Comparison of regionalization approaches; Multi-objective calibration;	Daily	320	Austria	~10 - 9770

Parajka and Blöschl (2008) Parajka et al. (2007a)	Regional calibration of models				
Parajka et al. (2006) Parajka et al. (2009)	Assimilation of soil moisture data	Daily	320	Austria	~10 - 9770
Touazi et al. (2004)	Water resources assessment	Inter annual	50	Algeria	Not provided
Hundecha and Bárdossy (2004)	Regionalization of the HBV model	Daily	95	Rhine basin	~400-2100
Andréassian et al. (2004b)	Sensitivity to potential evapotranspiration	Daily	62	France	5 - 89
Andréassian et al. (2004a)	Theoretical study on the sensitivity to spatial aggregation	Daily	271	France	5 - 3900
Oudin et al. (2004) Oudin et al. (2005c) Oudin et al. (2005b) Oudin et al. (2005a) Oudin et al. (2006)	Characterization of low-pass properties of catchments; Test of Bouchet's hypothesis; Sensitivity of models to PE formulation; Improved PE formulation for hydrological modelling; Multi-parameter modelling approach	Daily	308	Australia, France, USA	5 - 9387
Lohmann et al. (2004) Xia et al. (2012)	Streamflow and water balance inter-comparisons in the NLDAS project	Daily and Monthly	1154	USA	~23-1353270
Anctil and Rat (2005)	Test of artificial neural networks for flow forecasting	Daily	47	France, USA	~500 - 7000
McIntyre et al. (2005) Lee et al. (2006)	Ensemble prediction in ungauged basins using PDM	Daily	127	UK	1 - 1700
Kay et al. (2006)	Comparison of regionalization approaches for the PDM and TATE models	Hourly	119	UK	~1 - 1200
Young (2006)	Regionalization of the PDM model	Daily	270	UK	~3 - 1510
Mouelhi et al. (2006a) Mouelhi et al. (2006b)	Development and evaluation of conceptual model	Monthly Annual	410	France, USA, Australia, Ivory Coast, Brazil	3 - 50600
Boughton and Chiew (2007)	Regionalization of the AWBM model	Daily	213	Australia	50 - 2000
Le Moine et al. (2007) Oudin et al. (2008b) Oudin et al. (2010)	Test of model formulation for inter-catchment groundwater flows; Comparison of regionalization approaches; Evaluation of the concept of	Daily	1040	France	10 - 9390

Velazquez et al. (2010) Boldetti et al. (2010) Pushpalatha et al. (2011) Pushpalatha et al. (2012) Andréassian et al. (2012) Lerat et al. (2012)	similarity; Test of multi-model approach; Data-set cleansing and regionalization; Model development for low-flow simulation; Analysis of efficiency criteria; Test of neighbour catchment model; Test of multi-site calibration approach				
Yadav et al. (2007)	Test of a regionalization approach	Daily	30	UK	~50 - 1100
Oudin et al. (2008a)	Link between vegetation type and long term water balance	Inter annual	1508	France, UK, USA, Sweden	1 - 10329
Payan et al. (2008)	Simulation of catchments influenced by dams	Daily	46	France, USA, Brazil	12 - 50600
Perrin et al. (2008)	Test of a discrete parameterization approach	Daily	900	France, USA, Australia	10 - 10330
Dezetter et al. (2008)	Sensitivity of model results to spatial distribution and type of potential evapotranspiration	Monthly	49	Mali, Burkina Faso, Niger, Ivory Coast, Guinea	2000-630000
Reichl et al. (2009)	Definition of similarity measures	Daily	184	Australia	53-2062
Zhang and Chiew (2009)	Comparison of regionalization approaches	Daily	210	Australia	50-2000
Viviroli et al. (2009b) Viviroli et al. (2009a)	Regionalization of the PREVAH model	Hourly	140	Switzerland	~10 - 1000
Berthet et al. (2009) Berthet et al. (2010b) Berthet et al. (2010a)	Comparison of continuous and event- based approaches for flow forecasting; Analysis of quadratic criteria behaviour	Hourly	178	France	10 - 5940
Merz et al. (2009) Merz et al. (2011)	Scale effect in hydrological modelling; Parameter stability in non-stationary conditions	Daily	273	Austria	10-130000
Randrianasolo et al. (2010) Randrianasolo et al. (2011)	Evaluation of ensemble forecasts; Ensemble forecasting at ungauged sites	Daily	211	France	Not provided
