

## Corrigendum to

# “Estimating the suspended sediment yield in a river network by means of geomorphic parameters and regression relationships” published in Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 12, 177–191, 2008

S. Grauso<sup>1</sup>, G. Fattoruso<sup>2</sup>, C. Crocetti<sup>3</sup>, and A. Montanari<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dipartimento Ambiente, Cambiamenti Globali e Sviluppo Sostenibile, ENEA Centro Ricerche Casaccia, Roma, Italy

<sup>2</sup>Dipartimento Ambiente, Cambiamenti Globali e Sviluppo Sostenibile, ENEA Centro Ricerche Portici (Napoli), Italy

<sup>3</sup>Faculty of Engineering, University of Bologna, Italy

Due to an unexpected editing error, data referring to drainage density ( $D_d$ ) and index of hierarchical anomaly ( $\Delta_a$ ), contained in Table 1 of the above cited article, are wrong.

The correct data, compliant with those actually reported in the reference work of Ciccacci et al. (1987), are here showed:

**Table 1.** Drainage density ( $D_d$ ), hierarchical anomaly index ( $\Delta_a$ ) and contributing area (A) for the watersheds considered by Ciccacci et al. (1987).

Watershed	$D_d$ [km <sup>-1</sup> ]	$\Delta_a$	A [km <sup>2</sup> ]
<i>Trebbia</i>	4.90	1.59	226
<i>Enza</i>	5.62	1.18	670
<i>Idice</i>	5.61	0.86	397
<i>Senio</i>	4.17	1.32	269
<i>Foglia</i>	4.99	1.39	603
<i>Orcia</i>	4.80	1.34	580
<i>Tavo</i>	3.14	1.38	109
<i>Volturno</i>	3.50	1.62	2015
<i>C. S. Maria</i>	2.21	1.14	60
<i>Triolo</i>	2.60	0.91	54
<i>Casanova</i>	2.70	0.36	52
<i>Salsola</i>	2.60	1.15	43
<i>Vulgano</i>	2.44	0.94	94
<i>Celone</i>	2.80	0.76	86
<i>Venosa</i>	2.76	1.08	261
<i>Atella</i>	3.65	1.17	158
<i>Agri (Grumento)</i>	2.42	1.1	278
<i>Agri (Tarangelo)</i>	3.06	1.4	507
<i>Delia</i>	2.59	0.57	140
<i>Gornalunga</i>	4.40	1.13	232
Range	2.21–5.62	0.36–1.62	43–2015



Correspondence to: S. Grauso  
(sergio.grauso@enea.it)