Valéry et al. (2010)	Water balance in mountainous areas	Daily	188	France, Sweden	1 - 15000
Javelle et al. (2010)	Sensitivity of flash flood warning to initial moisture conditions	Hourly	160	France	7 - 2550
Vaze et al. (2010b)	Model testing in non-stationary conditions	Daily	61	Australia	50-2000

Samaniego et al. (2010)	Predictions in ungauged basins	Daily	38	Germany	70-4000
Chiew (2010) Vaze et al. (2010a) Vaze et al. (2011)	Model application in ungauged basins Model comparison Model sensitivity analysis to rainfall inputs	Daily	240	Australia	50-2000
Arnaud et al. (2011)	Sensitivity of model results to rainfall inputs	Hourly	500	France	~6-2850
Coron et al. (2012)	Model testing in non-stationary conditions	Daily	228	Australia	~70-1240
Brigode et al. (2013)	Quantification of parameter uncertainty in non-stationary conditions	Daily	89	France	32-109930
Arheimer et al. (2012) Strömqvist et al. (2012)	Test of the HYPE model	Daily	318	Sweden	~1-1000
Nester et al. (2012a)	Analysis of snow melt in a forecasting model	Hourly	57	Austria, Germany	70 - 25600
Nester et al. (2011) Nester et al. (2012b)	Analysis of flood forecasts and ensemble spread	Hourly	57	Austria, Germany	70 - 25600
Samuel et al. (2011) Samuel et al. (2012b) Samuel et al. (2012a)	Regionalization of the MAC-HBV model; Climate change impact study	Daily	111	Canada	~100 - 100000
Viglione et al. (2013)	Comparison of runoff model with Top-kriging	Daily	213	Austria	15 - 6000
Livneh and Lettenmaier (2012) Livneh and Lettenmaier (2013)	Parameter estimation for Unified land model	Daily and Monthly	260	USA	~100-1353269
Kumar et al. (2013)	Implications of model parameterization on water fluxes	Daily	45	Germany	~100-13000
van Esse et al. (2013)	Comparison of fixed and flexible modeling approaches	Hourly	237	France	16-1836

References included in the table

1. Abdulla, F. A., and Lettenmaier, D. P.: Development of regional parameter estimation equations for a macroscale hydrologic model, *J. Hydrol.*, 197, 230-257, 1997.
2. Anctil, F., and Rat, A.: Evaluation of neural network streamflow forecasting on 47 watersheds, *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*, 10, 85-88, 2005.
3. Andréassian, V., Oddos, A., Michel, C., Anctil, F., Perrin, C., and Loumagne, C.: Impact of spatial aggregation of inputs and parameters on the efficiency of rainfall-runoff models: A theoretical study using chimera watersheds, *Water Resour. Res.*, 40, W05209, doi:10.1029/2003WR002854, 2004a.
4. Andréassian, V., Perrin, C., and Michel, C.: Impact of imperfect potential evapotranspiration knowledge on the efficiency and parameters of watershed models, *J. Hydrol.*, 286, 19-35, 2004b.
5. Andréassian, V., Lerat, J., Le Moine, N., and Perrin, C.: Neighbors: Nature's own hydrological models, *J. Hydrol.*, 414-415, 49-58, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2011.1010.1007, 2012.
6. Arheimer, B., Dahné, J., Donnelly, C., Lindström, G., and Strömqvist, J.: Water and nutrient simulations using the HYPE model for Sweden vs. the Baltic Sea basin – influence of input-data quality and scale, *Hydrol. Res.*, 43, 315-329, doi:10.2166/nh.2012.010, 2012.
7. Arnaud, P., Lavabre, J., Fouchier, C., Diss, S., and Javelle, S. P.: Sensitivity of hydrological models to uncertainty in rainfall input, *Sensibilité des modèles hydrologiques aux incertitudes dues à l'information pluviométrique*, 56, 397-410, 2011.
8. Beldring, S., Engeland, K., Roald, L. A., Saelthun, N. R., and Vokso, A.: Estimation of parameters in a distributed precipitation-runoff model for Norway, *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 7, 304-316, 2003.
9. Berthet, L., Andréassian, V., Perrin, C., and Javelle, P.: How crucial is it to account for the antecedent moisture conditions in flood forecasting? Comparison of event-based and continuous approaches on 178 catchments, *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 13, 819–831, doi:10.5194/hess-5113-5819-2009, 2009.
10. Berthet, L., Andréassian, V., Perrin, C., and Loumagne, C.: How significant are quadratic criteria? Part 2. On the relative contribution of large flood events to the value of a quadratic criterion, *Hydrol. Sci. J.*, 55, 1063-1073, DOI: 10.1080/02626667.02622010.02505891, 2010a.
11. Berthet, L., Andréassian, V., Perrin, C., and Loumagne, C.: How significant are quadratic criteria? Part 1. How many years are necessary to ensure the data-independence of a quadratic criterion value?, *Hydrol. Sci. J.*, 55, 1051-1062, DOI: 10.1080/02626667.02622010.02505890, 2010b.
12. Boldetti, G., Riffard, M., Andréassian, V., and Oudin, L.: Data-set cleansing practices and hydrological regionalization: is there any valuable information among outliers?, *Hydrol. Sci. J.*, 55, 941-951, 2010.
13. Boughton, W., and Chiew, F.: Estimating runoff in ungauged catchments from rainfall, PET and the AWBM model, *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 22, 476-487, 10.1016/j.envsoft.2006.01.009, 2007.
14. Brigode, P., Oudin, L., and Perrin, C.: Hydrological model parameter instability: A source of additional uncertainty in estimating the hydrological impacts of climate change?, *J. Hydrol.*, 476, 410-425, 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.11.012, 2013.

15. Chiew, F. H. S.: Lumped Conceptual Rainfall-Runoff Models and Simple Water Balance Methods: Overview and Applications in Ungauged and Data Limited Regions, *Geography Compass*, 4, 206-225, 10.1111/j.1749-8198.2009.00318.x, 2010.
16. Coron, L., Andréassian, V., Perrin, C., Lerat, J., Vaze, J., Bourqui, M., and Hendrickx, F.: Crash testing hydrological models in contrasted climate conditions: an experiment on 216 Australian catchments, *Water Resour. Res.*, 48, W05552, doi:05510.01029/02011WR011721, 2012.
17. Dezetter, A., Girard, S., Paturel, J. E., Mahé, G., Ardoin-Bardin, S., and Servat, E.: Simulation of runoff in West Africa: Is there a single data-model combination that produces the best simulation results?, *J. Hydrol.*, 354, 203-212, 2008.
18. Edijatno, Nascimento, N. O., Yang, X., Makhlof, Z., and Michel, C.: GR3J: a daily watershed model with three free parameters, *Hydrol. Sci. J.*, 44, 263-277, DOI: 210.1080/02626669909492221, 1999.
19. Etchevers, P., Golaz, C., and Habets, F.: Simulation of the water budget and the river flows of the Rhone basin from 1981 to 1994, *J. Hydrol.*, 244, 60-85, 10.1016/s0022-1694(01)00332-8, 2001.
20. Fernandez, W., Vogel, R. M., and Sankarasubramanian, A.: Regional calibration of a watershed model, *Hydrol. Sci. J.*, 45, 689-707, 2000.
21. Hundecha, Y., and Bárdossy, A.: Modeling of the effect of land use changes on the runoff generation of a river basin through parameter regionalization of a watershed model, *J. Hydrol.*, 292, 281-295, 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2004.01.002, 2004.
22. Javelle, P., Fouchier, C., Arnaud, P., and Lavabre, J.: Flash flood warning at ungauged locations using radar rainfall and antecedent soil moisture estimations, *J. Hydrol.*, 394, 267-274, doi: 210.1016/j.jhydrol.2010.1003.1032, 2010.
23. Kabouya, M., and Michel, C.: Estimation des ressources en eau superficielle aux pas de temps mensuel et annuel, application à un pays semi-aride (Monthly water resources assessment, application to a semi-arid country), *Revue des Sciences de l'Eau*, 4, 569-587, 1991.
24. Kay, A. L., Jones, D. A., Crooks, S. M., Calver, A., and Reynard, N. S.: A comparison of three approaches to spatial generalization of rainfall-runoff models, *Hydrol. Processes*, 20, 3953-3973, 2006.
25. Kumar, R., Samaniego, L., and Attinger, S.: Implications of distributed hydrologic model parameterization on water fluxes at multiple scales and locations, *Water Resour. Res.*, 49, 360-379, 10.1029/2012wr012195, 2013.
26. Le Moine, N., Andréassian, V., Perrin, C., and Michel, C.: How can rainfall-runoff models handle intercatchment groundwater flows? Theoretical study based on 1040 French catchments, *Water Resour. Res.*, 43, W06428, doi: 06410.01029/02006WR005608, 2007.
27. Lee, H., McIntyre, N. R., Wheeler, H. S., and Young, A. R.: Predicting runoff in ungauged UK catchments, *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers-Water Management*, 159, 129-138, 2006.
28. Lerat, J., Andréassian, V., Perrin, C., Vaze, J., Perraud, J. M., Ribstein, P., and Loumagne, C.: Do internal flow measurements improve the calibration of rainfall-runoff models?, *Water Resour. Res.*, 48, W02511, doi:02510.01029/02010WR010179, 2012.

29. Livneh, B., and Lettenmaier, D. P.: Multi-criteria parameter estimation for the Unified Land Model, *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 16, 3029-3048, 10.5194/hess-16-3029-2012, 2012.
30. Livneh, B., and Lettenmaier, D. P.: Regional parameter estimation for the unified land model, *Water Resour. Res.*, 49, 100-114, 10.1029/2012wr012220, 2013.
31. Lohmann, D., Mitchell, K. E., Houser, P. R., Wood, E. F., Schaake, J. C., Robock, A., Cosgrove, B. A., Sheffield, J., Duan, Q. Y., Luo, L. F., Higgins, R. W., Pinker, R. T., and Tarpley, J. D.: Streamflow and water balance intercomparisons of four land surface models in the North American Land Data Assimilation System project, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 109, 10.1029/2003jd003517, 2004.
32. Makhlof, Z., and Michel, C.: A two-parameter monthly water balance model for French watersheds, *J. Hydrol.*, 162, 299-318, 1994.
33. McIntyre, N., Lee, H., Wheeler, H., Young, A., and Wagener, T.: Ensemble predictions of runoff in ungauged catchments, *Water Resour. Res.*, 41, W12434, 10.1029/2005WR004289, 2005.
34. Merz, R., and Blöschl, G.: Regionalisation of catchment model parameters, *J. Hydrol.*, 287, 95-123, 2004.
35. Merz, R., Parajka, J., and Blöschl, G.: Scale effects in conceptual hydrological modeling, *Water Resour. Res.*, 45, W09405, 2009.
36. Merz, R., Parajka, J., and Blöschl, G.: Time stability of catchment model parameters: Implications for climate impact analyses, *Water Resour. Res.*, 47, W02531, 2011.
37. Michel, C., Perrin, C., and Andréassian, V.: The exponential store: a correct formulation for rainfall-runoff modelling, *Hydrol. Sci. J.*, 48, 109-124, DOI: 110.1623/hysj.1648.1621.1109.43484, 2003.
38. Michel, C., Andréassian, V., and Perrin, C.: Soil Conservation Service Curve Number method: How to mend a wrong soil moisture accounting procedure?, *Water Resour. Res.*, 41, W02011, doi:02010.01029/02004WR003191, 2005.
39. Mouelhi, S., Michel, C., Perrin, C., and Andréassian, V.: Stepwise development of a two-parameter monthly water balance model, *J. Hydrol.*, 318, 200-214, doi:210.1016/j.jhydrol.2005.1006.1014, 2006a.
40. Mouelhi, S., Michel, C., Perrin, C., and Andréassian, V.: Linking stream flow to rainfall at the annual time step: the Manabe bucket model revisited, *J. Hydrol.*, 328, 283-296, doi:210.1016/j.jhydrol.2005.1012.1022, 2006b.
41. Nathan, R. J., and McMahon, T. A.: The SFB model. Part II - Operational considerations, *Civil Engineering Transactions*, CE32, 162-166, 1990a.
42. Nathan, R. J., and McMahon, T. A.: The SFB model. Part I - Validation of fixed model parameters, *Civil Engineering Transactions*, CE32, 157-161, 1990b.
43. Nathan, R. J., Austin, K., Crawford, D., and Jayasuriya, N.: The estimation of monthly yield in ungauged catchments using a lumped conceptual model, *Aust. J. Water Resour.*, 1, 65-75, 1996.
44. Nester, T., Kirnbauer, R., Gutknecht, D., and Blöschl, G.: Climate and catchment controls on the performance of regional flood simulations, *J. Hydrol.*, 402, 340-356, 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2011.03.028, 2011.
45. Nester, T., Kirnbauer, R., Parajka, J., and Blöschl, G.: Evaluating the snow component of a flood forecasting model, *Hydrol. Res.*, 43, 762-779, 10.2166/nh.2012.041, 2012a.

46. Nester, T., Komma, J., Viglione, A., and Blöschl, G.: Flood forecast errors and ensemble spread-A case study, *Water Resour. Res.*, 48, 10.1029/2011wr011649, 2012b.
47. Oudin, L., Andréassian, V., Perrin, C., and Anctil, F.: Locating the sources of low-pass behaviour within rainfall-runoff models, *Water Resour. Res.*, 40, W11101, doi:10.1029/2004WR003291, 2004.
48. Oudin, L., Hervieu, F., Michel, C., Perrin, C., Andréassian, V., Anctil, F., and Loumagne, C.: Which potential evapotranspiration input for a rainfall-runoff model? Part 2 - Towards a simple and efficient PE model for rainfall-runoff modelling, *J. Hydrol.*, 303, 290-306, DOI: 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2004.1008.1026, 2005a.
49. Oudin, L., Michel, C., and Anctil, F.: Which potential evapotranspiration input for a rainfall-runoff model? Part 1 - Can rainfall-runoff models effectively handle detailed potential evapotranspiration inputs?, *J. Hydrol.*, 303, 275-289, DOI: 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2003.1009.1030, 2005b.
50. Oudin, L., Michel, C., Andréassian, V., Anctil, F., and Loumagne, C.: Should Bouchet's hypothesis be taken into account in rainfall-runoff modelling? An assessment over 308 catchments, *Hydrol. Processes*, 19, 4093-4106, DOI: 10.1002/hyp.5874, 2005c.
51. Oudin, L., Andréassian, V., Mathevet, T., Perrin, C., and Michel, C.: Dynamic averaging of rainfall-runoff model simulations from complementary model parameterizations, *Water Resour. Res.*, 42, W07410, doi: 10.1029/2005WR004636, 2006.
52. Oudin, L., Andréassian, V., Lerat, J., and Michel, C.: Has land cover a significant impact on mean annual streamflow? An international assessment using 1508 catchments, *J. Hydrol.*, 357, 303-316, DOI: 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2008.1005.1021, 2008a.
53. Oudin, L., Andréassian, V., Perrin, C., Michel, C., and Le Moine, N.: Spatial proximity, physical similarity and ungaged catchments: confrontation on 913 French catchments, *Water Resour. Res.*, 44, W03413, doi:10.1029/2007WR006240, 2008b.
54. Oudin, L., Kay, A. L., Andréassian, V., and Perrin, C.: Are seemingly physically similar catchments truly hydrologically similar?, *Water Resour. Res.*, W11558, doi:10.1029/2009WR008887, 2010.
55. Parajka, J., Merz, R., and Blöschl, G.: A comparison of regionalisation methods for catchment model parameters, *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 9, 157-171, 2005.
56. Parajka, J., Naeimi, V., Blöschl, G., Wagner, W., Merz, R., and Scipal, K.: Assimilating scatterometer soil moisture data into conceptual hydrologic models at the regional scale, *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 10, 353-368, 2006.
57. Parajka, J., Blöschl, G., and Merz, R.: Regional calibration of catchment models: potential for ungaged catchments, *Water Resour. Res.*, 43, W06406, 2007a.
58. Parajka, J., Merz, R., and Blöschl, G.: Uncertainty and multiple objective calibration in regional water balance modelling: case study in 320 Austrian catchments, *Hydrol. Processes*, 21, 435-446, 10.1002/hyp.6253, 2007b.
59. Parajka, J., and Blöschl, G.: The value of MODIS snow cover data in validating and calibrating conceptual hydrologic models, *J. Hydrol.*, 358, 240-258, 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2008.06.006, 2008.

60. Parajka, J., Naeimi, V., Blöschl, G., and Komma, J.: Matching ERS scatterometer based soil moisture patterns with simulations of a conceptual dual layer hydrologic model over Austria, *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 13, 259-271, 2009.
61. Payan, J. L., Perrin, C., Andréassian, V., and Michel, C.: How can man-made water reservoirs be accounted for in a lumped rainfall-runoff model?, *Water Resour. Res.*, 44, W03420, doi:03410.01029/02007WR005971, 2008.
62. Perrin, C., Michel, C., and Andréassian, V.: Does a large number of parameters enhance model performance? Comparative assessment of common catchment model structures on 429 catchments, *J. Hydrol.*, 242(3-4), 275-301, doi:210.1016/S0022-1694(1000)00393-00390, 2001.
63. Perrin, C., Michel, C., and Andréassian, V.: Improvement of a parsimonious model for streamflow simulation, *J. Hydrol.*, 279, 275-289, DOI: 210.1016/S0022-1694(1003)00225-00227, 2003.
64. Perrin, C., Andréassian, V., Rojas-Serna, C., Mathevet, T., and Le Moine, N.: Discrete parameterization of hydrological models: evaluating the use of parameter sets libraries over 900 catchments, *Water Resour. Res.*, 44, W08447, doi:08410.01029/02007WR006579, 2008.
65. Pushpalatha, R., Perrin, C., Le Moine, N., Mathevet, T., and Andréassian, V.: A downward structural sensitivity analysis of hydrological models to improve low-flow simulation, *J. Hydrol.*, 411, 66-76, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2011.1009.1034, 2011.
66. Pushpalatha, R., Perrin, C., Le Moine, N., and Andréassian, V.: A review of efficiency criteria suitable for evaluating low-flow simulations, *J. Hydrol.*, 420-421, 171-182, doi: 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2011.11.055, 2012.
67. Randrianasolo, A., Ramos, M. H., Thirel, G., Andréassian, V., and Martin, E.: Comparing the scores of hydrological ensemble forecasts issued by two different hydrological models, *Atmos. Sci. Lett.*, 11, 100-107, 2010.
68. Randrianasolo, A., Ramos, M. H., and Andréassian, V.: Hydrological ensemble forecasting at ungauged basins: using neighbour catchments for model setup and updating, *Adv. Geosci.*, 29, 1-11, 10.5194/adgeo-29-1-2011, 2011.
69. Reichl, J. P. C., Western, A. W., McIntyre, N. R., and Chiew, F. H. S.: Optimization of a similarity measure for estimating ungauged streamflow, *Water Resour. Res.*, 45, 10.1029/2008wr007248, 2009.
70. Samaniego, L., Bardossy, A., and Kumar, R.: Streamflow prediction in ungauged catchments using copula-based dissimilarity measures, *Water Resour. Res.*, 46, 10.1029/2008wr007695, 2010.
71. Samuel, J., Coulibaly, P., and Metcalfe, R.: Estimation of Continuous Streamflow in Ontario Ungauged Basins: Comparison of Regionalization Methods, *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*, 16, 447-459, doi:10.1061/(ASCE)HE.1943-5584.0000338, 2011.
72. Samuel, J., Coulibaly, P., and Metcalfe, R. A.: Evaluation of future flow variability in ungauged basins: Validation of combined methods, *Adv. Water Resour.*, 35, 121-140, 10.1016/j.advwatres.2011.09.015, 2012a.
73. Samuel, J., Coulibaly, P., and Metcalfe, R. A.: Identification of rainfall-runoff model for improved baseflow estimation in ungauged basins, *Hydrol. Processes*, 26, 356-366, 10.1002/hyp.8133, 2012b.

74. Sefton, C. E. M., and Howarth, S. M.: Relationships between dynamic response characteristics and physical descriptors of catchments in England and Wales, *J. Hydrol.*, 211, 1-16, 1998.
75. Strömqvist, J., Arheimer, B., Dahne, J., Donnelly, C., and Lindström, G.: Water and nutrient predictions in ungauged basins: set-up and evaluation of a model at the national scale, *Hydrol. Sci. J.-J. Sci. Hydrol.*, 57, 229-247, 10.1080/02626667.2011.637497, 2012.
76. Touazi, M., Laborde, J. P., and Bhiry, N.: Modelling rainfall-discharge at a mean inter-yearly scale in northern Algeria, *J. Hydrol.*, 296, 179-191, 2004.
77. Tung, Y. K., Yeh, K. C., and Yang, J. C.: Regionalization of unit hydrograph parameters: 1. Comparison of regression analysis techniques, *Stochastic Hydrology and Hydraulics*, 11, 145-171, 1997.
78. Valéry, A., Andréassian, V., and Perrin, C.: Regionalization of precipitation and air temperature over high-altitude catchments: learning from outliers, *Hydrol. Sci. J.-J. Sci. Hydrol.*, 55, 928-940, 10.1080/02626667.2010.504676, 2010.
79. van Esse, W. R., Perrin, C., Booij, M. J., Augustijn, D. C. M., Fenicia, F., Kavetski, D., and Lobligeois, F.: The influence of conceptual model structure on model performance: a comparative study for 237 French catchments, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 17, 4227-4239, 10.5194/hess-17-4227-2013, 2013.
80. Vandewiele, G. L., Xu, C. Y., and Huybrecht, W.: Regionalisation of physically-based water balance models in Belgium. Application to ungauged catchments, *Water Resources Management*, 5, 199-208, 1991.
81. Vandewiele, G. L., Xu, C. Y., and Win, N. L.: Methodology and comparative study of monthly models in Belgium, China and Burma, *J. Hydrol.*, 134, 315-347, 1992.
82. Vandewiele, G. L., and Elias, A.: Monthly water balance of ungauged catchments obtained by geographical regionalization, *J. Hydrol.*, 170, 277-291, 1995.
83. Vaze, J., Chiew, F. H. S., Perraud, J. M., Viney, N., Post, D., Teng, J., Wang, B., Lerat, J., and Goswami, M.: Rainfall-runoff Modelling across Southeast Australia: Datasets, Models and Results, *Australian Journal of Water Resources*, 14, 2010a.
84. Vaze, J., Post, D. A., Chiew, F. H. S., Perraud, J. M., Viney, N. R., and Teng, J.: Climate non-stationarity - Validity of calibrated rainfall-runoff models for use in climate change studies, *J. Hydrol.*, 394, 447-457, 2010b.
85. Vaze, J., Post, D. A., Chiew, F. H. S., Perraud, J. M., Teng, J., and Viney, N. R.: Conceptual Rainfall-Runoff Model Performance with Different Spatial Rainfall Inputs, *J. Hydrometeorol.*, 12, 1100-1112, 10.1175/2011JHM1340.1, 2011.
86. Velazquez, J. A., Anctil, F., and Perrin, C.: Performance and reliability of multimodel hydrological ensemble simulations based on seventeen lumped models and a thousand catchments, *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 14, 2303-2317, 2010.
87. Viglione, A., Parajka, J., Rogger, M., Salinas, J. L., Laaha, G., Sivapalan, M., and Blöschl, G.: Comparative assessment of predictions in ungauged basins – Part 3: Runoff signatures in Austria, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 17, 2263-2279, doi:10.5194/hess-17-2263-2013, 2013.
88. Viviroli, D., Mittelbach, H., Gurtz, J., and Weingartner, R.: Continuous simulation for flood estimation in ungauged mesoscale catchments of Switzerland – Part II: Parameter regionalisation and flood estimation results, *J. Hydrol.*, 377, 208-225, 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2009.08.022, 2009a.

89. Viviroli, D., Zappa, M., Schwanbeck, J., Gurtz, J., and Weingartner, R.: Continuous simulation for flood estimation in ungauged mesoscale catchments of Switzerland - Part I: Modelling framework and calibration results, *J. Hydrol.*, 377, 191-207, 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2009.08.023, 2009b.
90. Xia, Y., Mitchell, K., Ek, M., Cosgrove, B., Sheffield, J., Luo, L., Alonge, C., Wei, H., Meng, J., Livneh, B., Duan, Q., and Lohmann, D.: Continental-scale water and energy flux analysis and validation for North American Land Data Assimilation System project phase 2 (NLDAS-2): 2. Validation of model-simulated streamflow, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 117, 10.1029/2011jd016051, 2012.
91. Xu, C. Y., and Vandewiele, G. L.: Parsimonious monthly rainfall-runoff models for humid basins with different input requirements, *Adv. Water Resour.*, 18, 39-48, 1995.
92. Yadav, M., Wagener, T., and Gupta, H.: Regionalization of constraints on expected watershed response behavior for improved predictions in ungauged basins, *Adv. Water Resour.*, 30, 1756-1774, 2007.
93. Young, A. R.: Stream flow simulation within UK ungauged catchments using a daily rainfall-runoff model, *J. Hydrol.*, 320, 155-172, 2006.
94. Zhang, Y. Q., and Chiew, F. H. S.: Relative merits of different methods for runoff predictions in ungauged catchments, *Water Resour. Res.*, 45, W07412, 2009.